was dissolved in benzene, Celite added, and the solution filtered. The benzene was removed *in vacuo* and the color-less residue recrystallized from a 1:1 benzene-hexane mixture; yield 10.5 g. (73%), m.p. (dec.) 184°.

The silver salt was allowed to react with iodine at 130° under reduced pressure.⁷ Two cuts were obtained on distillation; 0.5 g., b.p. 58-59°, containing combined iodine by qualitative analysis, and 3.5 g., b.p. 98-100°. The latter did not contain iodine. Its molecular weight (Dumas) was estimated as about 440. **Pyrolysis of** (CF₃)₂NCF₂COONa.—Sixteen grams of the

Pyrolysis of $(CF_3)_2NCF_2COONa.$ —Sixteen grams of the dry sodium salt was heated, reaction occurring at about 230°. Two cuts were obtained on distillation of the 11 g of crude product. Fraction I, 4.5 g., b.p. 23°, mol. wt. 251-258, consisted mainly of the acid fluoride $(CF_3)_2NCF_2COF$, b.p. 25°, mol. wt. 249. It was identified further by conversion to the methyl ester, b.p. 88–89°, $n^{25}D$ 1.2974, mol. wt. 255. Known values for $(CF_3)_2NCF_2COCH_3$ are

(7) G. H. Crawford and J. H. Simons, This JOURNAL, 75, 5737 (1953).

b.p. 90°, n^{26} p 1.2930, mol. wt. 261. Infrared spectra were identical. Fraction II, 4.0 g., b.p. 105–108°, reacted only partially with methanol to give a product not identical with the methyl ester of (CF₃)₂NCF₂COOH. A gas chromatogram showed the presence of four main components and numerous other traces.

All infrared spectra were taken on a Perkin-Elmer double beam instrument, using a 5-cm. gas phase cell whenever possible. The gas chromatograms were made on a Perkin-Elmer Fractometer, using a 2 meter $\times \frac{1}{4}$ o.d. column. Good resolution generally was obtained with Celite packing coating with the ethyl ester of Kel-F acid 8114.

Acknowledgments.—The authors are greatly indebted to Prof. H. S. Gutowsky of the University of Illinois for his nuclear magnetic resonance studies, and to Prof. T. M. Reed of this University for his aid in obtaining and interpreting the gas chromatograms.

GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE RESEARCH LABORATORIES OF NOVOCOL CHEMICAL MFG. CO., INC.]

N-(Substituted Aminoacyl)-chloroanilines

BY ELIAS EPSTEIN AND DANIEL KAMINSKY

Received November 21, 1957

N-(Substituted aminoacetyl)- and propionylanilines of *m*-chloroaniline, methylcholoroanilines and alkoxychloroanilines were prepared. When screened on laboratory animals, several of these compounds had a high anesthetic efficiency (ratio of potency to toxicity) and low irritation warranting additional investigation.

Although N-(substituted aminoacyl)-anilines were prepared as early as 1891,¹ Einhorn² was the first in 1898 to recognize their ability to produce a local anesthetic effect. These early compounds were too irritating for clinical use. It was not till 1946 when Lofgren³ prepared α -diethylamino-2,6-dimethylacetanilide (lidocaine) that an anesthetic of this structure was used clinically to any extent.

The clinical effectiveness of a chloro substituted anilide, *n*-butylamino-2-chloro-6-methylacetanilide (Hostocain), was first described by Harnisch.⁴ Since then several chloroanilides have been reported.⁵⁻⁹

In our continuing investigation on new local anesthetics, we have prepared N-substituted aminoacetyl- and propionylanilines where the phenyl group was substituted as follows: 3-chloro, 2methyl-3-chloro, 2-methyl-4-chloro, 2-methyl-5chloro, 2-methyl-6-chloro, 3-chloro-4-methyl and 3chloro-6-alkoxy.

The general method used for the preparation of these compounds consisted of treating a chloroacyl chloride with a substituted aniline and condensing the resulting anilide with a primary or secondary

(1) W. Majert, British Patent 5,269 (1891).

(2) A. Einhorn, German Patent 106,502 (1898).

(3) N. Lofgren, Arkiv Kemi, Mineral. Geol., A22, No. 18 (1946).

(4) H. Harnisch, Deut. zahnörzil. Z., 22, 1224 (1953).
(5) D. Beke, K. Lempert and L. Gyermek, Acta Chim. Acad. Sci. Hung., 5, 143 (1954).

(6) British Patent (a) 726,080 (1955); (b) 759,744 (1956).

(7) Swiss Patents 306,512-3 (1955); 311,578, 311,585-6, 311,588, 311,590-6 (1956).


(8) A. E. Wilder Smith and E. Hofstetter, Helv. Chim. Acta., 38, 1085 (1955).

(9) U. S. Patent 2,801,247 (1957).

amine. Table I lists the substituted chloroacyl anilines with their melting points and analyses. Table II lists the melting points, molecular weight determinations and analyses of the N-(substituted aminoacyl) substituted aniline hydrochlorides.

TABLE I

 ω -Chloroacylchloroanilines



	C!		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Chlorine, %			
R	position	ı n	M.p., °C.	Formula	Caled.	Found		
н	3	1	100-101 ^a	C ₈ H ₇ ONCl ₂	34.76	34.21		
H	3	2	81-83	$C_9H_9ONCl_2$	32.53	32.38		
2-CH3	3	1	134 - 136	$C_9H_9ONCl_2$	32.53	32.07		
2-CH ₃	4	1	130 -131 ^b	C ₉ H ₉ ONCl ₂	32.53	32.21		
$2-CH_3$	4	2	128 - 129	$C_{10}H_{11}ONCl_2$	30.57	30.14		
2-CH₃	$\overline{5}$	1	139-141	C ₉ H ₉ ONCl ₂	32.53	32.73		
2-CH₃	6	1	$142 - 143^{\circ}$	C ₉ H ₉ ONCl ₂	32.53	32.32		
4-CH₃	3	1	94 - 95	C ₉ H ₉ ONCl ₂	32.53	32.47		
$4-CH_3$	3	2	116 - 117	$C_{10}H_{11}ONCl_2$	30.57	30.19		
2-OCH ₃	5	1	105 - 107	$C_9H_9O_2NCl_2$	30.34	30.60		
2-OC₄H ₉	5	1	91-93	$C_{12}H_{15}O_2NCl_2$	25.72	25.91		
(2)	NCH	ICO	СНСІ					
		~C1	CH ₃ 109–111	$\mathrm{C_{10}H_{11}ONCl_2}$	30.57	30.61		
	ĊH₃							

^a Reported^δ m.p. 100-101°.
 ^b Reported^δ m.p. 128-129°.
 ^c Reported^{δa} m.p. 140-141°.

TABLE IIN-(SUBSTITUTED AMINOACYL)-CHLOROANILINE HYDROCHLORIDES, RNHCO(CH2) $_n$ R'R'nM.p., °C., HClFormulaIonic chlorine, %
Calcd.Mol. wt. •
Calcd.R'nM.p., °C., HClFormulaCalcd.Found
Calcd.Calcd.(1)R = 3-chlorophenyl1212–214C9H12ON2Cl215.1115.01235237

		(1) R :	= 3-chlorophenyl					
NHCH:	1	212 - 214	$C_9H_{12}ON_2Cl_2$	15.11	15.01	235	237	
NHC ₂ H ₅	1	221-224	$C_{10}H_{14}ON_2Cl_2$	14.26	14.38	249	245	
NHC ₃ H ₇	1	235-237	$C_{11}H_{16}ON_2Cl_2$	13.50	13.32	263	258	
NHC ₄ H ₉ (iso)	1	243-246	$C_{12}H_{18}ON_2Cl_2$	12.82	12.91	277	274	
NHC ₄ H ₉ (iso)	$\tilde{2}$	219-221	$C_{13}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	12.10	291	288	
NHCH(CH ₁)C ₅ H ₁₁ (n)	1	234-237	$C_{15}H_{24}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20 11.13	12.10 11.01	319	$\frac{233}{314}$	
$N(C_2H_5)_2$	1	219-221 ^a	$C_{12}H_{13}ON_2Cl_2$	11.13 12.82	11.01 12.74	277	280	
$N(C_2H_5)_2$ $N(C_2H_5)_2$	$\frac{1}{2}$	127 - 129						
. ,			$C_{13}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	12.01	291	296	
$NH(CH_2)_3OCH_3$	1	220-222	$C_{13}H_{20}O_2N_2Cl_2$	12.12	12.14	293	290	
$N(C_2H_4OH)C_5H_{11}(n)$	1	231-234	$C_{15}H_{24}O_2N_2Cl_2$	10.60	10.39	335	328	
Piperidino	1	215-218	$C_{13}H_{18}ON_2Cl_2$	12.26	12.03	289	282	
Cyclohexylamino	1	301-302	$C_{14}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	11.71	11.58	303	302	
Morpholino	1	215 - 217	$C_{12}H_{16}O_2N_2Cl_2$	12.20	12.01	291	297	
Morpholino	2	203 - 204	$C_{18}H_{18}O_2N_2Cl_2$	11.64	11.59	305	301	
2,6-Dimethylmorpholino	1	243 - 245	$C_{14}H_{20}O_2N_2Cl_2$	11.13	10.91	319	316	
2,6-Dimethylanilino	1	238-241	$\mathrm{C_{16}H_{18}ON_2Cl_2}$	10.54	10.48	337	331	
		(2) $R = 2-1$	nethyl-3-chlorophen	yl				
NHC₂H₅	1	258 - 260	$C_{11}H_{16}ON_2Cl_2$	13.50	13.61	263	261	
NHC₄Hş(iso)	1	240 - 242	$C_{13}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	12.02	291	294	
$N(C_2H_5)_2$	1	145-147	$C_{13}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	11.99	291	289	
Morpholino	1	201-203	$C_{13}H_{18}O_2N_2Cl_2$	11.64	11.49	305	301	
		(3) $R = 2-n$	methyl-4-chlorophen	yl				
NHC ₂ H ₇	2	213 - 215	$C_{13}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	12.00	291	287	
NHC4H9	2	229-231	$C_{14}H_{22}ON_2Cl_2$	11.64	11.47	305	307	
NHC ₄ H ₉ (iso)	1	232-234	$C_{13}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	12.00	291	296	
NHC ₄ H ₉ (iso)	2	214-216	$C_{14}H_{22}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20 11.64	11.52	305	301	
$N(C_2H_b)_2$	$\frac{2}{2}$	131-133	$C_{14}H_{22}ON_2Cl_2$ $C_{14}H_{22}ON_2Cl_2$	11.64	11.52 11.53	305 305		
Morpholino	1	259-262					306	
-			$C_{13}H_{18}O_2N_2Cl_2$	11.64	11.52	305	309	
Morpholino	2	226 - 228	$C_{14}H_{20}O_2N_2Cl_2$	11.13	10.97	319	316	
			methyl-5-chlorophen					
NHC ₂ H ₅	1	262 - 265	$C_{11}H_{16}ON_2Cl_2$	13.50	13.29	263	261	
NHC₄H₀(iso)	1	214 - 216	$C_{18}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	12.14	291	294	
NH(CH ₂) ₈ OCH ₃	1	221 - 224	$C_{3}H_{20}O_{2}N_{2}Cl_{2}$	11.55	11.46	307	301	
$N(C_2H_5)_2$	1	152 - 154	$C_{18}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	11.97	291	287	
Morpholino	1	205-207	$C_{13}H_{18}O_2N_2Cl_2$	11.64	11.71	305	303	
			methyl-6-chlorophen	yl				
NHC4H9	1	236-239	$C_{13}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	12.09	291	290	
$\rm NHC_4H_9(tert.)$	1	263 - 265	$C_{13}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	12.02	291	293	
$N(C_2H_b)_2$	1	153-155°	$C_{13}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	11.99	291	286	
Morpholino	1	$223-226^{d}$	$C_{13}H_{18}O_2N_2Cl_2$	11.64	11.49	305	303	
		(6) $R = 4-r$	methyl-3-chlorophen	yl				
NHC ₂ H ₇	1	251 - 253	$C_{12}H_{18}ON_{2}Cl_{2}$	12.82	12.74	277	271	
NHC ₃ H ₇	2	218-221	$C_{13}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	12.29	291	292	
NHC₄H₂(iso)	1	265-267	$C_{13}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	12.20	12.01	291	202 290	
NHC ₄ H ₉ (iso)	2	175-177	$C_{14}H_{22}ON_2Cl_2$	11.64	11.48	305	303	
$N(C_2H_5)_2$	1	180-182	$C_{13}H_{20}ON_2Cl_2$	11.04 12.20				
$N(C_2H_5)_2$ $N(C_2H_5)_2$	$\frac{1}{2}$				12.14	291	296	
. ,		147-149	$C_{14}H_{22}ON_2Cl_2$	11.64	11.75	305	308	
Cyclohexylamino	1	309-312	$C_{15}H_{22}ON_2Cl_2$	11.20	11.16	317	312	
Morpholino	1	209-210	$C_{13}H_{18}O_2N_2Cl_2$	11.64	11.67	305	307	
NHC H (ice)	-		ethoxy-5-chloropher	5	11 50	0.07	0 0-	
NHC₄H₀(iso)	1	197-198	$C_{13}H_{20}O_2N_2Cl_2$	11.55	11.50	307	308	
$N(C_2H_b)_2$	1	184-186	$C_{13}H_{20}O_2N_2Cl_2$	11.55	11.48	307	305	
Morpholino	1	205-208	$C_{13}H_{18}O_{3}N_{2}Cl_{2}$	11.06	10.89	321	320	
(8) $R = 2 - n$ -butoxy-5-chlorophenyl								
$N(C_2H_5)_2$	1	133 - 135	$C_{16}H_{26}O_2N_2Cl_2$	10.17	10.26	349	342	
Morpholino	1	173-176	$C_{16}H_{24}O_{3}N_{2}Cl_{2}$	9.78	9.60	363	358	

		TABLE I	I (Continued)						
			• •		Ionic chlorine, %		Mol. wt.		
R′	n	М.р., °С., НСІ	Formula	Caled.	Found	Caled.	Found		
]	NHCOCHR'						
		(9)	ĊH.						
			CI						
CH ₄									
NHC₄H₂(iso)		239-241	$C_{14}H_{22}ON_2Cl_2$	11.64	11.43	305	301		
$N(C_2H_5)_2$		117-120	$C_{14}H_{22}ON_2Cl_2$	11.64	11.55	305	300		
Morpholino		207 - 209	$C_{14}H_{20}O_2N_2Cl_2$	11.13	10.97	319	312		
^a Reported ⁵ m.p. 219–221°.	^b Reported	^{6a} m.p. 231–233°.	• Reported ^{6a} m.	p. 15 4–1 55°.	^d Reported	i ⁶ m.p. 210). 5–2 13.5°.		
· Determined by titration with	standard b	ase.			-	-			

Pharmacology

A pharmacological screening of these compounds for local anesthetic efficiency was conducted. The toxicities were determined intraperitoneally and subcutaneously on white mice. The potency was determined by three methods: application to the rabbit cornea, blocking the sciatic nerve of the intact guinea pig, and by the use of the wheal test on the guinea pig back. Evidence of irritation was checked after topical application to the rabbit eye and on intradermal injection in the rabbit using an intravenous injection of trypan blue as an indicator. These procedures have been previously described.¹⁰

The relative anesthetic efficiency is defined as the ratio of the relative potency to the relative toxicity. The relative potency as determined *via* the guinea pig wheal test is preferred as it gives a closer correlation to clinical findings with known anesthetics.¹¹ The intraperitoneal toxicity on white mice was used as it represents a mean value between the rapidly absorbed intravenous and slowly absorbed subcutaneous injections.

The toxicities of most of these compounds were lower than that of procaine hydrochloride. The potency and irritation were generally higher. A few compounds of note were α -isobutylamino-3chloro-4-methylacetanilide hydrochloride and β -diethylamino-3-chloropropionanilide hydrochloride with an anesthetic efficiency of three and four, respectively, (procaine hydrochloride assigned a value of one) with little or no irritation noted.

Detailed pharmacological and clinical studies of these compounds will be published elsewhere.

Experimental

The *m*-chloroaniline, the chlorotoluidines and 2-methoxy-5-chloroaniline were obtained from commercial sources. The 2-butoxy-5-chloroaniline was prepared by alkylating 2nitro-4-chlorophenol with butyl bromide and potassium carbonate in an anhydrous solvent. The resulting ether was reduced with iron to the substituted aniline. The general method described in the literature¹² for the alkylation of nitrophenol gave a zero yield of the desired product. This method, when modified by using ethylene glycol monomethyl ether (methyl Cellosolve) as the solvent, was found to give excellent yields, 96% of the theoretical.

to give excellent yields, 96% of the theoretical. The ω -chloroacylanilines were prepared by condensing the chloroacyl chloride with the substituted aniline. This

(10) E. Epstein, M. Meyer and H. Ginsberg, Current Res. Anes. & Analg., 34, 171 (1954). method is essentially that of Jacobs and Heidelberger¹³ as modified and described in our previous paper.¹⁴ The anesthetic compounds were prepared by treating the chloroacylanilines with two to three moles of the amine. The anesthetic compounds were isolated as their hydrochloride salts and purified by recrystallization from isopropyl alcohol or isopropyl alcohol-water mixtures.

Preparation of 2-*n*-Butoxy-5-chloro-nitrobenzene.—A mixture of 17.3 g. (0.1 mole) of 2-nitro-4-chlorophenol, 8.4 g. (0.06 mole) of anhydrous potassium carbonate, 20.6 g. (0.15 mole) of *n*-butyl bromide and 300 ml. of ethylene glycol monomethyl ether (methyl Cellosolve) was refluxed vigorously for two hours. An additional 5.6 g. (0.04 mole) of anhydrous potassium carbonate and 13.7 g. (0.1 mole) *n*-butyl bromide were added and the mixture refluxed for an additional six hours. After cooling, the mixture was filtered and the filter cake washed with 100 ml. of ether. The solvent in the combined filtrates was removed by vacuum distillation at 100° and 15 mm. pressure. The residue was dissolved in 200 ml. of ether and washed successively with two 100-ml. portions of 5% sodium hydroxide solution and two 200-ml. portions of water. After drying over anhydrous sodium sulfate, the ether solution was distilled to yield a fraction boiling at 102-106° at 30 μ . The yield of 2-*n*-butoxy-5-chloronitrobenzene was 22 g. (96%)

Anal. Calcd. for $C_{10}H_{12}O_3NC1$: Cl, 15.44. Found: Cl, 15.09.

2-n-Butoxy-5-chloroaniline Hydrochloride.—To 18.3 g. (0.08 mole) of 2-n-butoxy-5-chloronitrobenzene suspended in 250 ml. of 80% isopropyl alcohol was added one ml. of concentrated hydrochloric acid. Forty-four grams (0.8 mole) of iron powder was added in small portions over a period of one hour with stirring at 70°. The mixture was then stirred at this temperature for an additional two hours and filtered while hot through a Filter-Gel bed. The alcohol was extracted with three 100-ml. portions of ether. The extract was washed twice with water and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After treating with activated carbon, the ether solution was acidifed with anhydrous hydrosylalcohol-ether mixture to yield 12.6 g. (67%) of 2-n-butoxy-5-chloroaniline hydrochloride as white crystals, m.p. 151-153°.

 α -Chloro-2-butoxy-5-chloroacetanilide.—To 23.7 g. (0.1 mole) of 2-n-butoxy-5-chloroaniline hydrochloride in 150 ml. of glacial acetic acid was added all at once with vigorous stirring 12.5 g. (0.11 mole) of chloroacetyl chloride. The mixture was stirred for one hour and 150 g. of sodium acetate in 500 ml. of water was added. Stirring was continued for an additional hour and the mixture was filtered. The crude product was recrystallized from 50% isopropyl alcohol to yield 19.6 g. (71%) of α -chloro-2-butoxy-5-chloroacetanilide as white crystals, m.p. 91–93°.

 α -Morpholino-2-butoxy-5-chloroacetanilide Hydrochloride.—A mixture of 6.9 g. (0.025 mole) of α -chloro-2-bu-

(14) E. Epstein and D. Kaminsky, THIS JOURNAL, 79, 5814 (1957).

⁽¹¹⁾ To be published.

^{(12) &}quot;Organic Syntheses," Coll. Vol. III, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1955, p. 140.

⁽¹³⁾ W. A. Jacobs and M. Heidelberger, J. Biol. Chem., 21, 139 (1915).

toxy-5-chloroacetanilide and 8.7 g. (0.1 mole) of morpholine was heated on a steam-bath for two hours. The excess morpholine was removed by vacuum distillation and the residue was taken up in 250 ml. of ether. The extract was washed twice with water and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The ether solution, after treating with activated carbon, was acidified with anhydrous hydrochloric acid to yield a yellow oil. The oil was dissolved in 20 ml. of 50% isopropyl alcohol, treated with activated carbon and then made alkaline with 100 ml. of 2% ammonium hydroxide to yield 7.2 g. (89%) of α -morpholino-2-butoxy-5-chloroacetanilide as white crystals, m.p. 116-118°. The hydrochloride salt was prepared by acidifying the base in ether solution with anhydrous hydrochloric acid and recrystallizing from an isopropyl alcohol-ether mixture, m.p. 173-176°, as white crystals.

Acknowledgment.—We are indebted to Ann Hartman for assistance in the pharmacological screening of these compounds.

BROOKLYN 7, N. Y.

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA]

The Reactions of Methylhydrazine and *unsym*-Dimethylhydrazine with Esters and Anhydrides of Carboxylic Acids; the Application of Paper Chromatography to Problems in Synthetic Organic Chemistry

BY RICHARD L. HINMAN AND DAVID FULTON

Received June 28, 1957

The reactions of methylhydrazine and *unsym*-dimethylhydrazine with several simple esters have been examined. The reactions of methylhydrazine with acetic, propionic, and benzoic anhydrides also are described. The reactions of methylhydrazine were followed and the products in part identified by the use of paper chromatographic techniques. The following conclusions have been reached. (1) The reaction of an *anhydride* and an aqueous solution of methylhydrazine (1:1 mole ratio) yields mainly the 1-acyl-1-methylhydrazine, and can be used for the preparation of the latter. (2) The reaction of an *ester* and methylhydrazine yields mainly the 1-acyl-2-methylhydrazine, together with a small percentage of the unsymmetrical isomer. (3) As the size of the acyl group of an *ester* is increased, the percentage of 1-acyl-1-methylhydrazine molecule is more heavily substituted, the rate of reaction with *esters* decreases; the relative reactivities are NH₂NH₂ > CH₄NH-NH₂ > (CH₄)₂NNH₂. *unsym*-Dimethylhydrazine dia not react with simple esters larger than formates. (5) 1-Acyl-1-methylhydrazines generally had larger R_t values than 1-acyl-2-methylhydrazines in the solvent system: water, acetic acid and *n*-butyl or isoamyl alcohol. (6) The 1-acyl-2-methylhydrazines are low-melting solids; the 1-acyl-1-methylhydrazines are liquids at room temperature.

Although the formation of acid hydrazides by the reactions of hydrazine with esters of carboxylic acids is well known, the reactions of esters with alkyl and aryl derivatives of hydrazine have rarely been recorded. In the older literature the reactions of alkylhydrazines with esters are limited to a few reactions with ethyl oxalate.¹ More recently it has been observed that 1,1-dimethylhydrazine and methyl formate yield 1-formyl-2,2dimethylhydrazine, while 1-formyl-1,2,2-trimethylhydrazine is obtained from the reaction of trimethylhydrazine and methyl formate.² Methyl isonicotinate and methylhydrazine yield 1-isonicotinyl-2-methylhydrazine.³ On the other hand, methyl benzoate does not react with 1,1-dimethylhydra-zine at atmospheric pressure.^{4a} Compounds of the latter type do react easily with ethyl oxalate, acid anhydrides, cyanate and phenyl isothiocyanate to give characteristic derivatives.4a,b

The purpose of the present research was to examine more fully the acylation of methylhydrazine and *unsym*-dimethylhydrazine, especially by esters, and to compare the behavior of these alkylhydrazines to that of hydrazine itself.

Acylation of Methylhydrazine.—The monoacylation of methylhydrazine is complicated by the pres-

(a) G. v. Brüning, Ann., 253, 13 (1889);
 (b) A. Michaelis and E. Hadanck, Ber., 41, 3285 (1908);
 (c) E. Fischer and H. Troschke, Ann., 199, 297 (1879);
 (d) A. Renouf, Ber., 13, 2172 (1880);
 (e) A. P. N. Franchimont and H. V. Erp, Rec. trav. chim., 14, 303 (1895).

(2) R. T. Beltrami and E. R. Bissell, THIS JOURNAL, 78, 2468 (1956).

(3) H. H. Fox and J. T. Gibas, J. Org. Chem., 18, 994 (1953).

(4) (a) R. L. Hinman, THIS JOURNAL, **78**, 1645 (1956); (b) H. Zimmer, L. F. Audrieth and M. Zimmer, *Ber.*, **89**, 1116 (1956).

ence of two nitrogens which can undergo acylation. Considering the electron-donating character of the methyl group, acylation might be expected to occur more readily at the methyl-bearing nitrogen. Previously reported experiments support this hypothesis. Thus, methylhydrazine and benzoic anhydride yield 1-benzoyl-1-methylhydrazine.1b With potassium cyanate in acidic media 2-methylsemicarbazide is formed.^{1b} Ethyl isocyanate and methyl isothiocyanate yield 2-methyl-4-ethylsemicarbazide and 2,4-dimethylthiosemicarbazide, respectively.^{5a} Similar results have been observed with other monoalkylhydrazines,5b whereas acylation of arylhydrazines takes place at the -NH2 group.5c The reactions of monoalkylhydrazines with ethyl oxalate are anomalous in that attack occurs on the unsubstituted nitrogen.1

In the work described here, the products from the reactions of esters with methylhydrazine were lowmelting solids, which could not be purified by crystallization, and which underwent further reaction when distilled (see below). They rapidly turned yellow when exposed to air. Molecular formulas were determined from those of phenylthiosemicarbazides and other derivatives. Despite the described preference of the acylating agent for the alkylated nitrogen, it was soon evident that both of the isomeric monoacylmethylhydrazines were formed in reactions with esters (equation 1). Since separation and purification of the products could not be effected by the usual techniques.

(5) (a) M. Busch, E. Opfermann and H. Walther, *ibid.*, 37, 2318
(1904); (b) M. Busch and R. Schmidt, J. prakt. Chem., 180, 342
(1931); (c) see for example E. Fischer, Ann., 190, 67 (1878).