

Synthetic Methods

Regio- and Chemoselective N-1 Acylation of Indoles: Pd-Catalyzed Domino Cyclization to Afford 1,2-Fused Tricyclic Indole Scaffolds

Yongxian Liu, Yuanqiong Huang, Hongjian Song,* Yuxiu Liu, and Qingmin Wang*^[a]

Abstract: A concise method for the synthesis of 1,2-fused tricyclic indole scaffolds by domino cyclization involving a Pd-catalyzed Sonogashira coupling, indole cyclization, regio- and chemoselective N-1 acylation, and 1,4-Michael addition is reported. This method provides straightforward access to tetrahydro[1,4]diazepino[1,2-*a*]indole and hexahydro[1,5]diazocino[1,2-*a*]indole scaffolds.

Fused polycyclic indole scaffolds^[1-4] (Figure 1) are found in numerous natural products and synthetic drug molecules. Important examples include streptocarbazoles and evodiagenine (Figure 1), which have a tetrahydro[1,4]diazepino[1,2-*a*]indole core structure. These compounds have attracted the attention



Figure 1. Natural products with fused polycyclic indole scaffolds.

of pharmacologists and organic chemists owing to their remarkable medicinal properties and their synthetically challenging heptacyclic structure. They have been examined as potential kinase inhibitors,^[5] antiviral and antiallergic agents,^[6-7] inhibitors of hepatitis C virus replication,^[8-10] and antitumor agents;^[11] however, only a few methods for their synthesis have been reported.^[12] Therefore, a convenient strategy for efficiently constructing tetrahydro[1,4]diazepino[1,2-*a*]indole scaffolds is desirable.

Domino cyclization reactions triggered by transition-metal catalysis have been the subject of intense research in organic

[a]	Y. Liu, Y. Huang, H. Song, Y. Liu, Prof. Q. Wang
	State Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry
	Research Institute of Elemento-Organic Chemistry
	Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and
	Engineering (Tianjin), Nankai University, Tianjin 300071 (China)
	Fax: (+86) 22-23503952
	E-mail: wang98h@263.net
	wangqm@nankai.edu.cn
	Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under
	http://dx.doi.ora/10.1002/chem.201406617.

synthesis, and these one-pot processes can be used to construct polycyclic compounds rapidly. Recently, Fan et al. reported the use of a Pd-catalyzed domino reaction to construct 3,4fused tricyclic azepino[5,4,3*cd*]indoles;^[13] Lu et al. used a onepot Pd^{II}-catalyzed reaction to construct cycloalkane-fused indoles (Scheme 1 a, b).^[14] However, no Pd-catalyzed domino



c) Pd-catalyzed domino cyclization to afford 1,2-fused tricyclic indoles (our work)



Scheme 1. Pd-catalyzed domino cyclization to form fused indoles.

method for the synthesis of 1,2-fused tricyclic indoles has been reported. As part of our ongoing work on the use of domino cyclization reactions to prepare bioactive molecules, we have developed a method for the Pd-catalyzed domino synthesis of 1,2-fused tricyclic indoles from substituted 2,2,2-trifluoro-*N*-(2-iodophenyl)acetamides and *N*-protected (prop-2-yn-1-yl)acry-lamides (Scheme 1 c). This method provides straightforward access to 1,2-fused tricyclic indoles.

To optimize the domino cyclization, we used 2,2,2-trifluoro-N-(2-iodophenyl)acetamide (1a) and N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-Ntosylacrylamide (2 a) as model substrates (Table 1). Under the initial conditions, the target product 3 aa was obtained in 67% yield (entry 1) and its structure was confirmed by X-ray diffraction.^[15] The effect of the solvent was then investigated, and 1,4-dioxane was found to give the best yield of 3aa (82%, entry 3). When acetonitrile was used, the yield decreased to 38% (entry 2); most of 1a did not participate in the predominant reaction, which resulted in a complex mixture of side products. Increasing the temperature had a negative effect; the yield was only 25% when the reaction was conducted at 90 $^{\circ}$ C (entry 4). When lowering the temperature to 70 or 60 $^{\circ}$ C, the yield was only 72 and 50%, respectively (entries 5 and 6). Next, we investigated the effect of different bases. Both Cs₂CO₃ and Na₂CO₃ showed a lower reactivity than K₂CO₃ (entries 7

These are not the final page numbers! **77**

Wiley Online Library

Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 1-5

was not added.

Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions. ^[a]								
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & T_{S} \\ & & \\ & $								
Entry	Pd(OAc) ₂ [mol%]	PPh₃ [mol%]	Base	Solvent	<i>Т</i> [°С]	Yield [%] ^[b]		
1	20	80		DMF	80	67		
2	20	80	K ₂ CO ₃	CH₃CN	80	38		
3	20	80	K ₂ CO ₃	1,4-dioxane	80	82		
4	20	80	K ₂ CO ₃	1,4-dioxane	90	25		
5	20	80	K ₂ CO ₃	1,4-dioxane	70	72		
6	20	80	K ₂ CO ₃	1,4-dioxane	60	50		
7	20	80	Cs ₂ CO ₃	1,4-dioxane	70	52		
8	20	80	Na ₂ CO ₃	1,4-dioxane	70	trace		
9	20	80	DBU	1,4-dioxane	70	38		
10 ^[c]	20	80	K ₂ CO ₃	1,4-dioxane	70	trace		
11	10	40	K ₂ CO ₃	1,4-dioxane	80	81		
12	5	20	K ₂ CO ₃	1,4-dioxane	80	32		
[a] Reaction conditions: 1a (0.73 mmol), 2a (1.1 equiv), base (2 equiv), TBAB (2 equiv) in solvent (25 mL) and H ₂ O (1 mL), unless otherwise noted. [b] Isolated yields. [c] TBAB								

A European Journal Communication

tively). When we changed the benzenesulfonyl protecting group to thiophen-2-ylsulfonyl, **3aj** was obtained in a moderate yield; compounds with a methyl and cyclopropyl sulfonyl protecting group gave the expected products **3ak** and **3al** in 44 and 51% yield. The reaction was strongly influenced by the steric effects of **2**; **3am** was obtained in only 14% yield when a methyl group was introduced to the terminal alkenyl of **2m**. Additionally, the octacyclic compound **3an** was obtained in 61% isolated yield. However, when a methylene group was added, the nonacyclic compound was not obtained.

A proposed mechanism for this domino cyclization reaction is depicted in Scheme 4. The initial step involves a Pd-catalyzed Sonogashira coupling of **1a** and **2a** to form **4**.^[17] Domino indole cyclization followed by an intramolecular transamidation reaction affords key intermediate **C** via **5** (path 1, route (1))^[18–20] and subsequent Michael addition gives **3aa**. There is another possible pathway to **3aa**: **4** can be converted to **6** through an intermolecular

and 8). When the organic base 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7ene (DBU) was used, a portion of both substrates **1a** and **2a** remained, even after a prolonged reaction time (entry 9). Little or no reaction occurred in the absence of tetra-*n*-butylammonium bromide (TBAB; entry 10), which revealed the importance of the phase-transfer catalyst in the reaction.^[16] Further optimization studies focused on the effect of the amounts of Pd(OAc)₂ and PPh₃. When the amounts of Pd(OAc)₂ and PPh₃ were decreased to 10 and 40 mol %, respectively (entry 11), the yield remained the same as compared to entry 3. However, when the amounts were further reduced, the yield decreased substantially (entry 12).

After having established the optimized conditions (Table 1, entry 11), the substrate scope was investigated. First, we evaluated substrates with various substituents on the benzene ring (1a-1j, Scheme 2) and found that electronic effects strongly influenced the reaction. Specifically, substrates with electrondonating groups, such as methyl and methoxyl, gave lower yields of the corresponding products (3ba and 3ia); substrates with electron-withdrawing groups, such as fluoro (3ea), chloro (3ca, 3ha), bromo (3da), and cyano (3fa), gave moderate to excellent yields. The yields decreased significantly when strongly electron-withdrawing groups, such as trifluoromethyl and carbonyl (3ga and 3ja), were introduced. It is worth noting that the cyano and bromo functional groups on the benzene ring can be used to carry out further transformations.

Substrates **2b–21** (Scheme 3) were synthesized to investigate the influence of the protecting group on the domino cyclization reaction. All compounds with a substituted benzenesulfonyl protecting group gave the expected products **3ab-**-**3ai**. Compounds with an electron-donating group gave better yields than those with an electron-withdrawing group: the yields of **3aa**, **3ac**, **3ae**, and **3af** (81, 67, 75, and 73%, respectively) were much higher than the yields of **3ad** (9%) and **3ag–3ai** containing a halogen atom (35, 26, and 43%, respec-



Scheme 2. Synthesis of tetrahydro[1,4]diazepino[1,2-*a*]indoles. Reaction conditions: 1 (0.73 mmol), 2 (0.77 mmol), TBAB (1.46 mmol), K_2CO_3 (1.46 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (10 mol%), PPh₃ (40 mol%), and H₂O (1 mL) in 1,4-dioxane (25 mL) at 77–80 °C for 4–10 h. Isolated yields are reported.

transamidation reaction, which occurs prior to the indole cyclization, and then C is formed via indole cyclization of intermediate **6** (path 2).

To determine whether path 1 or path 2 is more likely, we conducted several control experiments. First, we prepared the proposed intermediate 5 by using reported methods^[21-23] and subjected it to alkaline conditions in the absence of a Pd catalyst (Scheme 5a). To our delight, the expected cyclization proceeded smoothly to give **3aa** in 92% yield, which proved that **5** is an intermediate in the domino reaction. It is worth noting that **3aa**' was not obtained either from **5** or from **1a**, which

Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 1–5 www.cher

www.chemeurj.org

2

© 2015 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



Scheme 3. Synthesis of tetrahydro[1,4]diazepino[1,2-*a*]indoles and hexahydro[1,5]diazocino[1,2-*a*]indoles. Isolated yields are reported.



Scheme 4. Proposed mechanism.

suggests that a 1,4-Michael addition (Scheme 4, path 1, route (2)) does not occur under our reaction conditions; in addition, the C-3 acylation product **3 aa**" (path 1, route (3)) was not obtained. These results indicate that the acylation reaction of **5** to afford **C** exhibits excellent chemo- and regioselectivity. Additionally, **3 ao**, **3 ap**, and **3 aq** (Scheme 5 b) were separated from product mixtures that were obtained from the domino reaction of **1 a** with **2**. The above results indicate that path 1 is the proposed pathway. However, when substrate **1 k** and 4-methyl-*N*-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide were subjected



Scheme 5. Control experiments.

to the domino cyclization conditions (Scheme 5c), **3 aa** was obtained in 9% yield; this suggests that path 2 cannot be ruled out.

In summary, we developed a Pd-catalyzed domino route for the synthesis of tetrahydro[1,4]diazepino[1,2-*a*]indoles by using simple substituted 2,2,2-trifluoro-*N*-(2-iodophenyl)acetamides and *N*-protected (prop-2-yn-1-yl)acrylamides as starting materials. This method provides straightforward access to 1,2-fused tricyclic indoles. On the basis of corresponding experiments and X-ray crystal structures of products **3**, we propose a mechanism involving a Pd-catalyzed Sonogashira coupling, indole

> cyclization, regio- and chemoselective N-1 acylation, and 1,4-Michael addition. Further studies on the application of this domino route are in progress in our laboratory.

Experimental Section

Experimental details and additional data can be found in the Supporting Information.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21132003, 21421062, 21372131) and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education

(20130031110017) for generous financial support of our research programs.

Keywords: chemoselectivity · cyclization · domino reactions · indoles · palladium · regioselectivity

- P. Fu, C. L. Yang, Y. Wang, P. P. Liu, Y. M. Ma, L. Xu, M. B. Su, K. Hong, W. M. Zhu, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2422.
- [2] Z. Q. Wang, Y. J. Liang, X. Feng, Chin. Chem. Lett. 2010, 21, 596.
- [3] G. Lazurjevski, I. Terentjeva, Heterocycles 1976, 4, 1783.

Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 1-5 www

www.chemeurj.org

3

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2015 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers! **77**



- [4] S. Suetsugu, H. Nishiguchi, C. Tsukano, Y. Takemoto, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 996.
- [5] A. Putey, G. Fournet, O. Lozach, L. Perrin, L. Meijer, B. Joseph, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 83, 617.
- [6] A. V. Ivashchenko, V. V. Bichko, O. D. Mit'kin, WO 2012011847A1, 2012.
- [7] C. Y. Ho, WO 8504554A1, 1985.
- [8] T. W. Hudyma, X. F Zheng, F. He, M. Ding, C. P. Bergstrom, P. Hewawasam, S. W. Martin, R. G. Gentles, WO 2006020082A1, 2006.
- [9] T. Oka, K. Ikegashira, S. Hirashima, H. Yamanaka, S. Noji, Y. Niwa, Y. Matsumoto, T. Sato, I. Ando, Y. Nomura, WO 2005080399A1, 2005.
- [10] I. Stansfield, C. Ercolani, A. Mackay, I. Conte, M. Pompei, U. Koch, N. Gennari, C. Giuliano, M. Rowley, F. Narjes, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2009, 19, 627.
- [11] M. Maeda, S. Sasaki, N. Harada, J. Kimura, JP 2000239252A, 2000.
- [12] For related references, see: a) A. R. Katritzky, R. Jain, R. Akhmedova, Y. J. Xu, *ARKIVOC* 2003, *9*, *4*; b) J. X. Liu, M. Shen, Y. D. Zhang, G. S. Li, A. Kho-dabpcus, S. Rodriguez, B. Qu, V. Farina, C. H. Senanayaka, B. Z. Lu, *Org. Lett.* 2006, *8*, 3573; c) Y. Ohta, H. Chiba, S. Oishi, N. Fujii, H. Ohno, *Org. Lett.* 2008, *10*, 3535; d) D. G. Pintori, M. F. Greaney, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, *133*, 1209; e) E. G. Feng, Y. Zhou, F. Zhao, X. J. Chen, L. Zhang, H. Jiang, H. Liu, *Green Chem.* 2012, *14*, 1888.
- [13] C. Zheng, J. J. Chen, R. H. Fan, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 816.
- [14] G. Q. Xia, X. L. Han, X. Y. Lu, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2058.

- [15] CCDC 1030539(3 aa), 1030543(3 ac), and 1028173(3 ak) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [16] For the role of phase-transfer catalysts in the coupling reaction, see: a) M. T. Reetz, E. Westermann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2000, *39*, 165; *Angew. Chem.* 2000, *112*, 170; b) B. Z. Lu, H. X. Wei, Y. D. Zhang, W. Y. Zhao, M. Dufour, G. S. Li, V. Farina, C. H. Senanayake, *J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 78, 4558.
- [17] L. H. Xu, I. R. Lewis, S. K. Davidsen, J. B. Summers, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 5159.
- [18] R. C. Larock, E. K. Yum, M. D. Refvik, J. Org.Chem. 1998, 63, 7652.
- [19] G. Battistuzzi, S. Cacchi, G. Fabrizi, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 2671.
- [20] B. Z. Lu, W. Y. Zhao, H. X. Wei, M. Dufour, V. Farina, C. H. Senanayake, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3271.
- [21] F. Y. Mo, F. Li, D. Qiu, Y. Zhang, J. B. Wang, Chin. J. Chem. 2012, 30, 2297.
- [22] S. V. Van der Jeught, N. D. Vos, K. Masschelein, I. Ghiviriga, C. V. Stevens, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 5444.
- [23] M. Chakrabarty, T. Kundu, Y. Harigaya, Synth. Commun. 2006, 36, 2069.

Received: December 23, 2014 Published online on ■■ ■, 0000



COMMUNICATION



Domino route: A concise method for the synthesis of 1,2-fused tricyclic indole scaffolds by domino cyclization is reported. This method provides straightforward access to tetrahydro[1,4]diazepino[1,2-*a*]indole and hexahydro[1,5]diazocino[1,2-*a*]indole scaffolds (see figure).

Synthetic Methods

Y. Liu, Y. Huang, H. Song,* Y. Liu, Q. Wang*



Regio- and Chemoselective N-1 Acylation of Indoles: Pd-Catalyzed Domino Cyclization to Afford 1,2-Fused Tricyclic Indole Scaffolds