

This article was downloaded by: [Moskow State Univ Bibliote]

On: 26 June 2013, At: 01:28

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Organic Preparations and Procedures International: The New Journal for Organic Synthesis

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/uopp20>

A TRIPHOSGENE-BASED SYNTHESIS OF (S)- α,α -DIPHENYL-2-PYRROLIDINEMETHANOL

Teodoro S. Kaufman ^a, Viviana L. Ponzo ^a & Juan Zinczuk ^a

^a Institute de Quimica Orgdnica de Sintesis (CONICET-UNR) and Facultad de, Ciencias Bioquimicas y Farmaceuticas, Universidad National de Rosario, Suipacha 531, (2000), Rosario, ARGENTINA
Published online: 18 Feb 2009.

To cite this article: Teodoro S. Kaufman, Viviana L. Ponzo & Juan Zinczuk (1996): A TRIPHOSGENE-BASED SYNTHESIS OF (S)- α,α -DIPHENYL-2-PYRROLIDINEMETHANOL, Organic Preparations and Procedures International: The New Journal for Organic Synthesis, 28:4, 487-490

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00304949609356560>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.


**A TRIPHOSGENE-BASED SYNTHESIS OF
(S)- α,α -DIPHENYL-2-PYRROLIDINEMETHANOL**

Submitted by
(10/17/95)

Teodoro S. Kaufman*, Viviana L. Ponzo and Juan Zinczuk*

Instituto de Química Orgánica de Síntesis (CONICET-UNR) and Facultad de Ciencias Bioquímicas y Farmacéuticas, Universidad Nacional de Rosario Suipacha 531, (2000) Rosario, ARGENTINA

Chiral oxazaborolidines became a useful tool for synthetic chemists in the enantioselective reduction of prochiral ketones, imines and oximes,¹ due to their enzyme-like catalytic activity; therefore, the synthesis of the aminoalcohol precursors in enantiomerically pure form is of current interest. The set of oxazaborolidines developed by Corey,² based on (S)- α,α -diphenyl-2-pyrrolidinemethanol (5), has renewed the interest in the preparation of this compound,³ originally synthesized by Kapfhammer.⁴ Many of the available procedures^{3a-d} start from proline (1) and use phosgene or liquid phosgene derivatives for the protection of the amino group; however, handling of these toxic and volatile reagents is hazardous.



- a) Triphosgene (2), THF 15-20°, 1 hr, 30-40°, 1 hr, 64%, and recovered 36% of unreacted 1
 b) TEA, 0°, 0.5 hr, then filtrate c) PhMgBr, -15°, 3 hrs, 0°, 1 hr, 75% yield (from 3).

Triphosgene [*bis*(trichloromethyl)carbonate, 2],⁵ a commercially available solid material safer to store, transport and use than phosgene, has been employed with increasing frequency as phosgene precursor or substitute. Since 2 has been used to prepare *N*-carboxyanhydrides (NCA) of α -aminoacids,⁶ the synthesis of the NCA of proline (4)⁷ as key intermediate in the preparation of 5,^{3a} using triphosgene, was explored (Scheme).

Treatment of anhydrous 1 with triphosgene in dry tetrahydrofuran (THF) provided the *N*-chlorocarbonyl derivative 3. However, this reaction was incomplete even by the addition of excess 2 and/or by extending the reaction time (3.5 hrs). This problem has been ascribed to the protonation of 1 by the hydrogen chloride generated^{6a} and the by-product had to be removed prior to the cyclization of 3 to NCA 4 with triethylamine. This step could *not* be replaced by adding twice as much triethylamine, since rapid polymerization of the unstable NCA^{3a} led to dramatically lowered yields of product. Reaction of a THF solution of the crude 4 with phenylmagnesium bromide in THF gave 5, isolated and purified as its sulfate salt. The corresponding free base was quantitatively obtained by treatment of the sulfate with excess of aqueous sodium hydroxide solution followed by extraction with

hexane and removal of the solvent. Spectral data of **3**, **4** and **5** as well as the optical rotation of **5** were in agreement with previously reported data.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Reactions were carried out in a dry nitrogen atmosphere. Melting points (uncorrected) were measured on an Ernst Leitz hot-stage microscope apparatus. IR spectra were taken on a Bruker IFS 25 spectrometer. ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-200E instrument at 200.13 and 50.33 MHz respectively, with TMS as the internal standard. J and $w_{1/2}$ values are given in Hz. Superscripts $\#$, $*$, \dagger and \ddagger indicate that signal assignment may be interchanged.

(*S*)- α,α -Diphenyl-2-pyrrolidinemethanol (**5**).- A solution of triphosgene (**2**, 4.52 g, 15.22 mmol) in anhydrous THF (25 mL) was added dropwise during 1 hr to a well stirred suspension of **1** (5.0 g, 43.48 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL), while maintaining the internal temperature between 15 and 20° (water bath). Stirring continued for 60 min at 30-40°, then the suspension was filtered to remove unreacted proline (as its hydrochloride), the HCl evolved during the reaction was removed by concentration of the reaction *in vacuo* to a volume of 10 mL, and the remaining viscous oil was diluted with dry THF (50 mL) and cooled to 0°. Anhydrous triethylamine was added dropwise during 15 min with constant stirring to the resulting solution and triethylamine hydrochloride precipitated. Stirring was continued for another 30 min, then the suspension was filtered and the residue was washed with dry THF (3 x 10 mL). Analysis of a 0.5 mL sample (from which volatiles were completely removed under reduced pressure) indicated that NCA **4** was obtained.

^1H NMR (CDCl_3): δ 1.80-2.40 (m, 4 H, H-C₃ and H-C₄), 3.31 (ddd, 1 H, $J = 5.1, 7.8$ and 11.4 , H-C₅), 3.79 (dt, 1 H, $J = 7.3$ and 11.4 , H-C₅); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3): δ 26.79 (C₅), 27.54 (C₄), 46.43 (C₆), 62.93 (C_{3a}), 154.45 (C₁) and 168.58 (C₃).

The combined filtrates were added dropwise over 1 hr to a well stirred solution of phenylmagnesium bromide in anhydrous THF (146 mL, 131 mmol), cooled to -15°. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 hrs at -15° and 1 hr at 0°, then it was slowly poured on 2M cold aqueous H₂SO₄ (100 mL, 200 mmol) and left overnight at 0°. The MgSO₄ formed was separated by filtration and washed with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL), and the combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure until the organic solvents were removed. The resulting precipitate, containing the sulfate of **5**, was filtered and successively washed with water (3 x 10 mL) and EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). After drying, the pure sulfate resulted in a white solid (6.34 g, 48%; 75% based on unreacted **1**), mp. 277-288° (dec.), lit.^{3a} 275-290° (dec.).

IR (KBr): 3400, 3300, 2960, 1630, 1560, 1450, 1385, 1290, 1175, 1068, 970, 880, 750 and 690 cm⁻¹.

The salt (907 mg, 1.5 mmol) was added portionwise to a well stirred mixture of THF (3 mL) and 2N aqueous NaOH (3 mL). After the complete dissolution of the sulfate, the free base was extracted with hexane (2 x 5 mL) and the organic extracts were washed with water (2 x 3 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated *in vacuo*, affording **5** (754 mg, 99% from the sulfate) as a solid, mp.

79-79.5° (hexane), lit.^{3a} mp. 79-79.5° (hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -58.47$ (c = 3.01, MeOH), lit.⁴ $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -58.8$ (c = 3, MeOH).

IR (KBr): 3600-3300, 3160, 3140, 2980, 2790, 1490, 1450, 1390, 1190, 1030, 1020, 750 and 700 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.50-1.88 (m, 5 H, NH, H-C₃ and H-C₄), 2.88-3.13 (m, 2 H, H-C₅), 4.25 (t, 1 H, J = 7.4, H-C₂), 7.10-7.40 (m, 6 H, ArH) and 7.45-7.61 (m, 4 H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 25.29 (C₄), 26.05 (C₃), 46.54 (C₅), 64.29 (C₂), 77.03 (C₆), 125.33 (C₂),* 125.67 (C₂),* 126.16 (C₆),* 126.28 (C₆),* 126.74 (C₄),# 127.33 (C₄),# 127.77 (C₃),† 128.04 (C₃, C₅),† 128.30 (C₅),† 145.18 (C₁),‡ and 147.85 (C₁).[¶]

Anal. Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₉NO: C, 80.60; H, 7.50; N, 5.53. Found: C, 80.80; H, 7.60; N, 5.50

Acknowledgements.- The authors thank CONICET, F. Antorchas, F. Prats and IFS for financial support and Dr. J. C. Podestá for optical rotation determinations. V. L. P. acknowledges the receipt of a fellowship from CONICET. Assistance of J. L. Jimenez Blanco (Univ. of Sevilla, Spain) is also gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

1. For leading reviews, see: a) V. K. Singh, *Synthesis*, 605 (1992); b) S. Wallbaum, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 3, 1475 (1992); c) A. Togni and L. M. Venanzi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 33, 497 (1994); d) L. Deloux and M. Srebnik, *Chem. Rev.*, 93, 763 (1993).
2. E. J. Corey, R. K. Bakshi and S. Shibata, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 109, 5551 (1987).
3. a) D. J. Mathre, T. K. Jones, L. C. Xavier, T. J. Blacklock, R. A. Reamer, J. J. Mohan, E. T. T. Jones, K. Hoogsteen, M. W. Baum and E. J. J. Grabowski, *J. Org. Chem.*, 56, 751 (1991); b) E. J. Corey, S. Shibata and R. K. Bakshi, *ibid.*, 53, 2861 (1988); c) J. V. B. Kanth and M. Periasamy, *Tetrahedron*, 49, 5127 (1993); d) D. Delaunay and M. Le Corre, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*, 3041 (1994); e) Y.-W. Liao, *Chinese J. Pharm.*, 23, 513 (1992); *Chem. Abstr.*, 119, 117051j (1993); f) D. Enders, H. Kipphard, P. Gerdes, L. J. Breña-Valle, A. Reamer, J. J. Mohan and V. Bhusman, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg.*, 97, 691 (1988); (g) D. Seebach, D. Enders and B. Renger, *Chem. Ber.*, 110, 1852 (1977).
4. J. Kapfhammer and A. Matthes, *Hoppe-Seyler's Zeit. Physiol. Chem.*, 223, 43 (1933); *Chem. Abstr.*, 28, 2353² (1934).
5. H. Eckert and B. Foster, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 26, 894 (1987).
6. a) W. H. Daly and D. Poché, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 29, 5859 (1988); b) R. Wilder and S. Mobashery, *J. Org. Chem.*, 57, 2755 (1992).
7. a) H. R. Kricheldorf, *Chem. Ber.*, 104, 87 (1971); b) J. Kurtz, G. D. Fasman, A. Berger and E. Katchalski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 80, 393 (1958).
8. At this time, a small sample (3 mL) of the reaction mixture was withdrawn and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*, leaving a residue (230.3 mg), to which CHCl₃ (3 mL) was added; the resulting

suspension was centrifuged and the residue, washed with CHCl_3 (2 x 1 mL), proved to be unreacted **1** (as its hydrochloride, 84 mg, 36%). $^1\text{H NMR}$ (D_2O): δ 1.87-2.38 (m, 4 H, H-C_3 and H-C_4), 3.20-3.32 (m, 2 H, H-C_5) and 4.27 (t, 1 H, $J = 6.7$, H-C_2). The combined chloroform supernatants were concentrated *in vacuo* and analyzed, indicating **3** as the sole product. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3): δ 1.80-2.46 (m, 4 H, H-C_3 and H-C_4), 3.50 -3.88 (m, 2 H, H-C_5), 4.50 (dd, 0.6 H, $J = 3.7$ and 8.5 , H-C_2 rotamer), 4.62 (dd, 0.4 H, $J = 2.8$ and 8.5 , H-C_2 rotamer) and 9.28 (br s, 1 H, $w_{1/2} = 25$, CO_2H).

SYNTHESIS OF PENTACOORDINATE PHOSPHORUS COMPOUNDS BY THE ATHERTON-TODD REACTION


Submitted by
(11/13/95)

Ru-Zhen Cao, Xing-Zhong Zeng, Yan-Nan Liu and Lun-Zu Liu*

*Institute of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Nankai University
Tianjin 300071, P. R. CHINA*

The Atherton-Todd reaction is a synthetically valuable method for the preparation of tetra-coordinate phosphorus compounds.¹ The reaction has recently been extended to some hydridophosphoranes by Houalla² and by us.³ It is the purpose of this work to further explore the scope of the reaction using a variety of hydridophosphoranes. The principal advantages of this reaction are that the starting materials are readily obtained, the operation is simple (one-pot reaction) and the reaction proceeds smoothly under mild conditions.

Scheme



- a) $\text{R}' = n\text{-C}_3\text{H}_7$, $\text{R}_4\text{P} = \text{A}$
b) $\text{R}' = i\text{-C}_3\text{H}_7$, $\text{R}_4\text{P} = \text{A}$

- a) $\text{R}' = \text{CH}_3$, $\text{R}_4\text{P} = \text{B}$
b) $\text{R}' = \text{C}_2\text{H}_5$, $\text{R}_4\text{P} = \text{B}$
a) $\text{R}' = n\text{-C}_3\text{H}_7$, $\text{R}_4\text{P} = \text{C}$
b) $\text{R}' = n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_9$, $\text{R}_4\text{P} = \text{C}$

