Tetrahedron Letters 52 (2011) 7178-7181

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

Improved Hiyama cross-coupling reactions using HOMSi^{®1} reagents: a novel application of a palladacycle

Sebastian M. Marcuccio^{a,b}, Ruwan Epa^a, Maisa Moslmani^b, Andrew B. Hughes^{b,*}

^a Department of Chemistry, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Victoria 3086, Australia ^b Advanced Molecular Technologies Pty Ltd, Unit 1/7-11 Rocco Drive, Scoresby, Victoria 3179, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 September 2011 Revised 12 October 2011 Accepted 21 October 2011 Available online 29 October 2011

Keywords: HOMSi[®] Hiyama reaction Palladacycle Tri-o-tolylphosphine Cross-coupling

ABSTRACT

Various parameters in the Hiyama cross-coupling reaction of HOMSi[®] reagents with ethyl bromobenzoate were studied. These included solvent, ligand, palladium source, and added water. DMF and THF were found to be excellent solvents. Palladium chloride was found to be the best palladium source and no added water was required. The use of tri-o-tolylphosphine as the ligand proved to be particularly effective.

Crown Copyright © 2011 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Our interest in the silicon-mediated coupling reaction known as the Hiyama reaction has developed as a parallel to the much studied and extremely useful boron-mediated Suzuki reaction.^{2–8} The Suzuki reaction relies on the generation of a tetrasubstituted boron-intermediate that is able to transfer a ligand to palladium. The palladium complex formed then undergoes reductive elimination to form the desired C-C coupled products. Many of the properties of boron that contribute to the great success of the Suzuki reaction are also present in the chemistry of silicon in the Hiyama reaction. The Hiyama reaction is a silicon-mediated palladium-catalyzed coupling reaction that has developed enormously from its advent to the current day.^{9–37} Silicon-mediated coupling chemistry has some notable advantages that warrant its further development. Firstly, there is a broad and growing range of silicon reagents commercially available. Secondly, silicon is the second most abundant element on Earth, whereas boron has limited supplies.³⁸

Hiyama et al.^{39,40} introduced carefully designed silicon reagents, the alkenyl, and aryl[(2-hydroxymethyl)phenyl]dimethyl silanes (HOMSi[®]).¹ A key design feature of the HOMSi[®] reagents is the presence of two aryl groups on the silicon in many cases. In other cases, the two relevant substituents are aryl and vinyl. There is no evidence in either situation that the hydroxymethyl aryl group transmetallates to palladium in preference to the introduced aryl or vinyl substituent.^{41,42} Presumably, this is due to the bidentate nature of the hydroxymethylaryl ligand at the point of transmetallation. These reagents incorporate an intramolecular hydroxy group for silicon activation under basic conditions, they are readily prepared and recyclable (Scheme 1).

Hiyama and others have recognized these couplings have a number of parameters that should be optimized for best reaction. Also the reactions have alternative modes that can enhance the range of applications. Despite a number of papers reporting further work on the Hiyama reaction since the disclosure of the HOMSi[®] reagents,^{43,39,44–47,42,48} there is still much work to be done in optimizing their use, reaction conditions, and in exploiting different reagents and coupling modes including the development of copper-free reactions.⁴⁹

We herein report our preliminary results from our first foray into this exciting field of silicon mediated Hiyama coupling chemistry using HOMSI[®] reagents.

In this Letter, a number of Hiyama reaction parameters for some exemplary aryl substrates were investigated. These parameters include the presence of water, alternative solvents, ligands, and palladium catalyst.



Scheme 1. HOMSi[®] reagent coupling and recycling.





^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: andrew.hughes2@optusnet.com.au (A.B. Hughes).

HOMSi[®] reagents have a number of important attributes including good functional group compatibility, reactions can be performed under fluoride-free conditions, the organosilicon 'byproduct' can be recycled if required and the cross-coupling reactions can be turned 'OFF' by the use of suitable protecting groups on the 2-hydroxymethyl group.

The HOMSi[®] reagents required for the coupling reactions can be prepared by standard protocols (Scheme 2)⁴⁴ or purchased from commercial sources.⁵⁰ 2-Bromobenzyl alcohol **1** was protected as its tetrahydropyranyl ether **2** using dihydropyran and hydrochloric acid. Metal-halogen exchange with *n*-butyllithium gave the aryl anion, which reacted with chlorodimethylsilane to give the dimethylsilane **3**. Under acidic conditions the THP ether was hydrolyzed and cyclization of the alcohol gave 2-oxa-1-silaindan (**4**). This 2-oxa-1-silaindan is the central intermediate for the formation of HOMSi[®] reagents. In the current study bromobenzene, 4-chlorobromobenzene and 4-methoxybromobenzene were each converted into the corresponding Grignard reagent and on reaction with 2-oxa-1-silaindan (**4**) gave HOMSi[®] reagents **5**, **6**, and **7**, respectively.

An initial Hiyama coupling (Scheme 3) was performed to establish a baseline result for the reaction (Table 1, entry 1). This coupling reaction was designed to be close to established general conditions for the Hiyama coupling.⁴⁴ The coupling partners **5** and **8** were mixed with potassium carbonate, cuprous iodide, and palladium(II) chloride in dry DMSO. An amount of water (1.5 equiv) and the iminophosphine ligand **9**, often employed by Hiyama, were added. The reaction was heated at 80 °C for 15 h and work-up gave a 70% conversion to product **10**. This result was determined by the comparison of the integrations of two ¹H NMR signals. Ethyl 4-bromobenzoate (starting material) displayed a doublet at 7.87 ppm, while the biphenyl product **10** showed a unique doublet at 8.12 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectra.

Early HOMSi® coupling reactions employed solvents such as DMSO^{45,51} and THF.^{40,39,42} Later, the use of DMF was also reported.^{47,51} Notably, when DMSO was the solvent it was not always clear whether water (adventitious or added) was included or not.⁴⁵ The effect of water on the reaction needed to be considered and also a broader range of solvents examined. Accordingly, the first variations (Table 1, entries 2-4) had different amounts of water included. The initial reaction (entry 1) included 1.5 equiv of water. The 2 equiv used in entry 2 had no effect (71% conversion) compared to entry 1. But amounts of water above this caused a significant decrease in the reaction conversion (entries 3 and 4). In the case of entry 4 (20 equiv H_2O) it is notable that the reaction was still occurring; however, only 21% conversion was observed. Small amounts of water (2 equiv or less) do not interfere with this process to a great extent, but do have the effect of improving the solubility of the base K₂CO₃ needed to deprotonate the HOMSi[®] reagent and this positive effect gives higher conversions.

Substitution of DMSO/ H_2O for DMF gave a small improvement in conversion (Table 1, entry 5, 75% conversion). It should be noted that the DMF used was a commercial sample that was not specifi-



Scheme 2. HOMSi® reagent preparation.



Scheme 3. Benchmark Hiyama coupling.

Table 1

Hiyama cross-coupling reaction^a varying the solvent

Entry	Solvent	Time (h)	Conversion ^b (%)
1	DMSO/1.5 equiv H ₂ O	15	65-70
2	DMSO/2.0 equiv H ₂ O	15	71
3	DMSO/4.0 equiv H ₂ O	15	50
4	DMSO/20 equiv H ₂ O	15	21
5	DMF ^c	15	75
6	THF ^{c,d}	15	77
7	1,4-Dioxane ^c	45	58
8	Toluene ^c	15	9
9	MeOH ^d	15	0

^a Reaction as depicted in Scheme 3 using a mixture of **5** (1 equiv), ethyl 4-bromobenzoate (0.84 equiv), ligand **9** (0.03 equiv) and PdCl₂ (0.025 equiv).

^b % Conversion was determined by comparison of the integrations of the signals at 7.87 ppm for the starting material **8** and 8.12 ppm for the product **10** in the ¹H NMR spectra.

^c No added water.

^d Reaction performed at 65-66 °C.

cally dried prior to use and may contain a small amount of adventitious water. The ethereal solvent THF (Table 1, entry 7) was similarly effective (77% conversion) even though this reaction occurred at a lower temperature (66 °C). However, the reaction seemed to be much slower when the related solvent 1,4-dioxane was used; there was a marked improvement in conversion at the longer reaction time (Table 1, entry 7, 45 h, 58% conversion).

Conversion was markedly decreased when toluene was used (Table 1, entry 10). This is thought to be due to the lower solubility of the reagents in this solvent. The protic solvent, methanol (Table 1, entry 11) afforded no reaction. Presumably, methanol behaves much like water in excess and interferes with the reaction, particularly with ligand exchange processes.

Further work on the use of different solvents is suggested by these results. Ideal solvents seem to be DMF and THF. Inclusion of a small amount of water is also convenient but the question remains if this might also be replaced effectively by a small amount of an alcohol such as methanol, ethanol or 2-propanol which might still improve potassium carbonate solubility but have less propensity to interfere in other reaction processes. The useful improvement in conversion by including water might also be unnecessary if a more effective, organic soluble base was implemented instead of potassium carbonate.

In the next phase of study, the palladium ligand was considered. A broad range of different substances have been used as the palladium ligand in Hiyama reactions (Fig. 1). Hiyama's studies have found that in general the more sterically bulky ligands give higher cross-coupling yields.^{41,42} Two of the better ligands being $9^{30,32,44,45}$ and RuPhos.^{47,50}

Ligand **9** was available in our laboratory and its use was a convenient point of comparison. To our delight it was found that the *o*-tolyl phosphine ligand **11** gave conversion >90% (Table 2, entry 9) and this was significantly better than reactions employing **9** as ligand. In addition, its isomer, the *p*-tolyl phosphine **12** gave lower conversion (Table 2, entry 8) under the same reaction conditions.



Figure 1. Ligands used in the Hiyama reaction.

Table 2

Formation of biphenyl 10 by varying the palladium ligand and palladium source

5a R 5b R 5c R	OH Si R + E C ₆ H ₅ = 4-MeOC ₆ = 4-ClC ₆ H ₄	Br CO ₂ H ₄	Et Po Cu DN	d, ligand, I, K₂CO₃, MF, 80 ºC	R 10
Entry	HOMSi®	Pd source	Ligand ^a	Reaction time	Conversion
				(h)	(%)
1	5a	$Pd(OAc)_2$	9	30	0
2	5a	$(Ph_3P)_2PdCl_2$	9	15	53
3	5a	$(Ph_3P)_2PdCl_2$	9	30	65
4	5a	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	-	30	52
5	5a	Pd(dppf)Cl ₂	-	15	13
6	5a	10% Pd/C	-	30	69
7	5a	PdCl ₂	9	30	75
8	5a	PdCl ₂	12	15	53
9	5a	PdCl ₂	11	15	>98
10	5b	PdCl ₂	11 ^b	15	>90
11	5c	PdCl ₂	11 ^c	15	63
12 ^d	5a	PdCl ₂	11	15	>98 ^e

^a 3 mol % of ligand used.

^b 9 mol % of ligand used.

^c 12 mol % of ligand used.

^d Methyl 4-iodobenzoate used instead of **8**.

^e Methyl ester formed and not **10** as shown.

In the present study, a limited range of ligands were trialed with different palladium sources (Table 2).

Following the results from Table 1, the ligand variations were conducted using DMF as solvent. For the reactions shown in Table 2 the limiting reactant was 4-bromobenzoate 8 (2.1 mmol). The common reagents and conditions were cuprous iodide (4 mol %), potassium carbonate (2 equiv) and the reaction was performed at 80 °C. A common source of palladium is palladium(II) acetate. When this salt was used (Table 2, entry 1) with ligand 9 the result was no reaction. This was surprising and may be an effect similar to the presence of excess water, but in this situation caused by the acetates. Changing to bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (Table 2, entries 2 and 3) gave an immediate improvement to 53% conversion after 15 h and 65% conversion after 30 h reaction time. Table 2. entry 4 shows the use of tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0). The conversion using this catalyst was moderate (52%). Use of the ferrocene-containing phosphine ligand, 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphanyl)ferrocene (dppf) as its palladium(II) chloride complex gave a disappointing 13% conversion (Table 2, entry 5). Table 2, entry 6, shows that 10% palladium(0)-on-charcoal in the absence of any additional ligand such as 9 can serve as an effective palladium source that is at least as good as previous entries giving close to 70% conversion after 30 h reaction time. Most attention in this study focused on the use of palladium(II) chloride and different ligands. PdCl₂ and the iminophosphine ligand **9** gave 75% conversion (Table 2, entry 7). In Table 2, entry 8, the ligand was replaced with tri-*p*-tolylphosphine **12**. The conversion with this ligand was moderate (53%) and reminiscent of earlier reactions (Table 2, entries 2–4). It was surprising then that changing the ligand to tri-*o*-tolyl phosphine **11** (Table 2, entry 9) gave a marked increase in conversion. The conversion (>98%) using ligand **11** was the highest found in this study. The use of the alternative HOMSi[®] reagents **5b** and **5c** (entries 10 and 11) showed electron donation had little effect while **5c** caused a reduction in conversion. Interestingly, changing the coupling substrate from **8** to methyl 4-iodobenzoate (entry 12) gave very high conversion while use of the chlorobenzoate was poor (result not shown).

To conclude the current study, the importance of various reactants was examined through a series of experiments involving selective omission of specific components (Table 3).

Table 3, entry 1 shows omitting the potassium carbonate base still leads to an 8% conversion. The role of the carbonate base is to deprotonate the HOMSi[®] reagent to promote formation of the pentavalent silicon species which then transmetallates to palladium. Not surprisingly, omission of palladium (Table 3, entry 2) resulted in 0% conversion as the requisite complexes for the reaction could not form. Critical to the complex formation are the phosphine ligands and so their omission (Table 3, entry 3) also gives 0% conversion. Lastly, ensuring the absence of water (Table 3, entry 4) still gives >90% conversion indicating, together with earlier results, that the reaction can tolerate small amounts of water but added water is not required for formation of the complex necessary for high conversion into the product.

In conclusion, this work has resulted in the discovery that a highly efficient phosphine ligand (tri-o-tolyl phosphine) gives generally higher conversions in Hiyama cross-coupling reactions than other phosphine ligands. It was found that adventitious water did not have a deleterious effect on the cross-coupling reaction and consequently no rigorous drying of solvents was required. DMF and THF are readily available solvents giving high conversions in the reactions reported. In this study the best palladium source was palladium(II) chloride. In our hands palladium(II) acetate was not effective. Electron-rich and electron-poor substituents are tolerated by the HOMSi[®] reagents and aryl bromides and io-dides give excellent results as coupling partners. We are currently exploring the use of other palladacycles and the role of copper in these reactions. The results of these studies will be reported in due course.

A typical experimental procedure. To a mixture of **5** (611 mg, 2.5 mmol), K_2CO_3 (582 mg, 4.2 mmol), ligand **9** (31 mg, 84 µmol), and PdCl₂ (11 mg, 63 µmol) in dry DMSO (12 ml) was added ethyl 4-bromobenzoate (**8**) (480 mg, 2.1 mmol), H₂O (75 µl), and Cul (16 mg, 84 µmol). The resulting mixture was heated with stirring to 80 °C for 15 h. The mixture was then allowed to cool and was diluted with H₂O (25 ml). The aqueous mixture was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 25 ml). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (25 ml), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and the filtrate evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The residual crude oil was then submitted for ¹H NMR analysis.

Table 3				
Effect of omitted	reagents on	the Hiyama	cross-couplin	g reaction

Entry	Omitted reagent	Conversion (%)	
1	K ₂ CO ₃	8	
2	Pd catalyst	0	
3	(o-Tol) ₃ P	0 ^a	
4	H ₂ O	>90	

^a By-products formed.

References and notes

- 1. HOMSi[®] is a registered trademark of Advanced Molecular Technologies Pty Ltd, http://www.amtechpl.com/.
- 2 Suzuki, A. Mod. Arene Chem. 2002, 53-106.
- Zapf, A. Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis, 2nd ed., 2004, Vol. 1, pp 211-3. 229
- 4 Corbet, J.-P.; Mignani, G. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2651-2710. Naravanan, R. Molecules 2010, 15, 2124-2138. 5
- Anon Heterocycles 2010, 80, 45-62. 6.
- 7
- Wolfe, J. P.; Nakhla, J. S. Name React. Homologations 2009, 163-184. Alonso, F.; Beletskaya, I. P.; Yus, M. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 3047-3101. 8
- 9.
- (a) Herrmann, W. A. Applied Homogeneous Catalysis with Organometallic Compounds, 2nd ed., 2002, Vol. 1, pp 591–598.; (b) Chult, C.; Corriu, R. J. P.; Reye, C.; Young, J. C. Chem. Rev. **1993**, 93, 1371–1448.
- 10. von Müller, R.: Dressler, M.: Dathe, C. I. Prakt. Chem. **1970**, 312, 150–160.
- Selected references are given here: (a) Müller, R.; Reichel, S.; Dathe, C. J. Prakt. 11 Chem. 1969, 311, 930-935; (b) Müller, R.; Koehne, R. Z. Chem. 1969, 9, 429; (c) Müller, R.; Koehne, R.; Model, H. J.; Dathe, C. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **1969**, 368, 243–247; (d) Müller, R.; Reichel, S.; Dathe, C. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. **1967**, 3, D25-13(c) Multer, R.; Dathe, C. Z. Anorg, Allg. Chem. 1966, 343, 150–153; (f)
 Müller, R.; Dathe, C.; Frey, H. J. Chem. Ber. 1966, 99, 1614–1617; (g) Müller, R.;
 Dathe, C. Z. Anorg, Allg. Chem. 1965, 341, 49–60; (h) Müller, R.; Dathe, C. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **1965**, 341, 41–48; (i) Müller, R.; Müller, W. Chem. Ber. **1965**, 98, 2916–2919; (j) Müller, R. Z. Chem. 1965, 5, 220–225; (k) Müller, R.; Dathe, C.; Mross, D. Chem. Ber. 1965, 98, 241–244; (l) Müller, R.; Dathe, C. Chem. Ber. 1965, 98, 235-240; (m) Müller, R.; Rochow, E. G. J. Chem. Ed. 1965, 42, 41-47; (n) Müller, R.; Dathe, C. J. Prakt. Chem. 1963, 22, 232-240.
- 12 Yoshida, J.-i.; Tamao, K.; Yamamoto, H.; Kakui, T.; Uchida, T.; Kumada, M. Organometallics 1982, 1, 542-549.
- Yoshida, J.-i.; Tamao, K.; Takahashi, M.; Kumada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 13 2161-2164.
- Tamao, K.; Kakui, T.; Kumada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 619-622. 14
- Tamao, K.; Matsumoto, H.; Kakui, T.; Kumada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 1137-15. 1140
- 16. Yoshida, J.-i.; Tamao, K.; Kakui, T.; Kumada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 1141-1144.
- 17 Tamao, K.; Yoshida, J.-i.; Takahashi, M.; Yamamoto, H.; Kakui, T.; Matsumoto, H.; Kurita, A.; Kumada, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 290-292.
- 18 Tamao, K.; Kakui, T.; Kumada, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 2269.
- Yoshida, J.-i.; Tamao, K.; Kurita, A.; Kumada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 1809-19. 1812
- 20. Ikenaga, K.; Kikukawa, K.; Matsuda, T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1986, 1959-1964.
- 21 Hallberg, A.; Westerlund, C. Chem. Lett. 1982, 1993-1994.
- 22. Weber, W. P.; Felix, R. A.; Willard, A. K.; Koenig, K. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 4701-4704.
- 23 (a) Hatanaka, Y.; Hiyama, T. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 920-923; (b) Napier, S.; Marcuccio, S. M.; Tye, H.; Whittaker, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 6314-6315.

- 24. Matsuhashi, H.; Kuroboshi, M.; Hatanaka, Y.; Hiyama, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35 6507-6510
- 25 (a) Shibata, K.; Miyazawa, K.; Goto, Y. Chem. Commun. 1997, 1309-1310; (b) Hatanaka, Y.; Hiyama, T. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 270-276.
- 26 (a) Mowery, M. E.; DeShong, P. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 1684-1688; (b) Napier, S.; Marcuccio, S. M.; Tye, H.; Whittaker, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 3939-3942
- 27. Sehnal, P.; Taylor, R. J. K.; Fairlamb, I. J. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 824-889.
- 28. Lee, H. M.; Nolan, S. P. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2053-2055.
- 29 Denmark, S. E.; Wu, Z. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1495-1498.
- Denmark, S. E.; Choi, J. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5821-5822. 30
- Hirabayashi, K.; Kawashima, J.; Nishihara, Y.; Mori, A.; Hiyama, T. Org. Lett. 31. 1999, 1, 299-301.
- 32 Denmark, S. E.; Wehrli, D. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 565-568.
- Denmark, S. E.; Wehrli, D.; Choi, J. Y. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2491-2494. 33.
- 34. Denmark, S. E.; Neuville, L. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 3221-3224.
- Taguchi, H.; Ghoroku, K.; Tadaki, M.; Tsubouchi, A.; Takeda, T. J. Org. Chem. 35. 2002, 67, 8450-8456.
- 36. Taguchi, H.; Takami, K.; Tsubouchi, A.; Takeda, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 429-432.
- 37 Shindo, M.; Matsumoto, K.; Shishido, K. Synlett 2005, 176-179.
- 7th ed. Industrial Minerals and Rocks; Kogel, J. E., Trivedi, N. C., Barker, J. M., 38.
- Krukowski, S. T., Eds.; Society for Mining, Metallurgy and Exploration, 2006. 39 Nakao, Y.; Imanaka, H.; Sahoo, A. K.; Yada, A.; Hiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6952-6953
- Nakao, Y.; Sahoo, A. K.; Imanaka, H.; Yada, A.; Hiyama, T. Pure Appl. Chem. 2006, 40 78, 435-440.
- Chen, J.; Tanaka, M.; Sahoo, A. K.; Takeda, M.; Yada, A.; Nakao, Y.; Hiyama, T. 41 Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2010, 83, 554-569.
- Nakao, Y.; Takeda, M.; Matsumoto, T.; Hiyama, T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 42. 49, 4447-4450.
- 43. Sahoo, A. K.; Oda, T.; Nakao, Y.; Hiyama, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1715-1727.
- 44. Nakao, Y.; Sahoo, A. K.; Yada, A.; Chen, J.; Hiyama, T. Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater. 2006, 7, 536-543.
- 45. Nakao, Y.; Imanaka, H.; Chen, J.; Yada, A.; Hiyama, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 585-603.
- 46. Nakao, Y.; Chen, J.; Imanaka, H.; Hiyama, T.; Ichikawa, Y.; Duan, W.-L.; Shintani, R.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9137-9143.
- 47 Nakao, Y.; Chen, J.; Tanaka, M.; Hiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11694-11695
- 48. Tang, S.; Takeda, M.; Nakao, Y.; Hiyama, T. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 307-309
- 49. Shirbin, S. J.; Boughton, B. A.; Zammit, S. C.; Zanatta, S. D.; Marcuccio, S. M.; Hutton, C. A.; Williams, S. J. Tetrahedron Lett. **2010**, 51, 2979–2982.
- HOMSi® reagents can be purchased from Advanced Molecular Technologies Pty 50 Ltd, Alfa Aesar, Wako and Shigematsu and Co. Ltd.
- 51. Hiyama, T. Chem. Rev. 2008, 8, 337-350.