# Full Paper

# Synthesis and Antimicrobial Activity of Some New *N*-Substituted Quinoline Derivatives of 1*H*-Pyrazole

### Nilesh J. Thumar and Manish P. Patel

Department of Chemistry, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar, Gujarat, India

A new series of 32 derivatives of 4-pyrazolyl-N-(hetero)arylquinoline **5a-p** and **6a-p** were synthesized by a one-pot base-catalyzed cyclocondensation reaction of 1-phenyl-3-(hetero)aryl-pyrazole-4carbaldehyde **1a-h**, malononitrile **2**, and 3-(hetero)aryl-5,5-disubstitutedcyclohex-2-enone **3a-b** or **4a-b**, respectively. All the synthesized compounds were characterized by elemental analysis, FT-IR, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectral data. All the synthesized compounds were screened, against six bacterial pathogens, namely *Bacillus subtilis, Clostridium tetani, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Salmonella typhi, Vibrio cholerae, Escherichia coli, and antifungal activity, against two fungal pathogens <i>Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Candida albicans*, using broth microdilution MIC method. Some of the compounds were found to be more or equipotent against most of the employed strains than commercially available drugs as evident from the screening data.

Keywords: Antimicrobial activity / MCR / MIC / Pyrazole-4-carbaldehyde / Quinoline

Received: January 8, 2010; Accepted: March 3, 2010

DOI 10.1002/ardp.201000010

# Introduction

Quinoline derivatives are known to exhibit a wide range of biological activities such as antibacterial, anticancer, antimalarial, and antiproliferative properties [1–8]. Recently, 4-functionally substituted 1,3-diaryl pyrazole derivatives have received considerable attention due to their wide range of useful biological properties [9–16].

Various routes for the synthesis of *N*-arylquinoline derivatives have been reported using two-component as well as three-component reactions. Yao and coworkers have reported fluoride-ion-catalyzed multicomponent reactions for the synthesis of *N*-arylquinoline derivatives in aqueous media. Tu and coworkers have carried out a clean synthesis of 1,4diarylquinoline derivatives catalyzed by benzyl-triethyl ammonium chloride (TEBAC) in aqueous media. They have also reported a three-component green synthesis in ionic liquid  $[Bmim^+][BF_4^-]$  and under microwave irradiation.

A literature survey [17] reveals studies concerning *N*-substituted quinoline derivatives of aromatic aldehydes, but not a single reference has been found where 1,3-diaryl pyrazole-4carbaldehydes and thiazole were used and evaluated for their biological profile. Several pyrazolylquinoline skeleton systems have been found to exhibit multiple pharmacological activities such as antibacterial, antimalarial, antifungal, and anticancer activity [18].

Thus, by considering that a modification at the 1- and 4-position of the quinoline nucleus could bring significant changes of the pharmacological activities and could provide new classes of therapeutically active compounds for biomedical screening, and as part of our ongoing approach in developing new antimicrobial agents containing quinoline [19, 20], thiazole [22], and pyrazole-4-carbaldehyde [20, 21] derivatives, herein, we report the synthesis of some new derivatives of 4-pyrazolyl-N-(hetero)arylquinoline 5a-p and **6a-p** via a multi component reaction (MCR) approach. The constitution of all the products was characterized using elemental analysis, FT-IR, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR; some selected compounds were also confirmed by mass spectrometry. All synthesized compounds were screened for their in-vitro antimicrobial activity against eight human pathogens, of which three were Gram-positive bacterial strains, namely Streptococcus pneumoniae, Clostridium tetani, and Bacillus subtilis, three Gram-negative bacterial strains Salmonella typhi, Vibrio cholerae, and Escherichia coli, and two fungal pathogens Aspergillus fumigatus and Candida albicans, using the broth

Correspondence: Dr. Manish P. Patel, Department of Chemistry, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar – 388120, Gujarat, India. E-mail: patelmanish1069@yahoo.com Fax: +91-2692-237258

<sup>© 2011</sup> WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

microdilution MIC (minimum inhibitory concentration) method [23].

# **Results and discussion**

# Chemistry

In continuation of our interest on synthesizing biologically potent antimicrobials [19-22], herein, we report a new series of 4-pyrazolyl-N-(4-fluorophenyl)-quinoline 5a-p and 4-pyrazolyl-N-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-quinoline 6a-p derivatives, via an one-pot three-component cyclocondensation reaction of 1-phenyl-3-(hetero)aryl-pyrazole-4-carbaldehyde 1a-h, malononitrile 2, and 3-(4-fluorophenylamino)-5,5-disubstituted cyclohex-2-enone 3a-b or 3-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-ylamino)-5,5-disubstituted cyclohex-2-enone 4a-b, respectively. The required 1-phenyl-3-(hetero)aryl-pyrazole-4carbaldehyde 1a-h were synthesized by the Vilsmeier-Haack reaction of respective (hetero)arylhydrazone at 90°C for 4 h [24] (Scheme 1). The solid-phase reaction of 4-fluoroacetophenone, thiourea, and iodine (4 h at 120°C) afforded 2-amino-4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazole [25]. The required  $\beta$ -enaminones were prepared by reacting  $\beta$ -diketone with 4-fluoroaniline under microwave irradiation [26] resulting in 3a-b or with 2amino-4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazole in refluxing methanol in the presence of acetic acid to give **4a-b**. To obtain the title N-arylquinoline derivatives, we have tried to carry out the reaction in aqueous medium and under neutral conditions but failed to proceed even under prolonged refluxing. Similarly, microwave irradiation was unsuccessful. The reaction proceeded in ethanol, methanol, benzene, or DMF,



Scheme 1. Synthetic pathway for the intermediates 1a-h, 3a-b, and 4a-b.

© 2011 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

under basic condition requiring prolonged refluxing and still resulted in a poor yield. The most optimal condition for the title derivatives is refluxing the mixture in acetonitrile for 3-4 h in the presence of piperidine as basic catalyst; this will lead to moderate to good yields (57-79%) (Scheme 2). A mechanism for the formation of the quinoline derivatives 5a-p and 6a-p is outlined in Scheme 3. The reaction occurs via an in-situ initial formation of the hetervlidenenitrile, containing the electron-poor C=C double bond, from the Knoevenagel condensation between pyrazole-4-carbaldehyde and malononitrile by loss of water molecules. Finally, a Michael addition of 3 or 4 to the initially formed unsaturated nitrile, *i. e.* a nucleophilic attack of the enaminone at the cyano olefins affords the cyclized quinoline derivatives 5a-p and 6a-p. The structures of all new synthesized compounds were well supported by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, and FT-IR spectral data and the molecular weight of some selected compounds was confirmed by mass spectrometry. All spectroscopic data are provided in the Experimental section. In addition, all compounds were screened for their antibacterial and antifungal activity.

### Antimicrobial screening

The examination of the data (Table 1) reveals that most of the compounds showed excellent antibacterial and antifungal activity when compared with the standard drugs ampicillin and griseofulvin. Against the Gram-positive pathogen S. pneumoniae, compounds 5i and 6e (MIC = 100  $\mu$ g/mL) were found to exhibit comparable activity to that of ampicillin (MIC = 100  $\mu$ g/mL). The compounds **5k**, **6a**, **6c**, **6l**, and **6n** (MIC  $< 250 \ \mu g/mL$ ) were found to be more efficient, whereas, 5a, 5d-e, 5g-h, 5l-o, 6b, 6d, 6g, 6i, 6k, 6m, and 6p  $(MIC = 250 \ \mu g/mL)$  were found equally potent to ampicillin (MIC = 250  $\mu$ g/mL) towards *C. tetani*. Compounds **5f**, **5h**, **5i**, 5k, 6a, 6e, 6g, 6h, 6i, and 6l (MIC  $< 250 \ \mu g/mL$ ) show better activity, whereas 5b, 5g, 5j, 5l, 5o, 6b, 6f, 6j, and 6k  $(MIC = 250 \ \mu g/mL)$  found equally potent to ampicillin  $(MIC = 250 \ \mu g/mL)$  against B. subtilis. Towards the Gram-negative strain S. typhi, compounds 5b, 5f, and 6l (MIC = 100 µg/mL) were equally active compared to ampicillin (MIC = 100  $\mu$ g/mL). Compounds 5m and 6i (MIC = 100  $\mu$ g/mL) found to be equipotent towards ampicillin (MIC =  $100 \ \mu g/mL$ ) against V. cholerae. Compounds **5f** and **6a** (MIC  $< 100 \mu g/mL$ ) show a better and 5k, 5o, 6c, 6e, 6g, 6j, and 6m (MIC = 100  $\mu$ g/mL) were found to exhibit a comparable activity compared to that of ampicillin (MIC =  $100 \ \mu g/mL$ ) towards E. coli. Against the fungal pathogen C. albicans, compounds 5g-h, 5p, 6a-b, 6d, and 6n (MIC < 500  $\mu$ g/mL) exhibited a better activity whereas, 5a, 5c-f, 5i-k, 5m-n, 6c, 6g, 6i, **6k**, and **6o** (MIC = 500  $\mu$ g/mL) were found to be equipotent compared to griseofulvin (MIC = 500  $\mu$ g/mL). Only one of the tested compounds, **6a** (MIC = 100  $\mu$ g/mL), was found to be



Scheme 2. Synthetic pathway for the compounds 5a-p and 6-p.



Scheme 3. Plausible mechanistic pathway of the synthesis of quinoline derivatives.

potent against the fungal strains *C. albicans* and *A. fumigatus*, compared to the standard drug nystatin (MIC = 100  $\mu$ g/mL). The remaining compounds showed moderate to good activity inhibiting the growth of bacterial pathogens but are all less effective than the standard drugs.

The investigation of the structure-activity relationships (SAR) of antibacterial screening revealed that the compounds with *p*-methoxy or unsubstituted phenyl at the 3-position of the pyrazole nucleus gave better results against *V. cholerae* and *S. pneumoniae*. Similarly, towards *C. tetani* compounds with phenyl, thienyl, or *p*-chloro or fluorophenyl substituents at the 3-position of the pyrazole were found to be highly active. Against *B. subtilis*, thienyl, *p*-chloro, methyl, methoxy, nitro, fluoro, or unsubstituted phenyl-containing compounds were found to be more potent. Compounds containing *p*-bromo, fluoro, or methyl substituents exhibited a comparable activity against *S. typhi*. The antifungal evaluation showed that thienyl-, *p*-bromo-, fluoro-, methyl-, or nitrophenyl-containing compounds were found to be active against *C. albicans*,

whereas the unsubstituted phenyl-ring-containing compound was found to be the most potent among all against both fungal species *C. albicans* and *A. fumigatus*. From the SAR study of the title derivatives, it is interesting to note that a minor alteration in the molecular configuration of the investigated compounds may have a pronounced effect on the antimicrobial activity.

### Conclusion

Two new series of substituted 4-pyrazolyl-N-(4-fluorophenyl)quinoline **5a-p** and 4-pyrazolyl-N-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2yl)-quinoline **6a-p** derivatives have been synthesized via MCR approach; the compounds were characterized by elemental and spectral analysis. This synthetic strategy allows the construction of relatively complicated nitrogen-containing fused heterocyclic system as well as the introduction of various (hetero)aromatic substitutions into the 1- and 4-position of the quinoline system. It can be concluded from the

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2011 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Compound	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC, µg/mL)							
	Gram-positive bacteria			Gram-negative bacteria			Fungi	
	Streptococcus pneumoniae MTCC 1936	Clostridium tetani MTCC 449	Bacillus subtilis MTCC 441	Salmonella typhi MTCC 98	Vibrio cholerae MTCC 3906	Escherichia coli MTCC 443	Aspergillus fumigatus MTCC 3008	Candida albicans MTCC 227
5b	250	1000	250	100	1000	150	1000	1000
5c	1000	1000	1000	250	1000	200	500	500
5d	500	250	500	250	500	200	1000	500
5e	500	250	500	1000	500	500	1000	500
5f	150	500	150	100	250	62.5	>1000	500
5g	200	250	250	200	1000	125	200	100
5h	150	250	150	250	500	250	500	200
5i	100	1000	100	250	500	250	>1000	500
5i	250	500	250	250	150	150	1000	500
5k	200	200	200	150	200	100	500	500
51	250	250	250	500	150	500	>1000	>1000
5m	500	250	500	200	100	150	>1000	500
5n	500	250	500	250	250	250	1000	500
50	250	250	250	150	200	100	500	1000
50 50	500	500	500	250	250	500	>1000	250
6a	150	200	200	150	500	62.5	100	100
6h	250	250	250	500	500	500	500	250
60	250	150	500	250	250	100	250	500
6d	500	250	500	500	500	500	1000	250
6e	100	500	100	250	150	100	500	1000
6f	500	500	250	500	250	500	500	1000
6g	250	250	200	250	250	100	1000	500
ch	200	500	200	125	500	250	1000	1000
611 61	200	300	200	125	100	250	500	500
6	200	230	200	250	500	230	1000	1000
oj clr	250	300	250	150	300	200	1000	500
OK Cl	230	200	250	230	230	200	1000	1000
61 Cm	200	125	200	100	200	150	>1000	1000
6111 Cm	500	250	500	500	200	100	>1000	1000
611	1000	150	1000	500	250	500	>1000	250
60	1000	500	1000	500	500	250	500	500
6р	500	250	1000	500	500	500	>1000	1000
Ampı.	100	250	250	100	100	100	-	-
Chlorm.	50	50	50	50	50	50	-	-
Cipro.	50	100	50	25	25	25	-	-
Genta.	0.5	5	1	5	5	0.05	-	-
Grise.	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	500
Nyst.	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	100

Ampi.: Ampicillin; Chlorm.: Chloramphenicol; Cipro.: Ciprofloxacin; Genta.: Gentamicin; Grise.: Griseofulvin; Nyst.: Nystatin.

antimicrobial screening (Table 1), against a panel of human pathogens that most of the synthesized quinoline derivatives were found to be highly active, compared to standard drugs against bacterial pathogens. Among them, many compounds were found to be most active against *Clostridium tetani* and *Bacillus subtilis* compared to the rest of the investigated species. The antifungal activity of the new compounds shows that most of the compounds active against *C. albicans* compared to *A. fumigatus*. It is worth mentioning that a minor change in the molecular configuration of these compounds profoundly influences the activity.

# **Experimental**

# **General procedures**

Phenyl hydrazine was distilled before use; all other reagents are commercially available and were used without further purification. The solvents used were of analytical grade. All melting

© 2011 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

points were taken in open capillaries and are uncorrected. Thinlayer chromatography (TLC, on aluminum plates precoated with silica gel,  ${}^{60}F_{254}$ , 0.25 mm thickness; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used for monitoring the progress of all reactions, purity, and homogeneity of the synthesized compounds; eluent: toluene/ethyl acetate, 7:3. UV radiation and / or iodine were used as visualizing agents. Elemental analysis (% C, H, N) was carried out with a Perkin-Elmer 2400 series-II elemental analyzer (Perkin-Elmer, USA) and all compounds are within  $\pm 0.4\%$  of theoretical value. The IR spectra were recorded in KBr on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum GX FT-IR spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer, USA) and only the characteristic peaks are reported in  $\rm cm^{-1}.~^1H\text{-}NMR$  and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> on a Bruker Avance 400F (MHz) spectrometer (Bruker Scientific Corporation Ltd., Switzerland) using the solvent peak as internal standard at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm). Mass spectra were scanned on a Shimadzu LCMS 2010 spectrometer (Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan).

### Chemistry

### General procedure for the synthesis of 3-aryl-1phenylpyrazole-4-carbaldehyde **1a–h**

3-Aryl-1-phenylpyrazole-4-carbaldehydes **1a-h** were prepared according to the literature procedure [24] by Vilsmeier–Haack reaction of acetophenone (hetero)arylhydrazones (Scheme 1).

### General procedure for the synthesis of 2-amino-4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazole

2-(4-Fluorophenyl)thiazol-4-amine was synthesized according to the literature procedure [25] by the solid-phase reaction of thiourea, 1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethanone, and iodine (Scheme 1).

### General procedure for the synthesis of 3-

(4-fluorophenylamino)- 5,5-(un)substituted cyclohex-2enones **3a–b** 

3-(4-Fluorophenylamino)-5,5-(un)substituted cyclohex-2-enones were synthesized according to the literature procedure [26] by the solid-phase reaction of the 1,3-dicarbonyl compound and 4fluoroaniline under microwave irradiation (Scheme 1).

### General procedure for the synthesis of 3-(4-(4fluorophenyl)- thiazol-2-ylamino)-5,5-(un)substituted cvclohex-2-enones **4a–b**

The 1,3-dicarbonyl compound (30 mmol), 2-amino-4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazole (30 mmol), methanol (15 mL) and two drops of acetic acid were charged in a 100 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a refluxing condenser. The reaction mixture was slowly heated and refluxed for 1 h. On completion of reaction, monitored by TLC using 30% EtOAc in toluene as eluent, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the solid which separated was filtered and washed with methanol to obtain the pure compounds **4a–b**. Analytical and spectroscopic characterization data of the synthesized compounds **4a–b** are given below.

#### 3-(4-(4-Fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-ylamino)cyclohex-2-enone 4a

Yield: 70%; m. p.: 199–201°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3430 (NH str.), 1655 (–C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_H$  (ppm): 1.92– 2.33 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.87–7.94 (m, 6H, Ar–H), 10.55 (s, 1H, NH);

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2011 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

 $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 20.37 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 27.60 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.79 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 105.35, 106.78, 113.04, 127.93, 131.82, 148.63, 154.41, 159.30, 162.11 (9C, Ar–C), 197.49 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>OS (288.34 g/mol): C, 62.48; H, 4.54; N, 9.72. Found: C, 62.63; H, 4.27; N, 9.87.

# 3-(4-(4-Fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-ylamino)-5, 5-dimethylcyclohex-2-enone **4b**

Yield: 72%; m. p.: 218–220°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3385 (NH str.), 1670 (–C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.04 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.14–2.43 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.80–7.81 (m, 6H, Ar–H), 10.34 (s, 1H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 28.33 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 32.82 (*C*(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 42.17 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 50.65 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 104.78, 104.94, 114.65, 127.51, 130.73, 150.85, 155.37, 159.59, 161.29 (9C, Ar–C), 197.98 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>OS (316.39 g/mol): C, 64.53; H, 5.42; N, 8.85. Found: C, 64.75; H, 5.27; N, 8.69.

# General procedure for the synthesis of 2-amino-4-(3-aryl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(hetero)aryl-5-oxo-

# 1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **5a–p** and **6a–p**

A mixture of 3-aryl-1-phenylpyrazole-4-carbaldehyde 1a-h (30 mmol), malononitrile 2 (30 mmol), and appropriate  $\beta$ -enaminone 3a-b or 4a-b (30 mmol) in acetonitrile (20 mL) containing three drops of piperidine was slowly heated and refluxed for 3–4 h. On completion of the reaction, monitored by TLC (ethyl acetate/toluene, 3:7), the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the solid separated was filtered and washed with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (1:1) to obtain the pure compounds 5a-p and 6a-p. Analytical and spectroscopic characterization data of the synthesized compounds 5a-p and 6a-p are given below.

#### 2-Amino-4-(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-

# (4-fluorophenyl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **5a**

Yield: 76%; m. p.: 244–246°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3400 asym. & sym. str. of -NH<sub>2</sub>), 2200 (-C=N str.), 1675 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.81–2.34 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.54 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.28 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.06–7.97 (m, 14H, Ar–H), 8.28 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 26.41 (C4), 20.87, 28.56 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.16 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 62.91 (C–CN), 112.55, 113.31, 114.22, 118.92, 121.39, 124.01, 126.09, 126.13, 127.87, 128.16, 131.72, 134.29, 135.77, 137.00, 145.63, 150.11, 152.67, 157.07, 159.86 (19C, Ar–C), 194.87 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>24</sub>FN<sub>5</sub>O (501.55 g/mol): C, 74.24; H, 4.82; N, 13.96. Found: C, 74.09; H, 5.09; N, 14.15.

### 2-Amino-4-(3-(4-bromophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **5b**

Yield: 62%; m. p.: 236–238°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3395 & 3360 (asym. & sym. str. of –NH<sub>2</sub>), 2185 (–C=N str.), 1680 (–C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.97–2.41 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.69 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.25 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.01–8.07 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.36 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 25.81 (C4), 21.45, 29.17 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 37.12 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 62.35 (C–CN), 111.08, 112.24, 112.32, 115.40, 120.84, 126.43, 127.71, 128.47, 128.52, 129.79, 131.30, 133.76, 135.27, 136.75, 147.04, 151.96,

154.36, 159.12, 161.50 (19C, Ar–C), 196.10 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for  $C_{31}H_{23}BrFN_5O$  (580.45 g/mol): C, 64.15; H, 3.99; N, 12.07. Found: C, 63.91; H, 4.17; N, 11.88.

# 2-Amino-4-(3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **5c**

Yield: 75%; m. p.: 277–280°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3450 asym. & sym. str. of -NH<sub>2</sub>), 2210 (-C=N str.), 1685 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.80–2.32 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.68 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.38 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.09–7.85 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.49 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 26.52 (C4), 20.90, 28.24 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.78 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 59.08 (C–CN), 113.20, 113.97, 114.14, 115.18, 120.42, 125.34, 126.63, 127.21, 127.37, 128.58, 132.56, 134.90, 136.57, 138.65, 149.54, 151.69, 153.88, 156.43, 159.68 (19C, Ar–C), 195.02 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>23</sub>ClFN<sub>5</sub>O (536.00 g/mol): C, 69.47; H, 4.33; N, 13.07. Found: C, 69.31; H, 4.17; N, 12.85.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **5d**

Yield: 60%; m. p.: 190–191°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3455 & 3370 (asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2190 (–C $\equiv$ N str.), 1675 (–C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.76–2.20 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.73 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.27 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.96–7.92 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.43 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 26.73 (C4), 20.97, 29.33 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 35.88 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 61.56 (C–CN), 112.79, 113.05, 114.54, 116.00, 118.86, 120.45, 125.97, 126.03, 128.30, 128.73, 130.91, 135.53, 137.17, 139.37, 146.75, 153.44, 155.93, 156.62, 160.83 (19C, Ar–C), 195.19 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>23</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O (519.54 g/mol): C, 71.67; H, 4.46; N, 13.48. Found: C, 71.49; H, 4.27; N, 13.72.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **5e**

Yield: 66%; m. p.: 267–270°C; IR (KBr, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3460 asym. & sym.

str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2175 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1650 (-C=0 str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.71–2.17 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.76 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.25 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.04–7.90 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.27 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 26.88 (C4), 21.00, 28.50 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.50 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 55.60 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 61.77 (C–CN), 113.13, 114.09, 118.60, 121.79, 123.45, 126.28, 126.78, 127.47, 128.90, 129.82, 130.35, 133.06, 133.25, 136.04, 140.11, 150.67, 150.88, 152.16, 159.46 (19C, Ar–C), 195.71 (C=O); MS m/z: 532.2 [M + 1]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. calcd. for  $C_{32}H_{26}FN_5O_2$  (531.58 g/mol): C, 72.30; H, 4.93; N, 13.17. Found: C, 71.99; H, 5.14; N, 12.88.

### 2-Amino-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-oxo-4-(1-phenyl-3-p-tolyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3carbonitrile **5f**

Yield: 68%; m. p.: 237–239°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3395 & 3335 (asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2195 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1675 (-C = O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta_H$  (ppm): 1.86–2.25 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.34 (s, 3H, tolyl-CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.65 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.49 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.88–7.95 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.39 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta_C$  (ppm): 21.29 (tolyl-CH<sub>3</sub>), 25.92 (C4), 21.73, 29.20 (2C,

CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.85 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 62.29 (C–CN), 113.49, 114.33, 114.85, 115.19, 118.15, 120.26, 124.06, 126.48, 126.59, 127.17, 130.70, 134.81, 137.66, 138.99, 145.02, 152.38, 153.20, 157.80, 158.82 (19C, Ar–C), 196.35 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for  $C_{32}H_{26}FN_5O$  (515.58 g/mol): C, 74.55; H, 5.08; N, 13.58. Found: C, 74.78; H, 4.81; N, 13.39.

### 2-Amino-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-nitrophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3carbonitrile **5q**

Yield: 74%; m. p.: 281–283°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3420 asym. & sym. str. of -NH<sub>2</sub>), 2200 (-C=N str.), 1685 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.89–2.31 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.53 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.36 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.13–8.14 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.25 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 25.79 (C4), 21.54, 28.12 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.56 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 60.17 (C–CN), 112.64, 112.95, 114.98, 115.23, 118.60, 120.46, 123.35, 126.04, 127.74, 127.94, 131.51, 135.28, 137.68, 139.78, 144.61, 151.05, 152.41, 155.89, 161.25 (19C, Ar–C), 194.92 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>23</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (546.55 g/mol): C, 68.12; H, 4.24; N, 15.38. Found: C, 67.91; H, 3.98; N, 15.63.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-oxo-4-(1-phenyl-3-(thiophen-2-yl)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1,4,5,6,7,8-

#### hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile 5h

Yield: 69%; m. p.: 225–227°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3440 asym. & sym. str. of -NH<sub>2</sub>), 2180 (-C=N str.), 1650 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.73–2.22 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.49 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.31 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.20–7.90 (m, 12H, Ar–H), 8.32 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 26.73 (C4), 20.99, 28.50 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.45 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 60.92 (C–CN), 112.87, 117.07, 117.29, 118.77, 126.64, 127.93, 128.26, 129.10, 129.89, 133.13, 133.23, 135.73, 139.77, 144.94, 151.17, 152.63, 161.58, 164.03 (19C, Ar–C), 195.57 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>22</sub>FN<sub>5</sub>OS (507.58 g/mol): C, 68.62; H, 4.37; N, 13.80. Found: C, 68.79; H, 4.28; N, 14.07.

# 2-Amino-4-(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **5i**

Yield: 79%; m. p.: 239–241°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3430 asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2190 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1685 (-C = O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.80 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.95 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.19–2.47 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.66 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.38 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.14–7.90 (m, 14H, Ar–H), 8.32 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 26.21, 27.87 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 28.39 (C4), 33.36 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 40.14 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 51.05 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 63.61 (C–CN), 112.85, 114.52, 115.11, 116.60, 118.24, 120.38, 122.94, 126.21, 126.38, 127.93, 132.30, 134.20, 135.02, 137.79, 146.12, 152.38, 155.67, 160.32, 163.44 (19C, Ar–C), 196.48 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>28</sub>FN<sub>5</sub>O (529.61 g/mol): C, 74.84; H, 5.33; N, 13.22. Found: C, 75.05; H, 5.56; N, 12.98.

# 2-Amino-4-(3-(4-bromophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **5**j

# Yield: 74%; m. p.: 260–262°C; IR (KBr, $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3435 & 3360 (asym.

Yield: 74%; m. p.: 260–262°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm  $^{-}$ ): 3435 & 3360 (asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2205 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1680 (-C=O str.);  $^{1}H$ -NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_H$  (ppm): 0.88 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.96 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>),

© 2011 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

2.12–2.40 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.69 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.22 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.92–7.99 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.24 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_C$  (ppm): 25.37, 26.05 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 29.16 (C4), 32.09 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 39.97 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 52.20 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 64.29 (C–CN), 111.85, 114.50, 115.49, 117.23, 121.19, 122.04, 126.35, 127.51, 128.48, 128.59, 133.28, 133.68, 136.66, 138.70, 147.17, 154.81, 157.99, 160.25, 162.51 (19C, Ar–C), 196.91 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>27</sub>BrFN<sub>5</sub>O (608.50 g/mol): C, 65.14; H, 4.47; N, 11.51. Found: C, 64.97; H, 4.26; N, 11.69.

#### 2-Amino-4-(3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8boxabydragujacijac 3 carbonitrija **5**k

# hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile 5k

Yield: 71%; m. p.: 243–245°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3430 asym. & sym. str. of -NH<sub>2</sub>), 2205 (-C=N str.), 1690 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.84 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.89 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.80–2.16 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.75 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.29 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.30–7.94 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.29 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_C$  (ppm): 27.05, 27.45 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 29.12 (C4), 32.44 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 41.58 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 49.82 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 61.23 (C–CN), 111.78, 117.23, 117.46, 118.81, 121.83, 126.68, 127.73, 128.78, 129.28, 129.94, 130.82, 132.77, 133.21, 139.92, 149.86, 150.40, 150.92, 161.58, 164.03 (19C, Ar–C), 195.65 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>27</sub>ClFN<sub>5</sub>O (564.05 g/mol): C, 70.27; H, 4.82; N, 12.42. Found: C, 69.96; H, 5.11; N, 12.63.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **5**

Yield: 69%; m. p.: 210–211°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3390 asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2180 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1670 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.03 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.09 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.02–2.36 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.71 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.40 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.12–8.16 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.40 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 26.62, 27.42 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 28.74 (C4), 33.21 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 41.88 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 50.01 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 62.32 (C–CN), 113.88, 114.26, 115.64, 117.00, 119.15, 120.98, 124.46, 126.33, 126.74, 128.06, 131.39, 133.77, 134.89, 138.57, 148.78, 151.41, 156.05, 159.61, 162.82 (19C, Ar–C), 195.87 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>27</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O (547.60 g/mol): C, 72.38; H, 4.97; N, 12.79. Found: C, 72.55; H, 5.20; N, 12.54.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **5m**

Yield: 78%; m. p.: 255–258°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3380 asym. & sym. str. of –NH<sub>2</sub>), 2210 (–C=N str.), 1680 (–C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.89 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.01 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.06–2.39 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.81 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.67 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.35 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.00–7.90 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.28 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_C$  (ppm): 25.13, 26.25 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 29.94 (C4), 32.97 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 42.20 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 50.33 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 54.83 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 62.11 (*C*–CN), 112.73, 114.42, 115.54, 116.71, 119.01, 120.03, 124.40, 126.58, 127.76, 131.80, 135.27, 135.65, 136.16, 139.75, 149.09, 154.13, 155.32, 160.29, 164.56 (19C, Ar–C), 195.69 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>30</sub>FN<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (559.63 g/mol): C, 72.97; H, 5.40; N, 12.51. Found: C, 73.19; H, 5.24; N, 12.73.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-4-(1-phenyl-3-p-tolyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **5n**

Yield: 75%; m. p.: 272–274°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3400 asym. & sym. str. of -NH<sub>2</sub>), 2200 (-C=N str.), 1660 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.94 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.03 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.13–2.37 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.40 (s, 3H, tolyl-CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.76 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.24 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.81–7.84 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.32 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 21.38 (tolyl-CH<sub>3</sub>), 25.09, 26.45 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 28.87 (C4), 32.68 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 40.75 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 50.67 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 60.72 (C–CN), 111.63, 113.84, 114.14, 116.97, 118.18, 121.34, 125.56, 125.69, 126.24, 127.87, 132.47, 133.36, 133.90, 136.00, 147.96, 153.22, 158.50, 160.62, 163.83 (19C, Ar–C), 194.90 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>30</sub>FN<sub>5</sub>O (543.63 g/mol): C, 75.12; H, 5.56; N, 12.88. Found: C, 74.90; H, 5.39; N, 13.15.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-4-(3-(4-nitrophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **50**

Yield: 70%; m. p.:  $210-212^{\circ}$ C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3430 asym. & sym. str. of -NH<sub>2</sub>), 2175 (-C=N str.), 1660 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.02 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.09 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.05–2.35 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.70 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.42 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.27–8.26 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.34 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 25.65, 26.76 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 28.20 (C4), 32.05 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 40.01 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 51.68 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 63.43 (C–CN), 111.46, 112.95, 114.21, 115.73, 118.55, 120.71, 124.45, 125.30, 127.53, 132.37, 133.32, 134.72, 134.87, 136.91, 148.86, 156.39, 158.62, 159.07, 163.09 (19C, Ar–C), 195.83 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>27</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (574.60 g/mol): C, 68.98; H, 4.74; N, 14.63. Found: C, 69.21; H, 4.88; N, 14.46.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-4-(1-phenyl-3-(thiophen-2-yl)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **5p**

Yield: 67%; m. p.: 231–234°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3395 & 3320 (asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2190 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1690 (-C = O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_H$  (ppm): 0.90 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.03 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.18–2.44 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.61 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.36 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.80–7.89 (m, 12H, Ar–H), 8.26 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_C$  (ppm): 26.33, 26.48 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 28.82 (C4), 32.74 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 39.68 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 50.94 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 64.57 (*C*–CN), 112.13, 114.07, 115.25, 116.11, 119.64, 120.89, 123.92, 126.77, 127.11, 130.08, 132.31, 133.93, 135.44, 137.57, 149.34, 153.12, 157.41, 160.48, 162.28 (19C, Ar–C), 196.31 (C=O); MS *m*/*z*: 536.1 [M + 1]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. calcd. for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>26</sub>FN<sub>5</sub>OS (535.63 g/mol): C, 69.51; H, 4.89; N, 13.07. Found: C, 69.36; H, 5.14; N, 12.88.

# 2-Amino-4-(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6a**

Yield: 67%; m. p.: 228–229°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3430 asym. & sym. str. of –NH<sub>2</sub>), 2210 (–C $\equiv$ N str.), 1695 (–C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.82–2.34 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.84 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 6.11 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.11–7.98 (m, 14H, Ar–H), 8.32 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.39 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$ 

(ppm): 26.88 (C4), 21.34, 28.48 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.44 (CH<sub>2</sub>-CO), 62.53 (C-CN), 112.91, 116.58, 117.47, 118.40, 120.01, 122.55, 124.70, 125.71, 126.11, 126.85, 128.78, 132.87, 139.13, 142.92, 144.07, 151.31, 153.69, 155.32, 156.08, 160.29, 162.00, 164.60 (22C, Ar-C), 196.07 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for  $C_{34}H_{25}FN_6OS$  (584.67 g/mol): C, 69.85; H, 4.31; N, 14.37. Found: C, 70.03; H, 4.45; N, 14.22.

#### 2-Amino-4-(3-(4-bromophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8bayabudraquipolipo 2 corbopitrilo **6b**

### hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile 6b

Yield: 62%; m. p.: 245–248°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3450 asym. & sym. str. of –NH<sub>2</sub>), 2170 (–C $\equiv$ N str.), 1670 (–C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.77–2.26 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.98 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 6.04 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.12–8.09 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.28 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.33 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 25.93 (C4), 22.03, 28.59 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.90 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 59.24 (C–CN), 111.19, 113.84, 115.42, 118.54, 119.97, 121.73, 123.76, 124.34, 124.58, 126.56, 131.91, 137.03, 140.65, 146.53, 147.29, 152.90, 153.22, 154.09, 155.16, 158.36, 163.83, 166.74 (22C, Ar–C), 195.22 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>24</sub>BrFN<sub>6</sub>OS (663.56 g/mol): C, 61.54; H, 3.65; N, 12.67. Found: C, 61.42; H, 3.78; N, 12.48.

# 2-Amino-4-(3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6c**

Yield: 64%; m. p.: 208–210°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3440 asym. & sym. str. of -NH<sub>2</sub>), 2185 (-C=N str.), 1685 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.70–2.12 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.72 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 6.09 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.29–7.92 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.41 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.46 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 26.34 (C4), 21.69, 28.90 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 35.94 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 61.66 (C–CN), 112.83, 115.63, 118.14, 118.95, 120.21, 123.40, 125.45, 128.27, 128.47, 129.12, 134.37, 136.75, 140.72, 142.43, 145.50, 150.00, 151.08, 152.30, 156.07, 159.16, 161.55, 163.23 (22C, Ar–C), 195.40 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>24</sub>CIFN<sub>6</sub>OS (619.11 g/mol): C, 65.96; H, 3.91; N, 13.57. Found: C, 66.13; H, 4.09; N, 13.30.

# 2-Amino-4-(3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6d**

Yield: 65%; m. p.: 200–201°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3385 & 3340 (asym. & sym. str. of -NH<sub>2</sub>), 2205 (-C=N str.), 1680 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.84–2.25 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.77 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.94 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.19–8.10 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.36 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.39 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 26.27 (C4), 20.85, 29.15 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.23 (CH<sub>2</sub>-CO), 62.82 (C-CN), 113.59, 114.18, 116.63, 118.79, 120.66, 124.39, 126.46, 126.52, 128.00, 128.69, 129.57, 133.80, 134.76, 144.50, 145.44, 153.96, 155.86, 157.90, 158.62, 158.84, 160.53, 164.80 (22C, Ar–C), 194.82 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>24</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>6</sub>OS (602.66 g/mol): C, 67.76; H, 4.01; N, 13.94. Found: C, 67.92; H, 3.87; N, 14.13.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-4-(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-

5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6e** Yield: 63%; m. p.: 211–213°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3430 asym. & sym. str. of −NH<sub>2</sub>), 2200 (−C≡N str.), 1670 (−C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR

© 2011 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

(400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.93–2.37 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.81 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 6.16 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.21–8.08 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.25 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.31 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 25.84 (C4), 21.22, 28.76 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.56 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 54.04 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 59.75 (C–CN), 112.85, 117.98, 118.06, 118.74, 120.59, 124.35, 126.24, 126.95, 128.28, 129.15, 135.04, 136.48, 140.89, 143.78, 147.17, 152.81, 155.02, 155.41, 156.82, 158.36, 161.62, 163.30 (22C, Ar–C), 194.94 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for  $C_{35}H_{27}FN_6O_2S$  (614.69 g/mol): C, 68.39; H, 4.43; N, 13.67. Found: C, 68.52; H, 4.59; N, 13.52.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-5-oxo-4-(1-phenyl-3-p-tolyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6f**

Yield: 57%; m. p.: 234–237°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3440 asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2180 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1660 (-C = O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.95–2.33 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.38 (s, 3H, tolyl-CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.98 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 6.13 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.03–7.96 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.34 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.39 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_C$  (ppm): 20.96 (tolyl-CH<sub>3</sub>), 26.95 (C4), 20.82, 29.17 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 37.26 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 60.64 (*C*–CN), 112.07, 116.50, 118.32, 119.19, 121.60, 122.12, 123.79, 124.29, 126.11, 126.23, 129.66, 137.32, 139.53, 146.38, 148.27, 150.05, 151.67, 154.08, 155.61, 157.45, 161.67, 164.92 (22C, Ar–C), 195.43 (C=O); MS *m*/*z*: 599.2 [M + 1]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. calcd. for  $C_{35}H_{27}FN_6OS$  (598.69 g/mol): C, 70.22; H, 4.55; N, 14.04. Found: C, 69.97; H, 4.72; N, 13.90.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-4-(3-(4-nitrophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6g**

Yield: 59%; m. p.:  $260-262^{\circ}$ C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3435 & 3375 (asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2210 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1665 (-C = O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.86–2.38 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.86 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.97 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.32–8.35 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.40 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.42 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 27.24 (C4), 21.09, 27.44 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.43 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 63.09 (C–CN), 115.35, 116.07, 116.29, 118.80, 120.84, 124.14, 127.10, 128.25, 128.42, 128.77, 129.86, 130.68, 139.71, 140.92, 147.37, 148.78, 150.62, 151.30, 152.18, 157.23, 161.44, 163.88 (22C, Ar–C), 196.01 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for  $C_{34}H_{24}FN_7O_3S$  (629.66 g/mol): C, 64.85; H, 3.84; N, 15.57. Found: C, 65.01; H, 3.69; N, 15.69.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-5-oxo-4-(1-phenyl-3-(thiophen-2-yl)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6h**

Yield: 65%; m. p.: 255–256°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3390 asym. & sym. str. of -NH<sub>2</sub>), 2205 (-C=N str.), 1680 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.72–2.31 (6H, m, 3 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.72 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.98 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.15–7.84 (m, 12H, Ar–H), 8.27 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.34 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 25.88 (C4), 21.19, 28.68 (2C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.10 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 61.85 (C–CN), 112.49, 115.64, 116.51, 118.00, 118.33, 120.29, 122.46, 124.26, 124.93, 127.12, 128.68, 134.20, 138.77, 142.25, 146.47, 152.99, 153.88, 154.70, 154.96, 157.02, 162.13, 163.54 (22C, Ar–C), 195.47 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>23</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>OS<sub>2</sub> (590.69 g/mol): C, 65.07; H, 3.92; N, 14.23. Found: C, 65.22; H, 4.11; N, 14.02.

### 2-Amino-4-(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6**i

Yield: 70%; m. p.: 241–242°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3395 & 3320 (asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2195 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1665 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.96 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.03 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.01–2.38 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.83 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 6.02 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.16–8.03 (m, 14H, Ar–H), 8.35 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.39 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_C$  (ppm): 26.57, 27.42 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 29.46 (C4), 33.59 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 41.29 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 51.83 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 60.93 (C–CN), 112.04, 114.12, 116.32, 117.81, 120.72, 125.95, 126.17, 126.28, 127.14, 127.35, 128.79, 129.33, 130.82, 132.65, 136.49, 139.61, 149.38, 151.15, 157.99, 159.01, 161.72, 162.06 (22C, Ar–C), 195.56 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>29</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>OS (612.72 g/mol): C, 70.57; H, 4.77; N, 13.72. Found: C, 70.29; H, 4.56; N, 13.89.

# 2-Amino-4-(3-(4-bromophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6**j

Yield: 77%; m. p.: 216–218°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3425 & 3355 (asym. & sym. str. of –NH<sub>2</sub>), 2180 (–C = N str.), 1685 (–C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.04 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.11 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.18–2.41 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.73 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 6.15 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.25–8.17 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.37 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.44 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 25.32, 26.17 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 28.93 (C4), 31.17 (*C*(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 40.49 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 52.24 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 62.78 (*C*–CN), 111.31, 112.85, 115.98, 116.74, 118.49, 121.94, 125.35, 126.28, 126.59, 127.51, 128.04, 128.66, 128.81, 131.29, 136.20, 137.02, 148.52, 152.25, 158.06, 158.35, 162.73, 163.88 (22C, Ar–C), 196.29 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>28</sub>BrFN<sub>6</sub>OS (691.61 g/mol): C, 62.52; H, 4.08; N, 12.15. Found: C, 62.70; H, 3.94; N, 12.31.

# 2-Amino-4-(3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6k**

Yield: 75%; m. p.:  $273-274^{\circ}$ C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3390 asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2195 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1670 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.97 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.05 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.15–2.36 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.99 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.99 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.17–7.94 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.42 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.47 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 26.06, 26.84 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 29.52 (C4), 32.73 ( $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 40.67 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 51.01 ( $CH_2$ –CO), 59.46 (C–CN), 113.27, 114.18, 115.49, 118.33, 120.64, 122.24, 126.06, 126.22, 127.23, 128.48, 129.50, 130.93, 131.78, 135.32, 136.17, 136.67, 147.70, 151.50, 156.82, 159.52, 161.02, 163.36 (22C, Ar–C), 196.78 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>28</sub>CIFN<sub>6</sub>OS (647.16 g/mol): C, 66.81; H, 4.36; N, 12.99. Found: C, 67.02; H, 4.61; N, 12.76.

# 2-Amino-4-(3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6**

Yield: 69%; m. p.: 182–184°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3400 asym. & sym. str. of −NH<sub>2</sub>), 2170 (−C=N str.), 1650 (−C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_H$  (ppm): 0.85 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.98 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.04–2.32 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.88 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 6.04 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.28–8.26 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.24 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5),

8.31 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_C$  (ppm): 26.42, 27.75 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 29.49 (C4), 32.02 ( $C(\mathrm{CH}_3)_2$ ), 41.10 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 51.56 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 64.90 (C–CN), 112.26, 113.90, 116.96, 117.03, 121.42, 125.95, 126.57, 127.15, 127.83, 128.99, 129.89, 130.68, 131.94, 134.80, 137.31, 138.05, 149.88, 152.30, 159.51, 158.61, 160.49, 162.98 (22C, Ar–C), 197.02 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>28</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>6</sub>OS (630.71 g/mol): C, 68.56; H, 4.47; N, 13.32. Found: C, 68.39; H, 4.33; N, 13.48.

New N-(Hetero)Arylquinoline Derivatives of 1H-Pyrazole

# 2-Amino-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-4-(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-7,7dimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3carbonitrile **6m**

Yield: 72%; m. p.: 265–268°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3390 asym. & sym. str. of -NH<sub>2</sub>), 2200 (-C=N str.), 1665 (-C=O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.97 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.05 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.10–2.49 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.90 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.79 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 6.06 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.11–8.17 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.37 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.45 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 25.69, 26.88 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 28.02 (C4), 33.21 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 40.79 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 50.72 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 56.67 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 63.09 (C–CN), 112.84, 113.73, 115.39, 116.79, 117.27, 120.34, 126.18, 126.58, 127.03, 127.47, 128.65, 128.72, 128.87, 131.75, 134.09, 139.31, 148.22, 151.71, 157.53, 158.69, 160.32, 161.29 (22C, Ar–C), 195.91 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>31</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S (642.74 g/mol): C, 69.14; H, 4.86; N, 13.08. Found: C, 68.97; H, 5.03; N, 12.89.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-7,7-dimethyl-5-oxo-4-(1-phenyl-3-p-tolyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6n**

Yield: 76%; m. p.: 292–293°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3420 asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2185 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1685 (-C=0 str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.89 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.94 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.08–2.31 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.40 (s, 3H, tolyl-CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.76 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 5.99 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.33–8.05 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.25 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.34 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 21.44 (tolyl-CH<sub>3</sub>), 26.94, 27.71 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 28.49 (C4), 32.90 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 40.41 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 49.99 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 64.43 (C–CN), 116.07, 116.15, 116.36, 118.51, 120.85, 126.62, 127.22, 128.68, 128.81, 129.42, 130.00, 130.63, 130.69, 131.07, 137.55, 139.89, 149.80, 150.91, 151.22, 157.93, 161.47, 163.90 (22C, Ar–C), 195.74 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>31</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>OS (626.75 g/mol): C, 70.91; H, 4.99; N, 13.41. Found: C, 71.10; H, 5.23; N, 13.25.

# 2-Amino-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-7,7-dimethyl-4-(3-(4-nitrophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **60**

Yield: 74%; m. p.: 287–289°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3385 & 3325 (asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2200 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1685 (-C = O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.91 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.00 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.12–2.40 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.85 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 6.19 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.13–8.24 (m, 13H, Ar–H), 8.33 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.37 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 26.21, 27.39 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 29.51 (C4), 31.72 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 39.31 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 52.08 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 63.80 (C–CN), 114.63, 115.40, 117.42, 118.00, 120.92, 122.56, 126.01, 126.76, 127.37, 127.90, 128.96, 130.77, 131.16, 133.45, 136.91, 139.83, 149.13, 152.00, 158.86, 159.54, 162.62, 163.43 (22C, Ar–C), 195.25 (C=O). Anal. calcd. for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>28</sub>FN<sub>7</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2011 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

(657.72 g/mol): C, 65.74; H, 4.29; N, 14.91. Found: C, 65.88; H, 4.42; N, 14.76.

### 2-Amino-1-(4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazol-2-yl)-7,7-dimethyl-5oxo-4-(1-phenyl-3-(thiophen-2-yl)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-1.4,5.6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carbonitrile **6p**

Yield: 72%; m. p.: 227–229°C; IR (KBr,  $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3435 & 3340 (asym. & sym. str. of  $-NH_2$ ), 2190 ( $-C \equiv N$  str.), 1650 (-C = O str.); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.89 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.95 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.14–2.33 (4H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.88 (s, 1H, quinoline H-4), 6.03 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.22–8.06 (m, 12H, Ar–H), 8.27 (s, 1H, pyrazole H-5), 8.33 (s, 1H, thiazole H-5); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (ppm): 26.99, 27.64 (2C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 28.58 (C4), 32.89 (C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 40.07 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 50.01 (CH<sub>2</sub>–CO), 64.10 (*C*–CN), 115.91, 116.13, 116.34, 118.44, 118.63, 120.95, 126.41, 126.56, 126.88, 127.02, 127.91, 128.08, 128.79, 130.04, 130.65, 135.39, 139.63, 145.15, 149.88, 151.10, 151.30, 157.92 (22C, Ar–C), 195.57 (C=O); MS *m*/*z*: 619.2 [M + 1]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. calcd. for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>27</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>OS<sub>2</sub> (618.75 g/mol): C, 66.00; H, 4.40; N, 13.58. Found: C, 65.83; H, 4.23; N, 13.67.

### Methodology for *in-vitro* antimicrobial screening or Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) measurement

The in-vitro antimicrobial activities of all the synthesized compounds and the standard drugs were assessed against three representatives of Gram-positive bacteria viz. Streptococcus pneumoniae (MTCC 1936), Clostridium tetani (MTCC 449), Bacillus subtilis (MTCC 441), three Gram-negative bacteria viz. Salmonella typhi (MTCC 98), Vibrio cholerae (MTCC 3906), Escherichia coli (MTCC 443), and two fungi viz. Aspergillus fumigatus (MTCC 3008) and Candida albicans (MTCC 227) by the Broth Microdilution MIC method recommended by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) [23]. The strains employed for the activity were procured from the Institute of Microbial Technology, Chandigarh (MTCC - micro type culture collection). The inoculum size for the test strain was adjusted to 10<sup>8</sup> CFU  $mL^{-1}$  (colony forming unit per milliliter) by comparing the turbidity (turbidimetric method). Mueller-Hinton broth was used as nutrient medium to grow and dilute the compound suspensions for the test bacteria and Sabouraud Dextrose broth was used as fungal nutrition. Ampicillin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, and norfloxacin were used as standard antibacterial drugs, whereas griseofulvin and nystatin were used as standard antifungal drugs. DMSO was used as diluent/ vehicle to get the desired concentrations of the synthesized compounds and standard drugs for testing the standard microbial strains. Serial dilutions were prepared for primary and secondary screening. Each synthesized compound and the standard drugs were diluted ob  $\mu g \ m L^{-1}$  concentrations of the synthesized drugs were taken. The active synthesized compounds found in this primary screening were further diluted to obtain 200, 100, 62.5, 50, 25, 12.5, and 6.25  $\mu g \; m L^{-1}$  concent trations for a secondary screening to test all microorganisms. The control tube containing no antibiotic was immediately subcultured (before inoculation) by spreading a loopful evenly over a quarter of a plate of medium suitable for the growth of the test organism. The tubes were then incubated at 37°C for 24 h for bacteria and 48 h for fungi. The highest dilution (lowest concentration) preventing the appearance of turbidity was considered as minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC, in  $\mu g$  $mL^{-1}$ ), *i. e.* the amount of growth from the control tube before incubation (which represents the original inoculum) is Arch. Pharm. Chem. Life Sci. 2011, 2, 91-101

compared. A set of tubes containing only seeded broth and the solvent controls were maintained under identical conditions so as to make sure that the solvent had no influence on strain growth. The result of this is much affected by the size of the inoculum. The test mixture should contain  $10^8$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> organisms. The protocols are summarized in Table 1 as the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC,  $\mu g$  mL<sup>-1</sup>).

The authors are thankful to the Head of the Department of Chemistry, Sardar Patel University, for providing <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectroscopy, and research facilities. We are also thankful to Oxygen Healthcare Research Pvt. Ltd., Ahmedabad, for providing mass spectroscopy facilities; to SICART, Vallabh Vidyanagar, for the FT-IR and elemental analyses, and Dhanji P. Rajani, Microcare Laboratory, Surat, for the antimicrobial screening of the compounds reported herein. One of the authors is grateful to UGC, New Delhi, for a Research Fellowship in Sciences for Meritorious Students.

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

### References

- N. Fokialakis, P. Magiatis, L. Chinou, S. Mitaku, F. Tillequin, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2002, 50, 413–414.
- [2] L. R. Morgan, B. S. Jursic, C. L. Hooper, D. M. Neumann, et al., Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2002, 12, 3407–3411.
- [3] A. Ryckebusch, R. Derprez-Poulain, L. Maes, M. A. Debreu-Fontaine, et al., J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 542–557.
- [4] P. Beagley, M. A. L. Blackie, K. Chibale, C. Clarkson, et al., Dalton Trans. 2003, 3046–3051.
- [5] P. Fossa, L. Mosti, G. Menozzi, C. Marzano, et al., Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2002, 10, 743–751.
- [6] A. Bolognese, G. Correale, M. Manfra, A. Lavecchia, et al., J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 849–858.
- [7] Z. Ma, Y. Hano, T. Nomura, Y. Chen, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2004, 14, 1193–1196.
- [8] Y. Sawada, H. Kayakiri, Y. Abe, T. Mizutani, et al., J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 2853–2863.
- [9] I. Damljanovic, M. Colovic, M. Vukicevic, D. Manojlovic, et al., J. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 694, 1575–1580; I. Damljanovic, M. Vukicevic, N. Radulovic, R. Palic, et al., Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 1093–1096; O. Prakash, R. Kumar, V. Parkash, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 43, 435–440; O. Prakash, R. Kumar, R. Sehrawat, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 44, 1763–1767; M. K. Bratenko, V. A. Chornous, O. I. Panimarchuk, M. V. Vovk, I. P. Burdenyuk, Pharm. Chem. J. 2006, 40, 498–500; R. Sridhar, P. T. Perumal, S. Etti, G. Shanmugam, et al., Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2004, 14, 6035– 6040.
- [10] A. A. Bekhit, H. M. A. Ashour, Y. S. A. Ghany, A. E. A. Bekhit, A. M. Baraka, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 43, 456–463; A. A. Bekhit, T. Abdel-Aziem, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 1935– 1945; A. A. Bekhit, H. T. Y. Fahmy, S. A. F. Rostom, A. M. Baraka, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 38, 27–36; A. A. Bekhit, H. T. Y. Fahmy, Arch. Pharm. Pharm. Med. Chem. 2003, 336, 111–118; A. A. Bekhit, H. T. Y. Fahmy, Arch. Pharm. Med. Chem. 2000, 333, 53–57.

© 2011 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

101

- [11] P. T. Chovatia, J. D. Akabari, P. K. Kachhadia, P. D. Zalawadia, H. S. Joshi, J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 2007, 71, 713–720.
- M. D. Joksovic, V. Markovic, Z. D. Juranic, T. Stanojkovic, et al., J. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 694, 3935–3942; H. T. Y. Fahmy, S. A. F. Rostom, A. A. Bekhit, Arch. Pharm. Pharm. Med. Chem. 2002, 335, 213–222.
- [13] A. H. Abadi, A. A. H. Eissa, G. S. Hassan, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2003, 51, 838–844.
- [14] P. Rathelot, N. Azas, H. El-Kashef, F. Delmas, et al., Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 37, 671–679.
- [15] A. I. Hashem, A. S. A. Youssef, K. A. Kandeel, W. S. I. Abou-Elmagd, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2007, 42, 934–939; A. Farghaly, H. El-Kashef, *ARKIVOC* 2006 (*xi*), 76–90; A. Farghaly, E. De Clercq, H. El-Kashef, *ARKIVOC* 2006, (*x*), 137–151.
- [16] S. C. Shetty, V. C. Bhagat, Asian J. Chem. 2008, 20, 5037-5045.
- [17] S. I. Alqasoumi, A. M. A. Taweel, A. M. Alafeefy, M. M. Hamed, et al., Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 6939-6942; S. Gao, C. H. Tsai, C. Tseng, C. F. Yao, Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 9143-9149; C. Shi, H. Chen, Y. Li, D. Shi, M. Ji, J. Chem. Res. 2008, 534-537; X. S. Wang, M. M. Zhang, H. Jiang, C. S. Yao, S. J. Tu, Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 4439-4449; X. S. Wang, M. M. Zhang, H. Jiang, D. Q. Shi, S. J. Tu, J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 2007, 54, 1033-1039; S. J. Tu, B. Jiang, R. H. Jia, J. Y. Zhang, et al., Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 3664-3668; S. J. Tu, Y. Zhang, R. Jia, Jiang. Bo, et al., Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 6521-6525; S. M. Abdel-Gawad, M. S. A. El-Gaby, H. I. Heiba, H. M. Aly, M. M. Ghorab, J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 2005, 52, 1227-1236; B. V. Lichitsky, A. A. Dudinov, M. M. Krayushkin, ARKIVOC 2001, (ix), 73-79; B. V. Lichitsky, V. N. Yarovenko, I. V. Zavarzin, M. M. Krayushkin, Russ. Chem. Bull. 2000, 49, 1251-1254; V. K. Ahuwalia, P. Sharma, B. Goyal, Indian J. Chem. 1997, 36B, 169-171; M. G. Assy, R. M. Fikry, N. H. Aouf, J. Indian Chem. Soc. 1997, 74, 152-153; M. G. Assy, F. M. A. E. Motti, Egypt. J. Chem. 1996, 39, 581-586; M. G. Assy, F. M. A. E. Motti, Indian J. Chem. 1996, 35B, 608-610; M. Hammouda, M. M. Mashaly, E. M. Afsah, Pharmazie 1994, 49, 365-366.
- [18] S. T. Selvi, V. Nadaraj, M. Sellappan, R. Sasi, M. Hema, Biorg. Med. Chem. 2006, 14, 3896–3903; O. A. El-Sayed, Y. Aboul-

Enein, Arch. Pharm. **2001**, 334, 117–120; R. G. Stein, J. H. Biel, T. Singh, J. Med. Chem. **1970**, 13, 153–155; W. Cunico, C. A. Cechinel, H. G. Bonacorso, M. A. P. Martins, et al., Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2006**, 16, 649–653; B. S. Holla, M. Mahalinga, M. S. Karthikeyan, P. M. Akberali, N. S. Shetty, Bioorg. Med. Chem. **2006**, 14, 2040–2047; M. P. Wentland, S. C. Aldous, M. D. Gruett, R. B. Perni, et al., Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **1995**, 5, 405– 410.

- [19] N. K. Ladani, M. P. Patel, R. G. Patel, *Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon* 2010, 185, 658–662; D. C. Mungra, M. P. Patel, R. G. Patel, *ARKIVOC* 2009, (*xiv*), 64–74; J. P. Nirmal, M. P. Patel, R. G. Patel, *Indian J. Chem.* 2009, 48B, 712–717; N. K. Shah, M. P. Patel, R. G. Patel, *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* 2009, 184, 2704–2719; N. K. Ladani, M. P. Patel, R. G. Patel, *ARKIVOC* 2009, (*vii*), 292–302; N. K. Ladani, M. P. Patel, R. G. Patel, Indian J. Chem. 2009, 48B, 261–266; N. A. Patel, M. P. Patel, R. G. Patel, J. Environ. Res. Dev. 2009, 3, 851–858; S. F. Thakor, P. V. Parmar, M. P. Patel, R. G. Patel, Saudi Pharma. J. 2008, 16, 64–68; S. F. Thakor, D. M. Patel, M. P. Patel, R. G. Patel, Saudi Pharma. J. 2007, 15, 48–54.
- [20] N. K. Shah, M. P. Patel, R. G. Patel, Indian J. Chem. 2009, 48B, 1170–1173.
- [21] N. J. Thumar, M. P. Patel, ARKIVOC 2009, (xiii), 363–380.
- [22] N. J. Thumar, M. P. Patel, *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **2009**, 184, 2720–2732; N. A. Patel, M. P. Patel, R. G. Patel, *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* **2008**, 183, 2191–2203; R. D. Patel, M. P. Patel, R. G. Patel, *Indian J. Chem.* **2005**, 44B, 1944–1946.
- [23] National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS), Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, Twelfth Informational Supplement, Wayne, PA, USA 2002, M100-S12 (M7).
- [24] M. A. Kira, M. O. Abdel-Rahman, K. Z. Gadalla, Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 10, 109–110.
- [25] R. M. Dodson, L. C. King, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1945, 67, 2242-2243.
- [26] K. Chanda, M. C. Dutta, J. N. Vishwakarma, Indian J. Chem. 2004, 43B, 2475–2477.