# Organic Letters

# Metal-Free sp<sup>3</sup> C-SCF<sub>3</sub> Coupling Reactions between Cycloketone Oxime Esters and S-trifluoromethyl 4-Methylbenzenesulfonothioate

Xia Zhao,\* Miaomiao Tian,<sup>§</sup> Liangshuo Ji,<sup>§</sup> Junjie Liu, and Kui Lu

Cite This: https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.9b04343 **Read Online** ACCESS III Metrics & More Article Recommendations **SUPPORTING Information** ABSTRACT: A novel sp<sup>3</sup> C-SCF<sub>3</sub> coupling reaction between ,OCOA N cycloketone oxime esters and S-trifluoromethyl 4-methylbenzene-17 examples SCF sulfonothioate was achieved. Ethanol was found to facilitate this 6). 45%-93% yields `R<sup>3</sup> sp3 C-SCF<sub>2</sub> formation transformation by trapping the sulfonyl cation. The metal-free and R2 R photocatalyst-free reaction conditions, as well as the broad substrate

scope, make this a green protocol for the synthesis of SCF<sub>3</sub>-substituted nitriles.

ver the past decade, the trifluoromethylthio group  $(SCF_3)$  has attracted much attention from organic and medicinal chemists, because it is one of the most privileged fragments that can improve the lipophilicity and cell membrane permeability of druglike molecules.<sup>1</sup> To this end, direct trifluoromethylthiolation has seen great progress,<sup>2</sup> in addition to the indirect incorporation of SCF<sub>3</sub> into organic molecules via trifluoromethylation of thiols.<sup>3</sup> The majority of the direct trifluoromethylthiolation methods involve the construction of a C–S bond between the  $sp^2$  carbon and the  $SCF_3$  group. The existing protocols for  $sp^3$  C-SCF<sub>3</sub> bond formation are efficient but require either expensive trifluoromethylthiolation reagents or complex catalytic systems (see Scheme 1).<sup>2i,j,4</sup> Hence, constructing sp<sup>3</sup> C-SCF<sub>3</sub> bonds using inexpensive and readily accessible reagents with simple catalytic systems is highly desirable.

Cyclobutanone oximes esters are useful and versatile intermediates in organic synthesis, because they can be





transformed to functionalized nitriles via iminyl radicals by transition-metal catalysis,<sup>5</sup> visible-light photocatalysis,<sup>6</sup> and acid catalysis. Moreover, fragmentation of cyclobutanone oxime esters can be realized by microwave irradiation<sup>8</sup> and  $B_2(OH)_4$ /dimethylacetamide activation.<sup>9</sup> In 2018, we reported a photocatalyzed sp<sup>2</sup> C-SCF<sub>3</sub> bond formation reaction between aryldiazonium salts and S-trifluoromethyl-4-methoxybenzenesulfonothioate.<sup>10</sup> As part of our ongoing program to develop efficient methods for C-SCF<sub>3</sub> bond construction,<sup>11</sup> we envisioned that fragmentation of cyclobutanone oxime could generate a cyanoalkyl radical, which may be trapped by Strifluoromethyl-4-methylbenzenesulfonothioate (TsSCF<sub>3</sub>) to form SCF<sub>3</sub>-substituted nitriles. Herein we demonstrate a novel ethanol-promoted sp<sup>3</sup> C-SCF<sub>3</sub> coupling reaction between cycloketone oxime esters and S-trifluoromethyl 4methylbenzenesulfonothioate under metal-free conditions (see Scheme 2).

We attempted the  $sp^3$  C-SCF<sub>3</sub> coupling reactions between cyclobutanone O-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl) oxime 1a with





Received: December 3, 2019



Letter

S-(trifluoromethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonothioate in N,Ndimethylformamide (DMF) at 100 °C. To our delight, the desired trifluoromethylthiolation product was obtained in 48% yield. To improve the yield, we screened other solvents such as acetonitrile (MeCN), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 1,4dioxane, and N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMA), among which DMA gave the best yield. According to the literature, the addition of ferrous sulfate (0.1 equiv) as an activator improved the yield to 60%. Surprisingly, when ferrous sulfate heptahydrate was used as the activator, the yield increased to 73%. We envisioned that water may play an important role in the activator in the present reaction enhanced the yield from 52% to 58% (see Table 1, entries 8 vs 5). Moreover, the

## Table 1. Reaction Optimization<sup>a</sup>

N_C		CF <sub>3</sub> + Me	⊖ S−SCF <sub>3</sub> activa	ator	`SCF₃
$\diamond$	O <b>1a</b> 0.5	mmol	2 O	3a	Ŭ
entry	2 (equiv)	activator (equiv)	solvent (mL)	temperature, T (°C)	yield (%)
1	1.5	_	DMF (6 mL)	100	48
2	1.5	_	MeCN (6 mL)	100	trace
3	1.5	_	DMSO (6 mL)	100	trace
4	1.5	-	1,4-dioxane (6 mL)	100	trace
5	1.5	_	DMA (6 mL)	100	52
6	1.5	$FeSO_{4}$ (0.1)	DMA (6 mL)	100	60
7	1.5	$\begin{array}{c} \text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O} \\ (0.1) \end{array}$	DMA (6 mL)	100	73
8	1.5	H <sub>2</sub> O (0.7)	DMA (6 mL)	100	58
9	1.5	H <sub>2</sub> O (1.0)	DMA (6 mL)	100	62
10	1.5	H <sub>2</sub> O (1.2)	DMA (6 mL)	100	65
11	1.5	$H_2O(1.5)$	DMA (6 mL)	100	75
12	1.5	H <sub>2</sub> O (1.8)	DMA (6 mL)	100	63
13	1.5	$H_2O(1.5)$	DMA (5 mL)	100	69
14	1.5	$H_2O(1.5)$	DMA (7 mL)	100	72
15	1.8	$H_2O(1.5)$	DMA (6 mL)	100	80
16	2.0	$H_2O(1.5)$	DMA (6 mL)	100	80
17	1.8	$H_2O(1.5)$	DMA (6 mL)	90	70
18	1.8	$H_2O(1.5)$	DMA (6 mL)	110	68
19	1.8	EtOH (1.5)	DMA (6 mL)	100	93
20	1.8	MeOH (1.5)	DMA (6 mL)	100	85
21	1.8	<i>i</i> -PrOH (1.5)	DMA (6 mL)	100	67
22	1.8	TsOH (1.5)	DMA (6 mL)	100	53
<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: <b>1a</b> (0.5 mmol), <b>2</b> (0.75–1.0 mmol), activator (0–0.9 mmol), solvent (5–7 mL) at 90–110 °C for 12 h.					

loading of water was investigated; 1.5 equiv of water gave the best yield. The reaction concentration, the loading of **2**, and reaction temperature then were examined; the results indicated that increasing or decreasing the reaction concentration diminished the yield. When the loading of **2** was increased to 1.8 equiv, the yield improved to 80%, but a further increase in the loading to 2.0 equiv had no notable effect on the yield. When the reaction temperature was decreased to 90 °C or increased to 110 °C, the yield decreased. Finally, other activators with different  $pK_a$  values, including ethanol, methanol, isopropanol, and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (TsOH), were examined, and 93% yield was obtained when using ethanol. Thus, the optimized reaction conditions were as

established follows: 1a (0.5 mmol), 2 (0.9 mmol), EtOH (0.75 mmol) in DMA (6 mL), at 100  $^\circ\text{C}.$ 

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we next examined the generality of this reaction by employing a series of cyclobutanone oxime esters (1b-1p) as substrates; the results are summarized in Scheme 3. 3-Phenyl cyclobutanone





<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2** (0.9 mmol), EtOH (0.75 mmol) in DMA (6 mL), at 100 °C for 5–12 h, Ar = 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl. <sup>*b*</sup>**2** (1.0 mmol) was used, Ar = perfluorophenyl. <sup>*c*</sup>**2** (1.0 mmol) was used. <sup>*d*</sup>Ar = 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl.

oxime ester 1b furnished the desired product 3b in 68% yield. Other 3-aryl cyclobutanone oxime esters with para, meta, or ortho substitution on the phenyl ring (1c-1g) were welltolerated under the present reaction conditions and gave the desired product (3c-3g) in moderate to good yields. In addition, 3-benzyloxy-, 3-ethoxycarbonyl-, 3-butyl-, and 3methyl-3-phenyl-substituted cyclobutanone oxime esters were compatible with this transformation and furnished the desired products (3h-3k). Moreover, 2-aryl- and 2-benzyl-substituted cyclobutanone oxime esters (1l-1p) gave the desired products (31-3p) in moderate to good yields. Notably, in some cases, an increase in the loading of 2 to 2.0 equiv or the use of more electron-withdrawing cyclobutanone oxime esters such as Operfluorobenzoyl oxime ester or O-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl) oxime ester improved the yield. To further expand the substrate scope of this transformation, cyclopentanone O-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl) oxime (1q) was employed as the substrate. To our delight, the desired product (3q) was obtained in 51% yield.

Based on related mechanisms in the literature,<sup>8,9</sup> we presumed that the alkyl radical might be the key intermediate

in this transformation. To prove this hypothesis, we performed an additional experiment using 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1oxyl (TEMPO, 2 equiv) as the radical trapping reagent under the standard reaction conditions. The results showed that no desired product was obtained and a TEMPO-alkane adduct 4 were detected by electron spin ionization—high-resolution mass spectroscopy (ESI-HRMS). Notably, ethyl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate 5 was obtained as a byproduct under the standard reaction condition in 40% yield (see Scheme 4).

# Scheme 4. Elucidation of Reaction Mechanism



Based on the aforementioned results and related literature, a plausible mechanism for the trifluoromethylthiolation is proposed (Scheme 5). Initially, a single-electron transfer

Scheme 5. Plausible Mechanism of Ethanol-Mediated Trifluoromethylthiolation of Cyclobutanone Oxime Esters



(SET) between cyclobutanone oximes esters 1a and DMAC 6 led to the generation of 7, 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoate 8, and iminyl radical 9, which undergoes a ring-opening process to generate cyanoalkyl radical 10. Intermediate 10 then reacts with S-trifluoromethyl-4-methoxybenzenesulfonothioate 2 to afford the product 3a and sulfone radical 11, which is converted to sulfonyl cation 12 by SET with 7. Finally, 12 is trapped by ethanol to produce 5.

Finally, the practical application of this transformation was investigated by performing the trifluoromethylthiolation of cyclobutanone oxime esters on a 10 mmol scale (see Scheme 6). The desired product 3a was obtained in 88% yield.

In summary, we report for the first time the synthesis of  $SCF_3$ -substituted allyl nitriles by a metal-free sp<sup>3</sup> C-SCF<sub>3</sub> coupling reaction between cycloketone oxime esters and S-trifluoromethyl-4-methylbenzenesulfonothioate. Mechanistic

#### Scheme 6. Scaleup of Trifluoromethylthiolation



investigations by radical trapping experiments confirmed the intermediacy of free radicals in this reaction. Notably, ethanol was found to facilitate this transformation by trapping the sulfonyl cation. Synthesis of other sulfur-substituted allyl nitriles from cycloketone oxime esters by this strategy is underway in our laboratory.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.orglett.9b04343.

Experimental procedures and characterization data for all the compounds (PDF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

Xia Zhao – Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, China; orcid.org/0000-0001-9477-5912; Email: hxxyzhx@ mail.tjnu.edu.cn

### **Other Authors**

- **Miaomiao Tian** *Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, China*
- Liangshuo Ji Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, China Junjie Liu – Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, China, and Tianjin University of Science & Technology, Tianjin,
- China Kui Lu – Tianjin University of Science & Technology,

*Tianjin, China;* orcid.org/0000-0002-9685-2691 Complete contact information is available at:

https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.9b04343

#### **Author Contributions**

<sup>§</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors sincerely thank the financial support from National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21572158) and Tianjin Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 18JCQNJC76600).

#### REFERENCES

 (1) For selected recent reviews, see: (a) Shao, X.-X.; Xu, C.-F.; Lu, L.; Shen, Q.-L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1227–1236. (b) Xu, X.-H.; Matsuzaki, K.; Shibata, N. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 731–764.
 (c) Chachignon, H.; Cahard, D. Chin. J. Chem. 2016, 34, 445–454.
 (d) Zhang, P.-P.; Lu, L.; Shen, Q.-L. Huaxue Xuebao 2017, 75, 744– 769.

(2) For selected examples, see: (a) Teverovskiy, G.; Surry, D. S.;
Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7312-7314.
(b) Shao, X.-X.; Wang, X.-Q.; Yang, T.; Lu, L.; Shen, Q.-L. Angew.

Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3457-3460. (c) Bootwicha, T.; Liu, X.-Q.; Pluta, Q.; Atodiresei, I.; Rueping, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12856-12859. (d) Yang, Y.-D.; Azuma, A.; Tokunaga, E.; Yamasaki, M.; Shiro, M.; Shibata, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8782-8785. (e) Xu, C.-F.; Ma, B.-Q.; Shen, Q.-L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9316-9320. (f) Vinogradova, E. V.; Muller, P.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3125-3128. (g) Liu, J.-B.; Xu, X.-H.; Chen, Z.-H.; Qing, F.-L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 897-900. (h) Fuentes, N.; Kong, W.-Q.; Fernandez-Sanchez, L.; Merino, E.; Nevado, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 964-973. (i) Guo, S.; Zhang, X.-F.; Tang, P.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4065-4069. (j) Wu, H.; Xiao, Z.-W.; Wu, J.-H.; Guo, Y.; Xiao, J.-C.; Liu, C.; Chen, Q.-Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4070-4074. (k) Honeker, R.; Garza-Sanchez, R. A.; Hopkinson, M. N.; Glorius, F. Chem. - Eur. J. 2016. 22, 4395-4399. (1) Dagousset, G.; Simon, C.; Anselmi, E.; Tuccio, B.; Billard, T.; Magnier, E. Chem. - Eur. J. 2017, 23, 4282-4286. (m) Li, H.-Y.; Shan, C.-C.; Tung, C.-H.; Xu, Z.-H. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 2610-2615.

(3) For selected examples, see: (a) Kieltsch, I.; Eisenberger, P.; Togni, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 754–757. (b) Tlili, A.; Billard, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6818–6819.

(4) For selected examples, see: (a) Hu, F.; Shao, X.-X.; Zhu, D.-H.; Lu, L.; Shen, Q.-L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6105–6109.
(b) Candish, L.; Pitzer, L.; Gomez-Suarez, A.; Glorius, F. Chem. - Eur. J. 2016, 22, 4753–4756. (c) He, B.; Xiao, Z.-W.; Wu, H.; Guo, Y.; Chen, Q.-Y.; Liu, C. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 880–883. (d) Mukherjee, S.; Maji, B.; Tlahuext-Aca, A.; Glorius, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 16200–16203.

(5) For selected examples, see: (a) Wei, Y.; Yoshikai, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3756–3759. (b) Ko, H. M.; Dong, G. Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 739–744. (c) Deng, L.; Xu, T.; Li, H.; Dong, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 369–374. (d) Juliá-Hernández, F.; Ziadi, A.; Nishimura, A.; Martin, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9537– 9541. (e) Zhou, X.; Dong, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 15091–15095. (f) Zhao, B.; Shi, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 12727–12731. (g) Tan, W. W.; Ong, Y. J.; Yoshikai, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 8240–8244. (h) Zhao, J.-F.; Duan, X.-H.; Gu, Y.-R.; Gao, P.; Guo, L.-N. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 4614–4617.

(6) For selected examples, see: (a) Li, L.; Chen, H.; Mei, M.; Zhou, L. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 11544–11547. (b) Yao, S.; Zhang, K.; Zhou, Q.-Q.; Zhao, Y.; Shi, D.-Q.; Xiao, W.-J. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 8096–8099. (c) Wang, P.-Z.; Yu, X.-Y.; Li, C.-Y.; He, B.-Q.; Chen, J.-R.; Xiao, W.-J. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 9925–9928. (d) Yu, X.-Y.; Wang, P.-Z.; Yan, D.-M.; Lu, B.; Chen, J.-R.; Xiao, W.-J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 3601–3606. (e) Yu, X. Y.; Chen, J. R.; Wang, P. Z.; Yang, M. N.; Liang, D.; Xiao, W. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 738–743. (f) Zhao, B.; Chen, C.; Lv, J.; Li, Z.; Yuan, Y.; Shi, Z. Org. Chem. Front. 2018, 5, 2719–2722. (g) Shen, X.; Zhao, J.-J.; Yu, S. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 5523–5527. (h) Anand, D.; He, Y.; Li, L.; Zhou, L. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 533–540.

(7) Yin, Z.; Rabeah, J.; Brückner, A.; Wu, X.-F. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 10926–10930.

(8) Jackman, M. M.; Bohman, S.; Im, S. R.; Lo, C. C. L.; Garrity, A. L.; Castle, S. L. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 594–598.

(9) Zhang, J.-J.; Duan, X.-H.; Wu, Y.; Yang, J.-C.; Guo, L.-N. Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 161–166.

(10) Zhao, X.; Zheng, X.-C.; Tian, M.-M.; Tong, Y.-F.; Yang, B.;
Wei, X.-F.; Qiu, D.; Lu, K. Org. Chem. Front. 2018, 5, 2636–2640.
(11) (a) Lu, K.; Deng, Z.-J.; Li, M.; Li, T.-J.; Zhao, X. Org. Biomol.
Chem. 2017, 15, 1254–1260. (b) Zhao, X.; Wei, A.-Q.; Yang, B.; Li,
T.-J.; Li, Q.; Qiu, D.; Lu, K. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 9175–9181.
(c) Zhao, X.; Li, T.-J.; Yang, B.; Qiu, D.; Lu, K. Tetrahedron 2017, 73, 3112–3117. (d) Zhao, X.; Zheng, X.-C.; Tian, M.-M.; Sheng, J.-Q.;
Tong, Y.-F.; Lu, K. Tetrahedron 2017, 73, 7233–7238. (e) Lu, K.; Li,
Q.; Xi, X.; Huang, Y.; Gong, Z.; Yu, P.; Zhao, X. Org. Chem. Front.
2018, 5, 3088–3092.