A Mild Thermal and Acid-Catalyzed Rearrangement of *O*-Aryl Ethers into *ortho*-Hydroxy Arenes

Frederick W. Goldberg, Philip Magnus,* and Rachel Turnbull

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712

p.magnus@mail.utexas.edu

Received August 11, 2005

ORGANIC LETTERS 2005 Vol. 7, No. 20 4531-4534

ABSTRACT



An unusual rearrangement of an *O*-aryl ether to an *ortho*-hydroxyaryl system was discovered during our studies on the synthesis of diazonamide A. We discuss the exploration of this rearrangement under mild thermal and both Brønsted and Lewis acid-catalyzed conditions.

The thermodynamic driving force of a large number of rearrangement processes involves the migration of an alkyl, acyl, or aryl group from attachment to a heteroatom (most frequently oxygen or nitrogen) to a carbon atom.¹ Two venerable reactions that fall under this broad classification are the well-known aromatic Claisen rearrangement² and the Fries rearrangement.³ During our studies on the synthesis of diazonamide,⁴ we discovered an unusual rearrangement that involves the mild thermal conversion of an O-aryl ether into an ortho-hydroxyaryl system. Warming 1 and 2 (ratio 1:1) converted them into 3 in 80% yield, and 4 was not observed.5 There appears to be no immediate direct precedence for this rearrangement, although there is some analogy in the O-aryl glycoside to C-aryl glycoside conversion,⁶ that is, the Lewis acid/Brønsted acid-catalyzed transformation of benzyl ethers of phenols into 2-hydroxydiphenylmethane derivatives,^{7a-e} and it is known that O-trityl derivatives of

(1) de Mayo, P. Molecular Rearrangements: Parts 1 and 2; Interscience: New York, 1963.

(3) Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 5, pp 745-760.

(4) For the correct structure of diazonamide A, see: (a) Li, J.; Jeong,
S.; Esser, L.; Harran, P. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4765–4770.
(b) Li, J.; Burgett, A. W. G.; Esser, L.; Amezcua, C.; Harran, P. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4770–4773.

(5) Unpublished results.

(6) (a) Booma, C.; Balasubramanian, K. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 5807–5810. (b) Pinhey, J. T.; Xuan, P. T. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1988**, *41*, 69–80.

10.1021/ol051943+ CCC: \$30.25 © 2005 American Chemical Society Published on Web 08/27/2005

phenols rearrange under acid-catalyzed conditions to *C*-tritylated products.⁸ An unpublished thermal rearrangement of an *O*-cresyl ether into a *C*-cresol derivative⁹ and the demonstrated antiproliferative activity of 3,3-diphenyl-1,3-dihydroindol-2-ones¹⁰ provided the motivation to pursue the rearrangement chemistry described in Scheme 1.

To further explore the rearrangement depicted in Scheme 1, we first converted **5** and **8** into the corresponding *O*-aryl

(9) Bould. L. Studies on the synthesis of tetracycline. Ph.D. Thesis. Imperial College, London, 1968; p 150. The conversion of **I** into **II** is described.



⁽¹⁰⁾ Natarajan, A.; Fan, Y.-H.; Chen, H.; Guo, Y.; Lyasere, J.; Harbinski, F.; Christ, W. J.; Aktas, H.; Halperin, J. A. *J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *47*, 1882–1885.

⁽²⁾ Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 5, pp 827-866.

^{(7) (}a) Dewar, M. J. S.; Puttnam, N. A. J. Chem. Soc. **1959**, 4080–4086, 4086–4090, 4090–4095. (b) de Mayo, P. Molecular Rearrangements, Part I Aromatic Rearrangements; Interscience: New York, 1963; pp 313–318. (c) Sprung, M. M.; Wallis, E, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1934**, 56, 1715–1720. (d) Tarbell, D. S.; Petropoulos, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1952**, 74, 244–248. (e) Hart, H.; Elia, A. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1954**, 76, 3031–3032.

^{(8) (}a) Baeyer, A.; Villiger, V. Ber. 1902, 35, 3013-3035. (b) Van Alphen, J. Recl. Trav. Chim. 1927, 46, 287-292. (c) Busch, M.; Knoll, R. Ber. 1927, 60, 2243-2257. (d) Schorigin, P. Ber. 1926, 59, 2502-2510; 1927, 60, 2373-2378; 1928, 61, 277-283. (e) Kharasch, M. S.; Reinmuth, O.; Mayo. F. R. J. Chem. Educ. 1936, 13, 7-12. (f) Iddles, H. A.; Chadwick, D. H.; Clapp, J. W.; Mart, R. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1942, 64, 2154-2157. (g) Burton, H.; Cheeseman, G. W. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1953, 832-837. (h) McKenzie, C. A.; Chuchani, G. J. Org. Chem. 1955, 20, 336-345.





derivatives **6** and **9**, respectively, by treatment with Cbz-Tyr-OtBu/Cs₂CO₃ (Scheme 2).¹¹ Heating **6** and **9** (ca. 1:1 mixture of diastereomers for each) in a sealed tube at 80 °C resulted in **7** and **10** (ca. 1:1 mixture of diastereomers for each), respectively. While the standard spectral information of the above compounds was in agreement with the proposed structural changes, we were not able to obtain X-ray crystallographic data to confirm this. Consequently, the conversion of **11** into **12** (see X-ray structure in Supporting Information) and its rearrangement into **13** (see X-ray structure in Supporting Information) was carried out for verification of the previous structural assignments (Scheme 2).



To examine the above rearrangement in more detail, we treated 14^{12} with a variety of phenols in CH₂Cl₂ at 25 °C in the presence of Cs₂CO₃, or refluxing CH₂Cl₂/Et₃N in the case of **15i** (Scheme 3, Table 1). In the cases of **15a**–**f** and **15h**, the *O*-aryl ether was the main product. For the substrates



1 ิล	ble	1.

15	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	yield (%)	17	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	yield (%)
15a	н	Н	73	17a	Н	Н	75
15b	Me	н	76	17b	Me	н	68
15c	$^{i}\mathbf{Pr}$	Н	64	17c	$^{i}\mathrm{Pr}$	Н	63
15d	NO_2	н	79	17d	NO_2	н	73
15e	Cl	н	76	17e	Cl	н	71
15f	MeCO	н	78	17f	MeCO	н	68
15g	OMe	н	n/a	17g	OMe	н	50
15h	Н	Me	74	17h	Н	Me	85
15i	Н	OMe	n/a	17i	Н	OMe	55

15g and **15i**, only the *C*-arylated products **17g** and **17i** were isolated. When a hindered 2,6-dimethyl phenol was used, only the *C*-arylated **16** was observed.

Heating 15a-f and 15h in benzene at 80 °C resulted in clean rearrangement to the 2-hydroxy isomers 17a-f and 17h, respectively. It is noteworthy that the phenol ether 15a gave only the 2-hydroxy isomer 17a and none of the 4-hydroxy isomer 18a. The next experiments were to establish whether the rearrangement exhibited crossover. Subjecting 15b to the same thermal conditions for the rearrangement but now in the presence of $4-iPrC_6H_4OH$ gave 17b and 17c as a 1:1 mixture (Scheme 4).



Clearly, this is classical evidence for the dissociative $S_N I$ mechanism, and as such, should be responsive to both Brønsted and Lewis acid catalysis.¹³ This is indeed the case. A trace of trifluoroacetic acid caused the rearrangement of **15a** to **18a** to take place at 25 °C within a few hours, and exposure of **15a** to Lewis acids (Cu(OTf)₂, AgOTf, TiCl₄) at 25 °C also gave **18a**.

At first sight, it seems strange that the thermal dissociation of **15a** should give only *ortho*-substitution, yet the rearrangement exhibits crossover. Consequently, we examined the acid-catalyzed rearrangement of **15** and performed further crossover experiments. Treatment of **15a** and **15h** in benzene

⁽¹¹⁾ For references to azaxylylene intermediates formed from 1,4elimination, see: (a) Steinhagen, H.; Corey, E. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1999**, *38*, 1928–1931. (b) Fuchs, J. R.; Funk, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, *126*, 5068–5069. (c) Fuchs, J. R.; Funk, R. L. Org. Lett. **2005**, *7*, 677–680. (d) Avemaria, F.; Vanderheiden, S.; Braese, S. Tetrahedron **2003**, *59*, 6785–6796.

⁽¹²⁾ Sutcliffe, B. J. Chem. Soc. 1957, 4789-4792.

⁽¹³⁾ Alder, R. W.; Baker, R.; Brown, J. M. *Mechanisms in Organic Chemistry, Dissociative Processess*; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1971; pp 78–179.

at 25 °C with a catalytic amount of CF_3CO_2H resulted in the *para*-substituted rearrangement products **18a** and **18b** and no trace (¹H NMR and TLC) of **17a** or **17b**. It was also noted that treatment of **17h** under the same conditions gave **18c** presumably via *ipso*-protonation (Scheme 5).



Thermal rearrangement of **15c** in the presence of phenol (5 equiv) gave **17c** and **18a** (1:4). The *ortho*-isomer **17a** was not detected. Similarly, CF₃CO₂H-catalyzed rearrangement of **15c** in the presence of phenol (5 equiv) gave **17c** and **18a** (1:5). These results point to two clear mechanistic pathways for the rearrangement (see later).

It was of some interest to see if the same chemistry described above was applicable to the NMe series, where the azaxylylene intermediate would be positively charged (as in Scheme 8, 27). Treatment of 19 with phenol, *p*-cresol, and *o*-cresol in CH₂Cl₂ at 25 °C in the presence of Cs₂CO₃ did not give 20a, 20b, or 20d, respectively. Instead, the *C*-arylated products 21a, 21b, and 21d were formed directly (Scheme 6, Table 2). However, *p*-nitrophenol and *o*-nitro-



phenol gave **20c** and **20e**, respectively, which rearranged upon heating at 80 °C in benzene to give **21c** and **21e**.

Table 2.								
20	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	yield (%)	21	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	yield (%)	
20a	Н	Н	n/a	21a	Н	Н	63	
20b	Me	Η	n/a	21b	Me	Η	68	
20c	NO_2	Η	60	21c	NO_2	Н	67	
20d	Η	Me	n/a	21d	Н	Me	57	
20e	н	NO_2	72	21e	Н	NO_2	77	

Exposure of **20e** to a catalytic amount of CF_3CO_2H in CH_2 -Cl₂ at 25 °C resulted in rearrangement to the *p*-isomer **22**. The key results from Sprung,^{7c} Tarbell,^{7d} and Hart^{7e} showed that **23** rearranged into **24** with 76% retention of absolute configuration. Treatment of **25** with *p*-cresol in H₂-SO₄/AcOH gave racemic **24** (Scheme 7). Dewar^{7a} concluded



from these results and his own studies that "the rearrangement of alkyl aryl ethers to alkyl phenols can take place by two routes, one intermolecular and one intramolecular". He postulated that the reactions proceed via an *ortho*- π -complex to explain the predominate formation of **24** rather than its *p*-isomer. All of the rearrangements described in the above literature and in references^{6,8} are conducted using Lewis acids or Brønsted acids. There are no reported thermal versions.

Under neutral conditions, the *O*-aryl ether begins to dissociate (**26**) and forms a π -complex **27**, which can be regarded as a cationic azaxylylene intermediate (Scheme 8). It is noteworthy that the azaxylylene intermediates described in ref 10 were neutral as they were derived from N–H precursors. This is the first reported example of the formation of cationic azaxylylene intermediates derived from *N*-alkyl precursors.

The π -complex (27) can rearrange to give 28^{14} and subsequently tautomerize to 29. When these reactions are conducted in the presence of a Brønsted acid, the initial partially dissociated adduct 26 can completely ionize to give 30 (which is also accessible from 29 through *ipso*-protonation to give 28, see the conversion of 17h into 18c), which results in the thermodynamically more stable *p*-substituted product 31 (Scheme 8). The original Dewar mechanism provides the



most plausible explanation and is a reminder of the powerful effects of ion pairing.¹⁵

⁽¹⁴⁾ Principle of least motion: (a) Rice, F. O.; Teller, E. J. Chem. Phys.
1938, 6, 489–496. (b) Hine, J. Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 1977, 15, 1–61.
(15) Szwarc, M. Ions and Ion-Pairs in Organic Reactions; Wiley: New York, 1972.

In conclusion, this subtle and unexpected reaction and its relationship to the Dewar studies illustrates that natural product synthesis continues to be one of the central vehicles for the discovery of new chemistry.

Acknowledgment. The NIH (CA RO1 50512) and the Welch Chair (F-0018) are thanked for their support of this

research. Dr. Chi-Ming Cheung is thanked for the conversion of ${\bf 8}$ into ${\bf 10}$.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and characterization of all new compounds. X-ray crystallographic data for **12** and **13**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org. OL051943+