

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE () DIRECT

Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 61 (2005) 8138-8147

# ortho-Lithiation of S-tert-butyl-S-phenylsulfoximines. New route to enantiopure sulfinamides via a de-tert-butylation reaction

Stéphane Gaillard, Cyril Papamicaël,\* Georges Dupas,\* Francis Marsais and Vincent Levacher

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique Fine et Hétérocyclique UMR 6014 IRCOF, CNRS, Université et INSA de Rouen, BP 08, 76131 Mont-Saint-Aignan Cédex, France

Received 29 March 2005; revised 15 June 2005; accepted 16 June 2005

Available online 5 July 2005

Abstract—The sulfoximine group proved to be an excellent ortho-directing group in lithiation reactions. Several electrophiles were used to afford the corresponding ortho-functionalized aryl sulfoximines in good yields. The use of prochiral electrophiles lead to modest to good diastereoselectivities up to 95%. During this study, we observed a side reaction due to a S-de-tert-butylation. After optimization of this S-detert-butylation reaction, the corresponding enantiopure sulfinamides could be obtained in good yields. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The directed ortho-lithiation reaction is a very powerful method for the functionalization of aromatic compounds and synthesis of polysubstituted homoaromatic and heteroaromatic compounds.<sup>1</sup> In a previous paper,<sup>2</sup> we reported our first results concerning the sulfoximine<sup>3a</sup> group as an orthodirecting group<sup>3b</sup> for the lithiation reaction of homoaromatic systems. The reaction with *n*-butyllithium in THF at -78 °C afforded the corresponding *ortho*-lithiated species, which could be trapped with different electrophiles in good vields. Addition of benzaldehyde proceeded with modest diastereoselectivity (de = 52%). The aim of this paper is to provide full details concerning the scope and limitations of this new ortho-directing group and to improve the stereoselectivity in the case of prochiral electrophiles. During the course of this study, we observed a troubleshooting de-tert-butylation side-reaction leading to sulfinamides or in some cases to cyclic sulfinic esters. We found it interesting to define optimal conditions leading to enantiopure ortho-substituted sulfinamides.

## 2. Results and discussion

# 2.1. Synthesis of N-substituted sulfoximines 1a-i

Racemic sulfoximine 1c has been prepared as described in

our previous paper.<sup>2</sup> The starting material for the synthesis was rac-1a, which was converted quantitatively into 1b (Scheme 1). Repeated lateral lithiation of 1b followed by quenching with CH<sub>3</sub>I afforded 1c in 86% yield. We also turned our interest in the stereoselective preparation of sulfoximine (S)-1c by using the same procedure. For that purpose, (S)-S-phenyl-S-methylsulfoximine ((S)-1a) was prepared in 80% optical purity by resolution of the racemic  $(\pm)$ -1a according to a published procedure.<sup>4</sup> In order to study the influence of the N-substituent sulfoximine on the ortho-lithiation properties, we first prepared a series of *N*-functionalized sulfoximines **1d–i** (Table 1). *N*-silylation could be achieved by reacting  $1c^2$  with HMDS leading to compound 1d in a quantitative yield (Table 1, entry 1). First attempts to alkylate sulfoximine 1c in the presence of



Scheme 1. (a) HMDS (5 equiv), 85 °C, 40 min (100%); (b) n-BuLi, THF, 0 °C then CH<sub>3</sub>I, 30 min, 20 °C repeated twice (86%); (c) (1S)-(+)camphorsulfonic acid, acetone.4

Keywords: Sulfoximine; Lithiation; Sulfinamide; Asymmetric synthesis.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors. Tel.: +33 235 522 484; fax: +33 235 522 962; e-mail addresses: cyril.papamicael@insa-rouen.fr; georges.dupas@insa-rouen.fr

<sup>0040-4020/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2005.06.047

Table 1. N-functionalization of sulfoximine 1c and (S)-1c

	ON-H 1c or (S)-1c		$\overset{N-R_1}{\longleftarrow}$ 1d-i or (S)-1e	
Entry	Conditions	Product	R <sub>1</sub>	Yield%
1	HMDS (5 equiv), 85 °C, 40 min (100%)	1d	Si(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	100
2	KH (1 equiv), DME, 20 °C, 1 h then CH <sub>3</sub> I, PTC, 20 °C, 12 h	1e	CH <sub>3</sub>	86
3	KH (1 equiv), DME, 20 °C, 1 h then CH <sub>3</sub> I, PTC, 20 °C, 12 h	(S)-1e	CH <sub>3</sub>	86
4	KH (1 equiv), DME, 20 °C, 1 h then Br-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub> , PTC, 20 °C, 12 h	1f	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub>	92
5	KH (1 equiv), DME, 20 °C, 1 h then Br–CH <sub>2</sub> –CH==CH <sub>2</sub> , PTC, 20 °C, 12 h	1g	CH <sub>2</sub> -CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	95
6	KH (1 equiv), DME, 20 °C, 1 h then Boc <sub>2</sub> O, PTC, 20 °C, 12 h	1h	COO'Bu	63
7	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> COCl, Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> , CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> , 20 °C, 2 h	1i	CO'Bu	44

sodium hydride afforded low yields, most likely due to steric hindrance of the *tert*-butyl group and the poor nucleophilicity of the sulfoximine nitrogen. Finally, sulfoximine **1c** could be alkylated with success according to a literature procedure by deprotonation with potassium hydride in DME<sup>5</sup> in the presence of tetrabutylammonium bromide and quenching with various electrophiles to afford the *N*-substituted sulfoximines **1e–h** in good yields (Table 1, entries 2, 4–6). *tert*-Butylsulfoximine<sup>2</sup> (*S*)-**1e** (Table 1, entry 3) was obtained without erosion of the optical purity (ee = 80%) by using this procedure. Treatment of sulfoximine **1c** with pivaloyl chloride and sodium carbonate in a biphasic mixture of water and dichloromethane afforded, in a moderate yield, the instable<sup>6</sup> crude *N*-pivaloyl sulfoximine **1i** (Table 1, entry 7).

## 2.2. Metalation reaction of sulfoximines 1c-g

Preliminary experiments using various conditions have been described before by us.<sup>2</sup> We summarize here the main results. With the *N*-unprotected sulfoximine **1c**, 2 equiv of *n*-BuLi followed by quenching with methyl iodide or methanol-*d* afforded, respectively, products **2a** and **2b** in low yields (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). To examine the scope of this reaction with several other electrophiles, we then studied the *ortho*-functionalization of the *N*-protected sulfoximines **1d**–**g** (Table 2, entries 3–18). *ortho*-Lithiation of the *N*-trimethylsilyl sulfoximine **1d** with *n*-BuLi at -78 °C is very fast and, the intermediate lithio species was quenched after 10 min with methanol-*d* to afford compound **2f** in 95% yield (Table 2, entry 7). However, a small amount

Table 2. Metalation reaction of sulfoximines 1c-g and quenching with electrophiles

Entry	Sulfoximine	R <sub>1</sub>	Electrophile	Е	R <sub>2</sub>	Product	Yield%
1	1c	Н	CH <sub>3</sub> I	CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	2a	50
2	1c	Н	CH <sub>3</sub> OD	D	Н	2b	60
3	1d	Si(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> I	CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	2a	90
4	1d	Si(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	$(CH_3)_2S_2$	SCH <sub>3</sub>	Н	2c	95
5	1d	Si(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	$I_2$	Ι	Н	2d	75
6	1d	Si(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	$C_2Cl_6$	Cl	Н	2e	76
7	1d	Si(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> OD	D	Si(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	2f	95 <sup>a</sup>
8	1d	Si(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	_	Si(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	Н	2g	95
9	1e	CH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> I	CH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub>	2h	95
10	1e	CH <sub>3</sub>	$(CH_3)_2S_2$	SCH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub>	2i	78
11	1e	CH <sub>3</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Ι	CH <sub>3</sub>	$2j + 3a^{b}$	_
12	1e	CH <sub>3</sub>	$C_2Cl_6$	C1	CH <sub>3</sub>	$2\mathbf{k} + 3\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{b}}$	_
13	1e	CH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> OD	D	CH <sub>3</sub>	21	92 <sup>a</sup>
14	1f	(CH <sub>2</sub> )OCH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> I	CH <sub>3</sub>	(CH <sub>2</sub> )OCH <sub>3</sub>	2m	55
15	1f	(CH <sub>2</sub> )OCH <sub>3</sub>	$(CH_3)_2S_2$	SCH <sub>3</sub>	(CH <sub>2</sub> )OCH <sub>3</sub>	2n	65
16	1g	$CH_2CH=CH_2$	CH <sub>3</sub> I	CH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	20	96
17	1g	$CH_2CH=CH_2$	$(CH_3)_2S_2$	SCH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	2p	90
18	1g	CH <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> OD	D	CH <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	$2\mathbf{q}$	95 <sup>a</sup>

(a) Reaction performed with *n*-BuLi (1.2 equiv), THF, -78 °C (2 equiv of *n*-BuLi with 1c to obtain 2a, 2b; 0 °C with 1d to obtain 2g), 10 min then electrophile, 2 h (1 h for 1d), -78 °C.

<sup>a</sup> Conversion determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

<sup>b</sup> Conversion into the sulfinamide occured during the purification.

of compound 2g corresponding to the migration of the trimethylsilyl group could be isolated. When the *ortho*-lithiated species was allowed to reach 0 °C, compound 2g was obtained in 95% yield (Table 2, entry 8).

It is interesting to note that this migration also occurred when LDA is used as lithiating agent. When a lithium amide is employed, the formation of 2g probably proceeded via an equilibrium between the starting sulfoximine 1d and the *ortho*-lithiated sulfoximine, which is trapped by the trimethylsilyl group shifting the equilibrium to the formation of 2g (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Lithiation of *N*-trimethylsilysulfoximine 1d: Path A: Non-reversible conditions (*n*-BuLi). Path B: Reversible conditions (LDA).

Trapping of the intermediate lithio species obtained from 1d-g with methyl iodide and dimethyl disulfide, afforded the corresponding ortho-substituted sulfoximines in good yields (Table 2, respectively, entries 3, 9, 14, and 16 and entries 4, 10, 15, and 17). These last results clearly show that N-protected sulfoximines 1d-g are superior to the N-unprotected sulfoximine 1c to provide satisfactory yields. Use of iodine or hexachloroethane as electrophiles proceeded smoothly with the N-silylated sulfoximine 1d (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). With sulfoximine 1e, an unseparable mixture of orthosubstituted sulfoximines 2j,k and their corresponding sulfinamides **3a**,**b** was observed (Table 2, entries 11 and 12). Optimization of this reaction giving rise to the exclusive formation of sulfinamides will be further described in this paper.

#### 2.3. Quenching with prochiral electrophiles

In order to investigate the potential of the chiral sulfoximine

Table 3. Metalation reaction of sulfoximine 1d followed by trapping with prochiral electrophiles

ortho-directing group as a new synthetic tool for asymmetric induction, we decided to test various prochiral electrophiles. The first experiments were carried out with sulfoximine 1d in THF and various aldehydes (Table 3). Acetaldehyde and pivaldehyde (Table 3, entries 1 and 7) gave satisfactory yields and medium stereocontrol, while no stereocontrol was obtained with benzaldehyde (Table 3, entry 2). Decreasing the solvent polarity by means of toluene in the presence of sec-BuLi/TMEDA allowed us to improve somewhat the level of stereocontrol (de = 50%) with benzaldehyde (Table 3, entry 6). Under the same conditions, N-methylsulfoximine 1e afforded along with the desired ortho-functionalized sulfoximines 2u,v, sulfinic esters 4a-c (Table 4). These by-products result from a de-tert-butylation reaction followed by an intramolecular cyclisation of the resultant sulfinamide with the hydroxy group. With acetaldehyde, the sulfinic ester 4a is the sole product observed with modest diastereoselectivity (Table 4, entry 1). In the case of benzaldehyde, alcohol 2u was recovered quantitatively in the crude reaction mixture, but flash chromatography led to the sulfinic ester 4b in a quantitative yield and once again with a modest diastereoisomeric excess (Table 4, entry 2). Pivaldehyde gave a 1/1 mixture of ortho-substituted sulfoximine 2v and sulfinic ester 4c in moderate yields and excellent stereocontrol in both cases (Table 4, entry 3). It is interesting to point out that this de-tertbutylation reaction seems to be easier with ortho-substituted sulfoximines. Indeed, no de-tert-butylation reaction is detected during flash chromatography of the starting material 1e. In spite of these interesting results in terms of stereocontrol, the de-tert-butylation reaction limits the yields and the reproducibility of this ortho-functionalization of aryl-sulfoximines. Since we could not get away from this side reaction, we optimized the conditions to obtain a clean stereoselective de-tert-butylation reaction to improve the overall yield of this process. Given the impressive applications of chiral sulfinamides reported these last years in literature,<sup>7</sup> efficient stereoselective routes to new ortho-substituted sulfinamides may be highly desirable.

# 2.4. Optimization of the de-tert-butylation reaction

Many papers<sup>8–11</sup> report the conversion of sulfoximines into sulfinamides. These include the preparation of epoxides and cyclopropanes from  $\beta$ -hydrosulfoximines<sup>10</sup> and  $\gamma$ -ketosulfoximines,<sup>8b</sup> respectively, which is accompanied in both cases by the formation of sulfinamides (Scheme 3a



Entry	Conditions	Product	Yield%	de%
1	<i>n</i> -BuLi (1.2 equiv), THF, $-78$ °C, 10 min then CH <sub>3</sub> CHO, $-78$ °C, 1 h	2r	76	50
2	<i>n</i> -BuLi (1.2 equiv), THF, $-78$ °C, 10 min then PhCHO, $-78$ °C, 1 h	2s	60	0
3	<i>n</i> -BuLi (1.2 equiv), TMEDA, THF, -78 °C, 10 min then PhCHO, -78 °C, 1 h	2s	60	25
4	<i>n</i> -BuLi (1.2 equiv), TMEDA, ether, -78 °C, 10 min then PhCHO, -78 °C, 1 h	2s	60	40
5	<i>n</i> -BuLi (1.2 equiv), TMEDA, toluene, -78 °C, 10 min then PhCHO, -78 °C, 1 h	2s	60	40
6	sec-BuLi (1.2 equiv), TMEDA, toluene, -78 °C, 10 min then PhCHO, -78 °C, 1 h	2s	60	50
7	<i>n</i> -BuLi (1.2 equiv), THF, -78 °C, 10 min then 'BuCHO, -78 °C, 1 h	2t	71	67

Table 4. Quenching of the ortho-lithiated N-methylsulfoximine 1e with prochiral electrophiles



Entry	Electrophile	Product	Yield%	de% <sup>a</sup>	Product	Yield%	de% <sup>b</sup>
1 2 3	CH <sub>3</sub> CHO PhCHO <sup>t</sup> BuCHO	 2u 2v	 100 <sup>c</sup> 25	10 95	4a 4b 4c	100 100 <sup>c</sup> 25	13 10 95

(a) Reaction performed with *n*-BuLi (1.2 equiv), THF, -78 °C, 10 min, then electrophile, -78 °C, 2 h.

<sup>a</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR from the crude product.

<sup>b</sup> After purification on silica gel.

<sup>c</sup> Before purification.

and b). More closely related to our case, is the  $\beta$ -elimination of benzothiazines reported by Harmata<sup>11</sup> in the presence of KDMSO (Scheme 3c). The driving force of this rearrangement seems to be the apparition of an anion at the  $\beta$ - or  $\gamma$ -position related to the sulphur atom generated by the use of a base. A second driving force might also appear after complexation of the lone pair of the nitrogen atom by an

#### a) Epoxide and sulfinamide preparation from sulfoximine<sup>10</sup>



b) Cyclopropane and sulfinamide prepared from a sulfoximine<sup>8b</sup>



**Scheme 3.** Conversion of sulfoximines into sulfinamides. (a) Epoxide and sulfinamide preparation from sulfoximine;<sup>10</sup> (b) Cyclopropane and sulfinamide prepared from a sulfoximine;<sup>8b</sup> (c)  $\beta$ -elimination of a benzothiazine.<sup>11</sup>

electron poor species (proton or Lewis acid).<sup>12</sup> This fact would explain the observed instability of 1i bearing an electron withdrawing group on the nitrogen atom.<sup>6</sup> Sulfoximine 1e was first subjected to Harmata conditions<sup>10</sup> using KDMSO at 50 °C. The racemic sulfinamide 3c was obtained in 70% yield (Table 5, entry 1). A weaker base such as potassium tert-butoxide yielded the starting sulfoximine 1e besides traces of the desired sulfinamide **3c**. During the course of our study, Bolm et al.<sup>13</sup> described the conversion of  $C_2$  symmetric bis(sulfoximines) into bis(sulfinamides) by using diborane. These results prompted us to test these new conditions with the sulfoximine 1e (Table 5, entry 2). A clean de-tert-butylation reaction was achieved with 2 equiv of  $BH_3$  affording sulfinamide 3c in 76% yield. The formation of sulfinamide 3c could be explained by both the Lewis acid property and the hydride donor ability of diborane.

We also tried other hydride donors such as lithium tetrahydruroaluminate or sodium cyanoborohydride (Table 5, entries 3–7). The best result was obtained with 3 equiv of lithium tetrahydruroaluminate in THF affording **3c** in 60% yield (Table 5, entry 7) whereas other reducing agents gave only poor yields. Finally, the de-*tert*-butylation reaction was studied in the presence of Brönsted or Lewis acids. Strong Brönsted acids (2 M hydrochloric acid or Amberlyst<sup>®</sup> 15) gave rise to degradation products. Similarly, zinc or magnesium bromides and copper(II) chloride (Table 5, entries 8–10) afforded sulfinamide **3c** in a poor yield together with degradation products while magnesium perchlorate in THF gave sulfinamide **3c** in 76% yield (Table 5, entry 12).

Having at hand good conditions  $(BH_3 \text{ or } Mg(ClO_4)_2)$  to convert *tert*-butyl-arylsulfoximines to racemic arylsulfinamides, we focused then our attention on the stereoselectivity of this de-*tert*-butylation reaction. The sulfinamide (*S*)-**3c** was obtained using diborane or magnesium perchlorate in 76% yield, and this, without detectable loss in optical purity (ee = 80%). Comparison of the optical rotation with literature data<sup>14</sup> allowed us to assign the *S*-absolute configuration showing that the de-*tert*-butylation reaction proceeded with complete retention of configuration.

Та	ble	5.	Stud	ly c	of	the	de-tert	-buty	lation	reacti	on of	16	e to	obtain	sult	finamid	ie 3	ю
				~														



Entry	Conditions	Solvent	Yield%
1	KDMSO (2 equiv), 30 min, 50 °C	DMSO	$70^{\mathrm{a}}$
2	BH <sub>3</sub> (2 equiv), 12 h, 20 °C	THF	76 <sup>a</sup>
3	NaBH <sub>4</sub> (1 equiv), 5 days, 20 °C	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	5 <sup>b</sup>
4	NaBH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 equiv), 5 days, 20 °C	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	5 <sup>b</sup>
5	LiAlH <sub>4</sub> (1 equiv), 5 days, 20 °C	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	10 <sup>b</sup>
6	LiAlH <sub>4</sub> (1 equiv), 24 h, 20 °C	THF	20 <sup>b</sup>
7	LiAlH <sub>4</sub> (3 equiv), 24 h, 20 °C	THF	60 <sup>b</sup>
8	ZnBr <sub>2</sub> (1 equiv), 5 days, 20 °C	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	35 <sup>b,c</sup>
9	MgBr <sub>2</sub> (1 equiv), 5 days, 20 °C	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	43 <sup>b,c</sup>
10	CuCl <sub>2</sub> (1 equiv), 5 days, 20 °C	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	c
11	$Mg(ClO_4)_2$ (1 equiv), 24 h, 20 °C	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	c
12	Mg(ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (1 equiv), 24 h, 20 °C	THF	84 <sup>b</sup> (76 <sup>a</sup> )

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield.

<sup>b</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

<sup>c</sup> Degradation.

# 2.5. Optimization of the *ortho*-functionalization/de-*tert*-butylation sequence

We next examined various conditions in order to develop a procedure affording the *ortho*-substituted sulfinamides from sulfoximines **1d**,**e**. The best results were obtained by treating the residue of the *ortho*-lithiation step in THF with magnesium perchlorate (Scheme 4). According to this procedure, the sulfinamides **3a**,**b**,**d**–**f** were obtained in fair to good yields (Table 6). In the case of prochiral electrophiles, the sulfinic esters **4a** and **4c**,**d** were obtained in moderate yields and 28–95% diastereoisomeric excess (Scheme 4, Table 7). At this stage of the study, it seems difficult to provide additional information on the origin of the stereoselectivity. Curiously, no stereocontrol was observed with benzaldehyde (Table 7, entry 2).



Scheme 4. (a) *n*-BuLi (1.5 equiv), THF, -78 °C, 10 min then electrophile (Table 6), 2 h, 0 °C and quenching with NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, extraction, evaporation then Mg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1 equiv), THF, 24 h (66 to 95%); (b) *n*-BuLi (1.5 equiv), THF, -78 °C, 10 min then aldehyde (Table 7), 2 h, -78 °C and quenching with NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, extraction, evaporation then Mg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1 equiv), THF followed for **4b–d** by MgBr<sub>2</sub> (1 equiv) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (35 to 58%).

#### 3. Conclusion

The sulfoximine group has shown to be an excellent *ortho*directing group in lithiation reactions. Several electrophiles were used to afford the corresponding *ortho*-functionalized arylsulfoximines in good yields. We had better to use *N*-substituted sulfoximines. The use of prochiral electrophiles afford modest to good diastereoselectivities up to 95%. During this study, we observed a side reaction due to a *S*-de-*tert*-butylation. This side *S*-de-*tert*-butylation reaction has been optimized and allowed us to open a new route to *ortho*-substituted enantiopure sulfinamides and sulfinic esters.

Table 6. ortho-Functionalization/de-tert-butylation sequence

Entry	Sulfoximine	Electrophile	Product	Yield%
1	1d	a	3d	71
2	1e	$I_2$	3a	80
3	1e	$C_2Cl_6$	3b	82
4	1e	CH <sub>3</sub> OD	3e	95 <sup>b</sup>
5	1e	$(CH_3)_2S_2$	3f	66

<sup>a</sup> The electrophile is sulfoximine **1d** itself.

<sup>b</sup> Conversion determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

Table 7. Synthesis of sulfinic esters 4a-d

Entry	Electrophile	Product	Yield%	de%
1	CH <sub>3</sub> CHO	<b>4</b> a	58	28
2	PhCHO	4b	45	0
3	<sup>t</sup> BuCHO	<b>4</b> c	40	>95
4	<i>i</i> -PrCH <sub>2</sub> CHO	<b>4d</b>	35	48

#### 4. Experimental

#### 4.1. General details

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Beckmann IR 4250 spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a

200 or 300 MHz Bruker apparatus and calibrated with the residual undeuterated solvent. Spectra were recorded in deuteriochloroform. Chemicals were purchased from Aldrich Co. and Janssen Co. and, unless otherwise stated, were used without further purification. Tetrahydrofuran was distilled from sodium-benzophenone ketyl. Flash chromatography was performed with silica gel 60 (70–230 mesh from Merck) and monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) with silica plates (Merck, Kieselgel 60 F254).

4.1.1. S-Methyl-S-phenylsulfoximine (1a). In a 500 mL round-bottomed flask were introduced NaN<sub>3</sub> (4.8 g, 73.7 mmol), CHCl<sub>3</sub> (20 mL) and methyl-phenylsulfoxide<sup>15</sup> (9.4 g, 67 mmol) previously dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (60 mL). The mixture was cooled at 0 °C and concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (17.5 mL) was added dropwise. The solution was stirred and heated at 45 °C for 5 h. After cooling at 0 °C, 150 mL of water were added and stirring was continued until dissolution is complete. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried on MgSO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt) to yield 8.1 g (78%) of **1a** as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 2.70 (br s, 1H), 3.10 (s, 3H), 7.60 (m, 3H), 8.06 (d, J= 8 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 46.5, 128.0, 129.7, 133.5, 143.7. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): ν= 3269, 1645, 1445, 1221, 1099. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>9</sub>NOS: C, 54.17; H, 5.84; N, 9.02; S, 20.66. Found: C, 54.40; H, 5.72; N, 9.02; S, 20.31. Conditions for the separation of the two enantiomers: CHIRALCEL OJ. Eluent: heptane/isopropanol 90:10. Temperature: 18 °C.  $\lambda = 230$  nm, flow rate: 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Retention times: 24 min (R) and 30 min (S).

**4.1.2.** *N*-**TrimethylsilyI-S-methyl-S-phenylsulfoximine** (**1b**). In a 250 mL round-bottomed flask were introduced the sulfoximine **1a** (3.4 g, 22.0 mmol) and HMDS (23.3 mL, 110 mmol). The mixture was heated at 85 °C for 40 min under a vigorous stirring. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The compound **1b** was obtained as a yellow oil in a quantitative yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.10 (s, 9H), 3.00 (s, 3H), 7.50 (m, 3H), 8.00 (d, *J*=8 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 2.7, 49.7, 127.4, 129.3, 132.7, 145.2. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  $\nu$ = 3270, 2955, 1446, 1320, 1285, 1247, 1228, 1151, 1089. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NOSSi: C, 52.82; H, 7.54; N, 6.16; S, 14.10. Found: C, 52.83; H, 7.26; N, 5.98; S, 14.16.

**4.1.3.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*S*-phenylsulfoximine (1c). To a solution of sulfoximine **1b** (4.98 g, 21.9 mmol) in anhydrous THF (50 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere at -0 °C, a 2.5 M solution of *n*-BuLi in hexanes (8.76 mL, 21.9 mmol) was slowly added. Then, methyl iodide (1.36 mL, 21.9 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at 20 °C. The solution was cooled at 0 °C and the same procedure was repeated two more times. Hydrolysis was carried out with MeOH (20 mL) and stirred for an additional 30 min. So, a saturated aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (20 mL) and water (20 mL) were added. The mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3×100 mL). The collected organic layers were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1:1).

The sulfoximine **1c** was obtained as a yellow oil (3.72 g, 86%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.34 (s, 9H), 2.50 (br s, 1H), 7.54 (m, 3H), 7.94 (d, J=8 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  24.4, 60.7, 128.9, 131.1, 133.3, 138.2. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  $\nu=3256$ , 2975, 1476, 1448, 1366, 1210, 1107, 1075. MS (IC<sup>+</sup>, isobutane, m/z): M+H<sup>+</sup>=198. Conditions for the separation of the two enantiomers: CHIRALCEL OJ. Eluent: heptane/isopropanol 90:10. Temperature: 19 °C.  $\lambda=220$  nm, flow rate: 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Retention times: 13 and 16 min.

**4.1.4.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*N*-trimethylsilyl-*S*-phenylsulfoximine (1d). As described for 1b starting from sulfoximine 1c (2.0 g, 10.1 mmol) and HMDS (10.7 mL, 50.5 mmol). The compound 1d was obtained as a yellow oil in a quantitative yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.08 (s, 9H), 1.25 (s, 9H), 7.51 (m, 3H), 7.85 (d, *J*=6 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.6, 23.8, 60.9, 128.2, 130.4, 132.1, 140.1. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  $\nu$ =3066, 2955, 2897, 1445, 1286, 1137, 1083, 837, 756, 694, 633. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>23</sub>. NOSSi: C, 57.94; H, 8.60; N, 5.20; S, 11.90. Found: C, 58.19; H, 8.31; N, 5.07; S, 12.27.

4.1.5. S-tert-Butvl-N-methyl-S-phenylsulfoximine (1e). In a 250 mL round-bottomed flask were introduced DME (40 mL) and sodium hydride (30% dispersion in mineral oil, 2.04 g, 15.2 mmol). A solution of S-phenyl-S-tert-butylsulfoximine (1c) (3.0 g, 15.2 mmol) in DME (20 mL) was then added dropwise in the sodium hydride solution. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 20 °C. Methyl iodide (4.7 mL, 76 mmol) was added and the solution stirred for 12 h at 20 °C. The mixture was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (100 mL), and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $(3 \times 100 \text{ mL})$ . The collected organic layers were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1:1). The sulfoximine 1e was obtained as a white solid (2.76 g, 86%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.31 (s, 9H), 2.64 (s, 3H), 7.55 (m, 3H), 7.75 (d, J=8 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 24.4, 30.2, 60.3, 129.2, 132.1, 133.0, 134.3. IR (cm<sup>-</sup> KBr):  $\nu = 1446$ , 1232, 1130, 1101, 1068. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NOS: C, 62.52; H, 8.05; N, 6.63; S, 15.17. Found: C, 62.46; H, 7.98; N, 6.72; S, 15.10. Conditions for the separation of the two enantiomers: CHIRALCEL OJ. Eluent: heptane/isopropanol 95:5. Temperature: 19 °C.  $\lambda = 220$  nm, flow rate: 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Retention times: 6 and 9 min.

**4.1.6.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*N*-2-methoxyethyl-*S*-phenylsulfoximine (1f). In a 250 mL round-bottomed flask were introduced DME (30 mL) and potassium hydride (30% dispersion in mineral oil, 1.35 g, 10.1 mmol). A solution of *S*-phenyl-*S-tert*-butylsulfoximine (1c) (2.0 g, 10.1 mmol) in DME (15 mL) was then added dropwise in the potassium hydride solution. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 20 °C. Tetrabutylammonium bromide (150 mg, 0.5 mmol) and 2-bromoethylmethylether (1.9 mL, 20.2 mmol) were then added and the resulting mixture was stirred for 12 h. The mixture was hydrolyzed with a saturated aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (100 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3×100 mL). The work-up was the same as above. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/

cyclohexane 1:2). Product **1f** was obtained as a colorless oil (2.37 g, 92%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.31 (s, 9H), 3.12 (m, 2H), 3.31 (s, 3H), 3.49 (m, 2H), 7.50 (m, 3H), 7.80 (d, *J*=8.0 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  24.4, 43.8, 59.2, 60.4, 75.0, 129.1, 132.1, 133.0, 135.1. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 3220, 2927, 1444, 1123, 1087, 1055. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S: C, 61.14; H, 8.29; N, 5.48; S, 12.56. Found: C, 60.86; H, 8.34; N, 5.68; S, 12.46.

4.1.7. N-Allyl-S-tert-butyl-S-phenylsulfoximine (1g). As above reaction of S-phenyl-S-tert-butylsulfoximine (1c) (2 g, 10.1 mmol) in DME (20 mL), potassium hydride (30% dispersion in mineral oil, 1.35 g, 10.1 mmol) in DME (30 mL), tetrabutylammonium bromide (150 mg, 0.5 mmol) and allyl bromide (1.7 mL, 20.2 mmol) afforded after flash chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1:2) product 1g as a colorless oil (2.27 g, 95%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 1.34 \text{ (s, 9H)}, 3.45 \text{ (ddt, } J = 16, 5, 2 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 3.64 (ddt, J = 16, 5, 2 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (dq, J = 10, 2 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dq, J=17, 2 Hz, 1H), 5.96 (dq, J=17, 5 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (m, 3H), 7.76 (d, J=8 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  24.4, 46.3, 60.6, 114.0, 129.1, 132.0, 133.0, 135.0, 138.9. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 2976, 1444, 1266, 1214, 1133, 1083. Anal. Calcd for C13H19NOS: C, 65.78; H, 8.01; N, 5.90; S, 13.51. Found: C, 65.93; H, 8.40; N, 6.07; S, 13.57.

**4.1.8.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*N-tert*-butyloxycarbonyl-*S*-phenylsulfoximine (1h). As above, reaction of *S*-phenyl-*S-tert*-butylsulfoximine (1c) (1.0 g, 5 mmol) in DME (10 mL), potassium hydride (30% dispersion in mineral oil, 0.70 g, 5.2 mmol) in DME (20 mL), tetrabutylammonium bromide (75 mg, 0.25 mmol) and di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate (2.18 g, 10 mmol) afforded, after purification by chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:2), the product **1h** as a sticky colorless oil (0.95 g, 63%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.23 (s, 9H), 1.37 (s, 9H), 7.56 (m, 3H), 7.83 (d, J=8 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  23.7, 28.3, 61.6, 80.4, 129.3, 130.6, 133.7, 134.7, 158.4. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 2977, 1693, 1668, 1274, 1154. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>S: C, 60.58; H, 7.74; N, 4.71; S, 10.78. Found: C, 60.56; H, 7.34; N, 4.68; S, 10.46.

# 4.2. General procedure A for the metalation reaction of compounds 1d-g

To a cooled  $(-78 \,^\circ \text{C})$  solution of the corresponding Nprotected-S-phenyl-S-tert-butylsulfoximine 1d-g (0.71 mmol) in anhydrous THF (10 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere was added a 2.5 M solution in hexanes of n-BuLi (0.34 mL, 0.85 mmol) while maintaining the temperature at -78 °C. The mixture was stirred for 10 min at this temperature before addition of the appropriate electrophile. The resulting solution was then stirred for 1 h at -78 °C with 1d and 2 h with 1e-g. The reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (10 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ . The organic layers were dried on MgSO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residues obtained from **1e-g** were purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/ cyclohexane) whereas the oil obtained from 1d was dissolved in methanol and stirred for 1 h at 20 °C. Methanol was removed under reduced pressure and the product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt).

**4.2.1.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*S*-(2-tolyl)sulfoximine (2a). According to the general procedure A from 1d, the electrophile was MeI (0.22 mL, 3.55 mmol). The yield was 0.135 g (90%) of an oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.33 (s, 9H), 2.74 (s, 3H), 7.30–7.48 (m, 3H), 8.00 (d, *J*=11 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  22.3, 24.4, 62.1, 126.4, 133.3, 133.6, 134.0, 136.8, 141.0. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  $\nu$ =3200, 2974, 2931, 1478, 1459, 1221, 1189, 1065, 976, 775. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NOS: C, 62.52; H, 8.11; N, 6.63; S, 13.51. Found: C, 62.15; H, 8.10; N, 6.67; S, 13.37.

**4.2.2.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*S*-(2-methylthiophenyl)sulfoximine (2c). According to the general procedure A from 1d, the electrophile was Me<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (0.32 mL, 3.55 mmol). The yield was 0.164 g (95%) of an oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.42 (s, 9H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.50 (t, *J*=11 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, *J*=11 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  15.9, 24.2, 62.8, 123.6, 125.1, 133.0, 134.4, 134.5, 143.2. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  $\nu$ =3273, 2968, 2919, 1447, 1432, 1217, 1072, 971, 774. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NOS<sub>2</sub>: 243.0752. Found: 243.0748.

**4.2.3.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*S*-(2-iodophenyl)sulfoximine (2d). According to the general procedure A from 1d, the electrophile was I<sub>2</sub> (0.432 g, 1.7 mmol). The yield was 0.172 g (75%) of a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.44 (s, 9H), 7.16 (t, *J*=11 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (t, *J*=11 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, *J*=11 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (d, *J*=11 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  27.0, 54.9, 93.9, 125.7, 129.0, 132.5, 140.1, 147.1. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub>INOS: 322.9841. Found: 322.9842.

**4.2.4.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*S*-(2-chlorophenyl)sulfoximine (2e). According to the general procedure A from 1d, the electrophile was  $C_2Cl_6$  (0.113 mL, 0.99 mmol). Yield 0.125 g (76%) of a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.39 (s, 9H), 7.40 (m, 3H), 8.13 (d, *J*=11 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  24.1, 62.5, 126.7, 132.7, 133.9, 134.7, 135.2, 136.0. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for  $C_{10}H_{14}$ CINOS: 231.0485. Found: 231.0480.

**4.2.5.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*N*-trimethylsilyl-*S*-(2-<sup>2</sup>*H*-phenyl)sulfoximine (2f) According to the general procedure A from 1d, the electrophile was MeOD (0.144 mL, 3.55 mmol). No methanolysis at the end of the reaction. The yield was 95% determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.11 (s, 9H), 1.28 (s, 9H), 7.52 (m, 3H), 7.90 (d, *J*=8 Hz, 1H).

**4.2.6.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*S*-(2-trimethylsilylphenyl)-sulfoximine (2g). In a round-bottomed flask flushed with nitrogen, a solution of *N*-trimethylsilyl-*S*-phenyl-*S*-tert-butylsulfoximine (1d) (0.2 g, 0.74 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was cooled at -78 °C. A 2.5 M solution in hexanes of *n*-BuLi (0.35 mL, 0.87 mmol) was then added. The mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C and stirred for 1 h. Hydrolysis was carried out with a saturated aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (5 mL) and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (3× 5 mL). The yield was 0.19 g (95%) of 2g as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.41 (s, 9H), 1.35 (s, 9H), 7.54 (m, 2H), 7.85 (d, *J*=9 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, *J*=9 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.5, 24.7, 61.5, 129.0, 131.8, 131.9, 136.9, 143.0, 143.7. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  $\nu$ =3333, 3256, 2955, 1245, 1214, 1109, 966, 846, 760. Anal. Calcd for

C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NOSSi: C, 57.94; H, 8.60; N, 5.20; S, 11.90. Found: C, 58.03; H, 8.40; N, 5.07; S, 12.37.

**4.2.7.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*N*-methyl-*S*-(2-tolyl)sulfoximine (2h). According to the general procedure A from 1e, the electrophile was MeI (88  $\mu$ L, 1.42 mmol). The yield was 0.152 g (95%) of a yellow solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.32 (s, 9H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 2.69 (s, 3H) 7.29 (m, 2H), 7.42 (t, *J*=8 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, *J*=8 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  24.3, 30.0, 60.3, 61.7, 126.3, 128.7, 132.6, 134.0, 134.6, 142.0. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NOS: C, 63.96; H, 8.50; N, 6.22; S, 14.23. Found: C, 63.93; H, 8.39; N, 6.07; S, 14.57.

**4.2.8.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*N*-methyl-*S*-(2-methylthiophenyl)sulfoximine (2i). According to the general procedure A from **1e**, the electrophile was Me<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (0.32 mL, 3.55 mmol). The yield was 0.142 g (78%) of a yellow solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.36 (s, 9H), 2.40 (s,3H), 2.68 (s, 3H), 7.18 (t, *J*=7 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J*=8 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (t, *J*=7 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, *J*=8 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  15.8, 24.3, 29.9, 62.7, 123.5, 125.3, 130.9, 132.8, 135.2, 144.4. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NOS<sub>2</sub>: 257.0908. Found: 257.0904.

**4.2.9.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*N*-(2-methoxyethyl)-*S*-(2-tolyl)sulfoximine (2m). According to the general procedure A from 1f, the electrophile was MeI (88 µL, 1.42 mmol). The yield was 0.105 g (55%) of an oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.32 (s, 9H), 2.69 (s, 3H), 3.00–3.20 (m, 2H), 3.32 (s, 3H), 3.49 (m, 2H), 7.28 (m, 1H), 7.41 (t, *J*=7 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (m, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J*=7 Hz, 1H). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  $\nu$ =2974, 2928, 1455, 1245, 1191, 1124, 763. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  21.5, 24.0, 43.4, 58.8, 61.5, 74.6, 125.9, 132.6, 133.2, 133.5, 134.2, 141.7. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S: C, 62.42; H, 8.61; N, 5.20; S, 11.90. Found: C, 62.59; H, 8.41; N, 5.07; S, 12.27.

**4.2.10.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*N*-(2-methoxyethyl)-*S*-(2-methylthiophenyl)sulfoximine (2n). According to the general procedure A from 1f, the electrophile was Me<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (0.32 mL, 3.55 mmol). The yield was 0.14 g (65%) of an oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.36 (s, 9H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 3.04–3.16 (m, 2H), 3.32 (s, 3H), 3.50 (m, 2H), 7.22 (m, 1H), 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.81 (d, *J*=7 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  15.7, 24.1, 43.4, 58.8, 62.7, 74.4, 123.4, 125.2, 131.4, 132.7, 134.9, 144.3. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  $\nu$ =2923, 2872, 1436, 1246, 1120, 768. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: 301.1170. Found: 301.1166.

**4.2.11.** *N*-Allyl-*S*-(2-tolyl)-*S*-tert-butylsulfoximine (20). According to the general procedure A from 1g, the electrophile was MeI (88  $\mu$ L, 1.42 mmol). The yield was 0.171 g (96%) of a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.36 (s, 9H), 2.69 (s, 3H), 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.65 (m, 1H), 5.04 (d, *J*=10 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (d, *J*=17 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (m, 1H), 7.29 (m, 2H), 7.42 (t, *J*=7 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J*=7 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  21.6, 24.1, 46.0, 61.8, 113.7, 126.0, 132.7, 133.1, 133.6, 134.1, 138.7, 141.9. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  $\nu$ =3057, 2976, 2930, 1455, 1270, 1216, 1118, 762. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NOS: C, 66.89; H, 8.42; N, 5.57; S, 12.76. Found: C, 66.59; H, 8.53; N, 5.57; S, 13.17.

**4.2.12.** *N*-AllyI-*S*-*tert*-butyI-*S*-(2-methylthiophenyl)sulfoximine (2p). According to the general procedure A from 1g, the electrophile was Me<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (0.32 mL, 3.55 mmol). The yield was 0.151 g (90%) of a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.39 (s, 9H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 3.46 (m, 1H), 3.67 (m, 1H), 5.02 (d, *J*=11 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, *J*=17 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (m, 1H), 7.14 (t, *J*=7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J*=7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (t, *J*=7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J*=7.8 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  16.1, 24.6, 46.3, 63.3, 114.1, 123.7, 125.6, 129.1, 131.8, 133.1, 135.1, 144.9. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NOS<sub>2</sub>: C, 59.32; H, 7.47; N, 4.94; S, 22.62. Found: C, 59.52; H, 7.63; N, 5.07; S, 22.97.

4.2.13. S-tert-Butyl-S-[2-(1-hydroxyethyl)phenyl]-sulfoximine (2r). According to the general procedure A from 1d, the electrophile was CH<sub>3</sub>CHO (large excess). The yield was 0.13 g (76%) of an oil consisting in an unseparable mixture of two diastereoisomers, de = 50%. Minor diastereoisomer: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.35 (s, 9H), 1.52 (d, J=7 Hz, 3H), 5.80 (q, J=7 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (t, J=8 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, J=8 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, J=8 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 1H). Major diastereoisomer: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 1.31 (s, 9H), 1.52 (d, J=7 Hz, 3H), 5.69 (q, J=7 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (t, J=8 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (t, J=8 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, J=8 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  147.4, 136.0, 133.8 (3), 133.7, 133.3, 127.8, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 65.3, 65.1, 62.9, 61.7, 24.2, 22.8. IR  $(cm^{-1}, KBr): \nu = 3459, 3310, 2974, 2931, 1466, 1206,$ 1184, 979, 782, 770. HRMS (IE, m/z): calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S: 241.1136. Found: 241.1134.

4.2.14. S-tert-Butyl-S-[2-(1-hydroxybenzyl)phenyl]-sulfoximine (2s). According to the general procedure A from 1d, the solvent was toluene and TMEDA (129  $\mu$ L, 0.85 mmol) was added. sec-BuLi was used instead of *n*-BuLi. The electrophile was benzaldehyde (0.172 mL, 1.7 mmol). The yield was 0.13 g (60%) of an oil consisting in a mixture of two diastereoisomers (de = 50%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 1.49 \text{ (s, 9H)}, 6.75 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 7.12 \text{ (d, } J =$ 13 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (m, 7H), 8.10 (d, J = 13 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 146.7, 141.8, 133.9, 133.8, 133.3, 130.8, 128.3, 127.7, 127.3, 126.8, 71.2, 63.9, 24.4. IR (cm<sup>-</sup> KBr):  $\nu = 3273$ , 2972, 1451, 1205, 1176, 986, 755, 707. HRMS (IE, m/z): calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S: 303.1293. Found: 303.1298 and <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.52 (s, 9H), 4.03 (m, 1H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 7.17 (d, J=13 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (m, 7H), 8.07 (d, J = 13 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 146.7, 141.9, 134.0, 133.7, 133.1, 130.9, 128.4, 128.0, 127.5, 126.9, 71.3, 61.9, 24.3. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  $\nu$ =3271, 2972, 1447, 1206, 980, 759, 707. HRMS (IE, m/z): calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S: 303.1293. Found: 303.1289.

**4.2.15.** *S-tert*-Butyl-*S*-[2-(1-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-propyl)phenyl]sulfoximine (2t). According to the general procedure A from 1d, the electrophile was pivaldehyde (0.19 mL, 1.7 mmol). The yield was 0.143 g (71%) of an oil consisting in a mixture of two diastereoisomers (de = 67%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.99 (s, 9H), 1.32 (s, 9H), 6.02 (s, 1H), 7.42 (t, *J*=11 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J*=11 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J*=11 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, *J*=11 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  24.1, 26.4, 27.0, 36.7, 62.1, 127.1, 129.9, 132.5, 133.5, 135.7, 144.7. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): *v*=3413, 3140, 2955, 1480, 1202, 1180, 1100, 976, 736. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S: 283.1606. Found: 283.1606. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.97 (s, 9H), 1.33 (s, 9H), 5.86 (s, 1H), 7.43 (t, *J*=11 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, *J*=11 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, *J*= 11 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (d, *J*=11 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  24.1, 26.5, 27.0, 36.6, 61.6, 127.0, 129.6, 132.5, 133.3, 135.9, 145.3. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  $\nu$ =3333, 2955, 2871, 1480, 1364, 1211, 1183, 1097, 978, 760. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S: 283.1606. Found: 283.1605.

#### 4.3. De-tert-butylation reaction

4.3.1. N-Methylbenzenesulfinamide ((S)-3c). To a solution of sodium borohydride (0.225 g, 5.94 mmol) in anhydrous THF (5 mL) was added at 0 °C a solution of iodine<sup>16</sup> (0.603 g, 2.36 mmol) in anhydrous THF (10 mL). The sulfoximine (S)-1e (0.25 g, 1.18 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (5 mL) and the previously prepared  $BH_3$ solution was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 and 20 °C overnight. The excess of BH<sub>3</sub> was cautiously destroyed with MeOH (10 mL). After 30 min, a 20% aqueous solution of potassium hydroxide (10 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for 4 h. The product was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3×10 mL) and the organic layers were dried on MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After removing of the solvents under reduced pressure, the residue was purified on silica gel (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1:1). The yield was 0.14 g (76%). RMN <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.53 (d, J=6 Hz, 3H), 4.07 (q, J = 6 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (m, 3H), 7.67 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H).IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 3224, 1444, 1086, 1051. MS (IC<sup>+</sup>, isobutane, m/z): M+H<sup>+</sup>=156. HRMS (IE, m/z): calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>9</sub>NOS: 155.0405. Found: 155.0405. Separation of the enantiomers was achieved on a CHIRALPAK AD. Eluent: heptane/isopropanol: 95:5. Temperature: 18 °C.  $\lambda = 230$  nm, flow rate: 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Retention times: 10.6 and 12 min. Enantiomeric excess = 80%.

4.3.2. N-tert-Butylcarbonylbenzenesulfinamide (3g). To a solution of S-phenyl-S-tert-butylsulfoximine (1c) (2.0 g, 10.1 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was added a solution of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.53 g, 5.0 mmol) in water (15 mL). The mixture was cooled at 10 °C and pivaloyl chloride (1.25 mL, 10.1 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at 20 °C. The organic layer was dried on MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/ cyclohexane 1:2) afforded **3g** as a white solid (1.0 g, 45%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.19 (s, 9H), 7.52 (m, 3H), 7.65 (m, 2H), 7.90 (br s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 27.4, 40.1, 125.1, 129.8, 132.2, 144.4, 179.2. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 3205, 1686. MS (IC<sup>+</sup>, isobutane, m/z): M+H<sup>+</sup>=226. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S: C, 58.64; H, 6.71; N, 6.22; S, 14.23. Found: C, 58.59; H, 6.51; N, 6.15; S, 14.28.

## 4.4. General procedure B for the sequence metalation/ de-*tert*-butylation of sulfoximines 1d,e

To a solution of the corresponding sulfoximine 1d,e (0.95 mmol) in anhydrous THF (10 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere at -78 °C, a 2.5 M solution of *n*-BuLi in hexanes (0.57 mL, 1.42 mmol) was slowly added. The mixture was stirred for 10 min and the electrophile was added. The solution was stirred for a further 2 h at 0 °C or at -78 °C for aldehydes. Hydrolysis was carried out with a

saturated aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (10 mL) and the mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3×10 mL). The collected organic layers were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in anhydrous THF (10 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere. Magnesium perchlorate (0.212 g, 0.95 mmol) was then added and the solution was stirred for 24 h. Hydrolysis was again achieved with a saturated aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (10 mL) and the mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3×10 mL). The organic layers were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), concentrated and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

**4.4.1.** *S*-(**2-Iodophenyl**)-*N*-methylbenzenesulfinamide (**3a**). The starting sulfoximine was **1e**. According to the general procedure B, the electrophile was I<sub>2</sub> (0.96 g, 3.78 mmol). Hydrolysis was carried out with an aqueous saturated solution of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The eluent for purification was AcOEt/cyclohexane 1:1. The yield was 0.213 g (80%) of an oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.50 (d, *J*=5.3 Hz, 3H), 4.14 (br s, 1H), 7.14 (m, 1H), 7.84 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  26.5, 94.4, 127.6, 128.7, 132.7, 140.5, 145.0. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 3228, 1443, 1088, 1059. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>8</sub>INOS: 281.9450. Found: 281.9453.

**4.4.2.** *S*-(2-Chlorophenyl)-*N*-methylbenzenesulfinamide (**3b**). The starting sulfoximine was **1e**. According to the general procedure B, the electrophile was  $C_2Cl_6$  (0.43 mL, 3.8 mmol). Eluent: AcOEt/cyclohexane 1:1. The yield was 0.147 g (82%) of an oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.50 (d, *J*=5.6 Hz, 3H), 4.17 (br s, 1H), 7.38 (m, 3H), 7.92 (d, *J*=6.0 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  26.3, 127.4, 127.5, 130.7, 132.3, 132.7, 140.9. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 3229, 2925, 1450, 1066, 1029. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>8</sub>ClNOS: 189.0015. Found: 189.0020.

**4.4.3.** *S*-(2-Trimethylsilylphenyl)benzenesulfinamide (3d). According to the general procedure B, the starting sulfoximine was 1d. Migration of the trimethylsilyl group occurred while stirring the solution at 0 °C. Eluent for purification: AcOEt. The yield was 0.143 g (71%) of a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.36 (s, 9H), 4.16 (br s, 2H), 7.50 (m, 3H), 8.11 (d, *J*=7.5 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.0, 121.0, 128.9, 129.2, 134.1, 137.3, 152.4. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 2954, 1474, 1250, 1108, 1051.

**4.4.** *N*-Methyl-*S*-(2-methylthiophenyl)benzenesulfinamide (3f). The starting sulfoximine was 1e. According to the general procedure B, the electrophile was Me<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (0.34 mL, 3.78 mmol). Eluent for purification: AcOEt/ cyclohexane 1:1. The yield was 0.125 g (66%) of an oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.42 (s, 3H), 2.48 (d, *J*= 5.3 Hz, 3H), 4.15 (d, *J*=4.9 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (m, 3H), 7.83 (d, *J*=7.9 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  16.9, 26.4, 125.5, 127.3, 129.2, 131.7, 137.8, 141.3. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 3226, 1434, 1097, 1066, 1032. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>11</sub>NOS<sub>2</sub>: 201.0282. Found: 201.0280.

**4.4.5. 3-Methyl-3H-2,1-benzoxathiol-1-oxide (4a).** The starting sulfoximine was **1e**. According to the general procedure B, the electrophile was acetaldehyde (0.265 mL, 4.74 mmol). Eluent for purification: AcOEt/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:1. The yield was 0.092 g (58%) of an oil consisting in a

mixture of two diastereoisomers (de=13%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.65 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 1.8H), 1.81 (d, J= 6.4 Hz, 1.2H), 5.80 (q, J=6.6 Hz, 0.4H), 6.23 (q, J= 6.6 Hz, 0.6H), 7.36 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.72 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  20.7, 24.0, 87.1, 90.1, 122.5, 122.6, 123.7, 124.0, 129.7, 129.8, 132.6, 132.7, 142.6, 143.0, 146.6, 147.4. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 2972, 2926, 1734, 1083, 1044. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: 168.0245. Found: 168.0241.

4.4.6. 3-Phenyl-3H-2,1-benzoxathiol-1-oxide (4b). The starting sulfoximine was 1e. According to the general procedure B, the electrophile was benzaldehyde (0.192 mL, 1.89 mmol). The Lewis acid was  $Mg(ClO_4)_2$  followed by MgBr<sub>2</sub> (0.175 g, 0.95 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub>. Eluent for purification: cyclohexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:1. The yield was 0.098 g (45%) of an oil containing a mixture of two diastereoisomers. 1st diastereoisomer: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.01 (s, 1H), 7.09 (m, 1H), 7.21 (m, 2H), 7.32 (m, 3H), 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.73 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  95.7, 123.9, 124.0, 128.4, 129.3, 129.5, 130.0, 133.0, 138.4, 141.6, 146.6. 2nd diastereoisomer: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 6.54 (s, 1H), 7.24 (m, 1H), 7.26 (m, 2H), 7.30 (m, 3H), 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.71 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  92.7, 95.8, 123.7, 123.9, 128.5, 129.4, 132.9, 136.9, 141.6, 147.9. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 1456, 1116. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: 230.0402. Found: 230.0400.

**4.4.7.** *3-tert*-Butyl-*3H*-2,1-benzoxathiol-1-oxide (4c). The starting sulfoximine was 1e. According to the general procedure B, the electrophile was pivaldehyde (0.233 mL, 1.89 mmol). The Lewis acid was Mg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> followed by MgBr<sub>2</sub> (0.175 g, 0.95 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The eluent for purification on silica gel was cyclohexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:1. An other flash chromatography was performed on basic alumina with cyclohexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> gradient from 3:1 to 1:3. The yield was 0.079 g (40%, de>95%) of a yellow solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.08 (s, 9H), 5.41 (s, 1H), 7.51 (d, *J*=7.5 Hz, 3H), 7.72 (d, *J*=6.4 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  26.6, 36.1, 102.2, 124.4, 129.7, 132.0, 139.8, 146.9. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 2958, 1468, 1109. HRMS (IC<sup>+</sup>, isobutane, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: 211.0793. Found: 211.0789.

**4.4.8. 3-IsobutyI-3***H***-2,1-benzoxathiol-1-oxide** (**4d**). The starting sulfoximine was **1e**. According to the general procedure B, the electrophile was 3-methylbutyraldehyde (0.204 mL, 1.89 mmol). The Lewis acid was Mg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> followed by MgBr<sub>2</sub> (0.175 g, 0.95 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The eluent for purification was cyclohexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:1. The yield was 0.069 g (35%) of an oil consisting in a mixture of two diastereoisomers (de=48%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.89 (m, 6H), 1.50–2.10 (m, 3H), 5.66 (d, *J*=10.2 Hz, 0.4H), 6.12 (d, *J*=9.8 Hz, 0.6H), 7.29 (m, 1H), 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.64 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  22.1, 22.2, 23.9, 24.1, 25.7, 25.8, 38.3, 49.1, 89.4, 92.4, 122.6, 122.8, 124.0, 123.8, 130.5, 132.5, 142.1, 142.6,

146.9, 147.4. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 2958, 1467, 1126. HRMS (IE, *m/z*): calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: 210.0715. Found: 210.0721.

#### **References and notes**

- For comprehensive reviews see: (a) Snieckus, V. Chem. Rev. 1990, 879, 933. (b) Quéguiner, G.; Marsais, F.; Snieckus, V.; Epsztajn, J. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1991, 52, 187–304.
- Levacher, V.; Langer Eriksen, B.; Begtrup, M.; Dupas, G.; Quéguiner, G.; Duflos, J.; Bourguignon, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, 40, 1665–1668.
- (a) Reggelin, M.; Zur, C. Synthesis 2000, 1–64. (b) The sufoximine group proved to be an ortho-directing group in an anecdotic way: Jackson, R. F. W.; Briggs, A. D.; Brown, P. A.; Clegg, W.; Elsegood, M. R. J.; Frampton, C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1996, 1673–1682.
- 4. Brandt, J.; Gais, H.-J. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1997**, *8*, 909–912.
- 5. Johnson, C. R.; Lavergne, O. M. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1922–1923.
- During purification on silica gel, sulfinamide 3g was obtained in 45% yield resulting from a de-*tert*-butylation reaction of 1i.



- Ellman, J. A.; Owens, T. D.; Tang, T. P. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 984–995.
- (a) Pyne, S. G.; Dong, Z.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. J. *Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 751–752. (b) Pyne, S. G.; Dong, Z.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 2337–2343. (c) Gais, H.-J.; Scommoda, M.; Lenz, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, 35, 7361–7364. (d) Pyne, S. G.; Dong, Z. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 5517–5522.
- (a) Gais, H.-J.; Mueller, H.; Bund, J.; Scommoda, M.; Brandt, J.; Raabe, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2453–2466. (b) Scommoda, M.; Gais, H.-J.; Bosshammer, S. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 4379–4390.
- Taj, S. S.; Shah, A. C.; Lee, D.; Newton, G.; Soman, R. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1995**, *6*, 1731–1740.
- (a) Harmata, M.; Herron, B. F. *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 8855–8862. (b) Harmata, M.; Jones, D. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 4769–4772.
- 12. Trost, B. M.; Matsuoka, R. T. Synlett 1992, 27-30.
- 13. Bolm, C.; Simic, O.; Martin, M. Synlett 2001, 1878-1880.
- Schroeck, C. W.; Johnson, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 5303–5305.
- 15. Johnson, C. R. Aldrichim. Acta 1985, 18, 3-10.
- McKennon, M. J.; Meyers, A. I.; Drauz, K.; Schwarm, M. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3568–3571.