$TiCl_4$  Induced Opening of Chiral Acetals: a route to  $\beta$ -adrenergic blocking agents

## A. SOLLADIE-CAVALLO, J. SUFFERT and M. GORDON Laboratoire de Stéréochimie Organométallique, associé au CNRS, E.H.I.C.S., 1, rue Blaise Pascal - 67008 STRASBOURG (France)

Abstract : Aryloxy and alkyloxypropanolamines  $\frac{7a-c}{TiCl_4}$  are obtained in 45 to 70% yields and with 80% of asymmetric induction, using  $\frac{TiCl_4}{TiCl_4}$  induced nucleophilic addition of cyanotrimethylsilane onto chiral acetals derived from racemic 2,4-pentanediol. Therefore, optically pure 2,4-pentanediol will provide, after a purification, optically pure 7a-c.

Optically pure  $\beta$ -adrenergic blocking agents of the type aryloxy and alkyloxypropanolamines are usually synthesized in poor yields (4 to 15%) from D-mannitol<sup>1-5</sup> or vitamin-C<sup>6</sup>. The first efficient asymmetric synthesis of 2S-propanolol (50% yield announced) has been recently proposed by Sharpless and coll.<sup>7</sup> which prompted us to report some results obtained in this field<sup>8</sup>.

We found that optically pure aryloxy and alkyloxypropanolamine 7a-c can be obtained, after a purification, in satisfactory yields (40 to 65%) using TiCl<sub>4</sub> induced opening of optically pure chiral acetals.

Chiral acetals have been first used as inducers of chirality by Johnson and coll.<sup>9-11</sup>, and of particular interest for us was the use, as a nucleophile, of cyanotrimethylsilane because the products could be converted into chiral aminoalcohols of high optical purity<sup>12,13</sup>.

Therefore, the synthetic scheme (scheme 1) is relatively straightforward and the challenge was to perform the coupling-step-3 in the presence of an ether function.

The chiral ether-acetals  $\underline{3a-c}^{14}$  are obtained in about 95% yield by an acid-catalyzed exchange reaction and have been purified by column chromatography before being used for the following step. TiCl<sub>4</sub> induced nucleophilic additions of cyanotrimethylsilane are then carefully performed (temperature, rate of addition and waiting time must be optimized) leading to compounds  $\underline{4a-c}^{15}$  in 70 to 90% yield. After PCC oxidation, compounds  $\underline{5a-c}^{16}$  are obtained in 80 to 90% yield.

Diastereomer-ratios are determined by H-1 NMR (200MHz) on compounds 5a-c, before and after purification, but because of serious overlaps, Eu(FOD)<sub>2</sub> must be used in the case of

compounds <u>4</u> (the results obtained from <u>4</u> and from <u>5</u> are consistent<sup>15,16</sup>). Finally, acidic  $\beta$ -elimination followed by reduction give 7a-c in 90% yield.



<u>Scheme 1</u> (<u>a</u> : R= (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH; <u>b</u> : R= (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH-CH<sub>2</sub>; <u>c</u> : R= C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> )

Racemic 2,4-pentanediol has been used during this work and racemic aminoalcohols  $\underline{7}$  are obtained<sup>17</sup> however, the main diastereomers of compounds <u>5a-c</u> being obtained pure after a chromatographic purification, one can conclude that optically pure 2,4-pentanediol will afford optically pure alcohols  $\underline{7}$ . According to the model of Johnson and coll.<sup>12</sup> one can also postulate that the RR diol will give the S aminoalcohols as the major compounds (90%), which is the desired absolute configuration for those  $\beta$ -blockers.

We thank ALCON R & D France for supporting this work.

## REFERENCES

- 1) K. Yamamoto, T. Uno, T. Ishihara and K. Nakagawa ; Yakugaku Zashi, 96 289 (1976).
- 2) L.M. Weinstock, D.M. Mulvey and R. Tull ; J. Org. Chem., 41, 3121 (1976).
- 3) J.J. Baldwin, D.E. McLure, D.M. Gross and M. Williams ; J. Med. Chem., 25, 931 (1982).
- 4) B.W. Kiersted, A. Fararoe, F. Mennoma, J. Mullin, R.W. Guthrie, H. Crowley, B. Simko and L.C. Blaben; J. Med. Chem., 26, 1561 (1983).
- 5) M. Bouzoubaa, G. Leclerc, S. Rakhit and G. Andermann, J. Med. Chem., 28, 27 (1984).
- 6) M.E. Jung and T.J. Shaw ; J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>102</u>, 6304 (1980).
- 7) J.M. Klunder, S.Y. Ko and K.B. Sharpless ; J. Org. Chem., <u>51</u>, 3710 (1986).
- 8) This research was initiated in 1985 by Dr. J. Suffert during his post-doctoral work and continued by M. Gordon on a grant from Alcon R & D France.
- 9) W.S. Johnson, C.A. Harbert and R.D. Stipanovic ; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 5279 (1968).
- 10) W.S. Johnson, R. Elliott and J.D. Elliott, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>105</u>, 2904 (1983).
- 11) J.D. Elliott, V.M.F. Choi and W.S. Johnson ; J. Org. Chem., 48, 2294 (1983).
- 12) W.M.F. Choi, J.D. Elliott and W.S. Johnson ; Tetrahedron Letters, 25, 591 (1984).
- 13) A. Solladié-Cavallo, A.C. Dreyfus, F. Sanch and A. Klein, Chem. Letters, 1583 (1987)
- 14) H-1 NMR 200MHz (Bruker WP-200 SY) CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS δ ppm.
  - $\frac{3a}{2}: 1.17 (2CH_3, d, iPr, J=6Hz), 1.22 (CH_3 ring, d, J=6Hz), 1.31 (CH_2 ring, H_{eq.},m),$  $1.38 (CH_3 ring, d, J=6.5Hz), 1.85 (CH_2 ring, H_{ax}, d.d.d., J=12Hz, 6.5Hz, <sup>2</sup>J=$  $-13Hz), 3.44 (CH_2, A_2 part of A_2X, d, J=4.5Hz), 3.64 (CH, iPr, sept., J=6Hz),$  $3.99 (CH ring, H_{ax}, q.d.d, J=6Hz, 12Hz, 2.5Hz), 4.32 (CH ring, H_{eq.}, quint.$  $J=6.5Hz, 6.5Hz, OHz), 5.01 (CH, X part of A_2X, t, J=4.5Hz).$
  - $\begin{array}{l} \underline{3b} : 0.89 \; (2 {\rm CH}_3, \, {\rm iBu}, \, {\rm d}, \, {\rm J=6.5 {\rm Hz}}), \; 1.21 \; ({\rm CH}_3 \; {\rm ring}, \, {\rm d}, \, {\rm J=6 {\rm Hz}}), \; 1.32 \; ({\rm CH}_2 \; {\rm ring}, \, {\rm H}_{\rm eq}, \, {\rm m}), \\ 1.38 \; ({\rm CH}_3 \; {\rm ring}, \, {\rm d}, \, {\rm J=6.5 {\rm Hz}}), \; 1.86 \; ({\rm CH}_2 \; {\rm ring}, \, {\rm H}_{\rm ax}, \; {\rm d.d.d.}, \; {\rm J=12 {\rm Hz}}, \; {\rm 6 {\rm Hz}}, \; {\rm ^2 J=} \\ -13.5 {\rm Hz} \; {\rm and} \; {\rm CH}, \; {\rm iBu}, \; {\rm m}), \; 3.25 \; ({\rm CH}_2, \; {\rm iBu}, \, {\rm d}, \, {\rm J=6.5 {\rm Hz}}), \; 3.44 \; ({\rm CH}_2, \; {\rm AB} \; {\rm part} \; {\rm of} \; {\rm ABX}, \\ {}^2 {\rm J=-12 {\rm Hz}}), \; 4.00 \; ({\rm CH} \; {\rm ring}, \, {\rm H}_{\rm ax}, \; {\rm q.d.d.}, \; {\rm J=6 {\rm Hz}}, \; 12 {\rm Hz}, \; 2.5 {\rm Hz}), \; 4.32 \; ({\rm CH} \; {\rm ring}, \, {\rm H}_{\rm eq}, \\ {\rm quint.}, \; {\rm J=6.5 {\rm Hz}, \; 6.5 {\rm Hz}, \; 0 {\rm Hz}), \; 5.03 \; ({\rm CH}, \; {\rm X} \; {\rm part} \; {\rm of} \; {\rm ABX}, \; {\rm J=4.5 {\rm Hz}). \end{array}$
  - <u>3c</u>: 1.23 (CH<sub>3</sub> ring, d, J=6Hz), 1.35 (CH<sub>2</sub> ring, H<sub>eq.</sub> m.), 1.40 (CH<sub>3</sub> ring, d. J=6.5Hz), 1.89 (CH<sub>2</sub> ring, H<sub>ax</sub>, d.d.d., J=6.5Hz, 12Hz, <sup>2</sup>J=-13.5Hz), 4 (CH<sub>2</sub>, AB part of ABX and CH ring H<sub>ax</sub> m), 4.36 (CH ring, H<sub>eq.</sub>, quint, J=6.5Hz, 6.5Hz, OHz), 5.23 (CH, X part of ABX, t, J=4.5Hz), 6.94 (aromat. 3H, m), 7.25 (aromat. 2H, m).
- 15) H-1 NMR, 200MHz (Bruker WP-200 SY) (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS δ ppm.

  - <u>4b</u>: 0.93 (2CH<sub>3</sub>, iBu, weakly non-equivalent, two close d., J=6.5Hz), 1.20 (CH<sub>3</sub>, d, J=6Hz), 1.35 (CH<sub>3</sub>, d, J=6Hz), 1.60 (CH<sub>2</sub>, AB part of ABXY where  $J_{XY}=0$ , m, this chain corresponds to the opened acetal), 1.90 (CH, iBu, nonuplet, J=6.5Hz), 2.5 (0H), 3.30 (CH<sub>2</sub>, AB part of ABXK<sub>6</sub>, isobutyl chain,  $J_{AB}=-11Hz$ ,  $J \approx 6.5Hz$ ), 3.70

(CH<sub>2</sub>, AB part of ABX,  $J_{AB}$ =-10Hz, J  $\approx$  4.5Hz, 7.5Hz), 4.0 and 4.1 (multiplets, 2CH, X and Y part of ABXY, opened acetal), 4.3 (CH, X part of ABX, J  $\approx$  4.5Hz, 7.5Hz).

- $\frac{4c}{3}$  + Eu(FOD)<sub>3</sub>. First the triplet at 4.63 split into two triplets, <u>ratio 87/19</u>. Then the methyls doublets split into two doublets each, ratio 90/10 and 91/9.
- 16) H-1 NMR, 200MHz (Bruker WP-200 SY), CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS δ ppm.

  - $\frac{5c}{2}: 1.36 \text{ and } 1.25 \text{ (CH}_3, \text{ d, } J=6\text{Hz}, \frac{\text{ratio } 88/12}{2}, 2.14 \text{ and } 2.19 \text{ (CH}_3, \text{ s, } \frac{\text{ratio } 90/10}{2}, 2.65 \text{ (CH}_2, \text{ AB part of } ABX(K_3), \Delta v_{AB}=59\text{Hz}, J_{AB}=-18\text{Hz}, J \approx 3.5\text{Hz}, 8\text{Hz}), 4.15 \text{ (CH}_2, A_2 \text{ part of } A_2X, \text{ d, } J=6\text{Hz}), 4.25 \text{ (CH, } X \text{ part of } ABXK_3, \text{ m}), 4.69 \text{ (CH, } X \text{ part of } A_2X, \text{ t, } J=6\text{hz}), 6.92 \text{ (2H arom., } \approx \text{d}), 7.0 \text{ (1H arom., } \approx \text{t}), 7.30 \text{ (2H, arom., } \approx \text{t}).$
- 17) Because of the simplicity of the compounds only two examples are given.
  - H-1 NMR 200MHz (Bruker WP-200 SY), CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS & ppm.
  - $\begin{array}{l} \underline{7a} : 1.17 \ (2CH_3, \ iPr, \ d, \ J=6Hz), \ 2.15 \ (OH, \ b), \ 2.75 \ (CH_2N, \ A_1B_1 \ part \ an \ A_1B_1XA_2B_2, \\ \underline{\Delta\nu}_{AB} = 22Hz, \ \ J_{AB} = -12Hz, \ J \ \approx 3.5Hz, \ 7Hz), \ 3.42 \ (CH_2O, \ A_2B_2 \ part \ of \ A_1B_1XA_2B_2, \\ \underline{\Delta\nu}_{AB} = 18Hz, \ \ J_{AB} = -9.5Hz, \ J \ \approx 4.5Hz, \ 7Hz), \ 3.60 \ (CH, \ iPr, \ sept., \ J=6Hz), \ 3.70 \ (CH, \ X) \\ part \ of \ A_1B_1XA_2B_2, \ m). \end{array}$
  - $\frac{6a}{4Hz}$ : 1.15 (2CH<sub>3</sub>, iPr, d, J=6Hz), 3.62 (CH<sub>2</sub>, AB part of ABX,  $\Delta v_{AB}$ =16Hz, J<sub>AB</sub>=-15Hz, J≈ 4Hz, 4Hz), 3.64 (CH, iPr, sept.), 4.52 (CH, X part of ABX, t, J=4Hz).

(Received in France 9 March 1988)