

PII: S0040-4039(96)01961-2

Studies in Marine Macrolide Synthesis: Stereocontrolled Synthesis of the AB-Spiroacetal Subunit of Spongistatin 1 (Altohyrtin A).

Ian Paterson,* Renata M. Oballa and Roger D. Norcross

University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 IEW, UK.

Abstract: The C_1-C_{13} subunit 2, containing the AB-spiroacetal ring system of spongistatin 1 (1), was prepared in 11 steps with 90% ds from 3-benzyloxypropanal. Key steps include (*i*) the aldol reaction between 4 and 11 using (-)-Ipc₂BCl and (*ii*) the spiroacetalisation, $3 \rightarrow 14$. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

The spongistatins are a novel group of cytotoxic macrolides, isolated from marine sponges of the genus *Spongia*,¹ *Spirastrella*,² *Hyrtios*³ and *Cinachyra*,⁴ which were first reported in 1993 by Pettit *et al.*^{1a} The complete relative and absolute stereochemistry of spongistatin 1 (= altohyrtin A), as defined by elaborate NOESY and Mosher ester ¹H NMR experiments, has recently been proposed as that shown in structure **1** by Kitagawa and coworkers.^{3a} However, this configurational assignment is partially in conflict with the stereochemistry proposed by the Pettit^{2b,5} and Fusetani⁴ groups. The spongistatins are reported to be among the most potent compounds tested against a distinctive subset of highly chemoresistant tumour types in the US National Cancer Institute's panel of 60 human cancer cell lines (mean $GI_{50} = 10^{-11} M$)⁵ and are believed to function by inhibiting tubulin polymerisation.⁶



Due to their extremely meagre natural supply $(10^{-6} - 10^{-7}\%)$ isolated yield from the whole sponge) and the painstaking isolation procedure, synthetic efforts towards the spongistatins are required to firmly establish the structures and provide further material for biological testing. As part of our studies in marine macrolide synthesis,⁷ we now report a stereocontrolled synthesis of the C₁–C₁₃ spiroacetal segment **2** of spongistatin 1 (1) by using the asymmetric boron aldol reactions^{8,9} of methyl ketones. Central to our synthetic strategy for 2 is the thermodynamically-controlled spiroacetalisation of the open-chain precursor 3 in Scheme 1, followed by manipulation of the C₅ and C₉ hydroxyl groups. Note that the AB-spiroacetal subunit of the spongistatins possesses an energetically favourable, double anomeric effect and has the C₃ and C₁₁ sidechains arranged equatorially on each tetrahydropyran ring. The stereocontrolled construction of the pseudo-C₂-symmetric chain in 3 was planned around the sequential, two-directional, aldol coupling of acetone with the chiral aldehyde 4. Previously, we have reported the use of (+)- and (-)-diisopinocampheylboron chlorides (Ipc₂BCI) for asymmetric aldol reactions of methyl ketones with various aldehydes.⁹ As part of a novel approach to 1,3-polyol synthesis,¹⁰ we now extend this chemistry to double^{9a} and triple¹¹ stereodifferentiating aldol reactions with β-oxygenated aldehydes such as **4** (Scheme 2).

First, we required a practical asymmetric synthesis of (S)-4 in high enantiomeric purity.¹² Following the Brown protocol,¹³ addition (Et₂O, -78 °C) of the allylborane 5 (obtained from (+)-2-carene via 6) to 3benzyloxypropanal gave, after oxidative workup, a 93% yield of 7. The homoallylic alcohol 7 was produced with 97% ee (MTPA ester) on a 4 g scale, which proved to be superior to all other enantioselective allylation methods we examined. Silyl protection as the TES ether, followed by ozonolysis, then gave the required (S)aldehyde 4 in 87% yield.



Scheme 2: (a) Allylmagnesium bromide, Et₂O, -78 °C, 15 min; 20 °C, 1 h; (b) **5**, -78 °C, 4 h; H₂O₂, NaOH, Δ , 19 h; (c) TESOTf, 2.6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C, 2 h; (d) O₃, CH₂Cl₂, NaHCO₃, -78 °C; PPh₃, 20 °C, 3 h; (e) (-)-Ipc₂BCl, or (c-C₆H₁₁)₂BCl or (+)-Ipc₂BCl, Et₃N, Et₂O, 0 °C, 30 min; **4**, -78 °C, 5 h; H₂O₂, MeOH-pH 7 buffer; (f) TBSOTf, 2.6-lutidine, THF, -78 °C, 2 h.

We next explored the use of reagent control in the boron-mediated aldol reaction of acetone to aldehyde 4. Using our standard conditions, 9a,b the boron enolate 8a was prepared by enolisation of acetone with (-)-Inc>BCl (EtaN, EtaO, 0 °C), followed by addition to 4 at -78 °C. This matched reaction proceeded in 89% yield to give predominantly the 1.3-syn isomer 9 with 93% ds by re-face attack. In comparison, the dicyclohexylboron¹⁴ enolate **8b** produced **9** with 75% ds, indicating a moderate level of 1.3-syn induction from the aldehyde.^{9a,15} The enolate 8c prepared from (+)-Ipc₂BCl overturned this result, giving a small preference for formation of the corresponding 1.3-*anti* isomer 10. After silvl protection of 9 as the TBS ether. methyl ketone 11 was obtained in 78% yield from 4. The synthesis of the open-chain spiroacetal precursor 3 from 4 and 11 exploited triple asymmetric induction.¹¹ where the influence of all three chiral components (aldehvde, ketone and boron reagent) were matched. Using the boron enolate 12a prepared from 11 with (-)-IDC2BCl, the aldol coupling with 4 proceeded in 81% yield to provide a 97; 3 mixture of adducts, in favour of the 1,3-syn isomer 3.¹⁶ Here, the 1,3-syn preference of aldehyde 4 (*i.e. re*-face attack) and the remote 1,5-anti preference¹⁷ of methyl ketone 11 act in a synergistic fashion, thereby providing excellent levels of stereocontrol. When using the dicyclohexylboron enolate 12b alone, the selectivity of this aldol reaction was reduced to 91% ds, while the enolate 12c formed from (+)-Ipc₂BCl gave 89% ds. In contrast to these boron aldol results, the corresponding lithium enolate (LDA, THF, -78 °C) led to a reversal in selectivity to give 13 with 66% ds (83% yield).



Scheme 3: (a) PPTS, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (1:1), 20 °C, 3 h; (b) TPAP, NMO, MeCN, 4Å powdered mol. sieves, 20 °C, 1 h; (c) MeMgBr, THF, -78 °C $\rightarrow -20$ °C, 2 h; (d) CSA, MeOH, 20 °C, 2 h; (e) Ac₂O/CH₂Cl₂ (4:1), DMAP, 20 °C, 90 min.

As shown in **Scheme 3**, treatment of **3** with PPTS in MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ led to clean removal of both TES protecting groups and *in situ* acetalisation to produce a single spiroacetal **14** in 77% yield. Note that although the C₉ stereocentre is later destroyed by oxidation, efficient thermodynamic spiroacetalisation only proceeds with the (9*S*)-configuration.¹⁸ A possible rationale for this observation is the extra stabilisation obtained by the intramolecular hydrogen bond which develops in **14** between the C₉ *axial* hydroxyl and the spiroacetal oxygen. TPAP oxidation¹⁹ of the alcohol **14** and equatorial addition of MeMgBr to the resultant ketone then gave the tertiary alcohol **15** in 85% yield. Finally, conversion of the TBS-protected alcohol at C₅ to the corresponding acetate was accomplished in 2 steps (95%) to provide the desired spiroacetal **2**, $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -67.2^\circ$ (*c* 1.17, CHCl₃). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data obtained¹² for **2** closely resembles that reported for the corresponding segment of the spongistatins. In particular, the NOESY results and coupling constants for **2** are in full accord with that described for spongistatin 1 (altohyrtin A), which supports the structure proposed for the C₁–C₁₃ region containing the AB-spiroacetal ring system (*cf.* boxed region of **1**).

In summary, this synthesis of the C_1 - C_{13} spiroacetal subunit 2 of spongistatin 1 (altohyrtin A) proceeds in 11 steps (32% yield) with 90% overall diastereoselectivity. This work, together with that reported in the accompanying paper,¹⁷ demonstrates that high diastereoselectivity can be obtained for methyl ketone aldol reactions using chiral boron enolates, providing a practical entry into the synthesis of 1,3-polyols. Studies directed towards the total synthesis of spongistatin 1 (1) are currently underway.

Acknowledgement: We thank the NSERC of Canada (Postdoctoral Fellowship to RMO), the EPSRC and Merck, Sharp & Dohme for support.

References and Notes

- (a) Pettit, G. R.; Cichacz, Z. A.; Gao, F.; Herald, C. L.; Boyd, M. R.; Schmidt, J. M.; Hooper, J. N. A. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1302. (b) Pettit, G. R.; Cichacz, Z. A.; Gao, F.; Herald, C. L.; Boyd, M. R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1166.
- (a) Pettit, G. R.; Herald, C. L.; Cichacz, Z. A.; Gao, F.; Schmidt, J. M.; Boyd, M. R.; Christie, N. D.; Boettner, F. E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1805. (b) Pettit, G. R.; Cichacz, Z. A.; Herald, C. L.; Gao, F.; Boyd, M. R.; Schmidt, J. M.; Hamel, E.; Bai, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 1605.
- Some of the altohyrtin macrolides reported in the folowing papers are assumed to be structurally identical to the spongistatins (*i.e.* altohyrtin A corresponds to spongistatin 1): (a) Kobayashi, M.; Aoki, S.; Kitagawa, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 1243. (b) Kobayashi, M.; Aoki, S.; Sakai, H.; Kawazoe, K.; Kihara, N.; Sasaki, T.; Kitagawa, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, 34, 2795. (c) Kobayashi, M.; Aoki, S.; Sakai, H.; Kihara, N.; Sasaki, T.; Kitagawa, I. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 1993, 41, 989.
- Cinachyrolide A is assumed to be structurally identical to spongistatin 4 (see ref 2a): Fusetani, N.; Shinoda, K.; Matsunaga, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3977.
- 5. Pettit, G. R. Pure Appl. Chem. 1994, 66, 2271 and references therein.
- (a) Bai, R.; Cichacz, Z. A.; Herald, C. L.; Pettit, G. R.; Hamel, E. Mol. Pharmacol. 1993, 44, 757. (b) Bai, R.; Taylor, G. F.; Cichacz, Z. A.; Herald, C. L.; Kepler, J. A.; Pettit, G. R.; Hamel, E. Biochemistry 1995, 34, 9714.
- 7. Norcross, R. D.; Paterson, I. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2041.
- 8. Cowden, C. J.; Paterson, I. Org. React. 1997, in press.
- (a) Paterson, I.; Cumming, J. G.; Smith, J. D.; Ward, R. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 441. (b) Paterson, I.; Smith, J. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 5351. (c) Paterson, I. Pure Appl. Chem. 1992, 64, 1821. (d) Paterson, I.; Goodman, J. M.; Lister, M. A.; Schumann, R. C.; McClure, C. K.; Norcross, R. D. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 4663. (e) Paterson, I.; Goodman, J. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 997.

.

- 10. Oishi, T.; Nakata, T. Synthesis 1990, 635.
- 11. Duplantier, A. J.; Nantz, M. H.; Roberts, J. C.; Short, R. P.; Somfai, P.; Masamune, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 7357.
- 12. All new compounds gave spectroscopic data in agreement with the assigned structures. NMR data for spiroacetal 2: ¹H NMR δ (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) 1.03 (3H, s, C₉-Me), 1.21 (1H, t, *J* = 12.3 Hz, H_{10A}), 1.38 (1H, d, *J* = 13.9 Hz, H_{8A}), 1.51-1.46 (2H, m, H_{4A} and H_{10B}), 1.71-1.55 (7H, m, H_{2A}, H_{2B}, H_{4B}, H_{6A}, H_{8B}, H_{12A} and H_{12B}), 1.77 (1H, d, *J* = 15 Hz, H_{6B}), 1.89 (3H, s, -OC(O)CH₃), 3.44-3.35 (2H, m, -CH₂OBn), 3.56 (2H, dt, *J* = 5.7, 1.8 Hz, -CH₂OBn), 3.89 (1H, br t, *J* = 12.3 Hz, H₁₁), 3.99-3.95 (1H, m, H₃), 4.21 (1H, s, 9-OH), 4.36-4.27 (4H, m, 2 x -OCH₂Ph), 4.89 (1H, br s, H₅), 7.32-7.22 (10H, m, aromatic); ¹³C NMR δ (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) 21.2, 30.4, 34.2, 35.0, 35.4, 36.7, 43.6, 45.4, 62.2, 62.6, 66.5, 66.7, 67.0, 67.4, 72.1, 72.2, 97.3, 127.4, 127.5, 127.7, 128.3, 138.3, 138.6, 169.9.
- 13. Brown, H. C.; Randad, R. S.; Bhat, K. S.; Zaidlewicz, M.; Racherla, U. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 2389.
- 14. Brown, H. C.; Dhar, R. K.; Ganesan, K.; Singaram, B. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 499.
- 15. The 1,3-induction obtained with such boron-mediated aldol reactions of methyl ketones is influenced by several factors, one of which is the nature of the β-hydroxyl protecting group in the aldehyde. See also: (a) Evans, D. A.; Duffy, J. L.; Dart, M. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 35, 8537. (b) Gustin, D. J.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S.; Roush, W. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1995, 36, 3443. (c) Paterson, I.; Bower, S.; Tillyer, R. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, 34, 4393.
- 16. The configuration of the newly introduced stereocentre at C_9 was verified by TBS protection of the hydroxyl group of 3, thus generating a C_2 -symmetric compound.
- 17. Paterson, I.; Gibson, K. R.; Oballa, R. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 0000 (following paper).
- 18. Alternatively, when 3 was oxidised to the acyclic β -diketone, all attempts to effect efficient spiroacetalisation failed.
- 19. Ley, S. V.; Norman, J.; Griffith, W. P.; Marsden, S. P. Synthesis 1994, 639.

(Received in UK 30 August 1996; accepted 4 October 1996)