Subscriber access provided by Kaohsiung Medical University

Article

O-Glycosylation Enabled by N-(Glycosyloxy)acetamides

Miao Liu, Bo-Han Li, De-Cai Xiong, and Xin-Shan Ye

J. Org. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.8b01003 • Publication Date (Web): 25 Jun 2018

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on June 25, 2018

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

O-Glycosylation Enabled by N-(Glycosyloxy) acetamides

Miao Liu^{†‡}, Bo-Han Li[‡], De-Cai Xiong^{*‡§}, and Xin-Shan Ye^{*†‡}

[†]National Research Center for Carbohydrate Synthesis, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang 330022, China

[‡]State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100191, China

§State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201203, China



ABSTRACT: A novel glycosylation protocol has been established by using N-(glycosyloxy)acetamides as glycosyl donors. The Noxyacetamide leaving group in donors could be rapidly activated in the presence of Cu(OTf)₂ or SnCl₄ under microwave irradiation. This
glycosylation process afforded the coupled products in high yields, and the reaction enjoyed a broad substrate scope, even for disarmed
donors and hindered acceptors. The easy availability of the donors, the high stability of N-(glycosyloxy)acetamides, as well as the small
leaving group, make this method very practical.

■ INTRODUCTION

Oligosaccharides and glycoconjugates play significant roles in a large variety of biological processes.¹ However, due to the limited availability of homogeneous and structurally-defined oligosaccharides and glycoconjugates, the development in the area of glycobiology is hindered. The isolation of oligosaccharides from natural sources is a formidable task because they often exist in highly microheterogeneous forms.² In many cases, chemical synthesis is the major route to obtain this type of compounds. The key reaction in the chemical synthesis of oligosaccharides is the glycosylation reaction.³ Tremendous efforts have been made to develop effective glycosylation methods. Especially, a large variety of glycosyl donors such as glycosyl halides,⁴ thioglycosides,⁵ glycosyl thioimidates,⁶ glycosyl trichloroacetimidates,⁷ glycals,⁸ hemiacetals,⁹ glycosyl phosphates,¹⁰ and alkynyl glycosides¹¹ have been widely used for the assembly of oligosaccharides. Nevertheless, there is no general glycosylation method available and the synthesis of complex oligosaccharides is still difficult. Therefore, the development of new glycosyl donors is highly desirable.

Among various glycosyl donors, there is a class of glycosyl donors which could be activated with the assistance of vicinal exoanomeric-atom or remote functional-substituent on leaving group. Elegant studies on glycosyl donors such as *n*-pentenyl glycosides,¹² *n*-pentenyl esters,¹³ glycosyl benzyl phthalates,¹⁴ alkynoates,¹⁵ glycosyl pentenoates,¹⁶ glycosyl *ortho*-alkynylbenzoates,^{11b} 2-(2-propylsulfinyl)benzyl glycosides,¹⁷ propargyl 1,2-orthoesters,¹⁸ *o*-(*p*-methoxyphenylethynyl)phenyl glycosides,¹⁹ *S*-benzoxazolyl (SBox) glycosides,²⁰ *S*thiazolinyl (STaz) glycosides,²¹ and glycosyl isoquinoline-1-carboxylates,²² were reported. These donors possess auxiliary activation functional groups such as alkenyl, alkynyl, SC=N and heterocyclic substituents, and they could be easily activated by either an electrophilic reaction or a chelating reaction under mild conditions (Scheme 1a). Some of them are compatible with orthogonal or latent-active oligosaccharide synthesis strategy. However, these donors still suffer from some drawbacks such as longer reaction time. Thus, we intend to develop new type of glycosyl donors for glycosylation reactions.

Hydroxamates usually have high affinity to Lewis acids or metal ions as bidentate ligands. Hydroxamate leaving groups have been used in $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ -promoted coupling reaction of mixed acetals with organotrifluoroborates by Bode's group.²³ Inspired by these studies,²⁴ we envisaged that glycosylated hydroxamates might be good glycosyl donors for the construction of glycosidic bonds (Scheme 1b). Herein we describe our investigation on the glycosylation reaction using *N*-hydroxamate as the leaving group.

Scheme 1. Glycosyl donors activated by either an electrophilic reaction or a chelating reaction

a) Previous work:





RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To assess the feasibility of our idea, a systematic survey of substitution patterns on hydroxamate was carried out. For this purpose, galactoside **3** with a phthalimidyl group,²⁵ galactoside **4** with a succinimidyl group,¹⁸ galactoside **5** with a *N*-methylacetamidyl group,^{4a} and galactoside **7** with an acetamidyl group,²⁶ were readily prepared from galactosyl bromide **1** (Scheme 2). With these glycosyl donors in hand, we evaluated the glycosylation reactions of glycosyl acceptor **8** with these donors under different conditions (Table 1, Tables S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information). The glycosyl donors **3** and **4** appeared inert to most of Lewis acids (Table 1, entries 1-4; Table S1), probably due to the low reactivity of anomeric oxygen atom resulting from the introduction of two strong electron-withdrawing carbonyl groups. To our delight, the glycosyl donor **5** with an electron-donating *N*-methyl group could smoothly react with the acceptor **8** in the presence of 1.5 equiv. of SnCl₄ at room temperature (Table 1, entry 5, 80% yield). Increasing the reaction temperature to 40 °C not only shortened the reaction time to 40 min, but also improved the yield of coupled product **9** to 92% (Table 1, entry 6). Under the same reaction conditions, the glycosyl donor **7** with an acetyl substituent also worked well (Table 1, entry 7, 96% yield). This reaction could be accelerated by microwave irradiation (Table 1, entry 19). Moreover, the comparable outcome for the glycosylation of acceptor **8** with donor **7** could also be obtained in the presence of 2.0 equiv. Cu(OTf)₂ under microwave irradiation at 80 °C for one hour (Table 1, entry 15, 95% yield). Thus, both *N*-oxy-*N*-methylacetamide and *N*-oxyacetamide could be used as leaving groups.



60





Table 1. Screening the Leaving Groups^a



| entry | donor | promoter (equiv.) | T (°C) | t (h) | yield $(\%)^b$ |
|----------------------------------|-------|--|--------|--------|-------------------|
| 1 | 3 | BF ₃ •Et ₂ O (1.5) | rt | 8 | N.R. ^c |
| 2 | 3 | TMSOTf(1.5) | rt | 8 | 0 |
| 3 | 4 | BCl ₃ (1.5) | rt | 8 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 | $SnCl_4(1.5)$ | rt | 8 | 0 |
| 5 | 5 | SnCl ₄ (1.5) | rt | 8 | 80 |
| 6 | 5 | SnCl ₄ (1.5) | 40 | 40 min | 92 |
| 7 | 7 | $SnCl_4(1.5)$ | 40 | 40 min | 96 |
| 8 | 7 | SnCl ₄ (0.8) | 40 | 1 | 81 |
| 9 | 7 | $SnCl_2(1.5)$ | 40 | 8 | 0 |
| 10 | 7 | FeCl ₃ (1.5) | 40 | 8 | 38 |
| 11 | 7 | B(C ₆ H ₅) ₃ (0.1) | 40 | 8 | 0 |
| 12 | 7 | Cu(OTf) ₂ (1.0) | rt | 8 | 15 |
| 13 | 7 | Cu(OTf) ₂ (4.0) | rt | 5 | 94 |
| 14^d | 7 | Cu(OTf) ₂ (2.0) | 40 | 2 | 65 |
| 15 ^{<i>d</i>, <i>e</i>} | 7 | Cu(OTf) ₂ (2.0) | 80 | 1 | 95 |
| 16 ^{<i>d</i>,<i>f</i>} | 7 | Cu(OTf) ₂ (2.0) | 80 | 1 | 30 |
| 17 ^{d, g} | 7 | Cu(OTf) ₂ (2.0) | 80 | 1 | 50 |
| 18 ^{<i>d</i>, <i>h</i>} | 7 | Cu(OTf) ₂ (2.0) | 80 | 1 | trace |
| 19^d | 7 | SnCl ₄ (1.5) | 40 | 0.5 | 96 |

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: donor (0.036 mmol), acceptor (0.026 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL), under argon atmosphere. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}N.R.: no reaction was detected. ^{*d*}Microwave irradiation (100 W). ^{*e*}ClCH₂CH₂Cl (1.0 mL). ^{*f*}Et₂O (1.0 mL). ^{*g*}Toluene (1.0 mL). ^{*b*}CH₃CN (1.0 mL).

Since the suitable leaving groups were identified, we then turned our attentions to check the glycosylation substrate scope of the *N*-oxy-*N*-methylacetamide leaving group (Table 2). Considering that the glycosylation reaction of the acceptor **8** with the disarmed donor **5** proceeded smoothly in high yield, the coupling reaction of disarmed donor **5** with hindered acceptor **10** was examined firstly. Yet the desired disaccharide **11** was obtained in 33% yield (Table 2, entry 1), accompanying the galactosyl chloride as the main by-product. The reaction outcome of disarmed donors (**5**, **6**) and secondary alcohol **12** with a free hydroxyl at the C-3 position was still unsatisfactory (Ta-

ble 2, entries 2- 3), and the generated glycosyl chlorides did not continue to undergo the glycosylation at all. Besides, disaccharide **13** was also obtained in poor yield with the use of microwave irradiation (20%, Table 2, entry 2). Gratifyingly, the glucosyl donor **6** coupled with the acceptor **8** to afford the disaccharide **15** in 98% yield (Table 2, entry 4). Thus, the *N*-oxy-*N*-methylacetamide leaving group-containing donors might be suitable for the glycosylation of acceptors with high nucleophilicity.

Table 2. The Coupling Reaction of N-Methylacetamidyl Glycosides^a





^aReaction conditions: donor (0.036 mmol), acceptor (0.026 mmol), SnCl₄ (0.039 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL), under argon atmosphere. ^bIsolated yield. ^cMicrowave (100 W, 40 °C)

Scheme 3. Synthesis of the donors of acetamidyl glycosides



ACS Paragon Plus Environment

The Journal of Organic Chemistry



In view of the limited substrate scope, we next explored the glycosylation using glycosyl donors with *N*-oxyacetamide leaving group (Table 3). As shown in Scheme 3, various disarmed donors **17**, **20**, **23**, **26** were able to be readily prepared from the corresponding glycosyl bromides²⁷ via phthalimidyl glycosides. Compounds **29-30** were obtained from compounds **27-28** by Mitsunobu reaction,²⁸ which were transformed to armed donors **31-32** smoothly. The compound **34** was prepared from thiogalactoside **33**,²⁹ which was further converted to donor **35**. It is noteworthy that these donors were found to be very stable at room temperature for more than one year without noticeable decomposition. With all the glycosyl donors in hand, the glycosylation reaction was carried out. Under the promotion of 2.0 equiv. Cu(OTf)₂, the coupling reaction of the disarmed galactosyl donor **7** with acceptors **12**, **36** and **38** afforded the corresponding disaccharides **13**, **37** and **39** in 90%, 90% and 92% yields, respectively (Table 3, entries 2-4). Similarly, donor **7** could also react with acceptor **10** having a free hydroxyl group at the C-4 position, to produce disaccharide **11** in 75% yield (Table 3, entry 1). The coupling reaction of **7** with the hindered acceptors **40** and **42** also furnished the corresponding products **41** and **43** in 89% and 95% yields, respectively (Table **3**, entries **5** and 6). Moreover, the glycosylation of the thioglycosyl acceptor **44** with the disarmed glycosyl donor **17** provided the desired disaccharide **45** (Table **3**, entry **7**), implying that the *N*-(glycosyloxy)acetamides could be probably applied to the orthogonal glycosylation strategy. The glycosyl coupling reaction of the benzoylated rhamnosyl, and ribosyl donors gave the desired disaccharides in high yields as well (Table **3**, entries 10-15).

To further expand the substrate scope, this glycosylation method was applied to the armed donors. To our disappointment, only 50% yield of disaccharide **52** was obtained in the coupling reaction of donor **31** with **8** when using 1.5 equiv. of $SnCl_4$ as a promoter. In contrast, the use of $Cu(OTf)_2$ (2.0 equiv.) as a promoter produced compound **52** in 89% yield. To our surprise, decreasing the amount of $SnCl_4$ to 0.5 equiv. led to the formation of product **52** in excellent yield (97%, Table 3, entry 16). However, further decrease in the quantity of $SnCl_4$ gave the inferior result (Table S3 in Supporting Information). In the same conditions, the donor **31** reacted smoothly with acceptors **10** and **12** to give the corresponding glycosylation products **53** and **54** in 85% and 90% yields, respectively (Table 3, entries 17 and 18). Notably, the glycosylation of the acid-sensitive acceptor **57** with donor **32** produced disaccharide **58** in 78% yield (Table 3, entry 21). The aminosugar donor **35** also worked in the glycosylation reaction (Table 3, entries 23 and 24). The glycosylation yields obtained by using our donor are comparable/superior to the published data obtained by using trichloroacetimidate or thioglycoside donors, such as 88% vs 80% (using the trichloroacetimidate donor) yield of **56**,³⁰ and 85% vs 69% (using the thioglycoside donor **33**) yield of **60**.³¹

Table 3. The Substrate Scope of the Glycosylation Reaction^a

 $\mathsf{PGO} \underbrace{\longrightarrow}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathsf{O}} \mathsf{O}_{\mathsf{N}} \overset{\mathsf{O}}{\longrightarrow} + \mathsf{ROH} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Cu}(\mathsf{OTf})_2 \text{ or } \mathsf{SnCl}_4}_{\mathsf{ClCH}_2\mathsf{Cl}, 4 \text{Å } \mathsf{MS}} \mathsf{PGO} \underbrace{\longrightarrow}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathsf{O}} \mathsf{OR}$

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

| entry | glycosyl donor | glycosyl acceptor | promoter | product | yield ^b |
|-------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 7 | 10 | Cu(OTf) ₂ | 11 | 75% ^c |
| | | | 0 (070 | | 00010 |
| 2 | 7 | 12 | Cu(Off) ₂ | 13 | 90% |
| | | BnO | SnCl ₄ | BnO | 95% ^d |
| 3 | 7 | BnO | | BrO OBZBnO | |
| | | HOOCH | Cu(OTf) ₂ | | 90% ^c |
| | | 36 00113 | | BZO | |
| | | | | 37 | |
| 4 | 7 | <i>)</i> , | Cu(OTf) ₂ | BzO OBz | 92% ^c |
| | | HO | SnCl ₄ | BZO | 90% ^d |
| | | 38 | | BzÖ | |
| | | | | BZO OBZ | |
| 5 | 7 | | Cu(OTf) ₂ | | 89% ^c |
| Ũ | | | SnCl ₄ | BzO | 89% ^d |
| | | ∫ (Ĥ) Ĥ | | 41 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | OH | | | |
| | | \square | Cu(OTf) ₂ | | 95% ^c |
| 6 | 7 | | SpCl | BZO | oowd |
| | | 42 | 311014 | 43 | 93%" |
| _ | | | | OBZ | |
| 7 | 17 | BZO STOI | | BZO | |
| | | BZO 44 | SnCl ₄ | BZOBZO BZO STol | 65% ^d |
| | | | | 45 BzÒ | |
| | | | 0 (070 | | 000/0 |
| 8 | 17 | 8 | Cu(OTt) ₂ | 15 | 90%° |
| | | | SnCl ₄ | | 91% ^d |
| 9 | 17 | 12 | C(OT6) | 14 | |
| 0 | | 12 | Cu(OTI) ₂ | | 82%° |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Cu(OTf)~ | O Bn | 93% ^c |
| 10 | 20 | 8 | 0-01 | BZO BZO | 4 |
| | | | SnCl ₄ | BzO OBz | 93% ^a |
| | | | | 46 | |
| | | | | | |

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

| entry | glycosyl donor | glycosyl acceptor | promoter | product | yield ^b |
|-------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 11 | 20 | 10 | Cu(OTf) ₂ | BnO | 85% ^c |
| | | | | Bno | |
| | | | | BzO BnO OCH ₃ | |
| | | | | BZO OBz 47 | |
| | | | | BZO OBZ | |
| 12 | 23 | 10 | Cu(OTf) ₂ | BzO | 80% ^c |
| | | | | BnO | |
| | | | | BzO OBz BrÒ OCH₃ | |
| | | | | BzO BzO OBn | |
| 13 | 23 | 12 | Cu(OTf) ₂ | BnO | 90% ^c |
| | | | | 49 BnO OCH3 | |
| | | | Cu(OTf)2 | BzO O | 94% ^c |
| 14 | 26 | 8 | | BnO BnO | |
| | | | SnCl ₄ | BzÓ ÓBz BnÒ ÓCH₃ | 90% ^d |
| | | | | BnO~ | |
| | | | | BzO | |
| 15 | 26 | 10 | Cu(OTf) ₂ | BnO OCH | 65% ^c |
| | | | | BzO OBz 51 | |
| | | | | BnOOBn | |
| 16 | 24 | 0 | Cu(OTf) ₂ | BnO | 89% ^c |
| 10 | 31 | o | SnCl₄ | BnO BnO | $0.706^{0} \sim 10 - 2.1$ |
| | | | | 52 BnO OCH3 | 9770, 00p - 2.1 |
| | | | 0-0 | BnO OBn | _ |
| 17 | 31 | 10 | SnCl ₄ | BnO | 85% ^e |
| | | | Cu(OTf) ₂ | 53 BnO OCH ₂ | 83% ^{<i>f</i>} , α/β = 4:1 |
| | | | | | |
| 18 | 31 | 12 | SnCl ₄ | | 90% ^e |
| | | | Cu(OTf) ₂ | BnO BnO 54 BnO OCH3 | 85% ^{<i>f</i>} , α/β = 4:1 |
| | | | | | |
| 19 | 31 | 38 | Cu(OTf) ₂ | | $87\%^{\circ}$, $\alpha/\beta = 1:1$ |
| | | | | BnO | ,, |
| | | | | OBn | |
| 20 | 32 | 8 | | Bno | |
| 20 | 01 | Ū | Cu(OTt) ₂ | BnO BnO | 88% ^{<i>c</i>} , $\alpha/\beta = 2:1$ |
| | | | | | |
| | | , O OH | | OBn | |
| 21 | 32 | The second | SpCl | Bno | 799/ ^e /0 - 2·1 |
| 21 | 02 | | 3104 | BnO O | $70\%^{-}, \alpha/\beta = 2.1$ |
| | | 57 ~\ | | | |
| | | | | 58 × | |
| 22 | 20 | 10 | 0 (070 | | $750/f \sim 10 - 1.1$ |
| 22 | 52 | 10 | Cu(OTf) ₂ | BnO BnO BnO | 75%, ddp = 1.1 |
| | | | | 59 BnO OCH ₃ | |
| 23 | 35 | 8 | 8-0 | | 800%e |
| 20 | | Ŭ | 311 01 4 | BnO N3BnO O | 0070 |
| | | | Cu(OTf) ₂ | BnO | 85% ^g , α/β = 1:1 |
| | | | | | |
| ~ 4 | a- | | 0 /077 | BnQ | _ |
| 24 | 35 | 10 | Cu(OTf) ₂ | N ₃ BnO | 61% ^g , α/β = 4:1 |
| | | | | 61 OCH ₃ | |

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: donor (0.036 mmol), acceptor (0.026 mmol), promoter, solvent (1.0 mL), under argon atmosphere. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}Cu(OTf)₂ (0.052 mmol), microwave (100 W, 80 °C), 1-2 h. ^{*d*}SnCl₄ (0.039 mmol), microwave (100 W, 40 °C), 30 min. ^{*e*}SnCl₄ (0.013 mmol), microwave (100 W, 40 °C), 30 min. ^{*f*}Cu(OTf)₂ (0.104 mmol), dry CH₂Cl₂, rt, 5-8 h. ^{*g*}Cu(OTf)₂ (0.104 mmol), 50 °C, 5-8 h.

Interestingly, it was found that the leaving group could be detected in a very small amount after the simple filtration (see the Supporting Information), which would facilitate the separation. Finally, this protocol presents a great potential for the large-scale preparation. The reaction of the disarmed glycosyl donor 7 with glycosyl acceptor **40** was performed under the optimized conditions. A gram-scale glycoside **41** was obtained in 85% isolated yield, which is almost consistent with the yield of the small-scale reaction. This further proves the practicality of the glycosylation protocol (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Gram-Scale Synthesis of Compound 41



CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have systematically examined the feasibility that hydroxamates are used as the leaving groups of glycosylation reactions. A survey of substitution patterns on hydroxamate showed that the *N*-oxy-*N*-methylacetamide and *N*-oxyacetamide could be used as the leaving groups. The *N*-oxy-*N*-methylacetamidyl-containing glycosides are suitable donors for the glycosylation of acceptors with high nucleophilicity. The glycosylation using the *N*-oxyacetamidyl-containing glycosides as donors can rapidly afford the coupled products in high yields in the presence of $Cu(OTf)_2$ or $SnCl_4$ under microwave irradiation. These new glycosyl donors are stable and can be conveniently prepared from the corresponding phthalimidyl glycosides via hydrazinolysis and subsequent acetylation. The leaving group in glycosyl donors could be precipitated from the reaction mixture, facilitating the subsequent separation. Moreover, this glycosylation process is able to be used in the gram-scale synthesis. Thus, *N*-(glycosyloxy)acetamides are novel and practical glycosyl donors which provides an alternative method for complex oligosaccharide synthesis.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. All reagents and solvents were dried commonly before use according to standard methods. CH_2Cl_2 was distilled over CaH_2 , THF and toluene were distilled over sodium. Commercial reagents were used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Reactions were monitored by analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel-coated aluminium plates (60 F₂₅₄). Spots were detected under UV Light (254 nm) and charring with a solution of $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}\bullet4H_2O$ (24.00 g, 19.4 mmol) and $Ce(NH_4)_2(NO_3)_6$ (0.50 g, 0.90 mmol) in sulfuric acid (5%, 500 mL). Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (200-300 mesh). The microwave reaction was carried out in a sealed microwave tube with Discover SP Microwave Synthesizer (CEM), and the reaction temperature was measured by an external infrared sensor. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature in CDCl₃ with TMS ($\delta = 0$ ppm) as internal standard. ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained by using the same NMR spectrometer and were calibrated with CDCl₃ ($\delta =$ 77.00 ppm). The following standard abbreviations are used to indicate multiplicity: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m =

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

multiplet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets, and br = broad. High resolution mass spectra were performed on a mass spectrometer (Q-TOF). Melting points were measured using a WRS-2A microcomputer melting point apparatus.

Preparation of Glycosyl Donors:

Phthalimidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (3). In an oven-dried flask, compound 1 (1.22 mmol, 0.80 g), *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (8.54 mmol, 1.39 g), CH₂Cl₂ (9.6 mL) and 1M Na₂CO₃ solution (9.6 mL) were added. Then Bu₄NHSO₄ (1.22 mmol, 0.41 g) was added with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature and the reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion (~4 h), the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ for three times and the organic phases were combined, washed with water, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether: ethyl acetate = 4: 1, v/v) to afford **3** as a white solid (0.77 g, 86%). The ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data for **3** are in accordance with those reported previously.¹⁸

Succinimidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl- β -D-galactopyranoside (4). In an oven-dried flask, compound 1 (1.22 mmol, 0.80 g), *N*-hydroxysuccinimide (8.54 mmol, 0.98 g), CH₂Cl₂ (9.6 mL) and 1M Na₂CO₃ solution (9.6 mL) were added. Then Bu₄NHSO₄ (1.22 mmol, 0.41 g) was added with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature and the reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion (~5 h), The mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ for three times and the organic phases were combined, washed with water, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether: ethyl acetate = 3: 1, v/v) to afford **4** as a white solid (0.68 g, 81%). The ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data for **4** are in accordance with those reported previously.¹⁸

N-Methylacetamidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (5). An oven-dried flask fitted with a magnetic stir-bar was charged with compound 1 (0.61 mmol, 0.40 g), *N*-hydroxy-*N*-methylacetamide (1.22 mmol, 0.11 g), activated 4Å molecular sieves (0.50 g), and CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After cooling to 0 °C, AgOTf (1.22 mmol, 0.32 g) dissolved in dry toluene (0.6 mL) was added. The reaction was warmed up, stirred at room temperature and monitored by TLC. After completion (~6 h), the reaction was quenched with triethylamine (0.3 mL), then filtered through Celite and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether: ethyl acetate = 3: 1, v/v) to afford **5** as a white solid (0.24 g, 59%). mp: 80.3 – 80.8 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.13 – 7.24 (m, 20H), 6.07 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.92 (dd, *J* = 9.9, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (dd, *J* = 11.3, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (dd, *J* = 11.4, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.3, 166.0, 165.5, 165.4, 165.1, 133.8, 133.7, 133.5, 133.4, 130.0, 129.8, 129.7 (×2), 129.3, 128.9, 128.7 (×2), 128.6 (×2), 128.4, 105.4, 72.1, 71.6, 68.1, 68.0, 62.1, 36.7, 21.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₃₇H₃₄NO₁₁ [M+H]⁺ 668.2126, found 668.2128.

N-Methylacetamidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (6). The same procedure for the synthesis of **5** was applied, yielding **6** (0.28 g, 70%) as a white solid. mp: 77.9 – 78.8 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.06 – 7.78 (m, 8H), 7.60 – 7.23 (m, 12H), 5.96 (t, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (t, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 5.62 (t, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (dd, J = 12.2, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (dd, J = 12.2, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.26 – 4.17 (m, 1H), 3.22 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.0, 165.7, 165.2, 164.9, 133.7, 133.6, 133.4, 133.3, 129.9, 129.8, 129.7 (×2), 129.4, 128.6 (×2), 128.5 (×3), 128.4, 104.8, 72.9, 72.6, 70.2, 69.2, 62.6, 36.6, 20.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₃₇H₃₄NO₁₁ [M+H]⁺ 668.2126, found 668.2133.

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

Acetamidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (7). To a solution of 3 (1.21 mmol, 0.90 g) in ethanol (35 mL) was added slowly 85% hydrazine hydrate (1.44 mmol, 84 µL), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature and the reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion (~4 h), DMAP (0.24 mmol, 0.03 g) and Ac₂O (6.06 mmol, 0.57 mL) were added at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred for another 4 h. Then the reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with sat. NaHCO₃ solution and sat. NaCl solution, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether: ethyl acetate = 1: 1, v/v) to afford 7 as a white solid (0.66 g, 83% yield over 2 steps). mp: 179.5 – 179.9 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08 – 7.22 (m, 20H), 6.03 (s, 1H), 5.87 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (d, *J* = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (br s, 1H), 4.70 (br s, 1H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 1.99 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.0, 165.5, 165.4, 133.8, 133.6, 133.5, 133.4, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.3, 128.9, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 103.9, 72.0, 71.4, 68.0, 67.9, 61.9, 20.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₃₆H₃₂NO₁₁ [M+H]⁺ 654.1970, found 654.1996.

Phthalimidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (16). The same procedure for the synthesis of **3** was applied, yielding **16** (0.64 g, 71%) as a white solid. The ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data for **16** are in accordance with those reported previously.¹⁸

Acetamidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (17). Glycosyl donor 17 were prepared according to the procedure for the preparation of 7 (0.62 g, 79%) as a white solid. mp: 94.3 – 95.8 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (br s, 1H), 8.06– 7.28 (m, 20H), 6.00 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 5.75 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 5.64 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (br s, 1H), 4.72 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (dd, J = 12.2, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.30 – 4.21 (m, 1H), 1.98 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.1, 165.7, 165.1, 133.6, 133.4, 133.3, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.4, 128.6, 128.5 (×2), 128.4, 103.4, 72.9, 72.5, 70.1, 69.1, 62.7, 20.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₃₆H₃₂NO₁₁ [M+H]⁺ 654.1970, found 654.1983.

Phthalimidyl 2,3,4,-tri-*O*-benzoyl-*α*-L-rhamnopyranoside (19). An oven-dried flask fitted with a magnetic stir-bar was charged with compound 18 (0.61 mmol, 0.33 g), *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (1.22 mmol, 0.20 g), activated 4 Å molecular sieves (0.50 g), and CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) under an argon atmosphere, After cooling to 0 °C, AgOTf (1.22 mmol, 0.32 g) dissolved in dry toluene (0.6 mL) was add-ed. The reaction was warmed up, stirred at room temperature and monitored by TLC. After completion (~4 h), the reaction was quenched with triethylamine (0.3 mL), then filtered through Celite and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether: ethyl acetate = 3: 1, v/v) to afford 19 as a white solid (0.31 g, 81%). mp: 102.3 – 103.8 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.14 – 7.23 (m, 19H), 6.17 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (td, *J* = 10.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.67 (s, 1H), 5.22 – 5.08 (m, 1H), 1.43 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.8, 165.3, 165.1, 163.1, 134.7, 133.6, 133.4, 133.2, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.2, 129.1 (×2), 128.9, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 123.8, 102.7, 71.0, 69.6, 69.3, 68.6, 17.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₃₅H_{31N2}O₁₀ [M+NH4]⁺ 639.1973, found 639.1984.

Acetamidyl 2,3,4,-tri-*O*-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (20). Glycosyl donor 20 was prepared according to the procedure for the preparation of 7 (0.53 g, 82% yield over 2 steps) as a white solid. mp: 83.4 – 84.1 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.47 (s, 1H), 8.06 – 7.24 (m, 15H), 5.87 – 5.75 (m, 2H), 5.70 (t, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 5.31 (s, 1H), 4.78 (br s, 1H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 1.39 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.8, 165.5 (×2), 133.6, 133.4, 133.2, 130.0, 129.8, 129.7, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 102.1, 71.2, 69.8, 69.0, 68.6, 20.0, 17.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₉H₃₁N₂O₉ [M+NH₄]⁺ 551.2024, found 551.2031.

Phthalimidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O***-benzoyl-** α **-D-mannopyranoside (22).** The same procedure for the synthesis of 19 was applied, yielding 22 (0.36 g, 79%) as a white solid. The ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data for 22 are in accordance with those reported previously.¹⁸

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Acetamidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-*a*-D-mannopyranoside (23). Glycosyl donor 23 was prepared according to the procedure for the preparation of 7 (0.63 g, 79% yield over 2 steps) as a white solid. mp: 183.6 – 184.5 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.30 (s, 1H), 8.17 – 7.27 (m, 20H), 6.23 (t, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (d, *J* = 10.5 Hz, 2H), 5.43 (s, 1H), 5.31 (s, 1H), 4.81 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 2.01 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.3, 165.9, 165.4, 165.3, 133.5, 133.4, 133.1, 129.9, 129.8 (×2), 128.8, 128.5 (×3), 128.4, 101.9, 77.3, 70.4, 68.5, 66.1, 62.5, 19.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₃₆H₃₂NO₁₁ [M+H]⁺ 654.1970, found 654.1974.

Phthalimidyl 2,3,5-tri-*O*-benzoyl- β -D-ribofuranoside (25). The same procedure for the synthesis of 19 was applied, yielding 25 (0.30 g, 82%) as a white solid. The ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data for 25 are in accordance with those reported previously.¹⁸

Acetamidyl 2,3,5-tri-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-ribofuranoside (26). Glycosyl donor 26 was prepared according to the procedure for the preparation of 7 (0.43 g, 83% yield over 2 steps) as a white solid. mp: 70.5 – 71.2 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.66 (br s, 1H), 8.11 – 7.23 (m, 15H), 5.95 (br s, 1H), 5.75 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.61 (s, 1H), 5.05 (br s, 1H), 4.75 – 4.60 (m, 2H), 1.99 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.4, 165.5, 164.9, 133.7, 133.6, 129.9 (×2), 129.8, 129.4, 128.9, 128.6 (×2), 128.4, 108.2, 81.8, 73.7, 72.2, 65.7, 20.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₈H₂₆NO₉ [M+H]⁺ 520.1602, found 520.1615.

Phthalimidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O***-benzyl-D-galactopyranoside (29).** In an oven-dried flask, compound **27** (1.90 mmol, 1.03 g), *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (2.30 mmol, 0.37 g) and PPh₃ (2.30 mmol, 0.60 g) were added and dissolved in dry THF (10 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After the mixture was cooled to 0 °C, diethyl azodicarboxylate (2.30 mmol, 0.5 mL) was added dropwise. Afterwards, the reaction was warmed up and stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction was quenched with sat. NaHCO₃ solution. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with sat. NaCl solution , dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether: ethyl acetate = 4: 1, v/v) to afford **29** as a white solid (0.77 g, 59%, α/β = 3: 1). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80 – 7.76 (m, 3H), 7.68 – 7.65 (m, 3H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 3H), 7.43 – 7.15 (m, 30H), 5.67 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, *J* = 10.6 Hz, 0.38H), 5.06 (dd, *J* = 13.1, 9.6 Hz, 1.41H), 5.00 – 4.86 (m, 3.76H), 4.86 – 4.73 (m, 3.18H), 4.69 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 0.55H), 4.61 (d, *J* = 11.3 Hz, 1.6H), 4.51 (dd, *J* = 27.5, 11.9 Hz, 2.32H), 4.43 – 4.36 (m, 1H), 4.29 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.15-4.11 (m, 2.69H), 3.86 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 0.4H), 3.69 – 3.51 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.5, 162.9, 138.8, 138.7 (×2), 138.6, 138.5, 138.4, 138.1, 134.5, 129.1, 129.0, 128.6, 128.5 (×2), 128.4 (×3), 128.3 (×2), 128.0, 127.8 (×2), 127.7 (×2), 127.6 (×2), 123.7, 123.6, 108.5, 102.7, 82.0, 78.3, 78.0, 75.5, 75.4, 75.1 (×2), 74.7 (×2), 73.6 (×2), 73.5 (×2), 73.3, 72.9, 71.1, 68.9, 68.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₄₂H₄₃N₂O₈ [M+NH₄][†] 703.3014, found 703.3022.

Phthalimidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-glucopyranoside (30). The same procedure for the synthesis of 29 was applied, yielding 30 (0.91 g, 70%, α/β = 2: 1) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.87 – 7.78 (m, 3H), 7.75 – 7.68 (m, 3H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 18.6, 7.2 Hz, 3H), 7.41 – 7.13 (m, 28H), 5.62 (d, *J* = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, *J* = 10.6 Hz, 0.5H), 5.11 (t, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1.5H), 5.03 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 0.5H), 4.90 – 4.69 (m, 5.5H), 4.65 – 4.50 (m, 3.5H), 4.40 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (t, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (dd, *J* = 10.9, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 3.85 – 3.60 (m, 5.5H), 3.59 – 3.48 (m, 0.5H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.4, 163.0, 138.8, 138.5 (×2), 138.4, 138.1, 138.0 (×2), 137.7, 134.6, 129.0 (×2), 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4 (×2), 128.3, 128.1 (×2), 128.0 (×2), 127.9, 127.7 (×2), 127.6, 127.5, 123.7, 123.6, 107.7, 102.4, 84.4, 81.5, 80.6, 78.7, 76.0, 75.9, 75.7, 75.2, 75.1, 75.0, 73.6, 73.5, 72.8, 72.4, 68.9, 68.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₄₂H₄₃N₂O₈ [M+NH₄]⁺ 703.3014, found 703.3011.

Acetamidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-galactopyranoside (31). To a solution of 29 (0.24 mmol, 0.17 g) in methanol (10 mL) was added slowly 85% hydrazine hydrate (0.72 mmol, 42 µL). The reaction was stirred at room temperature and monitored by TLC. After completion (~1 h), the mixture was concentrated. Then crude product was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL) and 1M K₂CO₃ solution (8 mL), AcCl (3.70 mmol, 266 µL) dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added slowly at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature and the reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion (~2 h), the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ for three times and the organic phases were combined, washed with water, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether: ethyl acetate = 2: 1, v/v) to afford **31** as yellow oil (119 mg, 83% yield over 2 steps, α/β = 2: 1). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.46 (br s, 1H), 7.54 – 7.16 (m, 20H), 5.23 (br s, 0.72H), 5.09 – 4.63 (m, 5.64H), 4.57 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (s, 1H), 4.14 (dd, *J* = 10.2, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (s, 2.41H), 3.59 – 3.42 (m, 2H), 2.11 (s, 1H), 1.85 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.8, 138.4, 138.2, 138.0, 137.4 (×2), 128.2 (×2), 128.1, 127.7 (×2), 127.5, 127.3 (×2), 101.4, 78.1, 75.3, 74.8, 74.6, 73.3, 73.0, 72.8, 70.6, 69.1, 19.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₃₆H₄₃N₂O₇ [M+NH₄]⁺ 615.3065, found 615.3082.

Acetamidyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-glucopyranoside (32). Glycosyl donor 32 was prepared according to the procedure for the preparation of 31 (0.12 g, 84% yield over 2 steps, $\alpha/\beta = 2$: 1) as yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.19 (br s, 1H), 7.42 – 7.23 (m, 17.45H), 7.14 – 7.12 (m, 1.88H), 5.21 (br s, 0.73H), 4.97 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.92 – 4.74 (m, 3.61H), 4.56 (d, *J* = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (dd, *J* = 11.5, 4.4 Hz, 1.83H), 4.06 (br s, 0.65H), 3.96 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.70 – 3.50 (m, 3.84H), 1.91 (s, 2H), 1.70 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.1, 138.7, 138.2, 137.9, 137.7, 128.5 (×2), 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 101.0, 81.5, 78.9, 77.4, 75.7, 75.1, 73.6, 72.8, 71.9, 68.8, 19.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₃₆H₄₃N₂O₇ [M+NH₄]⁺ 615.3065, found 615.3070.

Phthalimidyl 2-azido-2-deoxy-3,4,6-tri-*O***-benzyl-D-galactopyranoside (34).** An oven-dried flask fitted with a magnetic stirbar was charged with **33** (1.27 mmol, 0.74 g), *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (6.13 mmol, 1.00 g), diphenyl sulfoxide (0.89 mmol, 0.18 g), activated 4Å molecular sieves (0.80 g), and CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After cooling to -78 °C, Tf₂O (0.76 mmol, 128 µL) was added dropwise. The reaction was warmed up slowly and monitored by TLC. After completion (~6 h), the reaction was quenched with triethylamine (200 µL), then filtered with Celite and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petroleum ether: ethyl acetate = 3: 1, v/v) to afford **34** as a pale yellow oil (0.59 g, 75%). For the α-isomer: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.87 – 7.76 (m, 4H), 7.46 – 7.29 (m, 15H), 5.55 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.94 – 4.90 (m, 2H), 4.83 – 4.74 (m, 2H), 4.60 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 2H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.25 – 4.19 (m, 2H), 4.15 (dd, *J* = 11.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 5.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.2, 138.3, 138.2, 137.5, 134.6, 128.9, 128.6, 128.4 (×2), 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8 (×2), 127.7, 123.7, 103.5, 77.0, 75.1, 73.4, 73.2, 72.4, 71.3, 68.0, 59.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₃₅H₃₃N₄O₇ [M+H]⁺ 621.2344, found 621.2345.

Acetamidyl 2-azido-2-deoxy-3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-D-galactopyranoside (35). Glycosyl donor 35 was prepared according to the procedure for the preparation of 31 (0.10 g, 80% yield over 2 steps, $\alpha/\beta = 2$: 1) as yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.65 (br s, 1H), 7.40 – 7.23 (m, 15H), 5.13 (br s, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.76 – 4.65 (m, 2H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 2H), 4.46 – 4.25 (m, 2H), 4.07 (dd, J = 10.7, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 1H), 3.94 (br s, 1H), 3.56 (dt, J = 16.0, 9.2 Hz, 2H), 2.16 (s, 1H), 1.88 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.3, 138.1, 137.7, 137.4, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0 (×2), 127.9 (×2), 102.3, 77.3, 74.9, 73.6, 73.4, 72.3, 71.1, 68.9, 59.1, 19.8; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₉H₃₃N₄O₆ [M+H]⁺ 533.2395, found 533.2399.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

General Glycosylation Procedures:

General procedure A. An oven-dried flask fitted with a magnetic stir-bar was charged with donor (1.4 equiv., 0.036 mmol), acceptor (0.026 mmol), activated 4 Å molecular sieves (100 mg) and CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After cooling to 0 °C, SnCl₄ (1.5 equiv., 0.039 mmol) was added, then the mixture was stirred at 40 °C for 40 min. After completion, the reaction was quenched with triethylamine (5.0 µL), then filtered through Celite and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to give the desired product.

General procedure B. A dried microwave tube fitted with a magnetic stir-bar was charged with donor (1.4 equiv., 0.036 mmol), acceptor (0.026 mmol), activated 4Å molecular sieves (100 mg), and $ClCH_2CH_2Cl$ (1 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After stirring for 20 min, $Cu(OTf)_2$ (2.0 equiv., 0.052 mmol) was added at 0 °C, then put into themicrowave reactor at 80 °C for 1-2 h. After completion, the reaction mixture was quenched with triethylamine (0.1 mL) and the solids were filtered off through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to give the desired product.

General procedure C. A dried microwave tube fitted with a magnetic stir-bar was charged with donor (1.4 equiv., 0.036 mmol), acceptor (0.026 mmol), activated 4Å molecular sieves (100 mg), and $ClCH_2CH_2Cl$ (1 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After stirring for 20 min, $SnCl_4$ (1.5 equiv., 0.039 mmol) was added dropwise at 0 °C, then put into the microwave reactor at 40 °C for 30 min. After completion, the reaction was quenched with triethylamine (0.1 mL) and the solids were filtered off through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to give the desired product.

General procedure D. A dried microwave tube fitted with a magnetic stir-bar was charged with donor (1.4 equiv., 0.036 mmol), acceptor (0.026 mmol), activated 4Å molecular sieves (100 mg), and $ClCH_2CH_2Cl$ (1 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After stirring for 20 min, $SnCl_4$ (0.5 equiv., 0.013 mmol) was added dropwise at 0 °C, then put into the microwave reactor at 40 °C for 30 min. After completion, the reaction was quenched with triethylamine (0.1 mL) and the solids were filtered off through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to give the desired product.

General procedure E. An oven-dried flask fitted with a magnetic stir-bar was charged with donor (1.4 equiv., 0.036 mmol), acceptor (0.026 mmol), activated 4Å molecular sieves (100 mg), and CH_2Cl_2 (1 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After stirring for 20 min, $Cu(OTf)_2$ (4.0 equiv., 0.10 mmol) was added at 0 °C, then the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5-8 h. After completion, the reaction was quenched with triethylamine (0.1 mL) and the solids were filtered off through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to give the desired product.

General procedure F. An oven-dried flask fitted with a magnetic stir-bar was charged with donor (1.4 equiv., 0.036 mmol), acceptor (0.026 mmol), activated 4Å molecular sieves (100 mg), and $ClCH_2CH_2Cl_2$ (1 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After stirring for 20 min, $Cu(OTf)_2$ (4.0 equiv., 0.10 mmol) was added at 0 °C, then the mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 8 h. After completion, the reaction was quenched with triethylamine (0.1 mL) and the solids were filtered off through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to give the desired product.

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl-6-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranosyl)-α-D-glucopyranoside (9). The reaction of donor **5** (25.0 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor **8** (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure A, affording **9** (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 24.8 mg, 92% yield); The reaction of donor **7** (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor **8** (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording **9** (25.7 mg, 95% yield); The reaction of donor **7** (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor **8** (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording **9** (25.7 mg, 95% yield); The reaction of donor **7** (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor **8** (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure C, affording **9** (25.9 mg, 96% yield). The ¹H NMR data for **9** are in accordance with those reported previously.³²

Methyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-4-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranosyl)-α-D-glucopyranoside (11). The reaction of donor 5 (25.0 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 10 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure A, affording 11 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 8.9 mg, 33% yield); The reaction of donor 7 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 10 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 11 (20.2 mg, 75% yield). The ¹H NMR data for 11 are in accordance with those reported previously.³³

Methyl 2,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-3-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranosyl)-*α*-D-glucopyranoside (13). The reaction of donor 5 (25.0 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 12 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure A, affording 13 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 7.8 mg, 29% yield); The reaction of donor 5 (25.0 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 12 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure C, affording 13 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 5.4 mg, 20% yield); The reaction of donor 7 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 12 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure 12 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure 12 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure C, affording 13 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 12 (12.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 12 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure C, affording 13 (25.7 mg, 95% yield). The ¹H NMR data for 13 are in accordance with those reported previously.³⁴

Methyl 2,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-3-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-α-D-glucopyranoside (14). The reaction of donor 6 (25.0 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 12 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure A, affording 14 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 4: 1 (v/v); 8.7 mg, 32% yield); The reaction of donor 17 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 12 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 14 (22.2 mg, 82% yield). The ¹H NMR data for 14 are in accordance with those reported previously.³⁵

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl-6-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-α-D-glucopyranoside (15). The reaction of donor 6 (25.0 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 8 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure A, affording 15 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 26.5 mg, 98% yield); The reaction of donor 17 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 8 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 15 (24.4 mg, 90% yield); The reaction of donor 17 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 8 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 15 (24.4 mg, 90% yield); The reaction of donor 17 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 8 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure C, affording 15 (24.6 mg, 91% yield). The ¹H NMR data for 15 are in accordance with those reported previously.³⁶

Methyl 3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranosyl)-α-D-glucopyranoside (37). The reaction of donor 7 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 36 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording

37 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 24.4 mg, 90% yield). The ¹H NMR data for **37** are in accordance with those reported previously.³⁴

(1S,2R,5S)-(+)-1-Mentyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl- β -D-galactopyranoside (39). The reaction of donor 7 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 38 (4 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 39 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 8: 1 (v/v); 17.6 mg, 92% yield); The reaction of donor 7 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 38 (4 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure C, affording 39 (17.2 mg, 90% yield). The ¹H NMR data for 39 are in accordance with those reported previously.²²

Cholesteryl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O***-benzoyl-** β **-D-galactopyranoside (41).** The reaction of donor 7 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 40 (10 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording **41** (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 7: 1 (v/v); 22.3 mg, 89% yield); The reaction of donor 7 (1.21 g, 1.80 mmol) and acceptor **40** (500.0 mg, 1.30 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording **41** (1.07 g, 85% yield); The reaction of donor 7 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor **40** (10 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure C, affording **41** (22.3 mg, 89% yield); The ¹H NMR data for **41** are in accordance with those reported previously.³⁷

Adamantyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (43). The reaction of donor 7 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 42 (4.0 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 43 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 4: 1 (v/v); 18.0 mg, 95% yield); The reaction of donor 7 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 42 (4.0 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure C, affording 43 as a white solid (17.7 mg, 93% yield). mp: 240.6 – 241.9; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃). δ 8.15 – 7.19 (m, 20H), 5.96 (s, 1H), 5.77 (t, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 5.63 – 5.55 (m, 1H), 5.09 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.66 – 4.55 (m, 1H), 4.46 (dd, *J* = 11.3, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 1.85 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 3H), 1.69 (d, *J* = 11.6 Hz, 3H), 1.61 – 1.46 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.1, 165.8, 165.6, 165.1, 133.5, 133.2, 133.1, 130.2, 129.8, 129.7 (×2), 129.6 (×2), 129.1, 128.9, 128.6, 128.4 (×2), 128.3, 94.6, 75.8, 72.2, 71.2, 69.9, 68.3, 62.5, 42.4, 36.1, 30.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₄₄H₄₆NO₁₀ [M+H]⁺ 748.3116, found 748.3131.

4-Methylphenyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzoyl-6-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)- β -D-1-thio-glucopyranoside (45). The reaction of donor 17 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 44 (15.5 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure C, affording 45 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 3: 1 (v/v); 24.6 mg, 65% yield). The ¹H NMR data for 45 are in accordance with those reported previously.³⁸

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl-6-*O*-(2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (46). The reaction of donor 20 (19.2 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 8 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 46 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 4: 1 (v/v); 22.3 mg, 93% yield); The reaction of donor 20 (19.2 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 8 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure C, affording 46 (22.3 mg, 93% yield). The ¹H NMR data for 46 are in accordance with those reported previously.¹⁹

Methyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-4-*O*-(2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzoyl-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-α-D-glucopyranoside (47). The reaction of donor 20 (19.2 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 10 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 47 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 4: 1 (v/v); 20.4 mg, 85% yield). The ¹H NMR data for 47 are in accordance with those reported previously.³³

Methyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-4-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl- α -D-mannopyranosyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (48). The reaction of donor 23 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 10 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 48 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 21.7 mg, 80% yield). The ¹H NMR data for 48 are in accordance with those reported previously.³³

Methyl 2,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-3-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl- α -D-mannopyranosyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (49). The reaction of donor 23 (24.1 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 12 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 49 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 4: 1 (v/v); 24.4 mg, 90% yield). The ¹H NMR data for 49 are in accordance with those reported previously.³⁹

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl-6-*O*-(2,3,5-tri-*O*-benzoyl-β-D-ribofuranosyl)-α-D-glucopyranoside (50). The reaction of donor 26 (18.7 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 8 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 50 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 22.2 mg, 94% yield); The reaction of donor 26 (18.7 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 8 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure C, affording 50 (21.2 mg, 90% yield). The ¹H NMR data for 50 are in accordance with those reported previously.⁴⁰

Methyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-4-*O*-(2,3,5-tri-*O*-benzoyl- β -D-ribofuranosyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (51). The reaction of donor 26 (18.7 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 10 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 51 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 15.3 mg, 65% yield). The ¹H NMR data for 51 are in accordance with those reported previously.⁴⁰

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl-6-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-galactopyranosyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (52). The reaction of donor **31** (21.5 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor **8** (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording **52** (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 6: 1 (v/v); 22.8 mg, 89% yield, α/β = 2:1); The reaction of donor **31** (21.5 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor **8** (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure D, affording **52** (24.9 mg, 97% yield, α/β = 2:1). The ¹H NMR data for **52** are in accordance with those reported previously.⁴¹

Methyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-4-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-galactopyranosyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (53). The reaction of donor 31 (21.5 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 10 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure D, affording 53 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 21.8 mg, 85% yield, α/β = 4:1); The reaction of donor 31 (21.5 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 10 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure E, affording 53 (21.3 mg, 83% yield, α/β = 4: 1). The ¹H NMR data for 53 are in accordance with those reported previously.⁴¹

Methyl 2,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-3-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-galactopyranosyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (54). The reaction of donor 31 (21.5 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 12 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure D, affording 54 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 23.0 mg, 90% yield, α/β = 4: 1); The reaction of donor 31 (21.5 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 12 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure E, affording 54 (21.8 mg, 85% yield, α/β = 4:1). The ¹H NMR data for 54 are in accordance with those reported previously.⁴²

(1S,2R,5S)-(+)-1-Mentyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-galactopyranoside (55). The reaction of donor 31 (21.5 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 40 (4.0 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 55 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 15.3 mg, 87% yield, α/β = 1:1). The ¹H NMR data for 55 are in accordance with those reported previously.⁴³

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl-6-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-glucopyranosyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (56). The reaction of donor 32 (21.5 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 8 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure B, affording 56 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 22.6 mg, 88% yield, α/β = 2:1). The ¹H NMR data for 56 are in accordance with those reported previously.⁴¹

6-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzoyl-D-glucopyranosyl)-1,2:3,4-di-*O*-isopropylidene-α-D-galactopyranose (58). The reaction of donor 32 (21.5 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 57 (7.0 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure D, affording 58 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 4: 1 (v/v); 15.9 mg, 78% yield, α/β = 2:1). The ¹H NMR data for 58 are in accordance with those reported previously.⁴⁴

Methyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-4-*O*-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-D-glucopyranosyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (59). The reaction of donor 32 (21.5 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 10 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure E, affording 59 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 19.2 mg, 75% yield, α/β = 1:1). The ¹H NMR data for 59 are in accordance with those reported previously.⁴⁴

Methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzyl-6-*O*-(2-azide-2-deoxy-3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-D-galactopyranosyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (60). The reaction of donor 35 (19.2 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 8 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure D, affording 60 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 5: 1 (v/v); 19.2 mg, 80% yield, α/β = 1:1); The reaction of donor 35 (19.2 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 8 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure F, affording 60 (24.4 mg, 85% yield, α/β = 1:1). The ¹H NMR data for 60 are in accordance with those reported previously.⁴⁵

Methyl 2,3,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-4-*O*-(2-azide-2-deoxy-3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl-D-galactopyranosyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (61). The reaction of donor 35 (19.2 mg, 0.036 mmol) and acceptor 10 (12.1 mg, 0.026 mmol) was performed as described in the general procedure F, affording 61 (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 4: 1 (v/v); 14.6 mg, 61% yield, α/β = 4:1). The ¹H NMR data for 61 are in accordance with those reported previously.⁴⁵

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: Screening of reaction conditions and NMR spectra for compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: xinshan@bjmu.edu.cn.

*E-mail: decai@bjmu.edu.cn.

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 21772006, 21572012)

and the State Key Laboratory of Drug Research (SIMM1803KF-02). We thank Zeshi Li and Bang Wang at Peking University for their

initial efforts in this project.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Bertozzi, C. R.; Kiessling, L. L. Chemical glycobiology. *Science* **2001**, *291*, 2357-2364. (b) Rudd, P. M. Glycosylation and the immune system. *Science* **2001**, *291*, 2370-2376. (c) Seeberger, P. H.; Werz, D. B. Synthesis and medical applications of oligosaccharides. *Nature* **2007**, *446*, 1046-1051. (d) Boltje, T. J.; Buskas, T.; Boons, G. J. Opportunities and challenges in synthetic oligosaccharide and glycoconjugate research. *Nat. Chem.* **2009**, *1*, 611-622.

(2) Geyer, H.; Geyer, R. Strategies for analysis of glycoprotein glycosylation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 2006, 1764, 1853-1869.

(3) (a) Demchenko, A. V. Stereoselective chemical 1,2-cis *O*-glycosylation: From 'sugar ray' to modern techniques of the 21st century. *Synlett* **2003**, 1225-1240. (b) Galonic, D. P.; Gin, D. Y. Chemical glycosylation in the synthesis of glycoconjugate antitumour vaccines. *Nature* **2007**, *446*, 1000-1007.

- (4) (a) Kronzer, F. J.; Schuerch, C. The methanolysis of some derivatives of 2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl bromide in the presence and absence of silver salts. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1973, *27*, 379-390. (b) Tsui, D. S. K.; Gorin, P. A. J. Methods for the preparation of alkyl 1,2-orthoacetates of d-glucopyranose and d-galactopyranose in high yield. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1985, *144*, 137-147. (c) Kaeothip, S.; Pornsuri-yasak, P.; Demchenko, A. V. Silver(I) tetrafluoroborate as a potent promoter for chemical glycosylation. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008, *49*, 1542-1545. (d) Ko, Y.-J.; Shim, S.-B.; Shin, J.-H. Facile synthesis of 2-O-iodoacetyl protected glycosylatiodes: useful precursors of 1→2-linked
- 1,2-trans-glycosides. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 609-612. (e) Gouliaras, C.; Lee, D.; Chan, L.; Taylor, M. S. Regioselective activation of glycosyl acceptors by a diarylborinic acid-derived catalyst. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 13926-13929.
 (5) (a) Veneman, G. H.; van Leeuwen, S. H.; van Boom, L. H. Iddonium ion promoted reactions at the enemotie centre. II. An efficient
- (5) (a) Veeneman, G. H.; van Leeuwen, S. H.; van Boom, J. H. Iodonium ion promoted reactions at the anomeric centre. II An efficient thioglycoside mediated approach toward the formation of 1,2-trans linked glycosides and glycosidic esters. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 1331-1334. (b) Hollmann, C.; Eilbracht, P. Sequential hydroformylation/aldol addition reactions of β , γ -unsaturated ketones and their derivatives. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 4313-4316. (c) Valerio, S.; Iadonisi, A.; Adinolfi, M.; Ravidà, A. Novel approaches for the synthesis and activation of thio- and selenoglycoside donors. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 6097-6106.
- (6) (a) Nuhn, P.; Wagner, G. Heterocyclic glycosides. 30. imidazole-oxazole-and thiazole-2-thione glucosides. Arch. Pharm. 1968, 301, 186-200. (b) Demchenko, A. V.; Pornsuriyasak, P.; De Meo, C.; Malysheva, N. N. Potent, versatile, and stable: thiazolyl thioglycosides as glycosyl donors. Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 3131-3134. (c) Ramakrishnan, A.; Pornsuriyasak, P.; Demchenko, A. V. Synthesis, glycosidation, and hydrolytic stability of novel glycosyl thioimidates. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2005, 24, 649-663. (d) Kamat, M. N.; Rath, N. P.; Demchenko, A. V. Versatile synthesis and mechanism of activation of S-benzoxazolyl glycosides. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 6938-6946.
- (7) (a) Schmidt, R. R.; Michel, J. Einfache synthese von α-und β-O-glykosylimidaten; Herstellung von Glykosiden und Disacchariden. *Angew. Chem.* 1980, 92, 763-764. (b) Schmidt, R. R. New methods for the synthesis of glycosides and oligosaccharides-are there alternatives to the Koenigs-Knorr method? *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1986, 25, 212-235. (c) Fürstner, A.; Jeanjean, F.; Razon, P.; Wirtz, C.; Mynott,
 R. Total synthesis of woodrosin I-Part 2: Final stages involving RCM and an orthoester rearrangement. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2003, 9, 320-326. (d)
 Peng, P.; Schmidt, R. R. An alternative reaction course in *O*-glycosidation with *O*-glycosyl trichloroacetimidates as glycosyl donors and
- Lewis acidic metal salts as catalyst: acid-base catalysis with gold chloride-glycosyl acceptor adducts. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12653-12659.
 (8) (a) Danishefsky, S. J.; Bilodeau, M. T. Glycals in organic synthesis: the evolution of comprehensive strategies for the assembly of oli-
- (c) (c) Eanimotols(s), S. S., Diroteau, W. I. Grycais in organic syntnesis: the evolution of comprehensive strategies for the assembly of oligosaccharides and glycoconjugates of biological consequence. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1996**, *35*, 1380-1419. (b) Winterfeld, G. A.; Schmidt,
 R. R. Nitroglycal-Verknüpfung: ein breit anwendbarer und effizienter Weg zur synthese von *O*-glycanen. *Angew. Chem.* **2001**, *113*, 2718-2721. (c) Balmond, E. I.; Coe, D. M.; Galan, M. C.; McGarrigle, E. M. α-Selective organocatalytic synthesis of 2-deoxygalactosides. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 9152-9155.
- 50 gew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9152-9155.
- (9) Codée, J. D. C.; Hossain, L. H.; Seeberger, P. H. Efficient installation of β-mannosides using a dehydrative coupling strategy. *Org. Lett.* 2005, 7, 3251-3254.
- (10) (a) Hashimoto, S.-i.; Honda, T.; Ikegami, S. A rapid and efficient synthesis of 1,2-trans-β-linked glycosides via benzyl- or benzoyl-protected glycopyranosyl phosphates. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 685-687. (b) Hariprasad, V.; Singh, G.; Tranoy, I. Stereoselective O-glycosylation reactions employing diphenylphosphinate and propane-1,3-diyl phosphate as anomeric leaving groups. Chem. Commun. 1998, 2129-2130. (c) Plante, O. J.; Palmacci, E. R.; Andrade, R. B.; Seeberger, P. H. Oligosaccharide synthesis with glycosyl phosphate and dithiophosphate triesters as glycosylating agents. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9545-9554.
- (11) (a) Hotha, S.; Kashyap, S. Propargyl glycosides as stable glycosyl donors: anomeric activation and glycoside syntheses. J. Am. Chem.
 Soc. 2006, 128, 9620-9621. (b) Li, Y.; Yang, Y.; Yu, B. An efficient glycosylation protocol with glycosyl ortho-alkynylbenzoates as donors

2

under the catalysis of Ph₃PAuOTf. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 3604-3608.

- (12) Mootoo, D. R.; Date, V.; Fraser-Reid, B. n-Pentenyl glycosides permit the chemospecific liberation of the anomeric center. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2662-2663.
- (13) Lopez, J. C.; Fraser-Reid, B. n-Pentenyl esters versus n-pentenyl glycosides. Synthesis and reactivity in glycosidation reactions. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 159-161.
- (14) Kim, K. S.; Lee, Y. J.; Kim, H. Y.; Kang, S. S.; Kwon, S. Y. Glycosylation with glycosyl benzyl phthalates as a new type of glycosyl donor. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 2, 2408-2410.
- 6 (15) Imagawa, H.; Kinoshita, A.; Fukuyama, T.; Yamamoto, H.; Nishizawa, M. Hg(OTf)₂-catalyzed glycosylation using alkynoate as the
 7 leaving group. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 4729-4731.
- 8 (16) Choi, T. J.; Baek, J. Y.; Jeon, H. B.; Kim, K. S. A new efficient glycosylation method employing glycosyl pentenoates and PhSeOTf.
 9 *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, *47*, 9191-9194.
- (17) Shu, P.; Xiao, X.; Zhao, Y.; Xu, Y.; Yao, W.; Tao, J.; Wang, H.; Yao, G.; Lu, Z.; Zeng, J.; Wan, Q. Interrupted Pummerer reaction in latent-active glycosylation: Glycosyl donors with a recyclable and regenerative leaving group. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, 54, 14432-14436.
- (18) Thadke, S. A.; Neralkar, M.; Hotha, S. Facile synthesis of aminooxy glycosides by gold(III)-catalyzed glycosidation. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2016, 430, 16-23.
- (19) Hu, Y.; Yu, K.; Shi, L. L.; Liu, L.; Sui, J. J.; Liu, D. Y.; Xiong, B.; Sun, J. S. *o*-(p-Methoxyphenylethynyl)phenyl glycosides: Versatile new glycosylation donors for the highly efficient construction of glycosidic linkages. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 12736-12744.
- (21) Pornsuriyasak, P.; Demchenko, A. V. S-thiazolinyl (STaz) glycosides as versatile building blocks for convergent selective, chemose-lective, and orthogonal oligosaccharide synthesis. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006, *12*, 6630-6646.
- (22) Wang, H. Y.; Simmons, C. J.; Blaszczyk, S. A.; Balzer, P. G.; Luo, R.; Duan, X.; Tang, W. Isoquinoline-1-carboxylate as a traceless leaving group for chelation-assisted glycosylation under mild and neutral reaction conditions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 15698-15702.
- (23) Vo, C. V.; Mitchell, T. A.; Bode, J. W. Expanded substrate scope and improved reactivity of ether-forming cross-coupling reactions of organotrifluoroborates and acetals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, *133*, 14082-14089.
- (24) Andersson, M.; Oscarson, S. Synthesis of *O*-glycopyranosyl-*N*-hydroxysuccinimides of glucose and lactose and their opening by nucleophiles into prespacer glycosides. *Glycoconjugate J.* 1992, *9*, 122-125.
- (25) Cao, S.; Tropper, F. D.; Roy, R. Stereoselective phase transfer catalyzed syntheses of glycosyloxysuccinimides and their transformations into glycoprobes. *Tetrahedron* 1995, *51*, 6679-6686.
- 27 (26) Thomas, M.; Gesson, J. P.; Papot, S. First O-glycosylation of hydroxamic acids. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 4262-4264.
- (27) (a) Mitchell, S. A.; Pratt, M. R.; Hruby, V. J.; Polt, R. Solid-phase synthesis of *O*-linked glycopeptide analogues of enkephalin. *J. Org. Chem.* 2001, *66*, 2327-2342. (b) Thadke, S. A.; Mishra, B.; Hotha, S. Gold(III)-catalyzed glycosidations for 1,2-trans and 1,2-cis furanosides. *J. Org. Chem.* 2014, *79*, 7358-7371.
- (28) (a) Grochowski, E.; Jurczak, J. A new class of monosaccharide derivatives: *O*-phthalimidohexoses. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1976, 50, C15-C16. (b) Grochowski, E.; Nashed, E. M.; Jurczak, J. The synthesis of *N*-glycosyloxyphthalimides via the Mitsunobu reaction. *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci., Chem.* 1987, 35, 255-260.
- (29) Ye, X. S.; Wong, C. H. Anomeric reactivity-based one-pot oligosaccharide synthesis: A rapid route to oligosaccharide libraries. J. Org.
 Chem. 2000, 65, 2410-2431.
- (30) Kumar, A.; Kumar, V.; Dere, R. T.; Schmidt, R. R. Glycoside bond formation via acid-base catalysis. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 3612-3615.
- (31) Hu, J. C.; Feng, A. W.; Chang, B. Y.; Lin, C. H.; Mong, K. T. A flexible 1,2-cis α-glycosylation strategy based on in situ adduct transformation. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 5345-5356.
- 37 (32) Sun, L.; Wu, X.; Xiong, D. C.; Ye, X. S. Stereoselective Koenigs-Knorr glycosylation catalyzed by urea. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 8041-8044.
- (33) Mishra, B.; Neralkar, M.; Hotha, S. Stable alkynyl glycosyl carbonates: catalytic anomeric activation and synthesis of a tridecasaccharide reminiscent of mycobacterium tuberculosis cell wall lipoarabinomannan. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, *55*, 7786-7791.
- (34) Hasty, S. J.; Kleine, M. A.; Demchenko, A. V. S-Benzimidazolyl glycosides as a platform for oligosaccharide synthesis by an active-latent strategy. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, *50*, 4197-4201.
 (25) Parada S. C. Vasathin, S. Demchenka, A. V. Chem. and allower the invited strategy and the strategy of the strat
- (35) Ranade, S. C.; Kaeothip, S.; Demchenko, A. V. Glycosyl alkoxythioimidates as complementary building blocks for chemical glyco-sylation. *Org. Lett.* 2010, *12*, 5628-5631.
- (36) Lanz, G.; Madsen, R. Glycosylation with disarmed glycosyl bromides promoted by iodonium ions. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2016, 2016, 3119-3125.
- (37) Sureshkumar, G.; Hotha, S. Propargyl 1,2-orthoesters as glycosyl donors: stereoselective synthesis of 1,2-trans glycosides and disaccharides. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, *48*, 6564-6568.
- (38) Yamago, S.; Kokubo, K.; Hara, O.; Masuda, S.; Yoshida, J.-i. Electrochemistry of chalcogenoglycosides. Rational design of iterative glycosylation based on reactivity control of glycosyl donors and acceptors by oxidation potentials. *J. Org. Chem.* 2002, *67*, 8584-8592.
- 49 (39) Singh, Y.; Wang, T.; Geringer, S. A.; Stine, K. J.; Demchenko, A. V. Regenerative glycosylation. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 374-381.
- (40) Xiong, D.-C.; Yang, A.-Q.; Yu, Y.; Ye, X.-S. 2-Pyridyl glycoside: an alternative glycosyl donor in preactivation protocol. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015, 56, 211-214.
- (41) Koshiba, M.; Suzuki, N.; Arihara, R.; Tsuda, T.; Nambu, H.; Nakamura, S.; Hashimoto, S. Catalytic stereoselective glycosidation with glycosyl diphenyl phosphates: rapid construction of 1,2-cis-α-glycosidic linkages. *Chem. Asian J.* 2008, *3*, 1664-1677.
- (42) Adhikari, S.; Li, X.; Zhu, J. Studies of S-But-3-ynyl andgem-dimethyl S-But-3-ynyl thioglycoside donors in gold-catalyzed glycosylations. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2013, 32, 336-359.
- (43) Palanivel, A.; Chennaiah, A.; Dubbu, S.; Mallick, A.; Vankar, Y. D. AuCl₃-AgOTf promoted *O*-glycosylation using anomeric sulfox-ides as glycosyl donors at room temperature. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2017, *437*, 43-49.
- (44) Kitowski, A.; Jimenez-Moreno, E.; Salvado, M.; Mestre, J.; Castillon, S.; Jimenez-Oses, G.; Boutureira, O.; Bernardes, G. J. L. Oxidative activation of C-S bonds with an electropositive nitrogen promoter enables orthogonal glycosylation of alkyl over phenyl thioglyco-

sides. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 5490-5493.

(45) Tsuda, T.; Nakamura, S.; Hashimoto, S. A highly stereoselective construction of 1,2-trans-β-glycosidic linkages capitalizing on 2azido-2-deoxy-d-glycosyl diphenyl phosphates as glycosyl donors. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 10711-10737.