


Transition-Metal-Free Semihydrogenation of Diarylalkynes: Highly Stereoselective Synthesis of *trans*-Alkenes Using Na₂S·9H₂O

Zhengwang Chen,* Miaoting Luo, Yuelu Wen, Guotian Luo, and Liangxian Liu*

Key Laboratory of Organo-Pharmaceutical Chemistry of Jiangxi Province, Gannan Normal University, Ganzhou 341000, P.R. China

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A highly stereoselective and efficient transition-metal-free semihydrogenation of internal alkynes to *E*-alkenes using cheap and green water as hydrogen donor is described. The reactions are conducted under convenient conditions and provide products in good to excellent yields, with broad substrate scope, including a variety of diarylalkynes.



- Transition-metal-free
- Water as hydrogen donor
- High stereoselectivity
- Good substituent compatibility




The selective semihydrogenation of alkynes to alkenes with a defined *Z*- or *E*-configuration is an important transformation in organic chemistry.¹ It has been widely used for the synthesis of synthetic intermediates, natural products, fragrances, and pharmaceuticals.² Among the various efficient methods to access *Z*-alkenes, Lindlar's catalyst (Pd/CaCO₃) and its variants are the most popular choices.³ Over the past decades, some other functional-group-tolerant catalytic *Z*-selective semihydrogenation approaches have been developed.⁴ However, on the contrary, examples of semihydrogenation of alkynes to *E*-alkenes have been rarely studied or reported. A textbook example of a Birch-type reduction of alkynes by alkali metals (Li, Na) in liquid ammonia is the traditional and powerful protocol for obtaining *E*-alkene, but the harsh reaction conditions damaged the functional group tolerance.⁵ Recently, some catalytic systems for alkyne semihydrogenation to *E*-alkenes have been explored. Among them, the transition-metal-complex-containing Rh,⁶ Ru,⁷ Pd,⁸ Ir,⁹ Ni,¹⁰ and Fe¹¹ have proven to be useful for this transformation. However, these transition-metal reagents still have some drawbacks, such as high price, generation of toxic waste, and the need of an air-sensitive or expensive ligand. From economical and environmental viewpoints, it would be advantageous and quite desirable to develop transition-metal-free systems for the synthesis of alkenes from alkynes.¹²

Sodium sulfide nonahydrate is an inexpensive and safe reducing agent, which has been widely used for the reduction of aromatic nitro compounds to the corresponding anilines in organic synthesis. Recently, we have reported a series of transformations based on terminal alkynes and haloalkynes.¹³ As part of our continuing project on the functionalization of alkynes, here we wish to present a highly stereoselective and efficient method for the synthesis of a series of *E*-alkenes containing a wide range of functional groups via Na₂S·9H₂O reduction of diarylalkynes.

Our initial efforts were focused on the search for suitable reaction conditions in dimethyl sulfoxide, where the reaction of 1,2-diphenylethyne (**1a**) with Na₂S·9H₂O was chosen as the model reaction. We added different transition metals into the reaction, assuming the reaction to be a catalytic process.

However, the control experiment results showed that (*E*)-diphenylethene could be obtained without addition of any transition metals, which implies that it is a noncatalytic reaction. As shown in Table 1, we then screened other factors of the

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions for the Synthesis of (*E*)-Diphenylethene^a

					
1a				2a	
entry	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield ^b (%)	
1	DMSO	140	16	86	
2	xylene	140	16	n.r.	
3	DMA	140	16	93	
4	DMF	140	16	96	
5	H ₂ O	100	16	trace	
6 ^c	DMF	140	16	n.r.	
7	DMF	100	16	70	
8	DMF	60	16	trace	
9	DMF	140	10	98 (96)	
10	DMF	140	6	59	
11 ^d	DMF	140	10	71	
12 ^e	DMF	140	10	40	

^aReaction conditions: 1,2-diphenylethyne (0.25 mmol), sodium sulfide nonahydrate (1.2 equiv), and solvent (1.0 mL). ^bDetermined by GC. Number in parentheses is isolated yield. ^cWithout sodium sulfide nonahydrate. ^dSodium sulfide nonahydrate (2 equiv) was used. ^eSodium sulfide nonahydrate (0.5 equiv) was used.


reaction including solvent, temperature and reaction time. We found that the reaction showed a strong solvent dependence. Among the solvents used, DMSO, DMA, and DMF were proven appropriate (Table 1, entries 1–5). The reaction did not proceed without sodium sulfide nonahydrate (Table 1, entry 6). It is noteworthy that reaction temperatures are

Received: April 19, 2014

essential to the transformation; the yields decreased drastically at lower temperature (Table 1, entries 7 and 8). The optimal reaction time is 10 h, and **2a** could be obtained in 96% isolated yield (Table 1, entries 9 and 10). Moreover, an increase or decrease in the amount of $\text{Na}_2\text{S}\cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ led to a decrease in the yield (Table 1, entries 11 and 12). After some attempts, we considered that the optimized reaction conditions are as follows: **1a** (0.25 mmol) with $\text{Na}_2\text{S}\cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.30 mmol) and DMF (1 mL) at 140 °C for 10 h (Table 1, entry 9).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we set out to test the generality of this reaction. As shown in Scheme 1,

Scheme 1. $\text{Na}_2\text{S}\cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ Reduction of Internal Alkynes to the Corresponding *E*-Alkenes^{a,b}




^aReaction conditions: **1** (0.25 mmol), sodium sulfide nonahydrate (1.2 equiv), and DMF (1.0 mL) at 140 °C for 10 h. ^bIsolated yield. The ratio of *Z/E* isomers was above 98:2 as determined by GC–MS. ^c10 mmol scale of the reaction. ^dReacted for 20 h. ^eReacted for 24 h. ^fReacted for 2 h. ^gThe raw material is 4- NO_2 -substituted diphenylacetylene.

both electron-rich and electron-deficient substitutions on the aromatic ring were compatible in the standard conditions (Scheme 1, **2b–t**). For most of the diarylalkynes **1**, the reaction proceeded smoothly to give the corresponding products in good to excellent yields. The reaction conditions could be compatible with a wide range of functional groups such as alkyl, aryl, alkoxy, hydroxyl, ester, amino, fluoro, chloro, formyl, carbonyl, trifluoromethyl, cyano, and heteroaryl groups (Scheme 1, **2b–t**). Substitution at the *ortho* position of the aromatic ring had some impact on the yield (Scheme 1, **2c**). The alkyne **1d** bearing a bulky *tert*-butyl group afforded a high yield of the desired alkene in a prolonged reaction time (Scheme 1, **2d**). Both strong electron-donating or -withdrawing substituents on the phenyl ring had no significant influence on the yields, especially reactive hydroxyl; amino and formyl functionalities can be easily converted to various functional

groups and hold enormous potential applications in organic synthesis (Scheme 1, **2g–q**). In the case of the diaryl alkyne bearing a nitro group, the reduction proceeded simultaneously at both the alkyne and nitro moieties (Scheme 1, **2r**). Thienyl and pyridyl derivatives were tolerant in this semihydrogenation reaction (Scheme 1, **2s** and **2t**). To further explore its potential application, we scaled up the reaction to a 10 mmol scale (1.78 g); the product could be formed in 93% yield (Scheme 1, **2a**).

Continuing to investigate the reaction scope, we explored various diarylalkynes for this process under the optimized reaction conditions (Scheme 2). In general, most of the

Scheme 2. $\text{Na}_2\text{S}\cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ Reduction of Diaryl Alkynes to the Corresponding *E*-Alkenes^{a,b}




^aReaction conditions: **1** (0.25 mmol), sodium sulfide nonahydrate (1.2 equiv), and DMF (1.0 mL) at 140 °C for 10 h. ^bIsolated yield and the ratio of *Z/E* isomers was above 98:2 determined by GC–MS.

substrates could afford the corresponding *E*-alkenes in good yields. Furthermore, the yields were not affected when the substituents were on the *ortho*-, *meta*-, and *para*-positions of the phenyl ring (Scheme 2, **3e–g**). The results indicated that substituted aryl groups were perfectly tolerated (Scheme 2, **3a–o**). Interestingly, the five-membered cyclic ether reacted smoothly rendering the target alkene in high yield (Scheme 2, **3b**). Moreover, the dielectron-withdrawing group substituted substrate gave 76% isolated yield (Scheme 2, **3i**). In addition, heterocyclic internal alkynes such as thienyl and pyridyl derivatives provided the target products in excellent yields (Scheme 2, **3m–o**).

Subsequently, 3-phenylpropionic acid was reacted under the standard conditions (Scheme 3). However, we were surprised that thiophene **4a** and thioamide **4b** were formed instead of 3-phenylacrylic acid.¹⁴ Both substituted thiophenes¹⁵ and


Scheme 3. Formation of Thiophene and Thioamide from Phenylpropionic Acid



thioamides¹⁶ are ubiquitous in biologically active molecules and have also been used as building blocks for biologically relevant heterocyclic scaffolds. It is noteworthy that the amine moiety in thioamide **4b** comes from DMF.¹⁷

As shown in Scheme 4, (*E*)-1,2-dideuterioalkene was formed in 73% yield when Na₂S·9H₂O was replaced by K₂S and D₂O. It indicated that water acted as the hydrogen donor in the transformation.

Scheme 4. Investigation of the Hydrogen Source in the Semihydrogenation Reaction



In summary, we developed a simple, efficient, and novel transition-metal-free protocol for the formation of *E*-alkynes. The semihydrogenation reaction was a highly stereoselective reduction; only negligible amounts of *Z*-alkenes and over-reduced alkanes were observed in GC–MS. The method employed water as the hydrogen donor avoiding the use of a potentially hazardous pressurized H₂ atmosphere. Both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substitutions on the aromatic ring were compatible under the standard conditions. Importantly, the products with carbonyl, hydroxyl, and amino groups can be utilized in several organic transformations. Further studies to reveal the reaction mechanism and extend the applications of this methodology are currently underway in our laboratory.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Typical experimental procedure and characterization for all products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: chenzwang@126.com.

*E-mail: lxliu@xmu.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the NSFC (21202023, 21162001, and 21161001) for financial support.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Kluwer, A. M.; Elsevier, C. J. In *Handbook of Homogeneous Hydrogenation*; de Vries, J. G.; Elsevier, C. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2007; Vol. 1, p 375. (b) Blaser, H.-U.; Schnyder, A.; Steiner, H.; Rössler, F.; Baumeister, P. In *Handbook of Heterogeneous Catalysis*, 2nd ed.; Ertl, G., Knözinger, H., Schüth, F., Weitkamp, J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008; Vol. 7, p 3284.
- (2) (a) Oger, C.; Balas, L.; Durand, T.; Galano, J.-M. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *13*, 1313. (b) Tron, G. C.; Pirali, T.; Sorba, G.; Pagliai, F.; Busacca, S.; Genazzani, A. A. *J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *49*, 3033. (c) Nam, N.-H. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2003**, *10*, 1697.
- (3) (a) Lindlar, H. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1952**, *35*, 446. (b) Lindlar, H.; Dubuis, R. *Org. Synth.* **1966**, *46*, 89. (c) Chandrasekhar, S.;

Narsihmulu, C.; Chandrashekar, G.; Shyamsunder, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 2421.

(4) For selected examples of recent *Z*-selective semihydrogenations, see: (a) Gianetti, T. L.; Tomson, N. C.; Arnold, J.; Bergman, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 14904. (b) Yan, M.; Jin, T.; Ishikawa, Y.; Minato, T.; Fujita, T.; Chen, L.-Y.; Bao, M.; Asao, N.; Chen, M.-W.; Yamamoto, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 17536. (c) Whittaker, A. M.; Lalic, G. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 1112. (d) Li, J.; Hua, R.; Liu, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 2966. (e) Drost, R. M.; Bouwens, T.; van Leest, N. P.; de Bruin, B.; Elsevier, C. J. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 1349. (f) van Laren, M. W.; Elsevier, C. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 3715. (g) Hauwert, P.; Maestri, G.; Sprengers, J. W.; Catellani, M.; Elsevier, C. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 3223. (h) La Pierre, H. S.; Arnold, J.; Toste, F. D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 3900. (i) Mitsudome, T.; Takahashi, Y.; Ichikawa, S.; Mizugaki, T.; Jitsukawa, K.; Kaneda, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 1481. (j) Semba, K.; Fujihara, T.; Xu, T.; Terao, J.; Tsuji, Y. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, *354*, 1542. (k) Sajiki, H.; Mori, S.; Ohkubo, T.; Ikawa, T.; Kume, A.; Maegawa, T.; Monguchi, Y. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 5109. (l) Belger, C.; Neisius, N. M.; Plietker, B. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 12214. (m) Enthaler, S.; Haberberger, M.; Irran, E. *Chem.—Asian J.* **2011**, *6*, 1613.

(5) For selective review and examples, see: (a) Pasto, D. J. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 8, p 471. (b) Campbell, K. N.; Eby, L. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1941**, *63*, 216. (c) Campbell, K. N.; Eby, L. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1941**, *63*, 2683. (d) Henne, A. L.; Greenlee, K. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1943**, *65*, 2020. (e) Benkeser, R. A.; Schroll, G.; Sauve, D. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1955**, *77*, 3378.

(6) Burch, R. R.; Muetterties, E. L.; Teller, R. G.; Williams, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 4257.

(7) (a) Michaelides, I. N.; Dixon, D. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 806. (b) Radkowsky, K.; Sundararaju, B.; Fürstner, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 355. (c) Li, J.; Hua, R. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 8462. (d) Cho, C. S.; Kim, D. T.; Shim, S. C. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *30*, 1931. (e) Trost, B. M.; Ball, Z. T.; Jöge, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 7922.

(8) (a) Shen, R.; Chen, T.; Zhao, Y.; Qiu, R.; Zhou, Y.; Yin, S.; Wang, X.; Goto, M.; Han, L.-B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 17037. (b) Luo, F.; Pan, C.; Wang, W.; Ye, Z.; Cheng, J. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 1399. (c) Shirakawa, E.; Otsuka, H.; Hayashi, T. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 5885.

(9) Tani, K.; Iseki, A.; Yamagata, T. *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1821.

(10) Reyes-Sánchez, A.; Cañavera-Buelvas, F.; Barrios-Francisco, R.; Cifuentes-Vaca, O. L.; Flores-Alamo, M.; García, J. J. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 3340. (b) Chen, T.; Xiao, J.; Zhou, Y.; Yin, S.; Han, L.-B. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2014**, *749*, 51.

(11) Srimani, D.; Diskin-Posner, Y.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 14131.

(12) Chernichenko, K.; Madarász, Á.; Pápai, I.; Nieger, M.; Leskelä, M.; Repo, T. *Nat. Chem.* **2013**, *5*, 718.

(13) (a) Chen, Z.; Li, J.; Jiang, H.; Zhu, S.; Li, Y.; Qi, C. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 3262. (b) Chen, Z.; Zeng, W.; Jiang, H.; Liu, L. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 5385. (c) Chen, Z.; Jiang, H.; Wang, A.; Yang, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 6700. (d) Chen, Z.; Jiang, H.; Li, Y.; Qi, C. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 8049. (e) Chen, Z.-W.; Jiang, H.-F.; Pan, X.-Y.; He, Z.-J. *Tetrahedron* **2011**, *67*, 5920. (f) Chen, Z.-W.; Ye, D.-N.; Qian, Y.-P.; Liu, L.-X. *Tetrahedron* **2013**, *69*, 6116. (g) Chen, Z.-W.; Ye, D.-N.; Ye, M.; Zhou, Z.-G.; Li, S.-H.; Liu, L.-X. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2014**, *55*, 1373.

(14) For selective examples on decarboxylative reaction with alkynyl carboxylic acids, see: (a) Li, X.; Yang, F.; Wu, Y.; Wu, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 992. (b) Priebbenow, D. L.; Becker, P.; Bolm, C. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 6155. (c) Park, K.; Heo, Y.; Lee, S. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 3322. (d) Hwang, J.; Park, K.; Choe, J.; Min, H.; Song, K. H.; Lee, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 3267. (e) Huang, Z.; Shang, R.; Zhang, Z.-R.; Tan, X.-D.; Fu, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 4551.

(15) For selective examples of thiophene syntheses, see: (a) Grainger, R. S.; Patel, B.; Kariuki, B. M.; Male, L.; Spencer, N. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.*

2011, 133, 5843. (b) Kaleta, Z.; Makowski, B. T.; Soós, T.; Dembinski, R. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, 8, 1625. (c) Jiang, H.; Zeng, W.; Li, Y.; Wu, W.; Huang, L.; Fu, W. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, 77, 5179. (d) Nandi, G. C.; Samai, S.; Singh, M. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, 76, 8009. (e) O'Connor, C. J.; Roydhouse, M. D.; Przybyl, A. M.; Wall, M. D.; Southern, J. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, 75, 2534.

(16) Nguyen, T. B.; Tran, M. Q.; Ermolenko, L.; Al-Mourabit, A. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, 15, 310 and references cited therein.

(17) DMF was used for the amination reaction; see: (a) Li, Y.; Xie, Y.; Zhang, R.; Jin, K.; Wang, X.; Duan, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, 76, 5444.

(b) Wang, J.; Hou, J.-T.; Wen, J.; Zhang, J.; Yu, X.-Q. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 3652. (c) Sharma, A.; Mehta, V. P.; Eycken, E. V. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, 64, 2605.