

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters 46 (2005) 6341-6344

Tetrahedron Letters

A practical synthesis of (–)-kazusamycin A

Shengfeng Zhou,^a Huaxiang Chen,^a Wensheng Liao,^{a,*} Shu-Hui Chen,^a Ge Li,^a Ryoichi Ando^b and Isao Kuwajima^c

^aWuXi PharmaTech Co., Ltd, No. 1 Building, 288 FuTe ZhongLu, WaiGaoQiao Free Trade Zone, Shanghai 200131, PR China ^bMitsubishi Pharma Corporation, 1000 Kamoshida-cho, Aoba-ku, Yokohama 227-0033, Japan

^cThe Kitasato Institute, 1-15-1 Kitasato, Sagamihara 228-8555, Japan

Received 19 May 2005; revised 6 July 2005; accepted 8 July 2005 Available online 25 July 2005

Abstract—We describe herein a stereo-controlled and practical synthesis of three key building blocks, namely Segment AB', Segment D, and Segment E' needed for the total synthesis of (-)-kazusamycin A. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Kazusamycin A, a natural product isolated from the culture broth of an actinomycete strain 81-484,¹ has demonstrated potent anti-tumor activity against a number of tumor cell lines such as P388 leukemia, Hela cells, sarcoma 180, as well as antimicrobial activity.^{1,2} Kazusamycin A has also exhibited potent inhibitory activity against Rev protein translocation from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, suggesting its potential use as anti-HIV agent.³ The absolute structure of kazusamycin A was deduced initially from NMR spectroscopic analysis, and later was confirmed by Kuwajima's first elegant total synthesis.⁴ Prompted by the promising biological activity, structural complexity exhibited by kazusamycin A, and its limited availability from natural source, we became interested in designing a practical synthetic route for this natural product.⁵

In this letter, we describe the large-scale preparation of three key building blocks, namely **Segment AB'**, **Segment D**, and **Segment E'**. According to the retrosynthetic analysis outlined in Scheme 1, the availability of these intermediates should make gram-scale preparation of kazusamycin A and subsequent extensive biological and toxicological evaluation of this complex molecule possible.

2. Synthesis of Segment A (building block needed for AB')

The preparation of Segment A was begun with O-benzylation of inexpensive geraniol. The resulting product 2 was subjected to epoxidation with MCPBA to give 3, which was transformed into the acid intermediate 5 via HIO₄ mediated epoxide opening followed by the subsequent diol cleavage⁶ and further oxidation with Jones reagent in an overall yield of 62% from 1. Compound 5^7 was converted to amide 7 via its mix-anhydride intermediate in 81% yield. Evan's chiral auxiliary induced asymmetric methylation on 7 yielded 8 (72%), which was converted to Segment A via LiBH₄ mediated amide reduction and final Swern oxidation of the resulting alcohol 9^4 with an overall yield of 91%. Compared with Kuwajima's initial route for Segment A, the newly devised synthetic sequence is more economical (using geraniol as the starting material), safer (avoiding the use of dangerous free radical reaction on large scale), and more amenable for scale-up (75 g of Segment A made) (Scheme 2).

3. Synthesis of Segment B (building block needed for AB')⁸

As shown in Scheme 3, saturation of enol ether 10 with ethanol led to acetal ester 11, which was reduced with LAH to give diol 12, thereafter the bis-benzylether 13 with 58% overall yield from 10. Treatment of acetal 13 with TFA afforded aldehyde 14, which was reacted with ethyl Grignard reagent to provide the desired adduct 15

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 21 50461111; fax: +86 21 50463718; e-mail: liao_wensheng@pharmatechs.com

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter @ 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2005.07.029



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis for kazusamycin A.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of Segment A: (i) NaH, BnBr; (ii) MCPBA; (iii) HIO₄; (iv) Jones oxidation, 62% (four steps); (v) *t*-BuC(O)Cl, 6, 81%; (vi) NaHMDS, MeI, 72%; (vii) LiBH₄, 91%; (viii) Swern oxidation, 92%.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of Segment B: (i) cat. EtONa, EtOH; (ii) LAH; (iii) NaH, BnBr, 58% (three steps); (iv) TFA; (v) EtMgBr, 60–80% (two steps); (vi) Jones oxidation, 69%; (vii) Pd/C, H₂, (viii) CSA, Cl₃CC=NH(OPMB), 71% (two steps); (ix) Lipase AK, vinyl acetate, 22%; (x) TBDPSCl, imidazole, DMF, 95%.

in 80% overall yield from 13. Jones reagent mediated oxidation of 15 led to the keto-derivative 16 (69%), which was then subjected to de-benzylation and subsequent mono-PMB protection to give the mono alcohol

18 (71% from **16**). Treatment of **18** with lipase AK afforded chiral alcohol **(R)-18** (22%),⁸ which was further converted to its silyl ester **Segment B** (95%). It is worthwhile to mention that we streamlined the synthetic

operation by performing the first three-step in a continuous fashion. In order to be cost efficient, we replaced Dess-Martin periodinane used by Kuwajima and co-workers⁸ with inexpensive Jones reagent (from 15 to 16) and carried out this sequence at 1 kg scale.

4. Synthesis of Segment AB^{/4}

Condensation between Segment A and Segment B was promoted by $Sn(OTf)_2$ and afforded the adduct AB (80%) in a stereoselective fashion. The keto moiety in Segment AB was reduced selectively by NaBH₄/Et₂-BOMe to provide the syn-diol 20, which was further desilylated to give the triol 21. Acetonide protection of 21 yielded the mono-alcohol 22, which was further transformed into its TBS ether 23. The overall yield was 60% (from Segment AB to 23). It should be pointed out that we conducted this four-step sequence at 100 g scale in a continuous fashion without purifying the intermediates involved. The PMB moiety in 23 was then removed by DDQ, and the resulting hydroxy group in 24 (65%) was oxidized under Swern conditions (instead of expensive Dess-Martin periodinane used previously)⁴ to provide the corresponding aldehyde 25. Reaction of 25 with the Wittig reagent 26 gave the unsaturated ester 27 (80% from 24). Compound 27 was subjected to DI-BAL-H reduction to obtain alcohol 28 (94%), thereafter the bis-silvlated derivative 29 (95%). Removal of the benzyl moiety was accomplished via sodium in liquid ammonia and provided allylic alcohol 30 in variable yields. This intermediate was further converted to Segment AB' via O-acylation and followed by subsequent O-desilylation with an overall yield of 90% (Scheme 4).

5. Synthesis of Segment D

PharmaTech's approach toward the preparation of Segment D began with mono-silvlation of 1.4-butanediol 32 to obtain mono-alcohol 33 (87%), which was oxidized with PDC to provide acid 34 (61%), followed by treatment with ClC(O)C(O)Cl to give acid chloride 35.⁹ Reaction of 35 with the lithiated Evans chiral auxiliary 36 provided the desired adduct 37 in an overall yield of 72% from 34. Asymmetric C-methylation on 37 yielded 38 with high level of diastereoselectivity. Compound 38 was converted to alcohol 39¹⁰ and then aldehyde 40¹¹ via LiBH₄ reduction and subsequent Swern oxidation. This aldehyde was subjected to Ando's cisolefination¹² to afford 41^{13} (78% for two steps), which was treated with DIBAL-H to produce the desired Segment D in 92% yield. Compared with the literature route for Segment D, the synthetic route employed at Pharma-Tech was more amenable for scale-up due to relatively short sequence and readily available starting material. Furthermore, the more expensive Dess-Martin reagent was replaced by Swern oxidation with similar yield (Scheme 5).

6. Synthesis of Segment E'

As highlighted in Scheme 6, *N*- and *O*-acylation of amino alcohol 42 yielded 43 in excellent yield. The following titanium enolate mediated aldol condensation between 43 and BnOCH₂CHO provided the *syn*-adduct 44¹⁴ with high enantioselectivity. The synthetic approach used herein for establishing absolute stereochemistry (two newly formed chiral centers) was different from that used by Kuwajima and co-workers.⁴ In that case, the Evans chiral auxiliary was employed as the



Scheme 4. Synthesis of Segment AB': (i) $Sn(OTf)_2$, triethylamine, 80%; (ii) Et_2BOMe , $NaBH_4$; (iii) TBAF, THF; (iv) $Me_2C(OMe)_2$, PPTS; (v) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, 60% (four steps); (vi) DDQ, 65%; (vii) Swern; (viii) 26, toluene, 80% (two steps); (ix) DIBAL-H, 94%; (x) TIPSCl, imidazole, DMF, 95%; (xi) Na/NH_3, 33–80%; (xii) AllocCl, pyridine; (xiii) TBAF, 90% (two steps).



Scheme 5. Synthesis of Segment D: (i) n-BuLi, TBDPSCl, 87%; (ii) PDC, DMF, 61%; (iii) (COCl)₂; (iv) 36, 72% (two steps); (v) NaHMDS, MeI, 74%; (vi) LiBH₄, 95%; (vii) Swern; (viii) (*o*-MeOPhO)₂P(O)CH(Et)CO₂Et, NaH, 78% (two steps); (ix) DIBAL-H, 92%.



Scheme 6. Synthesis of Segment E': (i) TsCl, Et₃N, then EtC(O)Cl, Et₃N, >90%; (ii) TiCl₄, DIEA, BnOCH₂CHO; (iii) H₂, Pd/C, then Me₂C(OMe)₂; (iv) LAH, 80% (four steps); (v) Swern, then CBr₄, PPh₃, 50% (two steps); (vi) *n*-BuLi, ClCO₂Me, 81%.

chirality-inducing source. With compound 44 in hand, the O-Bn moiety of which was removed by standard hydrogenation, and the resulting diol was subjected to acetonide protection to yield 45. LAH mediated ester reduction on 45 provided alcohol 46^{15} in 80% overall yield (four steps from 43). Alcohol 46 was oxidized under Swern conditions to provide its corresponding aldehyde intermediate, which was further treated with triphenylphospine and carbon tetrabromide to afford the α, α -dibromo-olefin 47 in 50% overall yield. Final treatment of 47 with *n*-BuLi and chloromethylformate thus provided the desired alkyne derivative Segment E'. It should be pointed out that further conversion of Segment E' to Segment E was reported by Kuwajima and co-workers.4

In summary, we have accomplished practical, large-scale preparations of **Segment AB'**, **Segment D**, and **Segment E'**, needed for total synthesis of kazusamycin A. All of the three building blocks were prepared via newly designed synthetic sequences with the intention to avoid using expensive starting materials, hazardous reagents, and labor-intensive chromatographic separation.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr. Jianyi Ma, Chonggang Liu, Shengbin Li, and Xia Li for their help with synthetic work. We are also indebted to the chemists from Analytic Department at WuXi PharmaTech for their support.

References and notes

- Umezawa, I.; Komiyama, K.; Oka, H.; Okada, K.; Tomisaka, S.; Miyano, T.; Takano, S. J. Antibiot. 1984, 37, 706–711.
- Komiyama, K.; Okada, K.; Hirokawa, Y.; Masuda, K.; Tomisaka, S.; Umezawa, I. J. Antibiot. 1985, 38, 224–229.
- Wang, Y.; Ponelle, M.; Sanglier, J.-J.; Wolff, B. Helv. Chim. Acta 1997, 80, 2157–2167.
- Arai, N.; Chikaraishi, N.; Omura, S.; Kuwajima, I. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2845–2848.
- Zhou, S-F.; Chen, H-X.; Liao, W.; Chen, S. H.; Li, G.; Ando, R.; Kuwajima, I. Presented at the Poster Section in Organic Chemistry Division, 13–18 March 2005, San Diego, CA. Abst.#ORGN-576.
- Chen, K.-M.; Semple, J. E.; Joullie, M. M. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3997–4005.
- 7. Ple, P. A.; Hamon, A.; Jones, G. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 3395–3400.
- 8. Arai, N.; Chikaraishi, N.; Ikawa, M.; Omura, S.; Kuwajima, I. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2004**, *15*, 733–741.
- Tori, M.; Toyoda, N.; Sono, M. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 306–313.
- Aiguade, J.; Hao, J.-L.; Forsyth, C. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 817–820.
- 11. Lautens, M.; Stammers, T. A. Synthesis 2002, 1993-2012.
- 12. Ando, K. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1934–1939.
- Vicario, J. L.; Job, A.; Wolberg, M.; Muller, M.; Enders, D. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1023–1026.
- 14. Ghosh, A. K.; Kim, J.-H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 5621–5624.
- Ojika, M.; Kigoshi, H.; Ishigaki, T.; Nisiwaki, M.; Tsukada, I.; Mizuta, K.; Yamada, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, 34, 8505–8508.