Stereoselective Intramolecular 1,3 C–H Insertion in Rh(II) Carbene Reactions

Weifeng Shi, Bo Zhang, Jian Zhang, Binge Liu, Shiwei Zhang, and Jianbo Wang*

Key Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

wangjb@pku.edu.cn

Received May 16, 2005



Rh(II)-mediated carbenoid intramolecular C-H insertion reactions have wide application in organic synthesis (Scheme 1).^{1,2} The factors that govern the site selectivity of the C-H



insertion include electronic, steric, and conformational factors.³ In a freely rotating chain system, 1,5 C-H insertion is overwhelmingly predominant due to the entropically favorable six-membered transition state.^{3,4} It has been known that steric or electronic factors may override this entropic preference for 1,5 insertions. 1,6 C-H insertions were sporadically reported for some structurally rigid systems,⁵ while 1,4 and 1,7 C-H insertions occur when the C-H bond is activated by a neighboring heteroatom, in most cases oxygen or nitrogen.^{6,7} Doyle et al. have reported a rare case in which a 14-membered ring macrolide is formed in Rh(II) carbene C-H insertion.⁸ However, to the best of our knowl-

(4) Taber, D. F.; Petty, E. H. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 4808-4809. (5) Cane, D. E.; Thomas, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5295-5303

(6) For examples, see: (a) Brown, P.; Southgate, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 247-250. (b) Nanada, M.; Hashimoto, S.-i. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 9063-9066. (c) Yoon, C. H.; Zaworotko, M. J.; Moulton, B.; Jung, K. W. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3539-3542. (d) Doyle, M. P.; Kalinin, A. V.; Ene, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 8837-8846.
(7) Lee, E.; Choi, I.; Song, S. Y. Chem. Commun. 1995, 321-322.

⁽¹⁾ For comprehensive reviews, see: (a) Doyle, M. P.; McKervey, M. A.; Ye, T. Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1998. (b) Ye, T.; McKervey, M. A. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 1091-1160. (c) Davies, H. M. L. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2861-2904.

⁽²⁾ For examples of Rh(II) carbene mediated intramolecular C-H insertion in organic synthesis, see: (a) Taber, D. F.; You, K. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5757-5762. (b) Yakura, T.; Yamada, S.; Kunimune, Y.; Ueki, A.; Ikeda, M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1997, 3643-3649. (c) Brown, R. C.; Hinks, J. D. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1895-1896. (d) Lim, J.; Choo, D.-J.; Kim, Y. H. Chem. Commun. 2000, 553-554. (e) Wee, A. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 9025-9029. (f) Anada, M.; Mita, O.; Watanabe, H.; Kitagaki, S.; Hashimoto, S. Synlett 1999, 1775-1777. (g) Doyle, M. P.; Hu, W.; Valenzuela M. V. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 2954-2959. (h) Liu, W.-J.; Chen, Z.-L.; Chen, Z.-Y.; Hu, W.-H. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 1693-1698.

⁽³⁾ For mechanistic investigations on Rh(II) carbene C-H insertions, see: (a) Taber, D. F.; Ruckle, R. E., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7686-7693. (b) Doyle, M. P.; Westrum, L. J.; Wolthuis, W. N. E.; See, M. M.; Boone, W. P.; Bagheri, V.; Pearson, M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1993**, *115*, 958–964. (c) Wang, P.; Adams, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, *116*, 3296–3305. (d) Pirrung, M. C.; Morehead, A. T., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8991-9000. (e) Taber, D. F.; You, K. K.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 547-556. (f) Wang, J.; Chen, B.; Bao, J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 1853-1862. (g) Davies, H. M. L.; Hansen, T.; Churchill, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 3063–3070. (h) Pirrung, M. C.; Liu, H.; Morehead, A. T., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1014– 1023. (i) Nakamura, E.; Yoshikai, N.; Yamanaka, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7181-7192. (j) Davies, H. M. L.; Jin, Q.; Ren, P.; Kovalevsky, A. Y. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4165-4169. (k) Taber, D. F.; Joshi, P. V. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 4276-4278.



edge, 1,3 C–H insertion has not been observed in Rh(II)catalyzed reactions of α -diazo carbonyl compounds.⁹ We report here the first examples of 1,3 intramolecular C–H insertion in freely rotating chain systems.

We have recently studied various reactions of β -hydroxy α -diazo carbonyl compounds, which are easily available by the deprotonation of acyldiazomethane and the subsequent addition of the resulting anion to aldehydes or ketones.¹⁰ It has been observed that these diazo compounds react with TsNHN=CHCOCl/Et₃N to give β -(*p*-tolylsulfonyl) α -diazo esters.¹¹ Further investigation revealed that the β -position of α -diazo carbonyl compounds are susceptible to nucleophilic substitution.¹² Thus, the β -hydroxyl group is converted to a β -acetoxy group, and the resulting β -acetoxy α -diazo carbonyl compounds in good yields (Scheme 2, Table 1).

entry	diazo substrate 1	product	yield $(\%)^a$
1	$\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CH}_2, \mathbf{R'} = \mathbf{OEt}$	2a	65
2	b , $R = CH_3(CH_2)_2$, $R' = OEt$	2b	90
3	$\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CH}_2, \mathbf{R'} = \mathbf{CH}_3$	2c	80
4	$\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CH}_2, \mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{Ph}$	2d	88
5	$\mathbf{e}, R = CH_3(CH_2)_3, R' = OEt$	2e	87
6	$\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{CH}_3(\mathbf{CH}_2)_5, \mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{OEt}$	2f	82

^a Isolated yields after silica gel column chromatography.

To our knowledge, α -diazo compounds with β -tosyl substituents have not been reported in the literature. We have been interested in the Rh(II) complex catalyzed reaction of these novel diazo compounds. From our knowledge of the

(12) Xu, F.; Shi, W.; Wang, J. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 4191-4194.



yield) was the α -oxo ester **4a**, which was generated through Rh(II)-catalyzed oxidation in the presence of trace oxygen. The spectral data of the other product (isolated in 40% yield) showed it was a cyclopropane derivative. Its structure was unambiguously confirmed as **3a** by single-crystal X-ray analysis, as shown in Figure 1. The X-ray structure reveals



Figure 1. X-ray structure of 3a.

that the tosyl group and the ester group are *cis* to one another, while the methyl is *trans*. The formation of a cyclopropane derivative is obviously due to an intramolecular 1,3 C–H insertion, and the insertion proceeded with high stereoselectivity because only one diastereoisomer was observed.

When the oxidation product **4a** was minimized by applying strict oxygen-free reaction conditions, the yield of **3a** increased to 73%. The 1,3 C–H insertion was found to be general, as shown in Table 2. For substrates **2b**, **2c**, and **2d**,

⁽⁸⁾ Doyle, M. P.; Protopopova, M. N.; Poulter, C. D.; Rogers, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 7281–7282.

^{(9) 1,3} C-H insertion was reported in the Cu-catalyzed reaction of a structurally rigid diazo compound: Yates, P.; Danishefsky, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1962**, *84*, 879–880.

^{(10) (}a) Jiang, N.; Ma, Z.; Qu, Z.; Xing, X.; Xie, L.; Wang, J. J. Org. Chem. **2003**, 68, 893–900. (b) Shi, W.; Jiang, N.; Zhang, S.; Wu, W.; Du, D.; Wang, J. Org. Lett. **2003**, 5, 2243–2246. (c) Yao, W.; Wang, J. Org. Lett. **2003**, 5, 1527–1530. (d) Liao, M.; Yao, W.; Wang, J. Synthesis **2004**, 2633–2636. (e) Shi, W.; Xiao, F.; Wang, J. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, 70, 4318–4322.

⁽¹¹⁾ Shi, W.; Zhang, B.; Liu, B.; Xu, F.; Xiao, F.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, S.; Wang, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 4563–4566.

Table 2.	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄ -Catalyzed	l Reaction of 2a-e
----------	---	--------------------

	diazo				
entry	substrate	solvent	$temp (^{\circ}C)$	yield $(3+4)^a$	ratio $(3:4)^b$
1	2a	$\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2$	25	85	86:14
2	2a	benzene	80	88	>95:5
3	2b	$\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2$	25	85	47:53
4	2b	benzene	80	93	>95:5
5	2c	$\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2$	25	75	88:12
6	2c	benzene	80	72	>95:5
7	2d	$\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2$	25	с	
8	2d	benzene	80	90	80:20
9	2e	benzene	80	47^d	>95:5

^{*a*} Isolated yields for **3** and **4** combined. ^{*b*} Ratio determined by isolated **3** and **4**. ^{*c*} No reaction occurred under this condition. ^{*d*} 1,5 C–H insertion product was formed in 51% yield.

the Rh(II)-catalyzed reaction gave the corresponding cyclopropane derivatives **3b**, **3c**, and **3d**, respectively, in modest isolated yields, together with the oxidized products **4b**, **4c**, and **4d** in various amounts. All of the reactions gave a cyclopropane derivative as a single diastereoisomer. Since the ¹H NMR spectra data are all similar to that of **3a** (coupling constants 1-H and 2-H are around 9 Hz), it is reasonable to assume that these products should have the same configuration as **3a**. When the reaction was carried out in refluxing benzene, the reaction time was shortened (4 h), and the oxidation reaction could be further minimized (entries 2, 4, and 6).

Diazo compound **2e** provides an interesting situation in which intramolecular 1,5 C–H insertion can compete with the 1,3 insertion. The Rh₂(OAc)₄-catalyzed reaction of **2e** gave 1,3 C–H insertion product **3e** as a single stereoisomer, together with the formation of 1,5 C–H insertion products in approximately the same amount.¹³ The ratio of 1,5 insertion versus 1,3 insertion is affected by the ligands of the Rh(II) catalyst (Table 3). The Rh(II) catalysts with electron-withdrawing ligands favor 1,3 insertion (entries 4, 5, and 7). The solvent, however, does not affect the product ratio (compare entries 5 and 7).



Intramolecular 1,3 C–H insertion also occurs efficiently for diazo compounds **7a** and **7b**, in which spiro compounds containing the cyclopropane moiety are obtained (Scheme

entry	solvent	$\operatorname{catalyst}^a$	yield (%) ^b (3e + 5)	ratio ^c (3e:5)
1	benzene	$Rh_2(Oct)_4$	96	49:51
2	benzene	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	95	50:50
3	benzene	$Rh_2(cap)_4$	$67 \ (87)^d$	57:43
4	benzene	$Rh_2(pfb)_4$	97	81:19
5	benzene	$Rh_2(tfa)_4$	85	86:14
6	$ClCH_2CH_2Cl$	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	80	54:46
7	$ClCH_2CH_2Cl$	$Rh_2(tfa)_4$	75	86:14

^{*a*} Rh₂(cap)₄ is rhodium(II) caprolactamate; Rh₂(pfb)₄ is rhodium(II) perfluorobutyrate; Rh₂(tfa)₄ is rhodium(II) trifluoracetate. ^{*b*} Isolated yields for **3e** and **5** combined. ^{*c*} Ratio determined by ¹H NMR (400 MHz). ^{*d*} The number in brackets refers to the yield based on recovered **2e**.

4). The structure of **8b** was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 2). Again, the 1,3 C–H insertion proceeds



with high diastereoselectivity with the tosyl group and the ester group *cis* to one another.



Figure 2. X-ray structure of 8b.

⁽¹³⁾ The 1,3 C–H insertion product **3e** and the 1,5 C–H insertion products **5** could not be separated by silica gel column chromatography. The ratio was determined by ¹H NMR (400 MHz). For the 1,5 insertion product, there are two stereoisomers.

There are two unusual aspects about the $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ catalyzed reaction of 2a-e. The first is that 1,2-hydride migration, which is usually a very feasible process, does not occur. The second is the unprecedented formation of the three-membered ring through Rh(II) carbene 1,3 C–H bond insertion.

The unique reactivity of β -tosyl diazo compounds may be interpreted from both steric and electronic considerations. The strongly electron-withdrawing tosyl group should be responsible for the inhibition of 1,2-hydride migration. These results can be compared with the Rh(II)-catalyzed reaction of β -trichloroacetylamino substituted α -diazo carbonyl compounds, in which case aryl, vinyl, and 1,2-alkynyl migrations occur exclusively even in the presence of a β -hydrogen.^{10e}

Re-examination of the X-ray structure of $2b^{11}$ reveals some interesting features. The O=C-C=N₂ group is found to possess the *s*-Z conformation, while the other β -substituted α -diazo carbonyl compounds that we have studied all have *s*-*E* conformation.¹⁴ The diazo group and the carbonyl group are almost coplanar with a dihedral angle of -0.93° . The dihedral angle between the C-H bond and the diazo group is -169.5° . Thus, the C-H is in nearly antiperiplanar position. The diazo compounds 2a-f are found to be exceptionally stable. In EI-MS spectra, they all give a molecular ion peak. In the absence of Rh₂(OAc)₄, they are stable when heated in 1,2-dichloroethane.

The intramolecular 1,3 C–H insertions are likely to be due to conformational factors. In the X-ray structure of **2b**,

it is noted that one of the 3-H bonds is in close proximity to the diazo carbon. If one assumes that this is the stable conformation of the diazo compounds and the corresponding Rh(II) carbene intermediates in CH₂Cl₂ solution, then the 1,3 C–H insertion might be simply explained by steric proximity. This interpretation is supported by the fact that Rh₂(tfa)₄ and Rh₂(pfb)₄ were found to favor 1,3 C–H insertion in the competition study of 1,3 versus 1,5 C–H insertion (Table 3, entries 4 and 5). Rh(II) catalysts with strongly electron-withdrawing ligands are known to make the Rh(II) carbene more reactive, and thus, the subsequent reaction proceeds through a earlier, more starting-materiallike transition state.^{3b} Further study is needed to elucidate the detailed mechanism of 1,3 C–H insertions.

In conclusion, we report here the first examples of 1,3 C-H insertion in freely rotating chain systems. This unusual 1,3 insertion reaction may find utility in organic synthesis as a new entry to the substituted cyclopropane derivatives. This study further demonstrates the dramatic effect of neighboring groups on Rh(II) carbene reactions.

Acknowledgment. The project is generously supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 20225205, 20390050).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and characterization data for all new compounds, X-ray crystallographic data of 2b, 3a, and 8b (CIF files). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL051130L

⁽¹⁴⁾ For a discussion on the conformation of α -diazo carbonyl compounds, see: Kirmse, W. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 2193–2256.