AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

Article

Subscriber access provided by Georgetown University | Lauinger and Blommer Libraries

Synthesis and Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship (QSAR) Study of Novel N-Arylsulfonyl-3-Acylindole Arylcarbonyl Hydrazone Derivatives as Nematicidal Agents

Zhiping Che, shaoyong zhang, yonghua shao, Lingling Fan, Hui Xu, Xiang Yu, Xiaoyan Zhi, Xiaojun Yao, and Rui Zhang

J. Agric. Food Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jf400536q • Publication Date (Web): 20 May 2013 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on May 22, 2013

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

1	Synthesis and Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship (QSAR) Study
2	of Novel N-Arylsulfonyl-3-Acylindole Arylcarbonyl Hydrazone
3	Derivatives as Nematicidal Agents
4	
5	Zhiping Che, [†] Shaoyong Zhang, [‡] Yonghua Shao, [#] Lingling Fan, [†] Hui Xu, ^{*,†} Xiang Yu, [†]
6	Xiaoyan Zhi, [†] Xiaojun Yao, [#] and Rui Zhang [†]
7	
8	[†] Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Design & Synthesis, College of Sciences, Northwest A&F
9	University, Yangling 712100, Shaanxi Province, People's Republic of China
10	[‡] Provincial Engineering Laboratory of Biopesticide Preparation, Zhejiang A&F
11	University, Lin'an 311300, Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China
12	[#] Department of Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, Gansu Province, People's
13	Republic of China
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

22 Abstract

In continuation of our program aimed at the discovery and development of 23 24 natural-product-based pesticidal agents, fifty-four novel N-arylsulfonyl-3-acylindole 25 arylcarbonyl hydrazone derivatives were prepared, and their structures were well characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, HRMS, ESI-MS, and mp. Their nematicidal activity 26 27 was evaluated against the pine wood nematode, Bursaphelenchus xylophilus in vivo. Among all the derivatives, especially V-12 and V-39 displayed the best promising nematicidal activity 28 with the LC₅₀ values of 1.0969 and 1.2632 mg/L, respectively. It suggested that introduction 29 of R¹ and R² together as the electron-withdrawing substituents, R³ as the methyl group, and 30 R^4 as the phenyl with the electron-donating substituents could be taken into account for 31 32 further preparation of this kind of compounds as nematicidal agents. Six selected descriptors 33 are a WHIM descriptor (E1m), two GETAWAY descriptors (R1m+ and R3m+), a Burden 34 eigenvalues descriptor (BEHm8), and two edge adjacency indices descriptors (EEig05x and 35 EEig13d). Quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) studies demonstrated that the 36 structural factors, such as molecular masses (a negative correlation with the bioactivity) and 37 molecular polarity (a positive correlation with bioactivity), are likely to govern the nematicidal activities of these compounds. For this model, the correlation coefficient (R^2_{training}) 38 set), the leave-one-out cross-validation correlation coefficient (Q^2_{LOO}) and the seven-fold 39 cross-validation correlation coefficient ($Q^2_{7-\text{fold}}$) were 0.791, 0.701 and 0.715, respectively. 40 And the external cross-validation correlation coefficient (Q^2_{ext}) and the root mean square 41 42 error for the test set (RMSE_{test set}) were 0.774 and 3.412, respectively. It will pave the way for 43 future design, structural modification and development of indole derivatives as nematicidal

44	agents.
45	
46	KEYWORDS: Indole, hydrazone, structural modification, botanical pesticide, nematicidal
47	activity, QSAR, Bursaphelenchus xylophilus
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	3

67 **INTRODUCTION**

Pine wood nematode, Bursaphelenchus xylophilus, has caused pine wilt disease which has 68 been devastating forests worldwide.¹ Moreover, at present there are only few commercial 69 nematicides left in use, and their repeated applications over the years have led to the 70 enhancement of biodegradation mechanisms in soil and the development of pest resistance.²⁻⁴ 71 To prevent the pine wilt disease and overcome the problems about resistance development 72 and environment pollution, therefore, the research and development of efficacy nematicidal 73 agents has received much attention internationally in recent years.⁵⁻¹¹ In the meantime, during 74 the long period of evolution, plants must resist attackers over their lifetime by producing and 75 76 exuding secondary metabolites, and pesticides produced from plant secondary metabolites may result in less or slower resistance development and lower pollution.^{12,13} Hence, the 77 discovery of new pesticidal compounds directly from plant secondary metabolites, or by 78 using them as the lead compounds for further structural modifications has recently been one 79 of the important procedures for research and development of new pesticides.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ Some 80 81 botanical pesticides such as nicotine, pyrethrum and neem extracts are the characteristic examples made from plants as defenses against pests.¹⁸ 82

Indole (I-1, Scheme 1), an aromatic heterocyclic compound, is a constituent of many natural plants, such as Robinia pseudacacia, jasmines, certain citrus plants and orange blossoms. Due to its crucial heterocyclic skeleton, extensive efforts by using I-1 as a lead compound have been made for the preparation of potent anti-human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) inhibitors (*e.g.*, delavirdine),^{19,20} hepatitis C virus (HCV) inhibitors,²¹ antimicrobial agents,²² glutamate carboxypeptidase II (GCPII) inhibitors,²³ antifungal agents,²⁴ and so on. In contrast, to the best of our knowledge, little work has been conducted 90 on the structural modifications of indoles as nematicidal agents against the pine wood 91 nematode, B. xylophilus. Recently, we have found that some fraxinellone-based hydrazone activity.²⁵ derivatives exhibited the pronounced insecticidal and 92 some *N*-arylsulfonyl-3-acetylindoles showed the potent anti-HIV-1 activity.²⁶ In continuation of 93 94 our program aimed at the discovery and development of novel natural-product-based agents,²⁴⁻²⁸ consequently, pesticidal we herein synthesized fifty-four 95 novel *N*-arylsulfonyl-3-acylindole arylcarbonyl hydrazone derivatives (V-1~V-54, Scheme 3) by 96 97 introduction of the hydrazone fragments on the N-arylsulfonyl-3-acylindolyl skeleton. Their 98 nematicidal activity was evaluated against B. xylophilus. In addition, the quantitative 99 structure-activity relationship (QSAR) studies of V-1~V-54 were also investigated.

100

MATERIALS AND METHODS

101 General: All reagents and solvents were of reagent grade or purified according to the standard methods before use. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and preparative 102 103 thin-layer chromatography (PTLC) were performed with silica gel plates using silica gel 60 104 GF₂₅₄ (Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Ltd.). Melting points were determined on a XT-4 105 digital melting-point apparatus (Beijing Tech Instrument Co., Ltd.) and were uncorrected. 106 Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on a Bruker TENSOR 27 spectrometer. Nuclear magnetic 107 resonance spectra (NMR) were recorded on a Bruker Avance DMX 300, 400 or 500 MHz instrument in CDCl₃ or DMSO- d_6 (¹H at 300, 400 or 500 MHz and ¹³C at 125 MHz) using 108 TMS (tetramethylsilane) as the internal standard. Electrospray iontrap mass spectrometry 109 110 (ESI-TRAP-MS) and electron ionization mass spectra (EI-MS) were carried out with Bruker 111 ESI-TRAP Esquire 6000 plus mass spectrometry instrument, and HP 5988 instrument, 112 respectively. High-resolution mass spectra (HR-MS) were carried out with IonSpec 4.7 Tesla 5

113	FTMS	instrument.

114	Synthesis o	of 3-Formvlindoles	(II-1~II-4): A	A mixture of N.N-dimethy	vlformamide (DMF, 5 mL)
		J			, - ··· ()-)

- and phosphorus oxychloride (POCl₃, 0.5 mL) was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min. Then a solution
- of indoles (I-1~I-4, 5 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) was added dropwise to the above mixture. After
- adding, the mixture was stirred at 35 °C for 1 h, and water was added, followed by adding
- 118 30% aqueous sodium hydroxide (NaOH) to adjust pH value to 8-9. The mixture was then
- 119 refluxed for 1 h. On cooling, the mixture was poured into ice water, and the precipitated
- 120 product was collected, washed by water, and recrystallized from absolute methanol to afford
- 121 **II-1~II-4** in 84-95% yields.
- 122 Data for **II-1**: Yield = 91%, pink solid. mp = 190-192 °C [literature, 195-198 °C].^{29 1}H NMR
- 123 (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 9.93 (s, 1H), 8.29 (s, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, J = 8.0

124 Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.28 (m, 2H). EI-MS, *m/z* (%): 145 (M⁺, 96).

- 125 Data for **II-2**: Yield = 95%, brown solid. mp =187-189 °C [literature, 186-188 °C].²⁹ ¹H
- 126 NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 9.89 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.4 Hz,
- 127 1H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (s, 3H). EI-MS, m/z (%): 159 (M⁺, 68).
- 128 Data for **II-3**: Yield = 88%, yellow solid. mp = 241-243 °C [literature, 244-245 °C].²⁹ ¹H
- 129 NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 10.01 (s, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 8.47 (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz,
- 130 1H); 7.65 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H). EI-MS, m/z (%): 170 (M⁺, 70).
- 131 Data for **II-4**: Yield = 84%, yellow solid. mp > 300 °C [literature, 312-313.3 °C].³⁰ ¹H
- 132 NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 10.03 (s, 1H), 8.94 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.58 (s, 1H), 8.15 (dd,
- 133 J = 2.4, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H). EI-MS, m/z (%): 190 (M⁺, 100).
- 134 Synthesis of N-Arylsulfonylindoles (III-1~III-17): A mixture of I-1~I-4 (1 mmol),
- benzyltriethylammonium chloride (TEBA, 0.1 mmol), NaOH (1.8 mmol), and arylsulfonyl $_{6}$

136	chlorides (1.2 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature. After stirring for
137	1-2 h, the reaction was complete according to TLC analysis, and water (10 mL) was added to
138	the mixture, which was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL \times 3). Subsequently, the combined
139	organic phase was washed by brine (30 mL), dried over anhydrous Na ₂ SO ₄ , and concentrated
140	under the reduced pressure to give III-1~III-17 in 73-99% yields. The example data of III-1
141	and III-2 are shown as follows, whereas data of III-3~III-17 can be found in the Supporting
142	Information.
143	<i>Data for III-1</i> : Yield = 97%, white solid. mp = 78-79 °C [literature, 78-79 °C]. ³¹ ¹ H NMR
144	(400 MHz, CDCl ₃) δ : 7.99 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 3.6
145	Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (d,
146	J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz, 1H). EI-MS, m/z (%): 257 (M ⁺ , 85).
147	<i>Data for III-2</i> : Yield = 96%, white solid. mp = 83-84 °C [literature, 87-88 °C]. ³² ¹ H NMR
148	(400 MHz, CDCl ₃) δ : 7.97 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 4.4 Hz,
149	1H), 7.97 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (m, 4H), 6.65 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H). EI-MS,
150	<i>m/z</i> (%): 271 (M ⁺ , 100).
151	Synthesis of 3-Formyl-N-Arylsulfonylindoles (IV-1~IV-13): A mixture of II-1~II-4 (2 mmol),
152	arylsulfonyl chlorides (4 mmol), and K ₂ CO ₃ (6 mmol) in dry CH ₂ Cl ₂ (10 mL) was refluxed
153	for 12-20 h. Then the reaction mixture was filtered. The corresponding filtrate was collected,
154	concentrated under the reduced pressure, and purified by PTLC to produce IV-1-IV-13 in
155	64-99% yields. The example data of IV-1 and IV-2 are shown as follows, whereas data of
156	IV-3~IV-13 can be found in the Supporting Information.

- 157 Data for IV-1: Yield = 71%, white solid. mp = 148-150 °C [literature, 149 °C].^{33 1}H NMR
- 158 (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 10.09 (s, 1H), 8.25 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (s, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 7

'

159 1H), 7.85 (d,
$$J = 8.5$$
 Hz, 2H), 7.34-7.42 (m, 2H), 7.29 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H).

- 160 ESI-MS, m/z (%): 300 ([M+H]⁺, 100).
- 161 Data for IV-2: Yield = 75%, white solid. mp = $137-139 \,^{\circ}C$ [literature, $137 \,^{\circ}C$].³³ ¹H NMR
- 162 (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 10.08 (s, 1H), 8.26 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (s, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.5 Hz,
- 163 1H), 7.89-7.91 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.42 (m, 2H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H). ESI-MS:
- 164 m/z (%): 316 ([M+H]⁺, 25).
- 165 Synthesis of 3-Acyl-N-Arylsulfonylindoles (IV-14~IV-33): To a stirred mixture of AlCl₃ (3
- 166 mmol) and $R^{3}COCl$ (acetyl chloride, propionyl chloride or *n*-hexanoyl chloride, 1.5 mmol) in
- 167 dry CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) at room temperature, a solution of III-1~III-17 (1 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2
- mL) was added dropwise. After adding, the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5-2
- 169 h, and the reaction process was checked by TLC analysis. Then water (10 mL) was added to
- 170 the mixture, which was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL \times 3). Subsequently, the combined
- 171 organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous $NaHCO_3$ (30 mL \times 2) and brine (30 mL),
- 172 dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, concentrated *in vacuo*, and purified by PTLC to afford

173 IV-14~IV-33 in 62-99% yields. The example data of IV-14 and IV-15 are shown as follows,

174 whereas data of **IV-16~IV-33** can be found in the Supporting Information.

175 Data for IV-14: Yield = 72%, white solid. mp = 158-159 °C [literature, 159-160 °C].³⁴ ¹H

- 176 NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.32-8.34 (m, 1H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 7.92-7.97 (m, 3H), 7.48-7.61 (m,
- 177 3H), 7.34-7.39 (m, 2H), 2.58 (s, 3H). EI-MS *m/z* (%): 299 (M⁺, 74).
- 178 Data for IV-15: Yield = 91%, white solid. mp = 142-144 °C [literature, 145-146 °C].^{35 1}H
- 179 NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 8.31-8.34 (m, 1H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 7.90-7.94 (m, 1H), 7.82 (d, J =
- 180 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.26-7.37 (m, 4H), 2.57 (s, 3H), 2.36 (s, 3H). EI-MS *m/z* (%): 313 (M⁺, 35).
- 181 Synthesis of N-Arylsulfonyl-3-Acylindole Arylcarbonyl Hydrazone Derivatives (V-1~V-54): A 8

182	mixture of IV-1~IV-33 (0.5 mmol), the corresponding hydrazides (0.5 mmol) and HOAc (two
183	drops) in ethanol (5 mL) was refluxed for 2-6 h. When the reaction was complete according
184	to TLC analysis, the mixture was allowed to cool, and filtered to give the solid, which was
185	further recrystallized from absolute ethanol to produce target compounds V-1~V-54 in
186	74-97% yields. The example data of V-1~V-20 are shown as follows, whereas data of
187	V-21~V-54 can be found in the Supporting Information.
188	Data for V-1: Yield = 90%, white solid. mp = 215-216 °C. ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
189	8.63 (s, 1H), 8.45 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (s, 1H), 7.92-7.98 (m, 5H), 7.61 (d, J = 6.5 Hz,
190	1H), 7.56 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.40-7.44 (m, 4H), 2.31 (s, 3H). ¹³ C NMR (125 MHz,
191	DMSO- d_6) δ : 163.4, 146.3, 142.6, 135.2, 134.2, 133.9, 132.1, 130.8, 130.3, 128.9, 128.0,
192	127.4, 127.3, 126.3, 124.7, 123.8, 118.7, 113.5, 21.5. ESI-MS: <i>m/z</i> (%): 418 ([M+H] ⁺ , 100).
193	HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{23}H_{20}N_3O_3S$ ([M+H]) ⁺ , 418.1219; found, 418.1226.
194	<i>Data for V-2</i> : Yield = 83%, white solid. mp = 182-183 °C. ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
195	8.64 (s, 1H), 8.45 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (s, 1H), 7.97-8.00 (m, 3H), 7.95 (d, J = 7.5 Hz,
196	2H), 7.62 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.5
197	Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H). ¹³ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 164.4,
198	142.7, 135.2, 133.9, 132.1, 130.3, 129.8, 128.9, 128.4, 128.0, 127.4, 126.2, 124.6, 123.7,
199	118.5, 115.6, 113.5, 56.3. ESI-MS: <i>m/z</i> (%): 434 ([M+H] ⁺ , 100). HRMS (ESI): calcd for
200	$C_{23}H_{20}N_3O_4S([M+H])^+$, 434.1169; found, 434.1161.
201	<i>Data for V-3</i> : Yield = 79%, white solid. mp = 267-268 °C. ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- <i>d</i> ₆) δ :

202 8.64 (s, 1H), 8.45 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (s, 1H), 7.93-8.00 (m, 5H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.0 Hz,

- 203 2H), 7.55-7.61 (m, 3H), 7.39-7.45 (m, 2H), 2.05 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ:
- 204 169.6, 163.4, 145.2 142.6, 135.2, 133.9, 132.1, 130.3, 130.1, 128.9, 128.8, 128.0, 127.4, 9

- 205 126.2, 124.6, 123.7, 119.3, 118.5, 113.5, 24.5. ESI-MS: *m/z* (%): 483 ([M+Na]⁺, 100). HRMS
- 206 (ESI): calcd for $C_{24}H_{21}N_4O_4S$ ([M+H])⁺, 461.1278; found, 461.1284.
- 207 Data for V-4: Yield = 97%, white solid. mp = 208-209 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
- 208 8.63 (s, 1H), 8.47 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (s, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.94-7.99 (m,
- 209 3H), 7.70 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (t, J =
- 210 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 163.4, 142.4, 140.5,
- 211 135.8, 135.2, 133.8, 132.2, 130.6, 130.2, 129.2, 128.9, 128.1, 127.5, 126.5, 124.9, 123.9,
- 212 119.1, 113.5. ESI-MS: m/z (%): 460 ([M+Na]⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₂₂H₁₇N₃O₃SCl
- 213 $([M+H])^+$, 438.0673; found, 438.0681.
- 214 Data for V-5: Yield = 76%, yellow solid. mp = $155-156 \,^{\circ}$ C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
- 215 8.70 (s, 1H), 8.62 (s, 1H), 8.50-8.53 (m, 3H), 8.46 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.5 Hz,
- 216 1H), 7.90-7.93 (m, 3H), 7.62 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz,
- 217 1H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 163.4, 148.6, 142.3, 138.3,
- 218 135.2, 133.8, 133.0, 132.5, 132.2, 130.2, 129.9, 128.9, 128.0, 127.6, 126.7, 125.2, 124.0,
- 219 122.0, 119.6, 113.5. ESI-MS: *m/z* (%): 471 ([M+Na]⁺, 70). HRMS (ESI): calcd for
- 220 $C_{22}H_{17}N_4O_5S$ ([M+H])⁺, 449.0914; found, 449.0917.
- 221 Data for V-6: Yield = 78%, yellow solid. mp = 217-218 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
- 222 8.81 (s, 1H), 8.63 (s, 1H), 8.48 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.41 (s, 1H), 8.34-8.35 (m, 1H), 8.02-8.04
- 223 (m, 2H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (t, *J*
- 224 = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ: 163.4, 148.3,
- 225 142.2, 136.8, 135.1, 134.2, 133.8, 132.4, 132.2, 131.7, 130.1, 128.9, 128.1, 127.6, 126.7,
- 226 125.2, 124.7, 124.0, 119.6, 113.6. ESI-MS: *m/z* (%): 483 ([M+H]⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI): calcd
- 227 for $C_{22}H_{16}CIN_4O_5S$ ([M+H])⁺, 483.0524; found, 483.0531.

228	<i>Data for V-7</i> : Yield = 89%, white solid. mp = 242-243 °C. ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
229	8.60 (s, 1H), 8.30 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.27 (s, 1H), 7.92-7.93 (m, 4H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.62 (t, J
230	= 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H),
231	2.47 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H). ¹³ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 163.4, 146.2, 142.7, 136.0,
232	135.6, 134.3, 133.9, 132.1, 130.8, 129.8, 128.9, 128.0, 127.3, 126.1, 125.2, 123.4, 118.7,
233	113.5, 21.9, 21.5. ESI-MS: m/z (%): 454 ([M+Na] ⁺ , 100). HRMS (ESI): calcd for
234	$C_{24}H_{22}N_3O_3S$ ([M+H]) ⁺ , 432.1376; found, 432.1385.
235	<i>Data for V-8</i> : Yield = 82%, white solid. mp = 224-225 °C. ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
236	8.60 (s, 1H), 8.30 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (s, 1H), 8.00 (d, <i>J</i> = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.95 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.5
237	Hz, 2H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.61 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.5 Hz,
238	1H), 7.11 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.48 (s, 3H). ¹³ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
239	164.4, 142.7, 136.0, 135.6, 134.0, 133.9, 132.1, 129.8, 129.7, 128.9, 128.5, 128.0, 126.0,
240	125.2, 123.4, 118.5, 115.5, 113.5, 56.3, 22.0. ESI-MS: <i>m/z</i> (%): 448 ([M+H] ⁺ , 100). HRMS
241	(ESI): calcd for $C_{24}H_{22}N_3O_4S$ ([M+H]) ⁺ , 448.1325; found, 448.1330.
242	<i>Data for V-9</i> : Yield = 84%, white solid. mp = 234-235 °C. ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
243	8.59 (s, 1H), 8.28-8.31 (m, 2H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.94 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (s,

1H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 244

8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 163.4, 142.5, 140.4, 136.3, 245

135.9, 135.6, 133.8, 132.2, 130.6, 129.6, 129.2, 128.9, 128.0, 126.3, 125.2, 123.5, 119.1, 246

113.4, 21.9. ESI-MS: *m/z* (%): 452 ([M+H]⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₂₃H₁₉N₃O₃SCl 247

- 248 ([M+H])⁺, 452.0830; found, 452.0832.
- *Data for V-10*: Yield = 82%, yellow solid. mp = $189-190 \,^{\circ}$ C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) 249
- 250 δ : 8.70 (s, 1H), 8.59 (s, 1H), 8.52 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 8.40 (s, 1H), 8.32 (d, J = 8.0 11

251 Hz, 1H), 7.91-7.94 (m, 3H), 7.85 (s, 1H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H),

252 7.26 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 163.4, 148.6, 142.4,

253 138.4, 136.6, 135.6, 133.8, 133.0, 132.5, 132.2, 129.8, 129.7, 128.9, 128.0, 126.5, 125.3,

254 123.6, 122.0, 119.6, 113.5, 21.9. ESI-MS: m/z (%): 463 ([M+H]⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI): calcd

255 for $C_{23}H_{19}N_4O_5S$ ([M+H])⁺, 463.1070; found, 463.1078.

256 Data for V-11: Yield = 79%, white solid. mp = 219-220 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :

257 8.83 (s, 1H), 8.64 (s, 1H), 8.59 (s, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H),

258 7.96 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz,

259 2H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 163.5, 146.9,

260 141.7, 137.0, 133.8, 133.6, 132.3, 132.1, 131.0, 129.3, 128.9, 128.5, 128.1, 127.5, 119.5,

261 118.1, 114.8, 107.4, 21.5. ESI-MS: *m/z* (%): 443 ([M+H]⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI): calcd for

262 $C_{24}H_{19}N_4O_3S([M+H])^+$, 443.1172; found, 443.1174.

263 Data for V-12: Yield = 93%, yellow solid. mp = $250-251 \,^{\circ}$ C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6)

264 δ : 8.82 (s, 1H), 8.75 (s, 1H), 8.70 (s, 1H), 8.62 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.56 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H),

265 8.23 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.88-7.95 (m, 4H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H).

266 ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 163.6, 148.7, 141.4, 138.0, 137.0, 133.6, 133.2, 132.7,

267 132.3, 132.0, 130.3, 129.7, 128.9, 128.5, 128.1, 127.7, 122.4, 119.4, 118.9, 114.9, 107.8.

268 ESI-MS: m/z (%): 474 ([M+H]⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI): Calcd for C₂₃H₁₆N₅O₅S ([M+H])⁺,

269 474.0866; found, 474.0878.

270 *Data for V-13*: Yield = 74%, yellow solid. mp = $157-158 \,^{\circ}$ C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆)

271 δ : 9.30 (s, 1H), 8.67 (s, 1H), 8.63 (s, 1H), 8.32 (dd, J = 9.5 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, J = 9.0

272 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.96 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (t, J

273 = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ: 12

- 274 162.9, 146.9, 146.5, 144.2, 142.1, 141.3, 137.5, 133.2, 133.1, 131.7, 130.5, 128.4, 128.0,
- 275 127.5, 127.0, 126.8, 121.1, 118.4, 21.0. ESI-MS: *m/z* (%): 463 ([M+H]⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI):
- 276 calcd for $C_{23}H_{19}N_4O_5S$ ([M+H])⁺, 463.1070; found, 463.1081.
- 277 Data for V-14: Yield = 93%, white solid. mp = 205-206 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
- 278 8.74 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 8.34 (s, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.92-7.97 (m, 3H), 7.72 (t, J =
- 279 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.58-7.63 (m, 3H), 7.53-7.54 (m, 2H), 7.36-7.41 (m, 2H), 2.47 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR
- 280 (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 163.9, 150.7, 136.6, 134.7, 133.9, 131.4, 129.8, 128.2, 127.8, 127.4,
- 281 126.7, 125.4, 124.6, 124.1, 121.7, 112.7, 14.7. ESI-MS: *m/z* (%): 418 ([M+H]⁺, 100). HRMS
- 282 (ESI): calcd for $C_{23}H_{20}N_3O_3S$ ([M+H])⁺, 418.1219; found, 418.1228.
- 283 Data for V-15: Yield = 84%, white solid. mp = 201-202 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
- 284 8.72 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (s, 1H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72
- 285 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.36-7.40 (m, 4H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H). ¹³C
- 286 NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 163.9, 150.6, 140.3, 137.5, 136.6, 134.7, 133.8, 132.0, 129.8,
- 287 128.1, 127.8, 127.4, 126.7, 125.4, 125.0, 124.1, 121.7, 112.7, 20.8, 14.7. ESI-MS: *m/z* (%):
- 288 432 ($[M+H]^+$, 100). HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₂₄H₂₂N₃O₃S ([M+H])⁺, 432.1376; found, 289 432.1382.
- 290 Data for V-16: Yield 90%, white solid. mp = 208-210 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
- 291 10.66 (s, 1H), 8.73 (s, 1H), 8.32 (s, 1H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H),
- 292 7.91 (s, 2H), 7.73 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.39-7.41 (m, 1H), 7.34 (s, 1H),
- 293 7.08 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 2.47 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 162.3,
- 294 137.3, 135.3, 135.2, 130.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.3, 126.6, 126.5, 125.9, 125.1, 124.5, 122.4,
- 295 114.0, 113.3, 55.9, 15.1. ESI-MS: *m/z* (%): 470 ([M+Na]⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI): calcd for
- 296 $C_{24}H_{22}N_{3}O_{4}S([M+H])^{+}, 448.1325; found, 448.1320.$

297	<i>Data for V-17</i> : Yield = 87%, white solid. mp = 196-198 °C. ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ :
298	10.93 (s, 1H), 8.72 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (s, 1H), 8.10 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.97 (s, 2H),
299	7.89 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz,
300	2H), 7.59 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.41-7.42 (m, 1H), 7.36-7.38 (m, 1H), 2.49 (s, 3H). ¹³ C NMR
301	$(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{DMSO-}d_6) \delta$: 163.1, 151.9, 137.2, 136.4, 135.3, 133.5, 131.8, 130.8, 130.4, 128.7,
302	128.1, 128.0, 127.3, 127.2, 126.0, 125.1, 124.7, 122.1, 113.3, 15.4. ESI-MS: <i>m/z</i> (%): 452
303	$([M+H]^+, 100)$. HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{23}H_{19}N_3O_3SC1$ $([M+H])^+$, 452.0830; found,
304	452.0828.
305	<i>Data for V-18</i> : Yield = 92%, white solid. mp = 220-221 °C. ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ_2
306	8.72 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 8.30 (s, 1H), 7.92-7.95 (m, 5H), 7.59 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, J
307	= 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 3H), 7.36 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 163.8, 150.7, 145.6, 139.7, 137.7, 134.7, 133.7, 131.4, 308

130.2, 128.2, 127.8, 127.4, 126.8, 125.3, 124.5, 124.0, 121.5, 112.7, 20.9, 14.7. ESI-MS: *m/z* 309

- (%): 432 ($[M+H]^+$, 100). HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₂₄H₂₂N₃O₃S ([M+H])⁺, 432.1376; found, 310 311 432.1379.
- Data for V-19: Yield = 83%, white solid. mp = 189-190 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 312

8.74 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 7.74 (s, 2H), 7.37-7.40 (m, 313

- 6H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.30 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ: 163.9, 150.6, 314
- 145.6, 137.5, 134.7, 133.7, 132.0, 130.1, 128.1, 127.8, 127.4, 126.8, 125.3, 124.9, 124.5, 315
- 123.9, 121.6, 112.7, 20.9, 20.8, 14.7. ESI-MS: *m/z* (%): 446 ([M+H]⁺, 100). HRMS (ESI): 316
- 317 calcd for $C_{25}H_{24}N_3O_3S$ ([M+H])⁺, 446.1532; found, 446.1541.
- *Data for V-20*: Yield = 86%, white solid; mp = 191-192 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 318
- 319 8.74 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (s, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.93-7.96 (m, 3H), 7.59 (d, J14

320	= 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.35-7.42 (m, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 3.78 (s,
321	3H), 2.47 (s, 3H). ¹³ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 163.8, 150.9, 134.7, 133.9, 131.5,
322	129.3, 128.2, 127.9, 127.8, 127.4, 127.0, 125.3, 124.6, 123.9, 121.4, 115.1, 114.9, 112.7, 55.7,
323	14.7. ESI-MS: m/z (%): 448 ([M+H] ⁺ , 100). HRMS (ESI): calcd for C ₂₄ H ₂₂ N ₃ O ₄ S ([M+H]) ⁺ ,
324	448.1325; found, 448.1321.
325	Assay of Nematicidal Activity. ³⁶ Acetone solutions of compounds V-1~V-54 and emamectin
326	benzoate (used as a positive control) were firstly prepared at the concentrations of 5, 10, 25,
327	50, and 100 mg/L, respectively. Then 10 μ L of the above solutions were added to the aqueous
328	suspension (90 μ L) containing approximate 2500 living nematodes (third-instar and
329	fourth-instar larvae of B. xylophilus) per mL. The blank control group was prepared in the
330	same way but lacked the tested compound. Three replicates in each trial were made and kept
331	at 25 °C for 24 h. Finally, the activities of five concentrations of the tested compounds were
332	monitored under a microscope by recording the death rate of the tested nematodes.
333	Nematodes that did not move when prodded with a needle were considered dead. The LC_{50}
334	values of tested compounds were calculated using the probit method.

335 **QSAR Model Development.**

Data Set. The experimental data used in this work contained 54 compounds (V-1~V-54). The nematicidal activity of 54 compounds was expressed as LC_{50} values (μ mol/L) and used as the dependent variable in the following QSAR study.

339 Molecular Descriptor Calculation. To obtain a QSAR model, the compound was represented

- 340 by structural descriptors. The molecular descriptors were calculated by the following process.
- 341 All the compound structures were sketched in HyperChem³⁷ program and pre-optimized with
- 342 the MM+ molecular mechanics force filed. In order to obtain more precise optimization, the 15

semi-empirical quantum chemistry method $AM1^{38}$ was used. The resulted minimum energy conformations of 54 compounds were input into DRAGON 5.4³⁹ software to calculate molecular descriptors.

346 In DARGON, 1664 molecular descriptors were calculated, which including: (a) 0D-constitutional descriptors, (b) 1D-functional groups counts, atom-centered fragments, (c) 347 348 2D-topological descriptors, walk and path counts, connectivity indices, information indices, 349 2D autocorrelations, edge adjacency indices, Burden eigenvalues, topological charge index, 350 eigenvalue-based index, (d) 3D-Randic molecular profiles, geometrical descriptors, RDF descriptors, 3D-MoRSE descriptors, WHIM descriptors⁴⁰, GETAWAY descriptors⁴¹, (e) 351 charge descriptors, (f) molecular properties. The Handbook of Molecular Descriptors⁴². detail 352 calculation procedure could be found in which. The list of the above-mentioned descriptors 353 354 and corresponding meanings could be found in the literature references of the DRAGON 355 package.

To obtain the non-redundant information, constant or near-constant variables and two descriptors found to be correlated pairwise (one of any two descriptors with a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 was removed) were exlcuded. After the pre-reduction step, 851 molecular parameters were obtained. Thus, 851 structural descriptors were retained for subsequent subvariable selection.

Splitting Dataset into Training Set and Test Set. To build and validate the QSAR model, the studied dataset were divided training set was used to develop the model and test set was used to validate the external predictive ability of the proposed model. In this study, the Kennard & Stone (KS) method⁴³ was used to split dataset into a training set and a test set due to its good performance in other studies. The KS method can be used to rationally select training set and test set based on the descriptor space. 367 Feature Selection and OSAR Construction by Genetic Algorithm-Multiple Linear Regression 368 (GA-MLR). In this work, the relationship between bioactivity and structural descriptors was built by the Genetic algorithm-Multiple linear regression (GA-MLR) method. Genetic 369 algorithm⁴⁴ was performed to search the descriptors pool and select the descriptors relevant to 370 371 the bioactivity. Multiple linear regression is a classical linear regression method, the model constructed by which is simple and could be interpreted easily. In the present work, GA-MLR 372 procedure were performed by the MobyDigs software⁴⁵ using the correlation coefficient of 373 leave-one-out cross validation (LOO) as fitness function. When increasing the number of the 374 descriptors did not increase the cross-validated correlation coefficient (Q^2_{LOO}) value to any 375 376 significant degree, the GA selection was stopped. The corresponding parameters used in the 377 model building process can be found as follows: population size 100, maximum allowed descriptors in a model 8 and reproduction/mutation trade-off 0.5 and the other parameters 378 379 were set as default values.

Performance and Applicability Domain Evaluation of the QSAR Model. Several statistic parameters were adopted to assess the quality of the developed QSAR models such as the correlation coefficient (R^2) for fitness ability, Q^2_{LOO} for internal predictive ability and root mean square error (RMSE). Moreover, seven-fold cross-validation correlation coefficient (Q^2_{7-fold}) was also employed to check for reliability and robustness. The external predictive power of the QSAR model was estimated by the external cross-validation correlation coefficient (Q^2_{ext}) defined as follows:

387
$$Q_{ext}^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_{i} - y_{pred})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_{i} - \overline{y}_{tr})^{2}}$$

388 where y_i and y_{pred} are the experimental and predicted values of the bioactivity of the 17

compounds in the test set, respectively; \overline{y} tr is the averaged value of the dependent variable for the training set; and *m* is the number of the compounds in the test set.

The applicability domain is important for a proposed QSAR model, which is defined by the nature of the chemicals in the dataset and can be characterized in different way. The leverage (*h*) approach⁴⁶ is the commonly used methodology, which defined as follows:

$$h_i = x_i (X^T X)^{-1} x_i^T$$
 (*i* = 1, ..., *n*)

where x_i is the descriptor row-vector of the query chemical, and X is the $n \times k$ matrix of the 395 396 dataset (k is the number of model descriptors and n is the number of query compounds). The warning leverage h^* was calculated by $3k^2/n$, where k^2 is the number of variables used in the 397 QSAR model plus one. If the leverage value of a compound is higher than h^* , it is mean that 398 399 the predicted activity was the result of extrapolation of the model and may be unreliable. The 400 Williams plot (leave-one-out cross-validated standardized errors versus leverage values) could provide an efficient way for verifing the presence of Y outliers (i.e., compounds with 401 402 cross validated standardized residuals greater than three standard deviation units, $>3\sigma$) and X outliers (i.e., compounds with leverage values greater than h^*). 403

404 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

394

Synthesis. As shown in Scheme 1, 3-formylindoles (II-1~II-4) were easily obtained by 405 Vilsmeier-Haack formylation reaction of indoles (I-1-I-I-I) with N,N-dimethylformamide 406 407 (DMF) in the presence of phosphorus oxychloride (POCl₃). Subsequently, II-1~II-4 reacted with arylsulfonyl chlorides to afford 3-formyl-N-arylsulfonylindoles (IV-1~IV-13). 408 409 3-Acyl-N-arylsulfonylindoles (IV-14~IV-33) were prepared as shown in Scheme 2. Starting 410 from I-1 \sim I-4, the arylsulfonyl substituents were firstly introduced at their N-1 position to 411 afford *N*-arylsulfonylindoles (III-1~III-17). Then introduction of the different acyl groups at 18 **ACS Paragon Plus Environment**

412	the C-3 position of III-1~III-17 gave 3-acyl- <i>N</i> -arylsulfonylindoles (IV-14~IV-33). Finally, as
413	described in Scheme 3, N-arylsulfonyl-3-acylindole arylcarbonyl hydrazone derivatives
414	(V-1~V-54) were smoothly prepared by the reaction of IV-1~IV-33 with the corresponding
415	hydrazides. The structures of all target compounds were well characterized by ¹ H NMR, ¹³ C
416	NMR, HRMS, MS, and mp. Additionally, to confirm the three-dimensional structural
417	information of V-1~V-54, the single-crystal structure of V-33 was determined by X-ray
418	crystallography as illustrated in Figure 1. It demonstrated that the substituents on the C=N
419	bond of V-33 adopted <i>trans</i> configuration. And if the substituents on the C=N bond of V-33
420	adopted cis configuration, big steric effects could be observed between the indolyl ring and
421	the arylcarbonylamino group. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the
422	structure of V-33 have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as
423	supplementary publication number CCDC 915720. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of
424	charge, on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK [fax: +44
425	(0)1223 336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].
426	Nematicidal Activity. The nematicidal activity of V-1~V-54 against B. xylophilus was

427 indicated in Table 1. Some compounds such as V-6, V-12, V-19, V-20, V-27, V-38, V-39, and

428 **V-49** showed the potent nematicidal activity with the LC_{50} values ranging from 1 to 2 mg/L.

Especially V-12 and V-39 displayed the best promising nematicidal activity with the LC_{50} values of 1.0969 and 1.2632 mg/L, respectively. On the other hand, some interesting results of the structure-activity relationships of V-1~V-54 were also observed: a) When $R^3 = H$ and

432 $R^4 = Ph$, introduction of R^1 and R^2 together as the electron-withdrawing substituents could

- 433 lead to the pronounced compound (*e.g.*, V-12 vs. V-1~V-11 and V-13). For example, the LC_{50}
- 434 of V-12 (containing $R^1 = 5$ -CN and $R^2 = 3$ -NO₂) was 1.0969 mg/L. It is noteworthy that if 19

435	introduction of R ² as two electron-withdrawing substituents (such as NO ₂ and Cl) could result
436	in the more potent compound V-6 than those containing R^2 as one electron-withdrawing
437	substituent (e.g., V-4 and V-5). That is, electron-deficient of the indolyl ring and the phenyl
438	ring of <i>N</i> -arylsulfonyl group could favour their nematicidal activity. b) When $R^1 = H$ and $R^3 =$
439	Me, introduction of R^2 and R^4 together as electron-donating substituents could generally
440	afford the promising compound (e.g., V-19 vs. V-14~V-18 and V-20~V-26). For example,
441	V-19 contains R^2 as 4-Me and R^4 as (3-Me)Ph with the LC ₅₀ value of 1.5955 mg/L. c) When
442	$R^1 = 6$ -Me and $R^3 =$ Me (V-27~V-45), introduction of R^2 as H, 4-OMe or 4-Cl and R^4 as Ph
443	could produce the promising compounds (<i>e.g.</i> , V-27 , V-38 and V-39). d) When $R^3 = Me$ and
444	$R^4 = Ph$ (V-46-V-51), introduction of R^1 as 5-NO ₂ and R^2 as H could lead to the pronounced
445	compound (e.g., V-49). e) Interestingly, the proper chain length of R ³ was essential for the
446	nematicidal activity. For example, the LC ₅₀ values of V-52 ($R^3 = Et$) and V-53 ($R^3 = n$ -pentyl)
447	were 3.7058 and 6.6758 mg/L, respectively; whereas the LC_{50} value of V-14 ($R^3 = Me$) was
448	2.3985 mg/L. All in all, introduction of R^1 and R^2 together as the electron-withdrawing
449	substituents, R^3 as the methyl group, and R^4 as the phenyl with the electron-donating
450	substituents could be taken into account for further preparation of this kind of compounds as
451	nematicidal agents.
450	OCAD Madel Three that KC mathed a terining act containing 40 common dated at the tert

QSAR Model. Through the KS method, a training set containing 40 compounds and a test set containing 14 compounds were obtained. To select the molecular parameters that are most relevant to the LC_{50} values of the compounds, 851 structural descriptors calculated by the DRAGON 5.4 were used as the inputs for GA selection procedure. When adding another variable did not improve the performance of the model significiently, the optimal subset size was believed to obtain. In the current work, the LOO cross validation was used to evaluate $\frac{20}{20}$

476

480

the proposed QSAR models. On the basis of this principle, the six-variable model was
selected as the best model. The corresponding regression equation and the statistical
parameters were
$$Y = -0.377\text{EEig05x} - 0.421\text{EEig13d} + 0.467\text{BEHm8} + 0.967\text{E1m} + 0.693\text{R1m} + -$$

 $0.694\text{R3m} + -17.276$
Where *Y* is the LC₅₀ values (µmol/L).
 $n_{\text{training set}} = 40$ $R^2_{\text{training set}} = 0.791$ RMSE_{training set} = 3.279 $Q^2_{\text{LOO}} = 0.701$
RMSE_{LOO} = 3.929 $Q^2_{\text{-told}} = 0.715$ RMSE_{7.fold} = 0.370
 $n_{\text{test set}} = 14$ $Q^2_{\text{ext}} = 0.774$ RMSE_{test set} = 3.412
The best six-parameter model gave the correlation coefficient ($R^2_{\text{training set}}$), Q^2_{LOO} and
 $Q^2_{7.fold}$ were 0.791, 0.701 and 0.715, respectively. The prediction ability of a QSAR model is
very important, and statistical parameters for the test set were $Q^2_{\text{ext}} = 0.774$ and RMSE_{test set} =
 3.412 , which is satisfactory. From the statistical parameters discussed above, it indicates that
the proposed model is stable, robust and predictive. The predicted LC₅₀ values by the derived
model are listed in Table 2, and the regression plot of the developed best model is shown in
Figure 2.
From a deep analysis of the descriptors used in the proposed model, we could gain some
insight into the factors that would influence the bioactivity of the compounds. The relative

importance of the descriptors is weighted by the standardized regression coefficient value of

477 the descriptor. The most important descriptor is the E1m (1st component accessibility

478 directional WHIM index/weighted by atomic masses), which is a WHIM descriptor. WHIM

479 descriptors are built in such a way to capture relevant molecular 3D information regarding

21

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

molecular size, shape, symmetry, and atom distribution with respect to invariant reference

481 frames. R1m+ is a GETAWAY descriptor, which represents R maximal autocorrelation of lag 482 1/weighted by atomic masses. Another important descriptor is R3m+ (R maximal 483 autocorrelation of lag 3/weighted by atomics masses), which also belongs to GETAWAY 484 descriptor. GETAWAY (Geometry, Topology, and Atom-Weights-Assembly) is derived from 485 the leverage matrix which is deduced by the centering all of the atomic coordinates. BEHm8 486 is a Burden eigenvalues descriptor weighted by atomics masses. The above four descriptors 487 all are weighted by atomics masses, that represents atomics masses having an important 488 correlation with the bio-ability. EEig05x represents Eigenvalue 05 from edge adjacency matrix weighted by edge degrees, which belongs to edge adjacency indices. EEig13d is an 489 490 edge adjacency indices descriptor similar to EEig05x, and the difference is that EEig13d is 491 weighted by dipole moments. It can be seen from the above discussion about the descriptors 492 that the structural factors that are likely to govern the activities of these compounds including: 493 molecular masses (a negative correlation with the bioactivity) and molecular polarity (a 494 positive correlation with bioactivity).

QSAR model should be verified by their chemical domain applicability. In this work, as 495 496 shown in Figure 3, the applicability domain (AD) of the model and the reliability of the 497 prediction were evaluated by the leverage approach expressed as Williams plot. It is obvious that only one compound (V-6) in the training set has the hat value higher than the warning h^* 498 499 value of 0.525, and thus is regarded as a structural outlier. The predicted value of this 500 compound would be more reliable when regarded as extrapolation of the model, but the 501 compound has a small residual, so it is a "good leverage" compound. There is no response 502 outlier both for the training set and test set.

503 In conclusion, fifty-four novel *N*-arylsulfonyl-3-acylindole arylcarbonyl hydrazone 22

504	derivatives (V-1~V-54) were prepared and tested for their nematicidal activity against B .
505	xylophilus in vivo. Among all the compounds, V-12 and V-39 displayed the best promising
506	nematicidal activity with the LC_{50} values of 1.0969 and 1.2632 mg/L, respectively. It
507	generally suggested that introduction of R^1 and R^2 together as the electron-withdrawing
508	substituents, R^3 as the methyl group, and R^4 as the phenyl with the electron-donating
509	substituents can be considered for future preparation of this kind of compounds as
510	nematicidal agents. QSAR model demonstrated that the structural factors, such as molecular
511	masses (a negative correlation with the bioactivity) and molecular polarity (a positive
512	correlation with bioactivity), are likely to govern the nematicidal activities of these
513	compounds.
514	
515	ASSOCIATED CONTENT
516	Supporting Information
517	¹ H NMR, HRMS, MS, mp, or ¹³ C NMR data for compounds III-3~III-17 , IV-3~IV-13 ,
518	IV-16~IV-33, and V-21~V-54. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at
519	http://pubs.acs.org.
520	AUTHOR INFORMATION
521	Corresponding Author
	1 8
522	*Telephone: +86-029-87091952. Fax: +86-029-87091952. Email:
522 523	*Telephone: +86-029-87091952. Fax: +86-029-87091952. Email: orgxuhui@nwsuaf.edu.cn.
522 523 524	*Telephone: +86-029-87091952. Fax: +86-029-87091952. Email: orgxuhui@nwsuaf.edu.cn. Funding
522523524525	 *Telephone: +86-029-87091952. Fax: +86-029-87091952. Email: orgxuhui@nwsuaf.edu.cn. Funding The present research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China
 522 523 524 525 526 	 *Telephone: +86-029-87091952. Fax: +86-029-87091952. Email: orgxuhui@nwsuaf.edu.cn. Funding The present research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China No.31171896), and the Special Funds of Central Colleges Basic Scientific Research 23

- 527 Operating Expenses (YQ2013008).
- 528 Notes
- 529 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

530

REFERENCES

- Park, I. K.; Park, J. Y.; Kim, K. H.; Choi, K. S.; Choi, I. H.; Kim, C. S.; Shin, S. C. Nematicidal activity of plant essential oils and components from garlic (*Allium sativum*) and cinnamon (*Cinnamomum verum*) oils against the pine wood nematode (*Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*). *Nematology* 2005, *7*, 767–774.
- (2) Qui, S. J.; Can, J. Y.; Liu, W. P.; Becker, J. O. Degradation and absorption of fosthiazate in soil. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2004**, *52*, 6239–6242.
- (3) Meher, H. C.; Gajbhiye, V. T.; Chawla, G.; Singh, G. Virulence development and genetic polymorphism in *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid & White) Chitwood after prolonged exposure to sublethal concentrations of nematicides and continuous growing of resistant tomato cultivars. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2009, 65, 1201–1207.
- (4) Ntalli, N. G.; Caboni, P. Botanical nematicides: A review. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2012, 60, 9929–9940.
- (5) Seo, S. -M.; Kim, J.; Kim, E.; Park, H. -M.; Kim, Y. -J.; Park, I. -K. Structure-activity relationship of aliphatic compounds for nematicidal activity against pine wood nematode (*Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*). J. Agric. Food Chem. **2010**, 58, 1823–1827.
- (6) Faizi, S.; Fayyaz, S.; Bano, S.; Iqbal, E. Y.; Lubna, Siddiqi, H.; Naz, A. Isolation of nematicidal compounds from *Tagetes patula* L. yellow flowers: Structure–activity relationship studies against cyst nematode *Heterodera zeae* infective stage larvae. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2011**, *59*, 9080–9093.
- (7) Caboni, P.; Ntalli, N. G.; Aissani, N.; Cavoski, I.; Angioni, A. Nematicidal activity of (*E,E*)-2,4-decadienal and (*E*)-2-decenal from *Ailanthus altissima* against *meloidogyne javanica*. J. Agric. Food Chem. **2012**, 60, 1146–1151.

- (8) Caboni, P.; Sarais, G.; Aissani, N.; Tocco, G.; Sasanelli, N.; Liori, B.; Carta, A.; Angioni, A. Nematicidal activity of 2-thiophenecarboxaldehyde and methylisothiocyanate from caper (*Capparis spinosa*) against Meloidogyne incognita. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2012, 60, 7345–7351.
- (9) Ntalli, N. G.; Ferrari, F.; Giannakou, I. O.; Menkissoglu-Spiroudi, U. Synergistic and antagonistic interactions of terpenes against *Meloidogyne incognita* and nematicidal activity of essential oils from 7 plants indigenous in Greece. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2011, 67, 341–351.
- (10) Zasada, I. A.; Meyer, S. L. F.; Morra, M. J. Brassicaceous seed meals as soil amendments to suppress the plant-parasitic nematodes *Pratylenchus penetrans* and *Meloidogyne incognita*. J. Nematol. 2009, 41, 221–227.
- (11) Campbell, B. E.; Tarleton, M.; Gordon, C. P.; Sakoff, J. A.; Gilbert, J.; McCluskey, A.; Gasser, R. B. Norcantharidin analogues with nematocidal activity in *Haemonchus contortus. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2011, *21*, 3277–3281.
- (12) Isman, M.B. Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **2006**, *51*, 45–66.
- (13) Chitwood, D. J. Phytochemical based strategies for nematode control. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 2002, *40*, 221–249.
- (14) Chen, J. T.; Su, H. J.; Huang, J. W. Isolation and identification of secondary metabolites of *Clitocybe nuda* responsible for inhibition of zoospore germination of *phytophthora capsici. J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2012**, *60*, 7341–7344.
- (15) Zhou, Y. Y. ; Luo, S. H.; Yi, T. S.; Li, C. H.; Luo, Q.; Hua, J.; Liu, Y.; Li, S. H.
 Secondary metabolites from *Glycine soja* and their growth inhibitory effect against 27
 ACS Paragon Plus Environment

Spodoptera litura. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2011, 59, 6004–6010.

- (16) Isman, M. B. Botanical insecticides: for richer, for poorer. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2008, 64, 8–11.
- (17) Regnault-Roger, C.; Philogène, B. J. R. Past and current prospects for the use of botanicals and plant allelochemicals in integrated pest management. *Pharmaceut. Biol.* 2008, *46*, 41–52.
- (18) Dayan, F. E.; Cantrell, C. L.; Duke, S. O. Natural products in crop protection. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2009, 17, 4022–4034.
- (19) Xu, H.; Lv, M. Developments of indoles as anti-HIV-1 inhibitors. *Curr. Pharm. Des.*2009, 15, 2120–2148.
- (20) Silvestri, R.; Artico, M. Indolyl aryl sulfones (IASs): Development of highly potent NNRTIs active against wt-HIV-1 and clinically relevant drug resistant mutants. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2005, *11*, 3779–3806.
- (21) Chen, K. X.; Vibulbhan, B.; Yang, W. Y.; Sannigrahi, M.; Velazquez, F.; Chan, T. Y.; Venkatraman, S.; Anilkumar, G. N.; Zeng, Q. B.; Bennet, F.; Jiang, Y. H.; Lesburg, C. A.; Duca, J.; Pinto, P.; Gayalas, S.; Huang, Y. H.; Wu, W. L.; Selyutin, O.; Agrawal, S.; Feld, B.; Huang, H. C.; Li, C.; Cheng, K. C.; Shih, N. Y.; Kozlowski, J. A.; Rosenblum, S. B.; Njoroge, F. G. Structure-activity relationship (SAR) development and discovery of potent indole-based inhibitors of the hepatitis C virus (HCV) NS5B polymerase. *J. Med. Chem.* 2012, *55*, 754–765.
- (22) Singh, P.; Verma, P.; Yadav, B.; Komath, S. S. Synthesis and evaluation of indole-based new scaffolds for antimicrobial activities-identification of promising candidates. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2011, *21*, 3367–3372.

- (23) Grella, B.; Adams, J.; Berry, J. F.; Delahanty, G.; Ferraris, D. V.; Majer, P.; Ni, C. Y.; Shukla, K.; Shuler, S. A.; Slusher, B. S.; Stathis, M.; Tsukamoto, T. The discovery and structure-activity relationships of indole-based inhibitors of glutamate carboxypeptidase II. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2010, *20*, 7222–7225.
- (24) Xu, H.; Fan, L. Synthesis and antifungal activities of novel 5,6-dihydro-indolo[1,2-a]quinoxaline derivatives. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2011, 46, 1919–1925.
- (25) Guo, Y.; Yan, Y.; Yang, C.; Yu, X.; Zhi, X.; Xu, H. Regioselective synthesis of fraxinellone-based hydrazone derivatives as insecticidal agents. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2012, *22*, 5384–5387.
- (26) Ran, J. Q.; Huang, N.; Xu, H.; Yang, L. M.; Lv, M.; Zheng, Y. T. Anti HIV-1 agents 5: Synthesis and anti-HIV-1 activity of some *N*-arylsulfonyl-3-acetylindoles *in vitro*. *Bioorg*. *Med. Chem. Lett.* 2010, *20*, 3534–3536.
- (27) Guo, Y.; Yan, Y.; Yu, X.; Wang, Y.; Xu, H. Synthesis and insecticidal activity of some novel fraxinellone-based esters. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2012**, *60*, 7016–7021.
- (28) Wang, Y.; Shao, Y.; Wang, Y.; Fan, L.; Yu, X.; Zhi, X.; Yang, C.; Qu, H.; Yao, X.; Xu, H. Synthesis and quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) study of novel isoxazoline and oxime derivatives of podophyllotoxin as insecticidal agents. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2012**, *60*, 8435–8443.
- (29) Ge, Y. H.; Wu, Y. M.; Xue, Z. J. Synthesis of substituted indole-3-carboxaldehyde derivatives. *Chin. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *26*, 563–567.
- (30) Nishimura, T.; Yamada, T.; Takebe, T.; Yokoshima, S.; Fukuyama, T.
 (1-Nosyl-5-nitroindol-3-yl)methyl ester: A novel protective group for carboxylic acids.
 29
 ACS Paragon Plus Environment

Org. Lett. 2008. 10, 2601–2604.

- (31) Arisawa, M.; Terada, Y.; Takahashi, K.; Nakayawa, M.; Nishida, A. Development of isomerization and cycloisomerization with use of a Ruthenium hydride with *N*-heterocyclic carbene and its application to the synthesis of heterocycles. *J. Org. Chem.* 2006, *71*, 4255–4261.
- (32) Abid, M.; Teixeira, L.; Torok, B. Triflic acid controlled successive annelation of aromatic sulfonamides: an efficient one-pot synthesis of *N*-sulfonyl pyrroles, indoles and carbazoles. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, 48, 4047–4050.
- (33) Ranjana, A.; Fabio, B.; Federico, B.; Sabrina, B.; Alfonso, C.; Francesca, D.; Vinicio, G.; Stefano, N. A catalytic antibody programmed for torsional activation of amide bond hydrolysis. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 3132–3142.
- (34) Ketcha, D. M.; Gribble, G. W. A convenient synthesis of 3-acylindoles via Friedel Crafts acylation of 1-(phenylsulfonyl)indole. A new route to pyridocarbazole-5,11-quinones and ellipticine. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 5451-5457.
- (35) Kurihara, T.; Fujimoto, T.; Harusawa, S.; Yoneda, R. Simple *N*-alkylation and *N*-acylation of 3-acetylindole and 3-indolecarbaldehyde. *Synthesis* **1987**, 396–397.
- (36) Kohno, T.; Togashi, K.; Fukamiya, N. The nematocidal activity and the structure activity relationships of stilbenes. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2007**, *21*, 606–615.
- (37) Hypercube, Inc. HyperChem 7.0; Hypercube, Inc.: Gainesville, FL, 2002.
- (38) Dewar, M. J. S.; Zoebisch, E. G.; Healy, E. F.; Stewart, J. J. P. Development and use of quantum-mechanical molecular models. 76. AM1 – A new general purpose quantum mechanical molecular model. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3902-3909.
- (39) Talete srl, DRAGON for windows (software for molecular descriptor calculations). 30

Version 5.4, 2006. http://www.talete.mi.it.

- (40) Todeschini, R.; Gramatica, P. New 3D molecular descriptors: The WHIM theory and QSAR applications. *Persp. Drug Discov. Des.* **1998**, *9-11*, 355-380.
- (41) Consonni, V.; Todeschini, R.; Pavan, M. Structure/response correlations and similarity/diversity analysis by GETAWAY descriptors. 1. Theory of the novel 3D molecular descriptors. J. Chem. Inform. Computer Sci. 2002, 42, 682-692.
- (42) Todeschini, R.; Consonni, V. Handbook of Molecular Descriptors: Methods and Principles in Medicinal Chemistry. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2000.
- (43) Kennard, R. W.; Stone, L. A., Computer aided design of experiments. *Technometrics* 1969, 11, 121-134.
- (44) Leardi, R.; Boggia, R.; Terrile, M. Genetic algorithms as a strategy for feature-selection.*J. Chemometrics* 1992, 6, 267-281.
- (45) Todeschini, R.; Consonni, V.; Pavan, M. MOBY DIGS, Version 1.2 for Windows, Software for Multilinear Regression Analysis and Variable Subset Selection by Genetic Algorithm; Talete Srl: Milan, Italy, 2002.
- (46) Tropsha, A.; Gramatica, P.; Gombar, V. K. The importance of being earnest: Validation is the absolute essential for successful application and interpretation of QSPR models. *QSAR Comb. Sci.* 2003, 22, 69-77.



Figure 1. The X-ray crystal structure of V-33.



Figure 2. Plot of experimental and predicted biological activity values (LC₅₀, μ mol/L) of 54 compounds by GA-MLR model for the training and test set.



Figure 3. Williams plot for the training and test set by GA-MLR model with six descriptors. The dotted lines are the 3σ limit and the warning value of hat ($h^* = 0.525$).



Scheme 1. Synthetic Route for the Preparation of IV-1~IV-13.



IV-17: $R^1 = H$, $R^2 = 4$ -Cl, $R^3 = Me$; IV-18: $R^1 = H$, $R^2 = 3$ -NO₂, $R^3 = Me$; IV-19: $R^1 = 6$ -Me, $R^2 = H$, $R^3 = Me$; IV-20: $R^1 = 6$ -Me, $R^2 = 4$ -Me, $R^3 = Me$; IV-21: $R^1 = 6$ -Me, $R^2 = 4$ -Et, $R^3 = Me$; IV-22: $R^1 = 6$ -Me, $R^2 = 4$ -OMe, $R^3 = Me$; IV-23: $R^1 = 6$ -Me, $R^2 = 4$ -Cl, $R^3 = Me$; IV-24: $R^1 = 6$ -Me, $R^2 = 3$ -NO₂, $R^3 = Me$; IV-25: $R^1 = 5$ -CN, $R^2 = H$, $R^3 = Me$; IV-26: $R^1 = 5$ -CN, $R^2 = 4$ -Me, $R^3 = Me$; IV-27: $R^1 = 5$ -CN, $R^2 = 4$ -Cl, $R^3 = Me$; IV-28: $R^1 = 5$ -NO₂, $R^2 = H$, $R^3 = Me$; IV-29: $R^1 = 5$ -NO₂, $R^2 = 4$ -Me, $R^3 = Me$; IV-30: $R^1 = 5$ -NO₂, $R^2 = 4$ -Cl, $R^3 = Me$; IV-31: $R^1 = H$, $R^2 = H$, $R^3 = Et$; IV-32: $R^1 = H$, $R^2 = H$, $R^3 = n$ -pentyl; IV-33: $R^1 = H$, $R^2 = 4$ -Me, $R^3 = n$ -pentyl.

Scheme 2. Synthetic Route for the Preparation of IV-14~IV-33.



Scheme 3. Synthetic Route for the Preparation of V-1~V-54.





compound	R^1	R ²	R ³	R^4	LC ₅₀ (mg/L)
V-1	Н	4-Me	Н	Ph	7.6852
V-2	Н	4-OMe	Н	Ph	6.7337
V-3	Н	4-NHAc	Н	Ph	3.3856
V-4	Н	4-Cl	Н	Ph	14.8897
V-5	Н	3-NO ₂	Н	Ph	6.4652
V-6	Н	3-NO ₂ , 4-Cl	Н	Ph	1.8956
V-7	6-Me	4-Me	Н	Ph	3.0984
V8	6-Me	4-OMe	Н	Ph	4.3049
V-9	6-Me	4-Cl	Н	Ph	10.0989
V-10	6-Me	3-NO ₂	Н	Ph	5.4508
V-11	5-CN	4-Me	Н	Ph	2.4815
V-12	5-CN	3-NO ₂	Н	Ph	1.0969
V-13	5-NO ₂	4-Me	Н	Ph	2.7624
V-14	Н	Н	Me	Ph	2.3985
V-15	Н	Н	Me	(<i>m</i> -Me)Ph	4.5374

Page 37 of 42

V-16	Н	Н	Me	(p-OMe)Ph	3.7563
V-17	Н	Н	Me	(<i>m</i> -Cl)Ph	15.6279
V-18	Н	4-Me	Me	Ph	3.8666
V-19	Н	4-Me	Me	(<i>m</i> -Me)Ph	1.5955
V-20	Н	4-OMe	Me	Ph	1.8840
V-21	Н	4-Cl	Me	Ph	2.9612
V-22	Н	3-NO ₂	Me	Ph	5.0187
V-23	Н	3-NO ₂	Me	(<i>m</i> -Me)Ph	2.9816
V-24	Н	3-NO ₂	Me	(p-OMe)Ph	4.4858
V-25	Н	3-NO ₂	Me	(<i>m</i> -Cl)Ph	4.3052
V-26	Н	3-NO ₂	Me	(p-NO ₂)Ph	6.6147
V-27	6-Me	Н	Me	Ph	1.4112
V-28	6-Me	Н	Me	(p-OMe)Ph	2.9201
V-29	6-Me	Н	Me	(p-NO ₂)Ph	8.8139
V-30	6-Me	4-Me	Me	Ph	4.2817
V-31	6-Me	4-Et	Me	2-thienyl	6.0769
V-32	6-Me	4-Et	Me	3-pyridyl	6.2622
V-33	6-Me	4-Et	Me	(<i>m</i> -Me)Ph	6.7608
V-34	6-Me	4-Et	Me	(p-OMe)Ph	4.8998
V-35	6-Me	4-Et	Me	(<i>m</i> -Cl)Ph	5.9591
V-36	6-Me	4-Et	Me	(p-NO ₂)Ph	10.7303
V-37	6-Me	4-Et	Me	(p-OH)Ph	6.5078

V-38	6-Me	4-OMe	Me	Ph	1.8538
V-39	6-Me	4-C1	Me	Ph	1.2632
V-40	6-Me	3-NO ₂	Me	Ph	4.2262
V-41	6-Me	3-NO ₂	Me	2-thienyl	6.3488
V-42	6-Me	3-NO ₂	Me	3-pyridyl	3.6371
V-43	6-Me	3-NO ₂	Me	(m-Cl)Ph	4.7920
V-44	6-Me	3-NO ₂	Me	(p-NO ₂)Ph	3.2280
V-45	6-Me	3-NO ₂	Me	(<i>p</i> -OH)Ph	2.7766
V-46	5-CN	Н	Me	Ph	5.2533
V-47	5-CN	4-Me	Me	Ph	2.6172
V-48	5-CN	4-C1	Me	Ph	5.7650
V-49	5-NO ₂	Н	Me	Ph	1.8339
V-50	5-NO ₂	4-Me	Me	Ph	3.6960
V-51	5-NO ₂	4-Cl	Me	Ph	5.5885
V-52	Н	Н	Et	Ph	3.7058
V-53	Н	Н	<i>n</i> -pentyl	Ph	6.6758
V-54	Н	4-Me	<i>n</i> -pentyl	Ph	4.7448
emamectin benzoate	/	/	/	/	0.4102

Table 2.	Experimental	and	Predicted	Activity	(LC ₅₀ ,	µmol/L)	by	Developed	QSAR
Model									

number	compound	Status	experimental activity	predicted activity
1	V-1	Training	18.41	15.34
2	V-2	Training	15.53	19.38
3	V-3	Training	7.35	13.07
4	V-4	Training	34.00	28.68
5	V-5	Training	14.42	14.09
6	V-6	Training	3.93	4.98
7	V-7	Test	7.18	7.87
8	V-8	Test	9.62	10.41
9	V-9	Training	22.35	24.93
10	V-10	Test	11.79	8.74
11	V-11	Training	5.61	3.64
12	V-12	Training	2.32	2.3
13	V-13	Training	5.97	5.2
14	V-14	Training	5.75	6.73
15	V-15	Test	10.52	12.3
16	V-16	Training	8.39	8.5
17	V-17	Training	34.58	27.43
18	V-18	Test	8.96	9.11
19	V-19	Training	3.58	8.91

20	V-20	Test	4.21	12.48
21	V-21	Test	6.55	10.99
22	V-22	Test	10.85	7.05
23	V-23	Test	6.26	4.21
24	V-24	Training	9.11	7.6
25	V-25	Training	8.66	12.14
26	V-26	Training	13.03	13.54
27	V-27	Test	3.27	8.04
28	V-28	Training	6.33	6
29	V-29	Training	18.5	19.42
30	V-30	Test	9.61	6.4
31	V-31	Training	13.05	14.64
32	V-32	Test	13.60	11.52
33	V-33	Training	14.28	8.37
34	V-34	Training	10.00	9.15
35	V-35	Training	12.06	15.81
36	V-36	Training	21.27	22.06
37	V-37	Training	13.68	14
38	V-38	Training	4.02	10.48
39	V-39	Training	2.71	7.23
40	V-40	Training	8.87	2.27
41	V-41	Training	13.16	10.84

42	V-42	Training	7.62	7.88
43	V-43	Training	9.38	9.7
44	V-44	Training	6.19	7.43
45	V-45	Training	5.64	5.01
46	V-46	Training	11.87	5.65
47	V-47	Test	5.73	5.67
48	V-48	Training	12.09	8.29
49	V-49	Training	3.97	6.8
50	V-50	Test	7.76	5.46
51	V-51	Training	11.25	9.59
52	V-52	Training	8.59	10.22
53	V-53	Training	14.1	15.54
54	V-54	Training	9.73	8.49

тос

Synthesis and Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship (QSAR) Study of Novel *N*-Arylsulfonyl-3-Acylindole Arylcarbonyl Hydrazone Derivatives as Nematicidal Agents Zhiping Che, Shaoyong Zhang, Yonghua Shao, Lingling Fan, Hui Xu,* Xiang Yu, Xiaoyan Zhi, Xiaojun Yao and Rui Zhang

