DOI: 10.1002/chem.200500228

Pd–Cu Bimetallic Catalyzed Domino Cyclization of α -Allenols Followed by a Coupling Reaction: New Sequence Leading to Functionalized Spirolactams

Benito Alcaide,*[a] Pedro Almendros,*[b] and Raquel Rodríguez-Acebes[a]

Dedicated to Professor Joaquín Plumet on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract: A novel regioselective metal-catalyzed spirocyclization of α -allenolscross coupling (Heck, Sonogashira, and Suzuki) reaction sequence, leading to potentially bioactive spirocyclic lactam derivatives has been developed. Precursors for the tandem spirocyclization–coupling reaction, α -allenols **2a–d** were obtained starting from α -oxolactams **1a–c** via indium-mediated Barbier-type carbonyl-allenylation reaction in aqueous media by using our previously described methodologies.

Keywords: allenes · domino reactions · lactams · palladium · spiro compounds

Introduction

The evolution of organic synthesis relies on the design and discovery of new reactions that generate structural complexity and value with step economy. Towards this end, transition-metal-catalyzed processes have become a powerful tool for the construction of sensitive functionalized molecules under very mild conditions. Allenes are versatile building blocks in metal-catalyzed processes but are still under utilized in organic synthesis. In the context of heterocyclic synthesis, allenes offer expeditious routes to a wide range of oxygen and nitrogen heterocycles. A further feature of incorporation of allenes into heterocyclic synthesis is their ability to provide unusual substituents and substitution pat-

terns. Metal-catalyzed domino heterocyclization–functionalization reaction of allenes are relatively unknown; only Trost and Lu have independently reported the tandem cyclization–Michael addition reaction of unsubstituted at the allene carbon atom, where the cyclization occurs, δ - and γ -allenols, $^{[4]}$ δ - and γ -allenamines, $^{[5]}$ α -allene carbamates, $^{[6]}$ or α -allenoic acids. $^{[7]}$ We are currently involved in a project aimed at the synthesis of nitrogen heterocycles. $^{[8]}$ We report here a novel one-pot catalyzed heterocyclization of substituted at the allene carbon α -allenols-coupling (Heck, Sonogashira, and Suzuki) reactions, leading to potentially bioactive spirolactams. $^{[9]}$

 [a] Prof. Dr. B. Alcaide, Dipl.-Chem. R. Rodríguez-Acebes Departamento de Química Orgánica I Facultad de Química, Universidad Complutense de Madrid 28040 Madrid (Spain)
Fax: (+34) 91-394-4103

Fax: (+34)91-394-4103 E-mail: alcaideb@quim.ucm.es

[b] Dr. P. Almendros Instituto de Química Orgánica General Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas Juan de la Cierva 3, 28006 Madrid (Spain) Fax: (+34)91-564-4853 E-mail: iqoa392@iqog.csic.es

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.chemeurj.org/ or from the authors. Supporting Information: It contains compound characterization data and experimental procedures for compounds 2a-d, 7a, and 10.

Results and Discussion

Precursors for the tandem spirocyclization–coupling reaction, α -allenols **2a–d** were obtained starting from α -oxolactams **1a–c** via indium-mediated Barbier-type carbonyl-allenylation reaction in aqueous media by using our previously described methodologies (Scheme 1).^[10]

The final goal of this study was to intercept the halovinyl moiety of a proposed dihydrofuran intermediate with a convenient coupling partner using a multitasking catalytic system. [11] After considerable experimentation, it was found that reaction of α -allenol **2a** with methyl acrylate at room temperature in acetonitrile in the presence of 9 mol % Pd- $(OAc)_2$, 0.2 equiv PPh₃, 5 equiv LiBr, 2 equiv Cu $(OAc)_2$ and 7 equiv K₂CO₃ under an atmospheric pressure of oxygen af-

3d (40%)

Scheme 1. Regioselective preparation of α -allenic alcohols 2 in aqueous media. PMP = 4-MeOC₆H₄.

forded the allene cyclization Heck trapping product **3a** in a reasonable 53% isolated yield. The use of lithium iodide instead of lithium bromide in the domino sequence gave essentially the same yield of **3a**. Compound **3a** can be considered as a hybrid scaffold as combination at the spiro junction of the oxindole and the dihydrofuran moieties. When phenylacetylene, (trimethylsilyl)acetylene, or thiophene-2-boronic acid were used as the cross-coupling reagent under our optimized conditions, the corresponding domino Sonogashira or Suzuki–Miyaura adducts **3b–d** were obtained (Scheme 2). Thus, the same catalytic system is able to promote two different, but sequential catalytic cycles, allowing different transformations in a single reaction flask.

Having demonstrated that oxindole-tethered allenols were viable substrates for the domino spirocyclization–coupling process, we investigated the achievement of this single-pot catalytic sequence for the synthesis of spiranic β -lactams. Treatment of enantiopure α -allenols 2b-d with the appropriate coupling partner under similar above conditions for allene 2a, led to formation of the desired products 4 as single isomers (Scheme 3).

The domino cyclization reaction is highly regioselective,^[13] giving five-membered heterocycles. Besides, the stereochemical integrity of the stereogenic centers at the lactam substituents, when applicable, remained unaltered.

The formation of spirolactams **3** and **4** could be rationalized in terms of a novel sequence domino cyclization of α -allenols-cross coupling reactions. A palladium(π)-catalyzed mechanism for the domino sequence leading to spiranic adducts **3** and **4** is proposed in Scheme 4. [14] It can be assumed that the initially formed allenepalladium complex **5** under-

Abstract in Spanish: Se ha descubierto un nuevo proceso de espirociclación de α-alenoles-reacción de acoplamiento cruzado (Heck, Sonogashira, y Suzuki), catalizado por un sistema bimetálico Pd–Cu, que proporciona de forma totalmente regioselectiva lactamas espirocíclicas potencialmente bioactivas.

Scheme 2. One-pot synthesis of spirocyclic oxindoles 3 through metal-catalyzed domino cyclization of α -allenols-cross coupling (Heck, Sonogashira, and Suzuki) reactions. TMS = trimethylsilyl.

2a

Scheme 3. One-pot synthesis of enantiopure spirocyclic 2-azetidinones 4 through metal-catalyzed domino cyclization of α -allenols-cross coupling (Heck, Sonogashira, and Suzuki) reactions.

goes an intramolecular attack by the hydroxyl group (oxypalladation), giving rise to the spirocyclic vinylic palladium species **6**. Next, palladadihydrofuran intermediate **6** is trapped by the cross-coupling reagents leading to compounds **3** and **4**. For example, the palladium species **6** can then form the intermediate **7** in a subsequent Heck reaction with acrylate, which leads to the final spirocycles **3a**, **4a**, and **4b** and Pd^0 in a β -hydride elimination. It is necessary for the catalyt-

A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

Scheme 4. Rationalization for the metal-catalyzed domino allene cyclization-coupling sequence.

ic cycle that Pd⁰ is reoxidized to Pd^{II}; this is achieved by the addition of Cu(OAc)₂, which does not interfere with the course of the reaction.

To gain an insight into the mechanism, additional experiments were carried out (Schemes 5, 6, Table 1). When the sequence was conducted step by step, it was possible to isolate the bromodihydrofuran **8**, which after addition of methyl acrylate and PPh₃ to the catalytic medium did not afforded spirolactam **3a** (Scheme 5). [15] In the absence of lithi-

Scheme 5. Metal-catalyzed oxybromination of the α -allenol ${\bf 2a}$ followed by the addition of the cross-coupling reagent.

um bromide, the spirocyclization tandem reaction occurred as well, but led to a significantly decreased yield of **3a** (24%) (Table 1, entry 1). The use of lithium fluoride instead of lithium bromide in the domino sequence under the same

Table 1. Reaction of α -allenol ${\bf 2a}$ under modified domino cyclization conditions. [a]

	Reagents ^[a]	Product [yield (%)] ^[b]
1	Pd(OAc) ₂ , PPh ₃ , Cu(OAc) ₂ , K ₂ CO ₃ , O ₂	3a [24]
2	Pd(OAc) ₂ , LiF, PPh ₃ , Cu(OAc) ₂ , K ₂ CO ₃ , O ₂	3a [18]
3	PdCl ₂ , LiBr, PPh ₃ , Cu(OAc) ₂ , K ₂ CO ₃ , O ₂	8 [8]
4	Pd(OAc) ₂ , LiBr, PPh ₃ , K ₂ CO ₃	3a [16], 9 [8]
5	Pd(OAc) ₂ , PPh ₃ , K ₂ CO ₃	3a [10], 9 [11]

[a] All reactions were conducted in dry acetonitrile at room temperature. [b] Yield of pure, isolated product with correct analytical and spectral data. Disappearance of starting 2a was observed in all cases. Unidentified decomposition products were also detected.

conditions resulted in a considerable lower yield (Table 1, entry 2). Replacing $Pd(OAc)_2$ with $PdCl_2$ in the domino sequence gave rise to a complex mixture; bromodihydrofuran 8 was obtained as the major component (Table 1, entry 3). The absence of $Cu(OAc)_2$ and oxygen (Table 1, entry 4), or the absence of LiBr, $Cu(OAc)_2$ and oxygen (Table 1, entry 5) on the standard reaction conditions afforded mixtures of the domino adduct $\bf 3a$ and the dihydrofuran $\bf 9$ albeit in modest yields.

Scheme 6. Reaction of α-allenol 2a under modified domino cyclization conditions

Although the exact mechanism of this tandem allene heterocyclization reaction has yet to be elucidated, it is obvious that the presence of the bromide ion is not essential. However, it plays an important role on increasing the yield of the final domino adduct.^[16] The bromodihydrofuran derivative **8** was not an intermediate in the formation of the

domino adduct **3a**, since it was not converted into **3a** under the reaction conditions. This result rules out the possibility that oxybromination occurs first, followed by the cross-coupling reaction. At the present time, based on the experimental evidences of Schemes 5, 6, and Table 1, we propose the mechanism outlined in Scheme 4.^[14]

Conclusion

In summary, using a single catalytic system we have successfully accomplished an unprecedented domino cyclization of α -allenols-cross coupling reaction strategy for the synthesis of potentially bioactive spirolactams.

Experimental Section

General methods: Melting points were obtained with a Gallenkamp apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer 781 spectrophotometer. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance-300, Varian VRX-300S or Bruker AC-200. NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ solutions, except otherwise stated. Chemical shifts are given in ppm relative to TMS (¹H, 0.0 ppm), or CDCl₃ (¹³C, 76.9 ppm). Low and high resolution mass spectra were taken

on a HP5989A spectrometer using the electronic impact (EI) or electrospray modes (ES) unless otherwise stated. Optical rotations were measured with a Perkin–Elmer 241 polarimeter. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_D$ is given in $\deg \operatorname{cm}^2 \operatorname{g}^{-1}$ at 25 °C, and the concentration (c) is expressed in g per 100 mL. All commercially available compounds were used without further purification. THF was distilled from Na/benzophenone. Benzene, dichloromethane and triethylamine were distilled from CaH₂. Flamedried glassware and standard Schlenk techniques were used for moisture sensitive reactions. Flash chromatography was performed using Merck silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh).

Metal-catalyzed domino cyclization of α -allenols–cross coupling reactions—General procedure for the synthesis of spirolactams 3 a–d and 4a–d: Palladium(II) acetate (0.009 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.02 mmol), lithium bromide (0.49 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.70 mmol), copper(II) acetate (0.21 mmol), and the appropriate coupling reagent (0.12 mmol) were sequentially added to a stirred solution of the corresponding α -allenic alcohol 2 (0.10 mmol) in acetonitrile (5 mL). The resulting suspension was stirred at room temperature under an oxygen atmosphere until disappearance (TLC) of the starting material. The organic phase was diluted with brine (2 mL), extracted with ethyl acetate (5×5 mL), washed with brine (2 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography of the residue eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate mixtures gave analytically pure spirolactams 3 and 4. Spectroscopic and analytical data for some representative pure forms follow. [17]

Spirolactam 3a: From α-allenol **2a** (36 mg, 0.167 mmol), compound **3a** was obtained as a colorless solid (27 mg, 53 %). M.p. 128–129 °C; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 7.54 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.36 (td, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.17 (ddd, J = 7.3, 1.7, 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.08 (td, J = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.79 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.19, 5.07 (ddd, J = 11.1, 1.7, 0.7 Hz, 1 H each), 3.80 (s, 3 H), 3.21 (s, 3 H), 1.58 (t, J = 1.7 Hz, 3 H); 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 174.8, 167.0, 144.0, 141.2, 133.8, 133.0, 130.5, 127.7, 124.6, 123.4, 120.1, 108.5, 94.3, 75.8, 51.7, 26.4, 9.8; IR (CHCl₃): $\bar{\nu}$ = 1720, 1716 cm $^{-1}$; MS (EI): m/z (%): 299 (100) [M] $^{+}$, 284 (90) [M – CH₃] $^{+}$; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₇H₁₇NO₄ (299.3): C 68.21, H 5.72, N 4.68; found C 68.33, H 5.75, N 4.65.

Spirolactam 3b: From α-allenol **2a** (45 mg, 0.209 mmol), compound **3b** was obtained as a colorless oil (30 mg, 46%). 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 7.60 (m, 1 H), 7.31 (m, 6 H), 7.12 (dd, J = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.60 (t, J = 1.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.23 (s, 3 H), 1.49 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 3 H); 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 175.4, 144.0, 140.8, 137.2, 133.6, 130.3, 128.9, 128.4, 127.7, 124.7, 123.3, 122.2, 108.4, 94.0, 77.1, 76.7, 75.8, 26.4, 10.2; IR (CHCl₃): \tilde{v} = 1714 cm $^{-1}$; MS (ES): m/z (%): 316 (100) [M+H] $^+$, 315 (61) [M] $^+$; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₁H₁₇NO₂ (315.4): C 79.98, H 5.43, N 4.44; found C 79.84, H 5.40. N 4.46.

Spirolactam 3c: From α-allenol **2a** (36 mg, 0.167 mmol), compound **3c** was obtained as a colorless oil (22 mg, 43 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ =7.31 (m, 2 H), 7.08 (m, 1 H), 6.84 (d, J=7.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.54 (d, J=1.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.21 (s, 3 H), 1.43 (t, J=1.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.30 (s, 9 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ =171.9, 144.0, 134.3, 132.6, 130.3, 128.3, 124.7, 123.3, 108.4, 93.5, 77.2, 75.8, 74.7, 26.4, 10.1, -1.9; IR (CHCl₃): \tilde{v} =1710 cm⁻¹; MS (ES): m/z (%): 312 (100) [M+H]⁺, 311 (10) [M]⁺; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₈H₂₁NO₂Si (311.5): C 69.41, H 6.80, N 4.50; found C 69.55, H 6.76, N 4.53.

Spirolactam 3d: From α-allenol **2a** (27 mg, 0.126 mmol), compound **3d** was obtained as a colorless oil (14 mg, 40 %). 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 7.30 (m, 3 H), 7.09 (m, 2 H), 7.03 (td, J = 3.7, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.40, 5.28 (dq, J = 11.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H each), 3.23 (s, 3 H), 1.67 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 3 H); 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 172.7, 146.8, 144.2, 134.9, 130.3, 129.6, 128.3, 127.0, 125.7, 124.8, 123.3, 108.4, 87.4, 78.1, 26.4, 10.9; IR (CHCl₃): \tilde{v} = 1711 cm $^{-1}$; MS (ES): m/z (%): 298 (100) [M+H] $^+$, 297 (16) [M] $^+$; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₇H₁₅NO₂S (297.4): C 68.66, H 5.08, N 4.71; found C 68.80, H 5.11, N 468

Spirolactam (–)-4a: From α -allenol (–)-**2b** (32 mg, 0.098 mmol), and after chromatography of the residue using hexanes/ethyl acetate 3:1 gave

compound (-)-4a (23 mg, 58%) as a colorless oil. [α]_D = -79.7 (c = 0.8 in CHCl₃); 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C): δ = 7.40 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.35 (m, 5 H), 5.65 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.91 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.40 (m, 1 H), 4.21 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.11 (dd, J = 10.4, 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.77 (s, 3 H), 3.75 (m, 1 H), 3.44 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.39 (dd, J = 8.7, 5.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.70 (t, 3 H, J = 1.8 Hz), 1.40, 1.35 (s, 3 H each); 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C): δ = 167.5, 167.2, 135.9, 133.4, 132.6, 129.5, 129.1, 128.4, 120.9, 110.3, 102.3, 77.6, 76.1, 66.8, 64.2, 52.3, 45.8, 27.1, 25.3, 9.8; IR (CHCl₃): \bar{v} = 1748, 1718 cm⁻¹; MS (ES): m/z (%): 414 (100) [M+H]⁺, 413 (25) [M]⁺; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₃H₂₇NO₆ (413.5): C 66.81, H 6.58, N 3.39; found C 66.93, H 6.55, N 3.37.

Spirolactam (–)-4b: From α-allenol (–)-2c (50 mg, 0.128 mmol), compound (–)-4b was obtained as a colorless oil (56 %, 34 mg). $[\alpha]_D=-4.6$ (c=0.9 in CHCl₃); 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta=7.25$ (m, 9 H), 6.82 (dd, J=7.7, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.75 (d, J=16.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.10 and 4.88 (d, J=12.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.79 (d, J=14.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.41 (ddd, J=8.9, 6.7, 5.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.13 (m, 1 H), 4.12 (d, J=14.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.72 (s, 3 H), 3.43 (dd, J=8.4, 5.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.28 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.30 and 1.19 (s, each 3 H); 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta=167.1$, 166.5, 142.1, 135.0, 134.6, 133.9, 130.0, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.4, 128.3, 127.3, 122.1, 109.9, 102.2, 76.8, 75.7, 66.5, 64.1, 51.8, 45.1, 26.4, 24.8; IR (CHCl₃): $\bar{v}=1750$, 1716 cm⁻¹; MS (ES): mlz (%): 476 (100) [M+H]+, 475 (30) [M]+; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₈H₂₉NO₆ (475.5): C 70.72, H 6.15, N 2.95; found C 70.58, H 6.20, N 2.98.

Spirolactam (+)-4c: From α-allenol (+)-2d (34 mg, 0.10 mmol), compound (+)-4c was obtained as a colorless oil (19 mg, 43 %). $[\alpha]_D$ =+5.8 (c=0.6 in CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ =7.73, 6.89 (d, J=9.0 Hz, 2H each), 5.36, 5.20 (dqd, J=12.5, 2.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (m, 1H), 4.29 (dd, J=8.5, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.58 (dd, J=8.7, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 1.75 (t, 3H, J=2.1 Hz), 1.63, 1.35 (s, 3H each), 0.08 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ =167.6, 156.7, 133.2, 130.9, 129.3, 119.8, 114.1, 109.9, 103.3, 77.4, 77.2, 75.6, 74.5, 689, 66.7, 55.5, 26.7, 24.7, 9.8, 1.0; IR (CHCl₃): \bar{v} = 1746 cm⁻¹; MS (ES): m/z (%): 442 (100) [M+H]⁺, 441 (15) [M]⁺; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₄H₃₁NO₃Si (441.6): C 65.28, H 7.08, N 3.17; found C 65.39, H 7.05, N 3.15.

Spirolactam (-)-4d: From α-allenol (-)-2b (33 mg, 0.10 mmol), compound (-)-4d was obtained as a colorless oil (20 mg, 47%). $[\alpha]_D = -92.5$ (c = 0.9 in CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 7.34$ (m, 5 H), 7.15 (m, 4H), 5.09 (dq, J = 12.2, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.94 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.83 (dq, J = 12.2, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.44 (ddd, J = 8.9, 6.8, 5.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.23 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.15 (dd, J = 8.7, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.50 (dd, J = 8.5, 5.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.49 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H), 1.66 (t, 3 H, J = 2.0 Hz), 1.41, 1.36 (s, 3 H each); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 168.2$, 138.0, 135.8, 135.1, 129.5, 129.3, 129.1, 128.5, 127.8, 127.4, 125.8, 109.8, 102.9, 78.3, 77.5, 66.5, 64.2, 45.3, 26.7, 24.9, 21.2, 9.9; IR (CHCl₃): $\bar{v} = 1751$ cm⁻¹; MS (ES): m/z (%): 420 (100) [M + H]⁺, 419 (22) [M]⁺; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₆H₂₉NO₄ (419.5): C 74.44, H 6.97, N 3.34; found C 74.58, H 6.94, N 3.32.

Acknowledgements

Support for this work by the DGI-MCYT (Project BQU2003–07793-C02-01) is gratefully acknowledged. R.R.A. thanks the MCYT for a predoctoral grant. Dipl.-Chem. Teresa Martínez del Campo is gratefully acknowledged for preliminary experiments.

^[1] P. A. Wender, S. T. Handy, D. L. Wright, Chem. Ind. 1997, 765.

^[2] For recent reviews, see: a) I. Nakamura, Y. Yamamoto, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2127; b) G. Zeri, R. C. Larock, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2285

^[3] For reviews, see: a) A. Hoffmann-Röder, N. Krause, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 387; b) Modern Allene Chemistry (Eds.: N. Krause, A. S. K. Hashmi), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; c) S. Ma, Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 701; d) R. W. Bates, V. Satcharoen, Chem. Soc.

A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

- Rev. 2002, 31, 12; e) A. S. K. Hashmi, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 3737; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3590; f) R. Zimmer, C. U. Dinesh, E. Nandanan, F. A. Khan, Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3067.
- [4] B. M. Trost, A. B. Pinkerton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10842.
- [5] B. M. Trost, A. B. Pinkerton, D. Kremzow, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 12007.
- [6] G. Liu, X. Lu, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3879.
- [7] G. Liu, X. Lu, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 127.
- [8] a) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, J. M. Alonso, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2003, 9, 5793; b) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, C. Aragoncillo, *Org. Lett.* 2003, 5, 3795; c) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, J. M. Alonso, M. F. Aly, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2003, 9, 3415; d) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, C. Aragoncillo, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2002, 8, 3646; e) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, C. Aragoncillo, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2002, 8, 1719; f) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, C. Aragoncillo, M. C. Redondo, *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 1472.
- [9] The spirolactam framework is an important structural motif in biologically relevant compounds as natural products and pharmaceuticals. For selected examples, see: a) J. M. Ready, S. E. Reisman, M. Hirata, M. M. Weiss, K. Tamaki, T. V. Ovaska, J. L. Wood, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 1290; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1270; b) R. M. Willians, J. Cao, H. Tsujishima, R. J. Cox, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12172; c) T. D. Bagul, G. Lakshmaiah, T. Kawabat, K. Fuji, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 249; d) A. Lerchner, E. M. Carreira, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 14826; e) S. Edmondson, S. J. Danishefsky, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 1190; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1138; f) J. L. Pinder, S. M. Weinreb, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 4141; g) T. Kambara, K. Tomioka, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 9282; h) E. Alonso, F. López-Ortiz, C. del Pozo, E. Peralta, A. Macías, J. González, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6333; i) M. M. Fernández, A. Diez, M. Rubiralta, E. Montenegro, N. Casamitjana, M. J. Kogam, E. Giralt, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7587; j) R. C. Hughes, A. C. Dvorak, A. I. Meyers, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 5545.
- [10] a) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, C. Aragoncillo, R. Rodríguez-Acebes, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 5208; b) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, C. Aragoncillo, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1411; c) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, R. Rodríguez-Acebes, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3198.

- [11] For a recent review on multifunctional catalysis, see: A. Ajamian, J. L. Gleason, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 3842; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3754.
- [12] a) For a review on natural product hybrids, see: L. F. Tietze, H. P. Bell, S. Chandrasekhar, Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 4128; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3996; b) for a palladium-induced domino sequence to yield spirocyclic isobenzofuranoxindoles, see: D. M. D'Souza, F. Rominger, T. J. J. Müller, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 156; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 153.
- [13] It has been described (see ref. [6]) that the attempted tandem cyclization–Michael addition reaction of α-allene carbamates substituted at the allene carbon gave a complex mixture. Our observed regiochemistry at the allene moiety is different to the expected one for the sequence reported above.
- [14] The pathway proposed in Scheme 4 is in good accordance with the literature; see for example: a) Modern Allene Chemistry (Eds.: N. Krause, A. S. K. Hashmi), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004, pp. 877–923; b) S. Ma, Z. Yu, Z. Gu Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 11, 2351; c) S. Ma, Z. Yu, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 6149; d) S. Ma, W. Gao, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 6104; e) W. F. J. Karstens, D. Klomp, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, H. Hiemstra, Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 5123; f) A. J. Bridges, R. D. Thomas, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1984, 694; g) D. F. Marten, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 5422.
- [15] Similar results were obtained when the oxybromination step was followed, in the same pot and using only the initial catalyst, with the addition of the cross-coupling reagent.
- [16] One of the referees had suggested that an influence of bromide does not necessarily mean that bromide reacts with the organic substrate; bromide could also influence the whole reaction as a ligand on Pd.
- [17] Full spectroscopic and analytical data for compounds not included in this Experimental Section are described in the Supporting Information.

Received: March 1, 2005 Published online: July 20, 2005