Efficient Solid Phase Synthesis of Cleavable Oligodeoxynucleotides Based on a Novel Strategy for the Synthesis of 5'-S-(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)-2'-deoxy-5'thionucleoside Phosphoramidites

by Kerstin Jahn-Hofmann and Joachim W. Engels*

Institut für Organische Chemie und Chemische Biologie, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität, Marie-Curie-Strasse 11, D-60439 Frankfurt am Main (e-mail: joachim.engels@chemie.uni-frankfurt.de)

The incorporation of a specific cleavage site into an oligodeoxynucleotide can be achieved by utilizing the four 5'-S-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-2'-deoxy-5'-thionucleoside 3'-(2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidites) **5** and **15a**-**c** (*Fig. 1*). Based on the silver ion assisted cleavage of P–S and C–S bonds, we synthesized oligodeoxynucleotides with an achiral 5'-phosphorothioate linkage 3'-O-P-S-5' by the solid-phase phosphoramidite procedure. The efficient cleavage of these modified oligodeoxynucleotides can be detected by HPLC, PAGE, and surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectrometry. The liberated 5'-thiol moiety can be used directly for post-reaction labeling with appropriately functionalized reporter groups.

1. Introduction. – Modified oligodeoxynucleotides are versatile tools to solve a variety of problems in molecular biology. The cleavage of oligodeoxynucleotides with restriction enzymes is selective and quantitative. For an equivalent chemical cleavage, the oligodeoxynucleotides must be modified beforehand. We selected the thiomodification because of its electronic and steric similarity with the natural congener and the possibility for later derivatization. These backbone modified oligodeoxynucleotides can be cleaved selectively and quantitatively at the P–S or C–S bonds by Ag⁺ and Hg²⁺ ions or by a concentrated I₂ solution under mild conditions [1]. The cleavage with I₂ however, does not occur on relatively low functionalized CPG material but fortunately has been shown to cause no problem during the oxidation step in DNA synthesis [1b]. Furthermore, oligodeoxynucleotides containing a free SH group can be derivatized with thiol-specific probes [1a][2a–d][3]. Thus, the thiol group is particularly useful for post-synthetic modifications and can also be used to reversibly form disulfide bonds.

Currently, we are developing a new concept for the detection and construction of DNA arrays using 5'-thio-modified phosphoramidites **5** and 15a-c based on the incorporation of a selective cleavage site [4].

For the synthesis of a phosphorothioate linkage, most references [1][5] describe a 5'-S-trityl-2'-deoxy-5'-thionucleoside 3'-phosphoramidite building block. Since we intended to use the 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl group ((MeO)₂Tr-group) for the 5'-protection of all four 2'-deoxynucleosides, the aim of this work was to develop an easy procedure for incorporating a 3'-O-P-S-5' internucleotide linkage that can be adapted to a fully automated synthesis *via* phosphoramidite chemistry. Originally, for the coupling of the 5'-S-trityl building block, the S-trityl group had to be removed by Ag⁺ ions. This

^{© 2004} Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

heavy-metal-ion cleavage is necessary because the S-trityl function cannot easily be cleaved by mild acids (used in the standard solid-phase phosphoramidite procedure [6]). The advantage of the S-[(MeO)₂Tr] function as 5'-protecting group over the Strityl function is its higher lability against acid. Thus, for the 5'-S-[(MeO)₂Tr]-protected amidites during oligodeoxynucleotide synthesis, we can now apply the standard detritylation solution also for the 5'-S-[(MeO)₂Tr] cleavage. With aqueous heavymetal-ion solutions, we observed some problems during the oligodeoxynucleotide synthesis in the synthesizer. Oxidation by an I₂ solution and several CH₂Cl₂ washes used during the synthesis gave rise to small amounts of free halogen resulting in a detrimental precipitate in the tubings. In addition, working with aqueous AgNO₃ solutions on CPG material made further reaction under anhydrous conditions more difficult to proceed. For these reasons, most of the users do not carry out this cleavage in the synthesizer but remove the column from the synthesizer, place a syringe on each side of the column, and flush the aqueous heavy metal ion solutions between two syringes. After this S-trityl cleavage, the resin (CPG material) is treated with dithiothreitol solution (reduction) to ensure that no disulfide formation has occurred. Then the column is washed, dried by several washes with CH₂Cl₂ and MeCN, and placed back in the machine, and the synthesis can be continued.

The four modified amidites **5** and 15a - c described here now allow the synthesis of modified oligodeoxynucleotides by the solid-phase phosphoramidite procedure completely in the synthesizer.

Results and Discussion. – 1. *Phosphoramidite Synthesis*. To incorporate the 3'-O-P-S-5' linkage into 2'-deoxyoligonucleotides, the phosphoramidite solid-phase synthesis was used. Therefore, we synthesized the four 5'-S-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-2'-deoxy-5'-thionucleoside 3'-(2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidites) 5 and <math>15a-c (*Fig. 1*). For the 5'-S-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-5'-thiothymidine 3'-(2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidite) (=<u>T</u> amidite; 5), we found two different synthetic approaches (see below,*Schemes 1*and 2). But these two strategies were possible only for the <u>T</u>_d amidite 5, we were unable to synthesize the <u>A</u>_d amidite by these strategies, which yielded a large amount of by-products, the major one being the depurinated nucleoside.



Fig. 1. Modified monomer building blocks 5 and 15a-c

Thus, we needed a general method for the conversion of nucleosides into the correspondending thiols (see below, *Scheme 3*).

The starting material for the synthesis of **5** by the strategy of *Scheme 1* is thymidine (**1a**). Treatment of **1a** with TsCl gave the *p*-toluenesulfonate **2** (in 68% yield [7]), which was converted in acceptable yield (58%) with potassium thioacetate in acetone to compound **3** [8]. Using basic medium (NaOH, NaOMe, NH₃ in MeOH) for the 5'-deprotection of **3**, we isolated the symmetric disulfide that was formed in high yield. But when we used an acidic medium for deprotection (HCl in MeOH), the free 5'-thiol was liberated [7], which reacted with 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl chloride ((MeO)₂Tr-Cl) in H₂O and AcOH to give compound **4** in 68% yield, after purification by flash chromatography [9]. The transformation of the 5'-*S*-acetyl-5'-thiothymidine **3** to the 5'-*S*-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-5'-thiothymidine (**4**) was achieved under conditions usually applied for standard deprotection of the 5'-*O*-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl) group. The greater nucleophilicity of the SH in contrast to the OH group is the reason why we were successful under these conditions. Treatment of **4** with 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite in the presence of ⁱPr₂NH at room temperature gave the corresponding modified phosphoramidite **5** in 73% yield [1b].

The second method for the synthesis of \underline{T}_d amidite 5 (Scheme 2) started with the same material, thymidine (1a). Reese and co-workers introduced 9-(4-methoxyphenyl)-9H-xanthene-9-thiol (AXT) for inserting the thio modification preferentially in the synthesis of 2'-thioadenosine [10]. We followed his method for the synthesis of our 2'deoxy-5'-thionucleosides 4 and 14 a-c. Treatment of the 5'-OH group with CCl₄ and triphenylphosphine gave 6 in 73% yield [8]. The 5'-chloro-thymidine (6) reacted with 9-(4-methoxyphenyl)-9H-xanthene-9-thiol (AXT) and 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine in 70-95% yield to 5'-S-[9-(4-methoxyphenyl)-9H-xanthen-9-yl]-5'-thiothymidine (7) [10]. The easiest way would be to phosphitylate this compound and to use it in an automated synthesis via phosphoramidite chemistry. But we could not apply the standard solution for detritylation (3% CHCl₂COOH in CH₂Cl₂); the reactivity towards this acid was too low. So we needed the 5'-S-[(MeO)₂Tr]-protected amidites for an automated synthesis. We converted the 5'-S-[9(4'-methoxyphenyl)-9H-xanthen-9-yl]-5-thiothymidine (7) into the 5'-S-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-5'-thiothymidine (4) [11] by treatment with AgNO₃ in MeOH and pyridine, trituration of the obtained Ag salt with MeOH/AcOH prior to H_2S bubbling ($\rightarrow 8$; yield 68% after workup), and treatment of the intermediate thiol 8 with (MeO)₂TrCl in pyridine. The final phosphitylation of compound 4 with 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite in the presence of ⁱPr₂NEt at 4° gave the corresponding modified phosphoramidite 5 in 73% vield [1b].

The synthetic procedures according to *Schemes 1* and *2* could not be applied to the other amidites. Thus, we envisaged to prepare the four natural 5'-thionucleosides by introducing the $(MeO)_2$ Tr group with the thiol reagent **12** according to *Scheme 3*. Since we did not find thiol **12** in the literature, we synthesized it from 4,4'-dimethoxytriphenylmethanol (**11**)¹) which was obtained in turn by a *Grignard* reaction of *p*-bromoanisole (**9**) and methyl benzoate (**10**) in THF. We converted **11** to methanethiol **12** under the conditions used by *Reese* and co-workers for the synthesis of the AXT reagent [10].

¹) First step: reaction to (MeO)₂TrCl.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 5'-S-(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)-5'-thiothymidine 3'-(2-Cyanoethyl Diisopropylphosphoramidite) (5)



Scheme 2. Synthesis of 5'-S-(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)-5'-thiothymidine 3'-(2-Cyanoethyl Diisopropylphosphoramidite) (5)



Scheme 3. Synthesis of 5'-S-(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)-2'-deoxy-5'-thionucleoside 3'-(2-Cyanoethyl Diisopropylphosphoramidites) 5 and 15a-c



Then, the methanethiol **12** reacted with the different activated 5'-modified nucleosides **2**, **6**, or **13a** – **e** and 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine to the 5'-*S*-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-5'-thionucleosides **4** and **14a** – **c** [10] (see *Table 1*). Subsequent phosphitylation (described for compound **5**) afforded the desired 3'-phosphoramidite building blocks **5** and **15a** – **c** [1b]. The kind of leaving group (activation) to be used depended on the nucleoside and the base-protecting group. The base protecting group has an effect on the solubility and, therefore, on the reactivity too. In conclusion, we demonstrate that we can insert a selective cleavage site at every position in an oligonucleotide.

Nucleoside		5'-Activated nucleoside			5'-Thionucleoside		5'-Thionucleoside phosphoramidite		
No.	В	No.	5'-leaving group X	Yield [%]	No.	Yield [%]	No.	Yield [%]	
1a	Т	6	Cl	72	4	63	5		
1a	Т	2	TsO	66	4	94	5	73	
1a	Т	13a	MsO	64	4	97	5		
1b	^{bz} A	13b	TsO	39	14a	94	15a	72	
1b	^{bz} A	13c	MsO	79	14a	41	15a		
1c	^{bz} C	13d	MsO	48	14b	82	15b	72	
1d	^{ibu} G	13e	MsO	93	14c	45	15c	76	

Table 1. Yields of Reactions in Scheme 3

2. Oligonucleotides. 2.1. Synthesis. The oligonucleotides were synthesized on an Expedite (PerSeptive Biosystems) or an ABI-392 synthesizer (Applied Biosystems) by the phosphoramidite chemistry. Coupling times for modified amidites 5 and 15a-c, 5'biotin amidite (from Glen Research), and 5'-Amino-Modifier C6 (from Glen Research) were enhanced to 300 s. For 5 and 15a - c, we used further modifications in the synthesis cycle, described in Table 2. We changed the time for detritylation, and we needed an additional reduction step (DTT (dithiothreitol) solution) after the detritylation. We used tert-butyl hydroperoxide [12] or a lower concentrated I₂ solution as oxidation reagent for improved yields. Thiols, especially on highly loaded supports are very reactive, so it is useful to minimize the time between the removal of the DTT solution and the addition of the next phosphoramidite. The [5'(MeO)₂Tr-on]-synthesized oligonucleotides were deprotected (base protecting groups) and cleaved from the controlled-pore-glass (CPG) support with ammonia at room temperature for 24 h. Crude DNA oligomers were purified by reversed-phase HPLC (Poros®-R3 column, see *Exper. Part*) with an eluting gradient of 0-25% MeCN in 0.1M (Et₃NH)OAc (pH 7.0) within 12 min (flow rate: 4 ml/min). Then the 5'-[(MeO)₂Tr group was removed with 80% aqueous AcOH within 60 min. After evaporation of the acid, the residue was diluted in 2M NH₄OAc and precipitated with EtOH under ice cooling (2 h). The precipitate was filtered off and dried. Fig. 2 shows the purity-control HPLC of oligodeoxynucleotide 16 (modified model oligodeoxynucleotide). Pure oligonucleotides were characterized by mass spectroscopy, and the detected masses were in good agreement with the calculated values. Table 3 shows the characteristic data of the oligodeoxynucleotides 16-23, 26, and 29-34.

The model oligodeoxynucleotide **16** (24mer) was synthesized to examine the cleavage of the C–S bond, where 5'-S-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-5'-thiothymidine 3'-(2-

Helvetica Chimica Acta - Vol. 87 (2004)

Step	Reagent	Standa	rd cycle	Modified cycle	
		<i>t</i> [s] ^a)	wait time [s]	<i>t</i> [s] ^a)	wait time [s]
Detritylation	3% CCl ₃ COOH in CH ₂ Cl ₂	125	20	375	60
Reduction	50 mм DTT (dithiothreitol)	_	-	60	600
Washing	MeCN	23	-	60	-
c c	CH ₂ Cl ₂	_	-	60	-
Coupling	amidite $+ 1H$ -tetrazole	12	25	12	300
Capping	Ac ₂ O/NMI (1-methyl-1H-imidazole)/THF	10	30	10	30
Oxidation	I ₂ /H ₂ O/pyridine	8	15	8	15

Table 2. Standard Cycle and Modified Cycle for the Oligonucleotide Synthesis (ABI-394 synthesizer)

^a) Time reagent to column.



Fig. 2. Reversed-phase HPLC profile of modified oligodeoxynuleotide 16. Conditions, see Exper. Part.

cyanoethyl-diisopropylphosphoramidite (\underline{T}_d) **5** was inserted as a modified building block. The sequence of the model oligodeoxynucleotide (24mer) **16** is:

5'-d(AGC CCT TAC TT GAC GGT ATA TCT)-3' (16)

We carried out several tests for the cleavage, and the cleavage was detected by gel chromatography for the oligodeoxynucleotides **17** and **21–23**, by analytical HPLC for oligodeoxynucleotide **16**, on a chip for the oligodeoxynucleotides **21** and **22**, and by surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy (SPR) for the oligodeoxynucleotides **17–20**. The oligodeoxynucleotides **23**, **30**, and **32–34** were synthesized as controls for the following experiments. *Table 4* shows the synthesized oligodeoxynucleotides.

2.2. Cleavages. 2.2.1 Cleavage of Modified Oligonucleotides in Solution. First, we evaluated specific chemical conditions for the cleavage of the C-S internucleotide bond by using heavy-metal ions. Therefore, the modified oligodeoxynucleotide was diluted in H_2O and was treated with aqueous 50 mM AgNO₃. After 15 min at room

	Synthesis cycle ^a)	Reversed-phase HPLC		MALDI- or ESI-MS		Thermal stability	
		gradient	yield ^g)	calc.	exper.	No.	$T_{\mathrm{m}} \left[{}^{\circ} \right]^{\mathrm{c}} \right)$
16	modified	0-30% <i>B</i> in 10 min ^b)	66.1 OD (61.2%)	7309.8	7331.94	_	-
17	modified	$0-30\% B \text{ in } 10 \min^{\text{b}}$)	40.1 <i>OD</i> (64.4%)	7715.3	7717.97	-	-
18	standard	$0-25\% B \text{ in } 10 \text{ min}^{b}$	60.7 <i>OD</i> (89.5%)	6110.0	6112.35	17 + 18	49.9
19	standard	$0-25\% B \text{ in } 10 \text{ min}^{b}$	63.4 <i>OD</i> (52.3%)	6125.1	6126.1	17 + 19	55.0
20	standard	0-25% B 10 min ^b)	61.6 <i>OD</i> (48.5%)	6174.1	6175.71	17 + 20	58.0
21	modified	$0-30 \% B \text{ in } 10 \min^{\text{b}}$)	71.5 <i>OD</i> (84.3%)	7489.0	7491.46	-	-
22	standard ^d)	$0-30\% B \text{ in } 10 \text{ min}^{b}$	72.7 OD (66%)	7475.94	7475.64	-	-
23	standard ^d)	$0-30\% B \text{ in } 10 \min^{\text{b}}$	72.4 <i>OD</i> (58.2%)	7699.24	7702.02	-	-
26	modified ^e)	$10-50\% B \text{ in } 25 \min^{\text{f}}$	32.6 OD (43%)	4672.24	4672.8	-	-
29	modified ^e)	$10-50\% B \text{ in } 25 \min^{\text{f}}$	46.9 OD (58%)	4600.18	4601.55	-	-
30	standard ^d)	$0-30\% B \text{ in } 10 \text{ min}^{b}$	72.4 <i>OD</i> (58.2%)	7699.24	7702.02	-	-
31	standard ^d)	$0-30\% B \text{ in } 10 \text{ min}^{b}$	72.7 <i>OD</i> (84.3%)	7489.00	7491.35	-	-
32	standard ^d)	$0-30\% B \text{ in } 10 \text{ min}^{b}$	72.7 OD (66%)	7472.94	7475.64	-	-
33	standard	$0-30\% B \text{ in } 10 \min^{b}$	66.1 <i>OD</i> (75.5%)	4268.8	4267.03	_	_
34	standard	$0-30\% B \text{ in } 10 \min^{\text{b}}$	35 OD (55.6%)	2963.0	2961.42	-	-

Table 3. Characteristic Data of the Oligonucleotides 16-23, 26, and 29-31

^a) See *Table 1*. ^b) Column *PorosR3*. B = MeCN, in 0.1M (Et₃NH)OAc. ^c) Buffer 0.2M NaCl, 10 mM NaH₂PO₄, pH 7.4. ^d) Wait time for 5'-modification was 300 s. ^e) Post-labeling on CPG material. ^f) Column *RP18*; B = MeCN, in 0.1M (Et₃NH)OAc. ^g) Yield of crude; OD = optical density.

Table 4. Synthesized Oligodeoxynucleotides 16–25, and 27–34. $\underline{T}_d = \text{modified 5'-thiothymidine unit}$; CPG = controlled-pore class linkage; AF = 5-(acetamido)fluorescein

Oligodeoxynucleotide	
16	5'-d(AGC CCT TAC TTT GAC GGT ATA TCT)-3'
17	5'-Biotin-d(AGC CCT TAC TTT GAC GGT ATA TCT)-3'
18	5'-d(GCA GCT AGA TAT ACC GTC AA)-3'
19	5'-d(GCT AGA TAT ACC GTC AAA GT)-3'
20	5'-d(GAT ATA CCG TCA AAG TAA GG)-3'
21	5'-Amino-d(AGC CCT TAC TTT GAC GGT ATA TCT)-3'
22	5'-Amino-d(AGC CCT TAC TTT GAC GGT ATA TCT)-3'
23	5'-d(ACG CCT TAC TTT GAC GGT ATA TCT)-3'
24	5'-[(MeO) ₂ Tr]-S-d(TT GAC GGT ATA TCT- <i>CPG</i>) _d -3'
25	5'-HS-d(TT GAC GGT ATA TCT-CPG)-3'
27	5'-[(MeO) ₂ Tr]-S-d(TT TTT TTT TTT-CPG)-3'
28	5'-HS-d(TT TTT TTT TTT TTT-CPG)-3'
29	5'-AF-d(TT TTT TTT TTT TTT <u>)-3'</u>
30	5'-Biotin-d(AGC CCT TAC TTT GAC GGT ATA TCT)-3'
31	5'-Cholesterol-d(AGC CCT TAC TTT GAC GGT ATA TCT)-3'
32	5'-Cholesterol-d(AGC CCT TAC TTT GAC GGT ATA TCT)-3'
33	5'-d(TT GAC GGT ATA TCT)-3'
34	5'-d(AGC CCT TAC T)-3'

temperature, 220 mM dithiothreitol in H_2O was added, and the precipitated silver salt was removed after 15 min by centrifugation. The supernatant was analyzed by polyacrylamide-gel electrophorese (PAGE; 1 mm, 15% PAA, 7M urea, 200 V) for the oligodeoxynucleotides **17–21**, **31**, and **32** (*Fig. 3*), and by reversed-phase HPLC (*Gen*-

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15



Fig. 3. Cleavage of the modified model oligodeoxynucleotide **17** and of **21–23** detected by PAGE. Conditions, see *Exper. Part. Lane 1:* **33** (14mer) + two markers; *Lane 2:* **34** (10mer); *Lane 3:* **32** (control); *Lane 4:* empty; *Lanes 5* and 6: **32** after treatment with AgNO₃; *Lane 7:* **30** (control); *Lanes 8* and 9: **30** after treatment with AgNO₃; *Lane 10:* **31** (control); *Lanes 11* and *12:* **31** after treatment with AgNO₃; *Lane 13:* **17** (control); *Lanes 14* and *15:* **17** after treatment with AgNO₃.

Pak Fax (column; eluent; see *Exper. Part*)) for the oligodeoxynucleotide **16** (*Fig. 4*). We can show that 50 mM aqueous AgNO₃ cleaves the model oligodeoxynucleotide within 5 min completely.

2.2.2 Cleavage of Modified Oligonucleotides on a Chip. We synthesized the two oligodeoxynucleotides **21** and **22** for immobilization on the surface of epoxy-modified glass slides (*Quantifoil Micro Tools GmbH*, Jena, Germany). For selective cleavage of the scissile bond, the oligodeoxynucleotides **21** and **22** were incubated for 30 min at room temperature in 50 mM aqueous AgNO₃. In experiments where a double-stranded DNA hybrid was formed prior to the cleavage reaction, the ionic strength of the cleaving solution was raised to keep the hybrid stable during the cleavage reaction. This was done by adding aqueous NaNO₃ solution (soluble Ag salt!) to a final concentration of 1M.

2.2.3 Cleavage of Modified Oligonucleotides on a Biacore Chip. Biacore (Pharmacia Biosensor, Sweden) takes advantage of surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy (SPR) to measure reactions as a function of mass changes [13]; we used the unmodified CM5 sensor chip [14]. First we coupled streptavidin as ligand to the derivatized dextran matrix located on the sensor-chip surface. Fig. 5 shows the construct for this experiment. Streptavidin immobilized on the sensor-chip surface can be used to



Fig. 4. Reversed-phase HPLC profile of the cleavage (modified model oligodeoxynucleotide 16). Conditions, see Exper. Part.



Fig. 5. Principle of the experiment by Biacore measurement. See text. The nucleoside units A, C, G, and T stand for A_d, C_d, G_d, and T_d. Conditions, see *Exper. Part*.

capture biotinylated ligands. For that, we synthesized the biotinylated oligodeoxynucleotide **17** (which contained the amidite **5**, and biotin at the 5'-end).

The streptavidin – biotin affinity is very high and serves to immobilize the modified oligodexynucleotide **17** for the following hybridization and the subsequent cleavage. The partly complementary oligodeoxynucleotides **18**–**20** were added in three different experiments, and the successful hybridization with the biotinylated probe **17** at room temperature was detected by mass change. *Scheme 4* exemplifies the hybridization of the oligodeoxynucleotides **17** and **18** and the following cleavage of the internucleotide S–C bond by AgNO₃, and *Table 5* shows the yield of hybridization of the oligodeoxynucleotide **17** with **18**–**20** followed by cleavage with AgNO₃. Here we can demonstrate a successful hybridization and cleavage detected by *Biacore* measurement at room temperature. Silver ions on the chip surface can be removed by an EDTA solution.





Table 5. Data from the Biacore Experiment^a). Conditions, see Exper. Part.

Streptavidin labeling	Reaction with 17		Hybı	idization		Cleavage		
RU abs.	RU abs.	RU rel.	No.	RU abs.	RU rel.	RU abs.	RU rel.	
22237.4	23943.8	1706.4	18	25007.3	2769.9 (78.7%)	23233.8	996.4 (85.9%)	
21684.5	23429.1	1674.6	19	24492.8	2738.3 (80.0%)	22766.0	1011.5 (83.4%)	
19562.9	20578.0	1015.1	20	21327.0	1764.1 (92.2%)	20206.8	643.9 (83.7%)	

2.3. Post-Labeling. For the post-labeling with 5-(iodoacetamido)-fluorescein (IAF) (Scheme 5), we synthesized the two modified oligodeoxynucleotides 24 and 27. The $[(MeO)_2Tr]$ -S group at the 5'-end of the oligodeoxynucleotides could be detritylated with 3% CHCl₂COOH in CH₂Cl₂. The oligodeoxythionucleotides 25 and 28 dimerized quickly and had to be reduced prior to use. We used a 220 mM aq. DTT solution for this reduction step. The DTT solution had to be fully removed before the next coupling reaction (side reactions). This is the reason why we washed the CPG material with H₂O, MeOH, and MeCN. The free SH group was very reactive; thus, we minimized the time between the removal of the DTT and the addition of 5-(iodoacetamido)-fluorescein (IAF). The post-labeling on the CPG material saved time because the washing steps (filtration) were fast and easy to perform. Thus, the CPG-oligonucleotide was treated with the fluorescein derivate IAF at room temperature for 24 h. Then, we washed the CPG material with MeOH and MeCN and cleaved the oligodeoxynucleo-



tides from the CPG support with ammonia at room temperature, for 1 h in the case of **29** and for 24 h in the case of **26** (cleavage and base deprotection). The crude modified oligodeoxynucleotides were purified by reversed-phase HPLC (*RP-18* column). *Fig. 6* shows the HPLC profile for the post labeling of oligodeoxynucleotide **25** with 5-(iodoacetamido)fluorescein (IAF). The free SH group of the oligodeoxynucleotides **25** and **28** reacted in acceptable yields to the oligodeoxynucleotides **26** (43%) and **29** (58%).

K. Jahn-Hofmann would like to thank the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for a Ph.D. fellowship and financial support, Dr. Eugen Uhlmann, Silvia Hein, and Lothar Hornung (Aventis) for laboratory space and a nice working atmosphere, and the company Clondiag Chip Technologies (Jena) for a fruitful cooperation.

Experimental Part

General. All starting materials were obtained from *Fluka or Aldrich*, and were used without further purification. Moisture-sensitive reactions were conducted in dried glassware under a positive pressure of dry Ar. Dry MeCN (H₂O < 30 ppm) for the phosphitylation reaction was purchased from *PerSeptive Biosystems* or *Biosolve*. Oligodeoxynucleotide synthesis: *Expedite-8909* synthesizer from *PerSeptive Biosystems* and *Glen Research*. Flash column chromatography (FC): silica gel 60 (40–63 µm) from *Merck*. TLC: silica gel 60 F_{254}



Fig. 6. HPLC Profile of the product of post labeling of oligodeoxynucleotide 25. Conditions, see Exper. Part.

plates from *Merck*. Prep. HPLC: *Poros*[®] OligoTM-R3 column (4.6 mm × 100 mm) from Applied Biosystems (order-No. material 1-1339-03) or LiChroCart[®] 250-10, RP-18e (5 µm) from Merck (order-no. 50257); eluent: buffer A = 0.1M (Et₃NH)OAc, buffer B = MeCN. Anal. HPLC: $EcoCART^{®}$ 125-3-RP-18 column (5 µm) from Merck (order-no. 51232); eluent: buffer A = 0.1M (Et₃NH)OAc, buffer B = MeCN; and Gen-Pak Fax column (4.6 mm × 100 mm) from Waters (order-no. 15490); eluent: buffer A = 10 mM NaH₂PO₄ in MeCN/H₂O 1:4 (ν/ν), buffer B = 1.5M NaCl, 10 mM NaH₂PO₄ in MeCN/H₂O 1:4 (ν/ν). Gel chromatography (PAGE): 1 mm, 15 cm, 15% PAA, 7M urea, 220 V. UV (for OD measurements): Varian Cary-1-UV/VIS spectrophotometer or Hitachi U-1100 spectrophotometer: 10-mm cuvette. NMR: Bruker AMX-250 (¹H) and Bruker AMX-400 (¹H,³¹P) spectrometers; δ in ppm, J in Hz; primed locants are given to the monosaccharide moiety and unprimed ones to the nucleobase moiety. MS: PerSeptive Biosystems MALDI-TOF spectrometer Voyager DE; ESI = electrospray ionization.

5'-S-Acetyl-5'-thiothymidine (**3**). To MeCOSK (18 g, 124.8 mmol) and **2** (12 g, 30.3 mmol) was added acetone (250 ml). The suspension was stirred under Ar at 50° for 6 h and then r.t. overnight. The suspension was cooled (ice-water bath) before filtration, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residual oil was subjected to FC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (9:1, ν/ν)): **3** (8.6 g, 94.5%). Colorless foam. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.37. ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 11.03 (*s*, NH); 7.45 (*d*, H–C(6)); 6.15 (*t*, H–C(1')); 5.41 (*d*, OH–C(3')); 4.1 (*m*, H–C(3')); 3.75 (*m*, H–C(4')); 3.23 (*m*, 1 H–C(5')); 3.1 (*m*, 1 H–C(5')); 2.37 (*s*, Ac); 2.23 (*m*, 1 H–C(2')); 2.07 (*m*, 1 H–C(2')); 1.81 (*s*, Me–C(5)). ESI-MS (pos.) 301.2 ([*M*+H]⁺, C₁₂H₁₇N₂O₅S⁺; calc. 301.33).

5'-S-(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)-5'-thiothymidine (**4**). a) A soln. of **3** (6 g, 20 mmol) in 1M HCl/MeOH (145 ml) under Ar was stirred for 2 h at 45°. The soln. was concentrated to 1/2 the volume and added to a soln. of AcOH (40 ml), H₂O (20 ml), and (MeO)₂TrCl (3 g, 35.4 mmol). The soln. was stirred at r.t. for 3 h and then concentrated to nearly 100 ml. After addition of H₂O (200 ml), the pH was adjusted to 10 with 2M NaOH. The mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 150 ml), the combined org. phase washed with 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (150 ml) and brine (200 ml), dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated, and the residual oil subjected to FC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5+0.5% Et₃N). **4** (7.61 g, 68%). Foam.

b) To a soln. of **8** (1.29 g, 5 mmol) in pyridine (20 ml) was added (MeO)₂TrCl (2.03 g, 6 mmol) in pyridine (5 ml) at r.t. The soln. was stirred overnight, and the reaction was quenched with MeOH. The mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (200 ml) and washed with 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (100 ml), the aq. phase extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (100 ml), the combined org. phase washed with brine (100 ml), dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated, and the residual oil subjected to FC (silica gel, $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ 95 :5 + 0.5% Et_3N): **4** (2.21 g, 79%). Foam.

c) Dry 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine (0.14 ml, 1.11 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred soln. of a 5'-X-thymidine **2**, **6**, or **13a** (X = leaving group; 1 mmol; **2**: 0.396 g; **6**: 0.261 g; **13a**: 0.320 g) and 4.4'-dimethoxytriphenylmethanethiol (0.504 g, 1.5 mmol) in dry DMSO (10 ml) under Ar at r.t. After 3 h, cooled CH₂Cl₂ (300 ml) was added, and the mixture was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (150 ml). The aq. layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (100 ml), the combined org. layer washed with H₂O (4 × 100 ml), dried (MgSO₄), and

evaporated, and the residue subjected to FC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 97:3): **4** (from **2**, 0.52 g (94%); from **6**, 0.356 g (63.6%); from **13a**; 0.546 g (97.5%)). Foam. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): $R_{\rm f}$ 0.51. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.22–7.43 (*m*, 9 arom. H); 6.83 (*m*, H–C(6), 4 arom. H); 6.16 (*t*, H–C(1')); 4.1 (*m*, H–C(3')); 3.78 (*m*, H–C(4'), 2 MeO); 2.54 (*m*, 1 H–C(5')); 2.5 (*m*, 1 H–C(5')); 2.29 (*m*, 1 H–C(2')); 2.06 (*m*, 1 H–C(2')); 1.86 (*s*, Me–C(5)). ESI-MS (neg.): 560.2 ([*M*+H]⁻ C₃₁H₃₃N₂O₆S⁻; calc. 560.66).

5'-S-(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)-5'-thiothymidine 3'-(2-Cyanoethyl-Diisopropylphosphoramidite) (**5**). A soln. of **4** (0.56 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (4 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (4 ml) in the presence of ¹Pr₂NEt (0.424 ml, 3 mmol) was cooled with ice, and 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (0.219 g, 1.2 mmol) was added dropwise under Ar. The reaction was quenched after 1 h at r.t. by adding BuOH (0.5 ml). The soln. was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (100 ml), washed with 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (100 ml) and brine (100 ml), dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated and the residual oil subjected to FC (short column of silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 97:3): **5** (0.56 g, 73%). Foam. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.65. ³¹P-NMR (CDCl₃ + 0.1% ¹Pr₂NEt) 149.55; 149.35. ESI-MS (pos.): 761.2 ([M + H]⁺, C₄₀H₅₀N₄O₇PS⁺; calc. 761.86).

5'-*Chloro-thymidine* (**6**). A soln. of thymidine (**1a**; 9.6 g, 40 mmol), PPh₃ (14 g, 54 mmol), and CCl₄ (20 ml, 200 mmol) in DMF (200 ml) was kept at r.t. for 24 h and then quenched with MeOH. The soln. was evaporated, and the residue was crystallized from MeOH: pure **6** (7.56 g, 72.6%). TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.38. ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 11.38 (*s*, NH); 7.55 (*d*, H–C(6)); 6.22 (*t*, H–C(1')); 4.25 (*m*, H–C(3')); 4.24 (*m*, H–C(4'), 2 H–C(5')); 3.34 (*d*, OH–C(3')); 2.32–2.21 (*m*, 1 H–C(2')); 2.14–2.06 (*m*, 1 H–C(2')); 1.8 (*s*, Me–C(5)). ESI-MS (pos.): 261.9 ([*M* + H]⁺; C₁₇H₂₁N₂O₇S⁺; calc. 261.68).

5'-S-[9-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-9H-xanthen-9-yl]-5'-thiothymidine (7). Anh. 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine (2.42 ml, 18.92 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred soln. of **6** (4.4 g, 17 mmol) and 9-(4-methoxyphenyl)-9H-xanthene-9-thiol (AXT; 8.17 g, 25.5 mmol) in dry DMSO (150 ml) under Ar at r.t. After 3 h, cooled CH₂Cl₂ (11) was added, and the mixture was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (800 ml) (*Caution*!), the aq. layer extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (200 ml), and the combined org. layer washed with H₂O (4 × 400 ml), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated, and the residue subjected to FC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 97:3): **7** (7.1 g, 95%). Foam. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5): R_f 0.183. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.46. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.82 (*s*, NH); 7.38–7.35 (*m*, 2 arom. H); 7.2–7.02 (*m*, 7 arom. H); 6.93–6.9 (*m*, 2 arom. H); 6.81–6.78 (*m*, 2 arom. H); 6.06–6.01 (*dd*, 1 H–C(1')); 3.86–3.82 (*m*, 1 H–C(4')); 3.73 (*s*, MeO); 3.6–3.52 (*m*, H–C3')); 2.21–2.11 (*m*, 2 H–C(5')); 1.9–1.79 (*m*, 2 H–C(2')); 1.84 (*d*, Me–C(5)). ESI-MS (neg.): 543.3 ([*M*–H]⁻, C₃₀H₂₇N₂O₆S⁻; calc. 543.55).

4,4'-Dimethoxytriphenylmethanethiol (12). H₂S was bubbled through a cooled (ice-water bath), stirred, anh. soln. of CHCl₂COOH (19.75 ml, 240 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (400 ml), while a soln. of 11 (38.44 g, 120 mmol) in anh. CH₂Cl₂ (400 ml) was added dropwise during 1 h. After H₂S had been bubbled through the mixture for a further 15 min, the H₂S gas was replaced by N₂ gas for 15 min. Then the soln. was washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (2 × 1 l) and H₂O (2 × 1 l), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated and the residue subjected to FC (silica gel, hexane/AcOEt 4 : 1): 12 (36.33 g, 90%). Foam. TLC (hexane/AcOEt 3 : 1): R_f 0.66. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.24 – 7.06 (*m*, 9 arom. H); 6.75 – 6.69 (*m*, 4 arom. H); 3.72 (*s*, 2 MeO). MALDI-MS: 336.45 (*M*⁺, C₂₁H₂₀O₂S⁺; calc. 336.45).

5'-S-(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)-2'-deoxy-5'-thionucleosides **4** and **14a**-c). Dry 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine (0.142 ml, 1.11 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred soln. of an activated 5'-X-nucleoside **13a**-d (X = leaving group, see *Table 1*; 1 mmol; 0.320 g, 0.509 g, 0.433 g, 0.409 g, and 0.415 g, resp.) and 4,4'-dimethoxytriphenyl-methanethiol (0.505 g, 1.5 mmol) in anh. DMSO (10 ml) under Ar at r.t. After 3 h, cooled CH₂Cl₂ (300 ml) was added, the mixture washed with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (150 ml), the aq. layer extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (100 ml), the combined org. layer washed with H₂O (4 × 100 ml), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated, and the residue subjected to FC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 97:3): Foam.

 $\begin{array}{l} Data \ of \ \mathbf{14a}: 0.63 \ g \ (93\%) \ from \ \mathbf{13b}; 0.26 \ g \ (41\%) \ from \ \mathbf{13c}. \ TLC \ (CH_2Cl_2/MeOH \ 9:1): \ R_f \ 0.45. \ ^1H-NMR \ (CDCl_3): 9.08 \ (s, NH); 8.65, 8.22 \ (s, H-C(2), H-C(8)); 7.93-7.92 \ (m, 2 \ H_o \ (bz)); 7.51-7.11 \ (m, 12 \ arom. \ H); 6.74-6.68 \ (m, 4 \ arom. \ H); 6.27-6.22 \ (m, H-C(1')); 4.33-4.29 \ (m, H-C(3')); 3.77-3.74 \ (m, H-C(4')); 3.69 \ (s, 2 \ MeO); 2.74-2.36 \ (m, 2 \ H-C(5'), 2 \ H-C(2')). \ ESI-MS \ (neg.): 672.6 \ ([M-H]^-, C_{38}H_{34}N_5O_5S^-; calc. \ 672.77). \end{array}$

Data of **14b**: 0.54 g (83%) from **13d**. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9 : 1): R_f 0.44. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.17–8.15 (m, H–C(5), H–C(6)); 7.86–7.04 (m, 2 H_o (bz), 14 arom. H ((MeO)₂Tr); 7.81–6.77 (m, 2 arom. H); 6.06–6.01 (d, 1 H–C(1')); 3.90–3.83 (m, H–C(3'), H–C(4')); 3.73 (s, 2 MeO); 2.57–2.29 (m, 2 H–C(5'), 2 H–C(2')). ESI-MS (neg.): 643.4 ([M–Me]⁻, C₃₄H₃₄N₅O₆S⁻; calc. 640.73).

Data of **14c**: 0.3 g, (45%) from **13e**. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9 :1): R_t 0.43. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 10.24 (*s*, NH); 7.66 (*s*, H–C(8)); 7.29–7.02 (*m*, 9 arom. H); 6.75–6.62 (*m*, 4 arom. H); 5.98–5.93 (*t*, H–C(1')); 4.98 (*s*, OH–C(3')); 4.63 (*m*, H–C(3')); 4.09–4.01 (*m*, H–C(4')); 3.64 (*s*, 2 MeO); 2.89–2.84 (*m*, Me₂CHCO); $2.53 - 2.45 (m, 2 H - C(5')); 2.31 (m, 2 H - C(2')); 1.21 - 1.16 (dd, Me_2CHCO). ESI-MS (pos.): 656.1 ([M + H]^+, C_{35}H_{38}N_5O_6S^+; calc. 656.76).$

5'-S-(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)-2'-deoxy-5'-thionucleoside 3'-(2-Cyanoethyl Diisopropyl)phosphoramidite) **15a**-c. A soln. of **14a**-c (see Table 1; 1 mmol; 0.674 g, 0.650 g, and 0.655 g, resp.) in MeCN (4 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (4 ml) in the presence of ⁱPr₂NEt (0.424 ml, 3 mmol) was cooled with ice, and 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (0.219 g, 1.2 mmol) was added dropwise unter Ar. After stirring for 1 h at r.t., the reaction was quenched by adding BuOH (0.5 ml). The soln. was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (100 ml), washed with 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (100 ml) and brine (100 ml), dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated and the residual oil subjected to FC (short column of silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 97:3): Foam.

Data of **15a**: 0.59 g (68%). TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.90. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5): R_f 0.81, 0.77. ³¹P-NMR (CDCl₃ + 0.1% ⁱPr₂NEt): 149.99; 149.74. ESI-MS (pos.): 858.5 ([M - Me]⁺, $C_{46}H_{49}N_7O_6PS^+$; calc. 858.94).

Data of **15b**: 0.65 g (76.4%). TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.76, 0.67. ³¹P-NMR (CDCl₃+0.1% ¹Pr₂NEt): 150.43; 149.99. ESI-MS (pos.): 832.5 ([*M* – Me]⁺, C₄₅H₄₉N₅O₇PS⁺; calc. 834.96).

Data of **15c**: 0.62 g (72%). TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.71. ³¹P-NMR (CDCl₃ + 0.1% ⁱPr₂NEt): 150.13; 148.93. ESI-MS (pos.): 856.6 ($[M + H]^+$, C₄₄H₅₅N₇O₇PS⁺; calc. 857.6).

Cleavage of Oligodeoxynucleotide **16**. A soln. of $1 A_{260}$ unit of oligodeoxynucleotide **16** in H₂O (100 µl) was treated with 50 mm aq. AgNO₃ (20 µl) at r.t. for 15 min. Then 220 mm DTT in H₂O (5 µl) was added, and the precipitated silver salt was removed after 15 min by centrifugation. Aliquots (60 µl) were used for HPLC analysis (*Gen-Pak Fax* 4.6 mm × 100 mm); cf. General: 5% buffer B to 60% B in 30 min (Fig. 4).

Cleavage of Oligodeoxynucleotides **17** and **21–23**. As described above for **16**, with 1 A_{260} unit of oligodeoxynucleotide, H₂O (40 µl), 50 mm aq. AgNO₃ (10 µl) and 220 mm DTT in H₂O (2.5 µl). After centrifugation, the soln. (10 µl) was analyzed by PAGE (1 mm, 15% PAA, 7M urea, 220 V) after adding 10 µl of formamide (see *Fig. 3*).

Biacore Measurement (Biacore 1000). 1) Conditions for streptavidin immobilization (Chip CM 5): a) Continuous-flow buffer HBS, flow rate 5μ /min; b) 50 mM EDC/200 mM NHS, inject 35μ (7 min); c) streptavidin (50 µg/ml), inject 35μ (7 min); d) 0.05% SDS, inject 35μ (7 min).

2) Conditions for binding (*a*)), hybridization (*b*)), and cleavage (*c*) and *d*)): *a*) 25 μ l of oligodeoxynucleotide **17** (0.1 μ g/ml); *b*) 25 μ l of oligodeoxynucleotide **18**–**20** (500 nM); *c*) 2 × 15 μ l of 10 mM AgNO₃; *d*) 2 × 5 μ l of 0.5M EDTA. The results are shown in *Table 5*.

Immobilization and Cleavage of Oligonucleotides **21** and **22** on the Chip (Clondiag Chip Technology *GmbH*; Jena, Germany). The oligonucleotides **21** (phosphorothioate oligonucleotide) and **22** (all-phosphate-backbone oligonucleotide) were immobilized on the surface of epoxy modified glass slides (*Quantifoil Micro Tools GmbH*; Jena, Germany). Both oligonucleotides carried a primary NH₂ group at the 5'-terminus that was introduced during synthesis by using a 5'-Amino-Modifier C6. The oligonucleotides were dissolved at a final concentration of 10 μ M in 0.5 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 8.0). The slides were diced in squares (3 × 3 mm in size). Three 0.2- μ l droplets were applied by using a hand-held pipet (*Eppendorf*) to each square, representing either the all-phosphate or the phosphorothioate oligonucleotide. The squares were incubated at r.t. until the droplets were dried. Then the squares were incubated at 60° for 20 min, washed twice in 1.5-ml reaction vessels (*Eppendorf*) with 50 mM aq. KCl and once with dist. H₂O, and dried in a *Speed Vac* concentrator for storage.

For selective cleavage of the scissile linkage, the squares were incubated at r.t. for 30 min in 50 mM AgNO₃. In experiments where a double-stranded DNA hybrid was formed prior to the cleavage reaction, the ion strength of the cleaving soln. was raised by adding aq. NaNO₃ soln. to a final concentration of 1M to keep the hybrid stable during the cleavage. After cleavage of the scissile bond, the squares were washed 3 times in dist. H₂O (immobilized single-stranded DNA) or in 1M aq. NaNO₃ (DNA hybrids).

Post-labeling of Oligodeoxnucleotides 25 and 28. The $(MeO)_2$ Tr-on $(1 \mu mol)$ synthesis by phosphoramidite chemistry, and the final deblocking were carried out on the synthesizer (*Table 2*). Then the CPG material was suspended in 220 mM DTT for 10 min and then washed with H₂O and MeCN (\rightarrow 25 or 28). A soln. of 1 mg of 5-(iodoacetamido)fluorescein (IAF) in DMF, 100 µl of H₂O and 10 µl of 1M *Tris* · HCl (pH 8) were added to 25 (or 28), and the mixture was kept at r.t. for 24 h. The CPG 26 (or 29) was washed with H₂O, CH₂Cl₂, and MeCN. Conc. aq. ammonia was added for cleavage and deprotection (for 26: 24 h at r.t.; for 29: 1 h at r.t.). The labeled oligodeoxynucleotides 26 and 29 were purified by HPLC (*LiChroCart*[®]-250-10 column, *RP-18e* (5 µm); *cf. General*): 15% buffer *B* to 60% in 25 min (see *Fig. 6*). The labeled oligodeoxynucleotides were isolated in 43% (26) and 58% (29) yield and characterized by mass spectra, see *Table 3*.

REFERENCES

- a) B. A. Connolly, P. Rider, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1985, 13, 4485; b) B. S. Sproat, B. Beijer, P. Rider, P. Neuner, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1987, 15, 4837; c) R. Cosstick, J. S. Vyle, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1990, 18, 829; d) M. Mag, S. Lücking, J. W. Engels, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1991, 19, 1437; e) R. G. Kuimelis, L. W. McLaughlin, *Nucl. Acids Res.* 1995, 23, 4753.
- [2] a) R. Zuckerman, D. Corey, P. Shultz, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1987, *15*, 5305; b) B. S. Sproat, B. Beijer, P. Rider,
 P. Neuner, *Nucleosides Nucleotides* 1988, *7*, 651; c) P. Li, P. P. Medon, D. C. Skingle, J. A. Lanser, R. H.
 Symons, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1987, *15*, 5275; d) R. Bischoff, J. M. Coull, F. E. Regnier, *Anal. Biochem.* 1987, *164*, 336.
- [3] A. B. Burgin Jr., B. N. Huizenga, H. A. Nash, Nucleic Acids Res. 1995, 23, 2973; V. N. Rybakov, M. I. Rivkin, V. P. Kumarev, Nucleic Acids Res. 1981, 9, 189; A. B. Burgin Jr., Methods Mol. Biol. 2000, 95, 119.
- [4] K. Jahn-Hofmann, J. W. Engels, Collect. Symposium Series 2002, 5, 335; K. Jahn-Hofmann, J. W. Engels, Nucleosides, Nucleotides, Nucleic Acids 2003, 5-8, 1479.
- [5] K. A. Henningfeld, T. Arslan, S. M. Hecht, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11701.
- [6] M. H. Caruthers, G. Geaton, J. V. Wu, W. Wiesler, Methods Enzymol. 1992, 211, 3.
- [7] E. J. Reist, A. Benitez, L. Goodman, J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 554.
- [8] J. P. H. Verheyden, J. G. Moffatt, J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 2289.
- [9] B. Greiner, G. Breipohl, E. Uhlmann, Helv. Chim. Acta 1999, 82, 2151.
- [10] J. H. Marriott, M. Mottahedeh, C. B. Reese, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 7485; J. H. Marriott, M. Mottahedeh, C. B. Reese, *Carbohydr. Res.* **1991**, *216*, 257; P. R. J. Gaffeney, L. Changsheng, M. V. Rao, C. B. Reese, J. G. Ward, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1991**, *6*, 1355.
- [11] K. J. Divakar, A. Mottoh, C. B. Reese, Y. S. Sanghvi, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 969.
- [12] J. W. Engels, A. Jäger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1982, 21, 912.
- [13] S. J. Wood, Microchem. J. 1993, 47, 330; R. Gambari, N. Bianchi, C. Rutigliano, E. Borsetti, M. Tomassetti, G. Feriotto, F. Zorzato, Int. J. of Oncology 1997, 11, 145.
- [14] http://www.biacore.com; K. Nagata, H. Handa, 'Real-Time Analysis of Biomolecular Interactions', Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [15] J. P. Horwitz, J. Chua, M. Noel, J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 2076.
- [16] B. Sprunkel, Ph.D. Thesis Johann-Wolfgang-Goethe Universität, Frankfurt am Main, 1994.

Received August 11, 2004