Synthesis and lectin binding properties of dendritic mannopyranoside

Daniel Pagé, Sivasubramanian Aravind and René Roy*†

Department of Chemistry, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1N 6N5

A hexavalent spheroid dendrimer ending with α -D-mannopyranoside residues was constructed by a convergent approach using *para*-isothiocyanatophenyl α -D-mannopyranoside and dendritic amine as key conjugation reaction.

It is now well established that carbohydrates play significant roles in biological systems, spreading from cellular recognition and adhesion to cell growth and differentiation. Wide interest

$$X = Y = OH$$

$$X = Y = OH$$

$$X = Y = OTS$$

$$X = OTS, Y = N_3$$

$$Y = OTS, Y = OTS$$

$$Y = OTS$$

$$Y$$

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, TsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, room temp., 8 h, 85%; ii, NaN₃, 95% EtOH, reflux, 48 h, 43%; iii, K_2CO_3 , MeCN, reflux, 24 h, 83%; iv, 1 mol dm⁻³ KOH, EtOH, reflux, 12 h, 93%; v, EDC, HOBt, DIPEA, CH₂Cl₂, room temp., 8 h, 82%; vi, H₂, 10% Pd–C, MeOH, room temp., 8 h, 93%

has been devoted to the study of the interplay of cell-surface receptors with their corresponding binding carbohydrate moieties. More specifically, terminal mannoside residues have been found to interact with receptors found on macrophages,² hepatic sinusoidal cells³ and different invading pathogens.⁴ Therefore, the development of synthetic glycoconjugate analogues that mimic natural oligosaccharides could provide inhibitors of pathogenic infections and targeting devices.

Unfortunately, most carbohydrate-receptor interactions are weak⁵ and in order to compensate for their low binding affinity, different strategies based on multivalent interactions ('cluster effect')⁶ have been designed, including carbohydrate clusters,⁷ telomers,⁸ neoglycoproteins⁶ and, more recently, glycopolymers.⁹ However, the use of poorly defined heterogeneous mixtures of ligands precludes unambiguous interpretations of quantitative biophysical studies on the role of multivalency in ligand binding. Moreover, the potential immunogenicity of these macromolecules makes them inappropriate candidates for some therapeutic uses.

To address these issues, our group recently developed a new family of potent bi-directional dendritic carbohydrate inhibitors having well organized and well characterized multivalency. ¹⁰ As an extension of our previous work, we report herein the synthesis of a new spherical dendrimer having six terminal α -D-mannopyranoside residues, along with its inhibitory properties using two plant lectins as models.

The dendrimer core was synthesized following a blockwise convergent procedure. The hydrophilic diethylene glycol spacer arm was first synthesized according to Scheme 1. The azidotoluene-p-sulfonate spacer 3 was prepared by tosylation of diethylene glycol 1 (TsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂) to provide crystalline 2 (mp 87–89 °C) in 85% yield, followed by treatment with NaN₃ in 95% ethanol under reflux to afford compound 3 in 43% yield.‡ Compound 3 (2.4 equiv.) was subsequently coupled with methyl 3,5-dihydroxybenzoate 4 (K₂CO₃, MeCN) to give azido ester 5 in 83% yield (Scheme 1). Saponification of 5 (1 mol dm⁻³ KOH, EtOH, reflux, 12 h) provided acid 6 (93%)

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, DIPEA, DMF, room temp., 2 h, 65%; ii, 1 mol dm⁻³ NaOMe, MeOH, room temp., 2 h, quantitive

which constituted the key building block of the dendrimer. Synthesis of the dendritic cluster 7 was performed in 82% yield by first coupling acid 6 (3.6 equiv.) with tris(2-ethylamino)amine using 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide (EDC) and 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt). The terminal azides of 7 were then reduced into amine groups by catalytic hydrogenation (H₂, 10% Pd-C, MeOH) to provide hexaamine 8 in 93% yield. The completeness of the reaction was estimated from the IR spectrum of the dendrimer which showed the absence of characteristic peak from residual azide stretching at $2109 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The dendritic polyamine 8 was then coupled with pisothiocyanatophenyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl α-D-mannopyranoside 9^{11} (6.6 equiv., DIPEA, DMF) giving α -D-mannosylated dendrimer 10 in 65% yield, which was subsequently de-Oacetylated under standard Zemplén conditions (1 M NaOMe, MeOH) to afford 11 in quantitative yield (Scheme 2).

Preliminary biological testing included double immunodiffusion assay using the lectin Concanavalin A where dendrimer 11 exhibited a sharp precipitin band. Dendrimer 11 was further tested in enzyme-linked lectin assays (ELLA) using peroxidaselabelled Concanavalin A and Pisum sativum (pea) lectins. Dendrimer 11 inhibited the binding of Con A to yeast mannan with an IC₅₀ of 10.3 μ mol dm⁻³ (61.8 μ mol dm⁻³ on a permannoside basis) while the inhibition of pea lectin was only 16% at the same concentration (338 μ mol dm⁻³ for 32% inhibition). These values represent slight improvement (1.7 fold for Con A) when compared to their monosaccharide counterpart, p-nitrophenyl α -D-mannopyranoside (IC₅₀'s 105.6 and 2489 μmol dm⁻³ for Con A and pea lectin respectively) and illustrate once again the potency of glycodendrimers in carbohydrate-protein interactions. Although Con A is known to bind methyl α -D-Man approximately four times better ($K_a \sim 11$ mm⁻¹) than pea lectin ($K_a \sim 2.7 \text{ mm}^{-1}$), ¹² the huge difference in the inhibitory values observed between the two lectins might also reside in the fact that, at physiological pH, Con A exists as tetramers while pea lectin is dimeric. This might facilitate the formation of more stable cross-linked lattice with the mannosylated dendrimer. 13

We thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for financial support and for a postgraduate Scholarship to D. P.

Footnotes

† E-Mail: rroy@oreo.chem.uottawa.ca

‡All compounds showed satisfactory NMR spectra (Brücker AMX 500 MHz) and, where possible, mass spectral data. Compound 5: [CI: Calc. for

C₁₆H₂₂N₆O₆, 394.1. Found, 395.0 (M + 1, 12.6% base peak)]. Compound 7: [FAB-MS (positive): Calc. for C₅₁H₇₂N₂₂O₁₅, 1232.6. Found, 1233.7 (M + 1, 2.7% base peak)]; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.70 (m, 6 H, NCH₂CH₂), 3.35 (t, 12 H, J 5.0 Hz, CH₂CH₂N₃), 3.49 (m, 6 H, NCH₂CH₂), 3.68 (m, 24 H, CH₂OCH₂), 4.00 (t, 12 H, J 4.5 Hz, Ar-O-CH₂), 6.55 (d, 3 H, J 2.1 Hz, H-para), 6.97 (d, 6 H, H-ortho), 7.25 (brs, 3 H, NHCO); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 50.6 (CH₂N₃), 55.9 (NCH₂CH₂), 67.6 (CH₂CH₂N₃), 70.1 (Ar-O-CH₂CH₂), 105.4 (C-para), 105.7 (C-ortho), 136.0 (C-ipso), 156.8 (C-meta), 167.4 (C=O). Compound 8: [FAB-MS (pos.): Calc. for C₅₁H₈₄N₁₀O₁₅, 1076.6. Found, 1077.6 (M + 1, 14.4% base peak)]. Compound 10: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) same signals for dendrimer core as in 7, except signal at δ 3.35 shifted to δ 3.75 (m, 12 H), 5.44 (d, 6 H, J 1.8 Hz, H-1); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 96.0 (C-1). Compound 11: 1 H NMR [(CD₃)₂SO] δ 5.29 (s, 6 H, H-1).

References

- 1 A. Varki, Glycobiology, 1993, 3, 97.
- 2 K. Drickamer and M. E. Taylor, Annu. Rev. Cell Biol., 1993, 9, 237.
- 3 G. Ashwell and J. Harford, Annu. Rev. Biochem., 1982, 51, 531.
- 4 N. Sharon and H. Lis, Science, 1989, 246, 227; N. Sharon, FEBS Lett., 1987, 217, 145.
- 5 E. J. Toone, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol., 1994, 4, 719.
- 6 Y. C. Lee, in Neoglycoconjugates: Preparation and Applications, ed. Y. C. Lee and R. T. Lee, Academic Press, San Diego, 1994, vol. 3.
- 7 K. Ozaki, R. T. Lee, Y. C. Lee and T. Kawasaki, Glycoconjugate J., 1995, 12, 268; A. Kichler and F. Schuber, Glycoconjugate J., 1995, 12, 275.
- 8 W. K. C. Park, S. Aravind, A. Romanowska, J. Renaud and R. Roy, Methods Enzymol., 1994, 242, 294; S. Aravind, W. K. C. Park, S. Brochu and R. Roy, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 7739.
- R. Roy, Trends Glycosci. Glycotechnol., 1996, 8, 79; N. V. Bovin and H.-J. Gabius, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1995, 24, 413; A. Ya. Chernyak, ACS Symp. Ser., 1994, 560, 133.
- R. Roy, *Polym. News*, 1996, 21, 226; R. Roy, D. Zanini, S. J. Meunier and A. Romanowska, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 1993, 1869;
 R. Roy, D. Zanini, S. J. Meunier and A. Romanowska, *ACS Symp. Ser.*, 1996, 560, 104;
 R. Roy, W. K. C. Park, Q. Wu and S.-N. Wang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, 36, 4377;
 D. Zanini, W. K. C. Park and R. Roy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, 36, 7383;
 D. Pagé, D. Zanini and R. Roy, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 1996, 4, in the press.
- 11 M. Monsigny, A.-C. Roche and P. Midoux, Biol. Cell, 1984, 51, 187.
- 12 F. P. Schwarz, K. D. Puri, R. G. Bhat and A. Surolia, J. Biol. Chem., 1993, 268, 7668.
- D. K. Mandal and C. F. Brewer, *Biochemistry*, 1993, 32, 5116;
 D. K. Mandal and C. F. Brewer, *Biochemistry*, 1992, 31, 12602.

Received, 29th April 1996; Com. 6/03016E