MODEL STUDIES DIRECTED TOWARD FORSKOLIN: SYNTHESIS OF A TRICYCLIC MODEL COMPOUND FROM FARMESOL

Jürgen Scherkenbeck, Martina Barth, Ulrich Thiel, Karl-Heinz Metten, Frauke Heinemann, and Peter Welzel \sharp

Fækultät für Chemie der Ruhr-Universität Postfach 102148, D-4630 Bochum (FRG)

(Received in Germany 30 June 1988)

<u>Abstract</u> - From farnesol and (R)-methyloxirane the optically active bicyclic compounds **31** and **32** have been prepared. Cyclization of **31** led to the tricyclic compounds **29** and **30**, respectively, which differ configurationally from forskolin only at C-8.

Some time ago we discussed a biogenetically patterned synthetic plan for forskolin $(1)^{1+2}$ via 1,9-dideoxyforskolin (2).³ Part of this plan is depicted (in somewhat more elaborate form) in Scheme 1. In the present publication we report on results which were obtained, probing the chemistry which is connected with steps 4+5+6 and steps 7+8+5+6.



Scheme 1.

Synthesis of 11, 17, and 18

As synthetic equivalents of synthon 9, epoxide $(R)-11^4$ and the epoxide, derived from (S)-17 as well as aldehyde (S)-18 were selected. (R)-10 was obtained from 2methyl-2-propen-1-ol using a) a modified version of the Sharpless epoxidation reaction 4,5,6 and b) the work-up procedure reported by Meister and Scharf.⁴ The e.e. was shown by Mosher ester⁷ analysis (see Experimental) to be 93%. For the Conversion of 10 into 11 the Hernandez method⁸ was employed. The synthesis of both 17 and 18 was based on Seebach's selfreproduction of chirality. 12, prepared from (S)-malic acid as described by Seebach⁹ was reduced under carefully controlled conditions (otherwise the lactonic function was also reduced) with borane - dimethyl sulfide¹⁰ to give 13. Attempted protection of the free OH group in 13 by benzylation led to the formation of 14, whereas silylation under the Hernandez conditions⁸ cleanly provided 16. Reduction of the lactone grouping in 16 with borane - dimethyl sulfide yielded hemiacetal 15 (95% yield) rather than the desired diol 17. A 56% yield of 17 was obtained by reduction of 16 with lithium aluminium hydride. Swern oxidation¹¹ of 17 led to 18 in 65% yield.



Scheme 2.



Scheme 3.

Reaction of sulfones 19, 21, and 23 with oxirane 11

As synthetic equivalents of synthons 4 and 7 the sulfones 19^{12} and 23^{13} , respectively, 14 , 15 were chosen. It is well-known that lithiated sulfones react rather sluggishly with (especially sterically hindered) oxiranes 16 , 17 and that the reaction rate can be enhanced considerably by addition of a Lewis acid such as boron trifluoride etherate or titanium(IV) isopropoxide. $^{16-20}$ But even in the presence of (a) Ti(\Im^{1} pr)₄, (b) BF₃xEt₂O, (c) (1 Bu)₂AlOSO₂CF₃, 21,22 or (d) Me₃SiOSO₂CF₃, the lithiated sulfone 19 failed to react with 11, 23,24 and also the anion derived from

19 with EtMgBr resisted the desired reaction,²³ In a model experiment epoxide 10 was treated with the lithic derivative of methyl phenyl sulfone in the presence of $Ti(O^{1}pr)_{4}$. Even in this case the reaction was very slow, and after 4d at 20°C a 10% yield of 22 was obtained. One may wonder wether this low reactivity is steric in origin or the result of an unfavourable HOMO-LUMO interaction, since the anion derived from the allylic sulfone 23 reacted smoothly (in the absence of a Lewis acid!) with 11 to provide 24, the "C₁₉-precursor" of 2, in 72% yield (1:1 mixture of two stereoisomers).



Scheme 4.

Selenium-mediated cyclization of 24

By cyclization of optically active 24, in principle, $^{12,25-29}$ access might be gained to very elaborate precursors of 2. Unfortunately, until now we have been unable to find proper conditions to effect the cyclization in the desired manner. On organoselenium-induced cyclization³¹ of 24 with N-phenylselenophthalimide (NPSP)³² in the presence of SnCl₄ (24h at 20°C) exclusively the monocyclic products 25 and 27 as mixtures of stereoisomers were obtained (37% combined yield). The structure of 27 followed straightforwardly from the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (see Experimental). The structural assignment of 25 was confirmed by spectral analysis after reduction with tri-n-butyltin hydride to give 26.

Tricyclic compounds 30 and 34 from rac. 19 and methyloxirane

 $Ti(0^{i}pr)_{4}$ -assisted reaction¹⁹ of the lithic derivative of rac. 19 with both (R)_33 and rac.-methyloxirane (28) provided²⁴ the two substitution products 31 and 32 in optically active and racemic form, respectively, as 11-epimers.³⁴

The gross structures of 31 and 32 followed from spectral data, whereas the (relative) configuration at the newly formed chiral centres was assigned on the basis of the results outlined below. Selenium-induced cyclization of both 31 and 32 led to the formation of the respective tricyclic compounds 29 and 33 (87% and 78% yield, respectively). From an extensive NMR spectroscopic analysis³⁵ the configuration shown in **29** and the preferred conformation depicted in **29**^{*} were deduced. Nost specifically, the 7-position of the phenylselanyl residue was indicated by the fact that the ¹³C NMR signal of C-7 (δ =57.80) is accompanied by two satellites which originate from ¹³C, ⁷⁷Se coupling (J=-68.2 Hz).⁴⁰ 7-H gives rise to the Xpart of an "ABX" system with J_{7,6}+J_{7,6}:=7.0 Hz which would correspond to an equatorial position in a chair-like ring 8. The through-space interactions between CH₃-14 and 7-H, 9-H, and 13-H (summarized in **29**^{*}) seem only consistent with the configuration of this methyl group. The NDE enhancements between 11-H and CH₃-20 are best accommodated assuming a boat or twist conformation of ring C. This view is supported by the multiplicity of the 11-H ¹H NMR signal which appears as a doublet



Scheme 5.

with J=9.5 Hz which means that the torsional angle 11-H(C-11 - C-12)12a-H is almost 180° whereas the corresponding angles for 11-H/9-H and $11-H/12\beta-H$ are around 90°. The NOE effect between CH_3-20 and 7-H indicates that the ring B conformation may also differ from the ideal chair.

For the cyclization product of 32 configuration and conformation as depicted in 33 and 33' were deduced from the Overhauser effects summarized in formula 33'. The more complicated 11-H signal (as compared with 29) which consists of 6 lines $(J_{9,11}=1.5 \text{ Hz}, J_{11,120}+J_{11,12B}=9.5 \text{ Hz})$ indicates ring C to be in a somewhat different conformation than in 29.

In a second set of experiments rac-31 and rac-32 were cyclized with mercuric trifluoroacetate. Subsequent reduction with sodium borohydride⁴⁰ gave 33 and 34 in 62% and 42% yield, respectively. 33 and 34 were again submitted to careful NMR spectroscopic analysis fully in accord with the proposed structures (see Experimental). Finally, 29 and 33 were reductively converted with tri-n-butyltin hydride into 30 and 34, respectively.

Conclusion

From farnesol and (R)-methyloxirane (28) the optically active bicyclic compounds 31 and 32 have been prepared. Cyclization of 31 led to 29 and 30, respectively, which differ configurationally from forskolin only at C-8. If the reluctance of 19 to react with 10 or an electrophilic reagent derived from 17 can be overcome and if the cyclization would then lead to a tricyclic compound of type 29 with the correct configuration at C-8, a highly advanced intermediate for 1,9-dideoxyforskolin would result with the proper functionality in ring B to introduce the 6,7-diol group by elimination and cis-hydroxylation. Further work along these lines is in progress.



Scheme 6.

EXPERIMENTAL

General

All reactions were performed in oven-dried glassware under a positive pressure of argon. Liquids and solutions were transferred by syringe, and were introduced into reaction flasks through rubber septa. If not otherwise stated, reactions were performed in Wheaton serum bottles sealed with aluminium caps with open top and Teflon-faced septum (Aldrich). Usual work-up means partioning the reaction mixture between water and an organic solvent (given in parenthesis), drying the combined organic solution over Na_2SO_4 , and removal of the solvent by distillation in vacuo at 40°C, using a rotatory evaporator. The instrumentation used was: ¹H NMR: WP 80 (Bruker), AM 400 (Bruker); ¹³C NMR: AM 400 (Bruker); IR: Perkin Elmer 257 and 681; MS: MAT-731 and MAT-CH-5 (Varian); LC: Medium pressure chromatography (MPLC) using 31.0 cm x 2.5 cm (column B, 60 g SiO₂) and 37.0 cm x 1.5 cm (column A, 17 g SiO₂) glass tubes, silica gel 50 µm, (Grace), Ouramat pump (CfG); UV detector Chromatochord III (Serva). (5)-2-Methyl-2-epoxy-1-propanol (10).

10 was prepared from 2-methyl allyl alcohol (26.1 ml, 331 mmol) as described by Meister and Scharf.⁴ The work-up procedure of Meister and Scharf was followed until a product (26.3 q) was obtained containing mainly (S)-10 alongside with small amounts of the starting material, tert-butyl alcohol, and CH_2Cl_2 . The Mosher ester of (S)-10 was compared with the Mosher ester of rac.-10 and was found (HPLC: Lichrosorb Si 100, 5 µm; isooctane - tert-butyl methyl ether 15:1; flow: 1 ml/min; UV detection at 219 nm) to have an e.m. of 93%.

(R)-2-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxymethyl)-2-methyl-oxirane (11).

To a solution of a slightly impure specimen of 10 prepared as described above (2.00 g, 22.72 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml) at 0°C triethylamine (6.33 ml, 45.45 mmol), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (277.3 mg, 2.27 mmol), and tert-butylchlorodiphenylsilane (10.0 ml, 38.59 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred at 20°C for 22 h. Filtration through SiO₂ (40 g, covered with Florisil (5 g), elution with hexames - ethyl acetate 10:1) followed by solvent evaporation and MPLC (column C, hexames - ethyl acetate 10:1) provided (R)-11 (5.80 g, 78%).- (a) $_0^{20}$ = +7.3 (c 3.37 in CHCl₃).- ¹H NMR (80 MHz, COCl₃): δ = 1.10 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.40 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.52-2.81 (A8-system, 2H, CH₂-3), 3.69 (s, 2H, CH₂-1), 7.30-7.80 (10H, Ar-H); [J_{3,3}; [=5.0 Hz.- C₂₀H₂₆O₂Si (326.5), MS: m/z (%) = 269.0998 (32, Calc for C₁₆H₁₇O₂Si: 269.0998), 239 (76), 191 (100), 183 (96).

(25,55)-2-tert-Buty1-5-(2-hydroxy-ethy1)-5-methy1-(1,3)dioxolan-4-one (13).

To a solution of 12 (271.3 mg, 1.26 mmol) in THF (2.5 ml) at -20°C dropwise borane-dimethyl sulfide (2M solution in THF, 0.69 ml, 1.38 mmol) was added. When the H₂ evolution had ceased the reaction mixture was stirred at 20°C for 18 h. Excess borane-dimethyl sulfide was destroyed by addition of methanol (80 µl). Solvent evaporation and SC (20 g SiO₂, hexanes-acetone 6:1) provided 13 (254.6 mg, 100%).- ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.98$ (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.43 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.08 (t, 2H, CH₂-1'), 3.79 (t, 2H, CH₂-2'), 4.17 (s, 1H, 2-H); J_{11,21}=6.9 Hz.- IR (CHCl₃): 3700-3200 (0H), 1790 cm⁻¹ (C=0).- MS: m/z (%) = 145 (11, (M-C(CH₃)₃)⁺), 99 (S1), 71 (41), 43 (100).- (Found C, 59.28; H, 9.00, C₁₀H₁₈O₄ (202.3) requires C, 59.39; H, 8.97).

(25,55)-2-tert-Butyl-5-(2-(tert-butyl-diphenyl-silenyloxy)-ethyl)-5-methyl-(1,3) dioxolan-4-one (16).

To a solution of 13 (1.45 g, 7.18 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (12 ml) at 0°C dimethylaminopyridine (263 mg, 2.15 mmol, dissolved in 3 ml of CH_2Cl_2), triethylamine (2.40 ml, 17.23 mmol), and tert-butylchlorodiphenylsilane (4.10 ml, 15.80 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred at 20°C for 6.5 h. Most of the solvent was removed by distillation, and the concentrated solution was filtered through SiO₂ (15 g, covered with Florisil (3 g), elution with hexanes-ethyl acetate 5:1). MPLC of the crude product (column C, hexanes-ethyl acetate 30:1 10:1) gave 16 (2.86 g, 91%).- $(\alpha)_0^{20}$ = +3.5 (c 1.39 in CHCl₃).- ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.92 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.08 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.43 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.10 (t. 2H, CH₂-1'), 3.84 (t. 2H, CH₂-2'), 5.15 (s, 1H, 2-H), 7.29-7.53 (6H, Ar-H), 7.57-7.81 (4H, Ar-H); J₁₊₂=7.0 Hz.- IR (CCl₄): 1805 cm⁻¹ (C=0).- MS: m/z (\$) = 383 (52, (M-C_4Hg)⁺), 297 (36), 267 (100).- Found C, 70.79; H, 8.26. C₂₆H₃₆O₄Si (440.6) requires C, 70.87; H, 8.23).

(25,4=,55)-2-tert-Butyl-5-(2-(tert-butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-ethyl)-5-methyl-(1,3)dioxolan-4-ol (15).

To a solution of 16 (207.0 mg, 0.47 mmol) in THF (0.35 ml) at 0°C borane-dimethyl sulfide (2M solution in THF, 0.52 ml, 1.04 mmol) was added. At 50°C dimethyl sulfide was removed by distillation (1 h) at ordinary pressure under careful exclusion of water. The sealed reaction flask was then heated to 50°C for 3 h. Excess borane-dimethyl sulfide was hydrolyzed at 20°C by addition of

methanol (70 µl). Solvent evaporation and SC (SiO₂ (20 g), hexanes-ethyl acetate 10:1) provided 15 (197.1 mg, 95%) as a 2:1 mixture (¹H NMR) of the C-4 epimers.- ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.86 and 0.93 (2s, 18H, 2xC(CH₃)₃), 1.05 (s, 18H, 2x C(CH₃)₃), 1.20 and 1.23 (2s, 6H, 2xCH₃), 1.70-2.19 (4H, 2xCH₂-1'), 2.58 and 4.47 (2d, 2H, exchangeable with D₂O, 2xOH), 3.49-4.12 (4H, 2xCH₂-2'), 4.67 and 4.84 (2s, 2H, 2x2-H), 5.04 and 5.28 (2d, 2H, 2x4-H), 7.27-7.49 (6H, Ar-H) 7.55-7.80 (4H, Ar-H); J_{4,OH}=5.0 Hz and 9.0 Hz.- IR (CCl₄): 3650-3200 cm⁻¹ (OH).- MS: m/z () = 385 (8), 269 (10), 221 (100), 199 (59), 177 (29).- (Found C, 70.60; H, 8.67. C₂₆H₃₈O₄Si (442.7) requires C, 70.55; H, 8.65).

Reduction of 16.

To a suspension of LiAlH₄ (46.1 mg, 1.21 mmol) in diethyl ether (1 ml) at -78°C slowly a solution of 16 (531.4 mg, 1.21 mmol) in diethyl ether (3 ml) was added. Within 2 h the mixture was allowed to warm to -30°C and was stirred 2 more h at this temperature. The reaction was quanched at -30°C with saturated aq. NH₄Cl (10 ml). At 20°C the mixture was transferred into a seperatory funnel and partitioned between saturated aq. NH₄Cl (10 ml) and diethyl ether (10 ml). The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (5x20 ml). The combined organic solutions were dried over Na₂SC₄ and filtered. Solvent evaporation and SC (SiO₂ (50 g), hexanes-ethyl acetate 5:1+2:1) gave 15 (68.4 mg, 13 \pm) and 17 (239.5 mg, 56 \pm).

(S)-4-(tert-Buty1-dipheny1-silanyloxy)-2-methy1-butane-1,2-dio1 (17).

 $\begin{array}{l} (a)_{D}^{20} = +6.8 \ (c \ 1.76 \ in \ CHCl_{3})_{*} & \stackrel{1}{} H \ NMR \ (80 \ MHz, \ CDCl_{3}-D_{2}0); \ \delta = 1.05 \ (s, \ 9H, \ C(CH_{3})_{3}), \ 1.20 \ (s, \ 3H, \ CH_{3}), \ 1.38-2.15 \ (2H, \ CH_{2}-3), \ 3.46 \ (s, \ 2H, \ CH_{2}-1), \ 3.74-4.14 \ (2H, \ CH_{2}-4), \ 7.28-7.80 \ (10H, \ Ar-H).- \ IR \ (CCl_{4}); \ 3700-3200 \ cm^{-1} \ (OH).- \ MS; \ m/z \ (\$) = 283 \ (20), \ 249 \ (12), \ 223 \ (13), \ 205 \ (21), \ 199 \ (10D), \ 85 \ (94), \ 61 \ (72), \ 43 \ (96).- \ (Found C, \ 70.38; \ H, \ 8.49. \ C_{21}H_{30}O_{3}Si \ (358.5) \ requires \ C, \ 70.35; \ H, \ 8.43). \end{array}$

(S)-4-(tert-Butyl-diphenylsilanyloxy)-2-hydroxy-2-methyl-1-butanal (18).

At -55°C to a solution of oxalyl chloride (30.4 µl, 0.349 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1 ml) anhydrous DMSO (53.4 µl, 0.699 mmol) was added. After 3 min within 5 min a solution of 17 (113.7 mg, 0.318 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (0.3 mi) was added dropwise. After being stirred at -55°C for 15 min the mixture was treated with triathylamine (221 µl, 1.588 mmol), and after further 5 min it was warmed to 20°C. Usual work-up (CH_2Cl_2) and SC (SiO_2 (10 g), hexanes- ethyl acetate 8:1+4:1) gave 17 (22.8 mg, 20%) and 18 (76.1 mg, 67%).- (α) $_0^{2O}$ = -7.95 (c 1.58 in CHCl₃).- ¹H NMR (80 MHz, COCl₃): δ = 1.05 (s, 9H, C(CH_3)₃), 1.32 (s, 3H, CH_3), 1.65-2.35 (2H, CH_2 -3), 3.40-3.92 (2H, CH_2 -4), 3.98 (s, 1H, OH), 7.30-7.80 (10H, Ar-H), 9.70 (s, 1H, 1+H).- IR (CCl₄): 3600-3400 (OH), 1735 cm⁻¹ (C=0).- MS: m/z (%) = 269 (2), 249 (6), 221 (100), 199 (59), 177 (44).- (Found C, 70.76; H, 8.00. $C_{21}H_{28}O_3$ Si (356.5) requires C, 70.74; H 7.92).

(RS)-4-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-3-methyl-1-benzenesulfonyl-butan-3-ol (22).

Methyl phenyl sulfone (21) (50.0 mg, 0.320 mmol) and (RS)-11 (156.5 mg, 0.480 mmol) were converted into 22 as described for 31 and 32 (vide infra). SC (SiO₂ (28 g), hexanes acetone 5:1) gave 22 (15.5 mg, 10%). 111.0 mg (71%) of 21 were recovered.- ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.03 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.10 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.65-2.40 (m, 2H, CH₂-2), 3.00-3.38 (m, 2H, CH₂-1), 3.42 (s, 2H, CH₂-4), 7.30-8.10 (15H, Ar-H).- IR (CCl₄): 3650-3400 (OH), 1315, 1305 and 1150 cm⁻¹ (SO₂).- C₂₇H₃₄O₄SSi (482.7), MS: m/z (%) = 426 (3.6, (M-C₄Hg)⁺), 408 (2.7), 348 (49), 200 (100).

Alkylation of 23 with (R)-11.

To a stirred solution of 23¹³ (846 mg, 2.35 mmol) in 3:1 THF-HMPPT (17.4 ml) at -20°C n-butyllithium

(1.63 M solution in hexane, 1.73 ml, 2.82 mmol) was added. Stirring was continued for 20 min, and the deeply red solution was then cooled to -78°C. After 30 min a solution of (R)-11 (1.146 g, 3.53 mmol) in THF (4.6 ml) was added. The mixture was stirred at 40-45°C for 6 h and then treated with saturated aq. NH_4Cl (25 ml). Usual work-up (diethyl ether) and MPLC (column 8, hexanes-ethyl acetate 8:1) gave an 1:1 mixture (¹H NMR) of 24 (1102 mg, 72%). Part of this mixture was separated by MPLC (column 8, hexanes-ethyl acetate-ethanol 30:2.7:0.3).

(2R,4E,5E,9E)-1-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-2,5,10,14-tetramethyl-4-toluenesulfonyl-pentadeca-5,9,13-trien-2-ol (24, unpolar isomer).

 $\begin{array}{l} \left(a\right)_{0}^{20} = \pm 19.6 \quad (c\ 1.59\ in\ CHCl_{3})_{-} \ ^{1}H\ NMR\ (400\ MHz,\ CDSY,\ CDCl_{3}); \ \delta = 1.03\ (s,\ 3H,\ CH_{3}),\ 1.08\ (s,\ 9H,\ C(CH_{3})_{3}),\ 1.21\ (s,\ 3H,\ CH_{3}),\ 1.56\ (s,\ 3H,\ CH_{3}),\ 1.58\ (s,\ 3H,\ CH_{3}),\ 1.67\ (s,\ 3H,\ CH_{3}),\ 1.85-2.10\ (m,\ 8H,\ including\ 3-H),\ 2.41\ (s,\ 3H,\ CH_{3}-Ar),\ 2.54\ (s,\ 1H),\ 2.71\ (dd,\ 1H,\ 3'-H),\ 3.42\ (s,\ 2H,\ CH_{2}-1),\ 4.09\ (m,\ 1H,\ 4-H),\ 4.98-5.11\ (3H,\ olefin.\ H),\ 7.22-7.29\ (2H,\ Ar-H),\ 7.33-7.48\ (8H,\ Ar-H),\ 7.59-7.72\ (6H,\ Ar-H);\ J_{3',4}=2.5\ Hz,\ |J_{3,3'}|=15.0\ Hz.^{-13}C\ NMR\ (100.6\ MHz,\ DEPT,\ CDCl_{3})^{42};\ \delta =\ 15.9\ (6-CH_{3}),\ 16.4\ (10-CH_{3}),\ 17.6\ (14-CH_{3}),\ 19.2\ (\underline{C}(CH_{3})_{3}),\ 21.6\ (CH_{3}-Ar),\ 23.2\ (2-CH_{3}),\ 25.7\ (C-15),\ 26.0\ (C-8),\ 26.6\ (C-12),\ 26.8\ (-C(\underline{CH}_{3})_{3}),\ 35.9\ (C-3),\ 39.6\ (C-7\ und\ C-11),\ 60.9\ (C-4),\ 71.4\ (C-1),\ 71.9\ (C-2),\ 119.1\ (C-5),\ 123.4\ (C-9),\ 124.2\ (C-13),\ 127.8\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.2\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.3\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.3\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.4\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.3\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.4\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.4\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.4\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.4\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.3\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.4\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.4\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.4\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.4\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.3\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.4\ (aromat.\ C),\ 129.4\$

(2R,4E,5E,9E)-1-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silenyloxy)-2,6,10,14-tetramethyl-4-toluenesulfonyl-pentadeca-5,9,13-trien-2-ol (24, polar isomer).

 $(\alpha)_{D}^{20} = -12.3$ (c 1.80 in CHCl₃).- ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.06$ (s, (C(CH₃)₃), 1.12 (d, J=1.2 Hz, CH₃), 1.20 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.41 (s, 3H, CH₃-Ar), 3.41 (s, 2H, CH₂-1), 3.80-4.17 (m, $\psi_{1/2}$ =22.7 Hz, 1H, 4-H), 4.80-5.28 (3H, olefin. H), 7.15-7.79 (14H, Ar-H).- IR (CCl₄): 3600-3400 (OH), 1600 (C=C), 1315, 1150 cm⁻¹ (SO₂).- MS: m/z (\$) = 686.3819 (0.6, Calc for C₄₂H₅₈O₄SSi: 686.3825), 629.3116 (0.5, Calc for C₃₈H₄₉O₄SSi: 629.3121), 513 (6.8), 255 (66), 235 (100), 199 (91).- (Found C, 73.35; H, 8.60. C₄₂H₅₈O₄SSi requires C, 73.42; H, 8.51).

Cyclization of 24 (polar stereoisomer).

To a solution of NPSP (299.2 mg, 0.990 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 ml) at 20°C a solution of 24 (456.5 mg, 0.665 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 ml) and $SnCl_4$ (10 percent solution in CH_2Cl_2 , 388.1 µl, 0.332 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred at 20°C for 24 h, then solid NaHCO₃ was added. Solvent evaporation, followed by a crude chromatographic separation (SIO₂ (25 g), hexanes-acetone 12:1) gave a mixture of products (309.7 mg) which was separated by repeated MPLC (a: column 8, hexanes-acetone 12:1, b: column 8, hexanes-ethyl acetate 8:1) to give 25 (173.0 mg, 31%) and 27 (33.4 mg, 6%).

(<u>112,13R,8E)-14-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-3E-phenylselanyl-11-toluenesulfonyl-9,10-seco-</u> <u>15-nor-labda-5(10),8-dien-13-ol (25, mixture of 2 stereoisomers).</u>

The analytical sample was further purified by prep. HPLC (column: LiChrosorb SI 100 (5 µm); solvent: isooctane - CHCl₃ - 2-propenol 25:1:0.1; flow 8 ml/min; detection: UV, 254 nm). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDSY, CDCl₃): δ = 0.95 (d, 1H), 1.11 (C(CH₃)₃), 1.18-1.46 (CH₃-signals), 1.88s and 1.89s (3H, CH₃-Ar), 2.05 (12-H and 2-H), 2.43 (m, 1H, 2-H'), 2.80 (m, 1H, 12-H'), 3.29 (m, 1H, 3-H), 3.40 and 3.52 (A8-system, 2H, CH₂-14), 4.30 (m, 1H, 11-H), 5.19 (m, 1H, 9-H), 6.80 (2H, Ar-H), 7.02 (3H, -Ar-H), 7.21 (6H, Ar-H), 7.60 (2H, Ar-H), 7.70 (4H, Ar-H), 7.81 (2H, Ar-H); $|J_{14,141}|=10.0$ Hz (for both isomers).- IR (CCl₄): 3650-3400 (0H), 1660, 1600 and 1580 (C=C), 1310, 1300 and 1150 cm⁻¹ (SO₂).- MS: m/z (\$) = 842.3337 (Calc for C₄₈H₆₂O₄S⁸⁰SeSi: 842.3303), 686 (100), 869 (66), 526 (41),

557 (23), 512 (31), 255 (32), 235 (100).

(5E,11E,13R,8E)-14-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-3E-phenylselanyl-11-toluanesulfonyl-9,10-seco-15-nor-labda-8,10(20)-dien-13-ol (27, mixture of 2 stereoisomers).

The analytical sample was further purified by prep. HPLC (conditions as reported for 25). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, COSY, C_6D_6): $\delta = 0.88$ and 0.90 (s, 3H, CH_3), 1.10 and 1.11 (s, 9H, $C(\underline{C}H_3)_3$), 1.15, 1.17, 1.21, 1.24, 1.30 (CH_3 -signals), 1.88 and 1.89 (s, 3H, CH_3 -Ar), 1.91-2.25 (5H, including 12-H and CH_2 -2), 2.68dd and 2.72dd (1H, 12-H¹). 3.13dd and 3.23 (1H, 3-H), 3.42 and 3.50 (2 AB systems, 2H, CH_2 -14), 4.20-4.34 (m, 1H, 11-H), 4.53d and 4.82d (J=7.5 Hz, and 5.0 Hz, 2H, = CH_2 -10), 5.20 (m, 1H, 9-H), 6.75-6.85 (2H, Ar-H), 6.97-7.06 (3H, Ar-H), 7.19-7.26 (6H, Ar-H), 7.57-7.63 (2H, Ar-H), 7.65-7.74 (4H, Ar-H), 7.78-7.85 (2H, Ar-H); $|J_{12,12}|=14.0$ Hz, $|J_{14,14}|=12.0$ Hz (for both stereoisomers).- ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, DEPT, C_6D_6)⁴³): $\delta = 16.47$ (CH_3 -17), 19.46 ($\underline{C}(CH_3)_3$), 21.18 (CH_3 -Ar), 24.44 and 24.49 (C-2), 25.06 (CH_3 -19), 25.45 and 25.51 (CH_3 -16), 27.05 ($C(\underline{CH}_3)_3$), 29.05 and 29.09 (CH_3 -18), 34.31, 36.69 and 36.86 (C-7, C-6 and C-12), 38.99 and 39.07 (C-1), 41.55 (C-4), 53.16 and 53.52 (C-5), 58.93 and 59.04 (C-3, $J_{Se,C}$ =-57.7 Hz), 61.38 and 61.55 (C-11), 70.53 and 70.88 (C-14), 72.39 and 72.46 (C-13), 108.13 and 108.27 (C-10), 120.28 and 120.45 (C-9), 127.37-147.32 (olefin, and aromat. C).- IR (CCI_4): 3650-3400 (DH), 1310, 1300 and 1145 cm⁻¹ (SO_2).- $C_{48}H_{62}O_4SSESi$ (842.1), MS: m/z (\$) = 685.3749 (Calc for $C_42H_570_4SSi$: 685.3746), 511 (3.6), 337 (3.0), 255 (47), 235 (100), 199 (66), 135 (63).

(13R,θE)-14-(tert-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-11ξ-toluenesulfonyl-9,10-seco-15-nor-labda-5(10),8dien-13-ol (26).

To a solution of 25 (10.1 mg, 11.8 µmol) in toluene (0.3 ml) tri-n-butyltin hydride (4.8 µl, 17.7 µmol) and a catalytical amount of AIBN were added. The mixture was stirred at 110°C for 2 h. SC (SiO₂ (1 g), hexanes-acetone 12:1) gave 26 (4.9 mg, 68%).- ¹H NMR (400 MHz, COSY, C₅O₅): $\delta = 0.98$ (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.00 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.12 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.19 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.20 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.51 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.84 (s, 3H, CH₃-Ar), 2.05 (dd, 1H, 12-H), 2.43 (s, 1H), 2.82 (dd, 1H, 12-H'), 3.42 and 3.53 (AB system, 2H, CH₂-14), 4.30 (8 lines, 1H, 11-H), 5.22 (d, 1H, 9-H), 6.78 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.17-7.26 (6H, Ar-H), 7.64-7.73 (4H, Ar-H), 7.76-7.84 (2H, Ar-H); $|J_{12,121}| = 14.2$ Hz, $J_{12,11} = 9.5$ Hz, $J_{12',11} = 2.5$ Hz, $|J_{14,14'}| = 10.0$ Hz, $J_{9,11} = 10.5$ Hz.- ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, DEPT, C₆O₆)⁴³: $\delta = 16.47$ (C-17), 19.46 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 19.87 (C-2), 19.91 (C-19), 21.15 (CH₃-Ar), 25.57 (C-16), 27.05 (C(<u>C</u>H₃)₃ and C-10), 27.38 (C-6), 28.74 (C-18), 32.97 (C-7), 35.13 (C-4), 36.66 (C-12), 40.05 and 40.66 (C-1 and C-3), 61.44 (C-11), 70.49 (C-14), 72.45 (C-13), 120.04 (C-9), 127.47-144.11 (olefin. and aromat. C).- IR (CCl₄): 3650-3400 (0H), 1660, 1600 (C=C), 1310, 1300 and 1145 cm⁻¹ (SO₂).- C₄₂H₅₈O₄SSi (687.1), MS: m/z (%) = 513.3547 (4, C₃₅H₄₉OSi: 513.3553), 473 (1.1), 377 (1.2), 337 (2.9), 255 (36), 235 (100).

Titanium(IV) isopropoxide-catalyzed alkylation of rac. 19 with 28.

a) with (RS)-28

To a stirred solution of rac- 19^{12} (481 mg, 1.39 mmol) in 4:1 THF-HMPT (5 ml) at -78°C n-butyllithium (1.48 M solution in hexane, 1.23 ml, 1.80 mmol) was added. The solution was permitted to warm to 0°C, and after 30 min at 0°C it was recooled to -78°C. After addition of titanium(IV) isopropoxide (619 µl, 2.08 mmol) the mixture was warmed to 0°C, maintained at this temperature for 30 min, and then recooled to -78°C. (RS)-28 (154 µl, 2.77 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was then stirred at 20°C for 48 h. Saturated aq. NH₄Cl (10 ml) and 5 percent aq. tartaric acid (10 ml) were then added. Usual work-up (diethyl ether) followed by MPLC (column B, hexanes-ethyl acetate 5:1) furnished rac. 31 (176.1 mg, 31%) and rac. 32 (236.0 mg, 42%); 110.7 mg (23%) of rac. 19 were recovered. 6334

b) with (R)-28

The analogous reaction of rac. 19 (202.4 mg, 0.58 mmol) with (R)-28 (81.2 μ l, 1.16 mmol) provided (-)-31 (57.8 mg, 24%) and (-)-32 (85.0 mg, 36%); 53.2 mg (26%) of rac. 19 were recovered.

(13R)-11g-Benzenesulfonyl-14,15-dinor-labd-7-en-13-ol (31).

 $\begin{array}{l} \left(\alpha\right)_{0}^{20} = -5.5 \ (c\ 1.34 \ in\ CHCl_{3}).- \ The\ following\ analytical\ data\ were\ obtained\ from\ (\ \pm\)-31. \ M.p. \\ 142-143^{\circ}C \ (from\ acetone/CCl_{4}).- \ ^{1}H\ NMR\ (80\ MHz,\ CDCl_{3}): \ \delta \ \pm\ 0.72\ (s,\ 3H,\ CH_{3}),\ 0.81\ (s,\ 6H,\ 2xCH_{3}), \\ 1.25\ (d,\ 3H,\ CH_{3}-16),\ 1.76\ (d,\ 3H,\ CH_{3}-17),\ 2.13-2.72\ (2H),\ 3.41-4.11\ (3H,\ 1H\ exchangeable\ with \\ D_{2}O,\ 13-H,\ 11-H\ and\ 13-OH),\ 5.63\ (m,\ w_{1/2}=10.9\ Hz,\ 1H,\ 7\mbox{-H}),\ 7.33-7.70\ (3H,\ Ar-H),\ 7.79-8.08\ (2H,\ Ar-H);\ J_{13,16}=6.0\ Hz,\ ^{4}J_{17,7}=2.0\ Hz.-\ IR\ (CCl_{4}):\ 3495\ (OH),\ 1295\ and\ 1140\ cm^{-1}\ (5O_{2}).-\ MS:\ m/z\ (x) \\ =\ 262\ (100,\ (M-PhSO_{2}H)^{+}),\ 218\ (87),\ 109\ (58),\ 94\ (86).-\ (Found\ C,\ 71.18;\ H,\ 8.93.\ C_{2}H_{36}O_{3}S\ (404.6) \\ requires\ C,\ 71.24;\ H,\ 8.97). \end{array}$

ent-(135)-11g-Benzenesulfonyl-13,14-seco-15,15-dinor-pimar-7-en-13-ol (32).

 $\begin{array}{l} \left(\alpha\right)_{D}^{20} = -18.1 \ (c\ 1.33 \ in\ CHCl_{3})_{-} & \mbox{The following anlytical data were obtained from (<math display="inline">\pm$)-32. M.p. 140-142°C (from acetone/CCl_4)_{-} $^{1}\mbox{H}\ NMR \ (80\ MHz,\ CDCl_{3}); \ \delta = 0.83 \ (s,\ 6H,\ 2xCH_{3}),\ 0.87 \ (s,\ 3H,\ CH_{3}), 1.12 \ (d,\ 3H,\ CH_{3}-17),\ 1.81 \ (broad s,\ W_{1/2}=4.0\ Hz,\ 3H,\ CH_{3}-14),\ 2.15-2.90 \ (2H),\ 3.48-4.10 \ (2H,\ 11-H\ and\ 13-H),\ 5.59 \ (m,\ W_{1/2}=12.0\ Hz,\ 1H,\ 7-H),\ 7.36-7.69 \ (3H,\ Ar-H),\ 7.80-8.05 \ (2H,\ Ar-H);\ J_{13,17}=7.0\ Hz.- IR \ (CCl_{4});\ 3650-3350 \ (0H),\ 1300 \ and\ 1140\ cm^{-1} \ (SO_{2})_{-} \ MS;\ m/z \ (\$) = 262 \ (100,\ (M-PhSO_{2}H)^{*}),\ 218 \ (69),\ 121 \ (45),\ 109 \ (51),\ 95 \ (55),\ 94 \ (55)_{-} \ (Found\ C,\ 71.30;\ H,\ 8.90.\ C_{24}H_{36}O_{3}S \ (404.6)\ requires C,\ 71.24;\ H,\ 8.97). \end{array}$

rac-(138)-%-Phenylselanyl-110-benzenesulfonyl-8,13-epoxy-13,14-seco-16,17-dinor-pimarene (29, ent-29).

To a solution of rac. 31 (40.0 mg, 0.099 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 ml) at -78°C a solution of NPSP (44.7 mg, 0.148 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 ml) and then $SnCl_4$ (57.8 µl of a 10 percent solution in CH_2Cl_2 , 0.049 mmol) were added. Within 2 h the mixture was permitted to warm to 20°C and was then stirred at this temperature for 90 min. Solvent removal (by passing argon over the surface) followed by SC (SiO₂ (5 g), hexanes-ethyl acetate 10:1) furnished rac-29 (48 mg, 87%).- M.p. 107-110°C (from acetone/hexame).- ¹H NMR (400 MHz, COSY, NDE, C_6D_6): $\delta = 0.73$ (s, 3H, CH_3 -19), 0.82 (s, 3H, CH_3 -20), 0.90 (s, 3H, CH₃-18), 1.02 (d, 3H, CH₃-15), 1.07-1.51 (9H, including 12'-H and 5-H), 1.92 (m, 1н, 6'-н), 2.00 (dd, 1н, 12-н), 2.10 (s. 1н, CH₃-14), 2.31 (m, 1н, 6-н), 2.56 (s, 1н, 9-н), 3.33 (d, 1H, 11-H), 3.95 (dd, 1H, 7-H), 4.31 (m, W_{1/2}=22.0 Hz, 1H, 13-H), 6.97 (6H, Ar-H), 7.50 (2H, Ar-H), 7.89 (2H, Ar-H); J_{15,13}=6.4 Hz, J_{11,12} =9.5 Hz, J_{7.6}+J_{7.6}= 7.0 Hz.- ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, DEPT, 1 H/ 1 ³C correlation, C₆D₆): δ = 18.33 (C-20), 18.84 (C-2), 22.35 (C-19), 23.06 (C-15), 26.36 (C-6), 27.36 (C-14), 28.88 (C-12), 33.33 (C-4), 33.64 (C-18), 39.52 (C-10), 40.24 and 41.42 (C-1 and C-3), 46.08 (C-9), 50.39 (C-5), 57.80 (C-7, J_{C,Se}=-68.2Hz), 59.51 (C-11), 62.77 (C-13), 76.57 (C-8), 127.11, 128.48, 129.23 (aromat. C's), 132.27 (aromat. C-Se), 133.07, 134.22 (aromat. C's), 141.90 (aromat. C-SO₂).- IR (CCl₄): 1580 (C=C), 1315, 1305 und 1145 cm⁻¹ (SO₂).- MS: m/z (\$) = 560 (8, M⁺), 403 (14), 251 (100), 205 (26).- (Found C, 64.43; H, 7.22. C₃₀H₄₀O₃SSe (559.7) requires C, 64.38; H, 7.20).

rac-(135)-7g-Phenylselanyl-11g-banzanesulfonyl-8,13-apoxy-13,14-seco-15,16-dinorpimarane (33, ent-33).

rac-32 (40.0 mg, 0.099 mmol) on reaction with NPSP (44.7 mg, 0.148 mmol) and SnCl₄ (10 percent solution in CH₂Cl₂, 57.8 µl, 0.049 mmol), exactly as described for rac-31, gave rac-33 (43.1 mg, 78%).- M.p. 192-193°C (from benzene).- ¹H NMR (400 MHz, COSY, NDE, C_6D_6): $\delta = 0.74$ (s, 3H, CH_3 -19), 0.78 (s, 3H, CH_3 -20), 0.86 (s, 3H, CH_3 -18), 0.98 (d, 1H, CH_3 -17), 1.04-1.61 (9H, including 5-H and 12'-H), 1.86 (s, 3H, CH_3 -14), 1.93 (m, 1H, 6'-H), 2.21 (2H, 6-H and 12-H), 2.57 (d, 1H, 9-H), 3.24

(dt, 1H, 11-H), 3.56 (m, $W_{1/2}=23.5$ Hz, 1H, 13-H), 3.91 (t, 1H, 7H), 6.94 (6H, Ar-H), 7.49 (2H, Ar-H), 7.62 (2H, Ar-H); $J_{17,13}=6.0$ Hz, $J_{9,11}=1.5$ Hz, $J_{11,123}=10.0$ Hz, $J_{7,6}+J_{7,6}$; =4.5 Hz.- IR (CHC1₃): 1580 (C=C), 1315, 1305 und 1150 cm⁻¹ (SO₂).- $C_{30}H_{40}O_3$ SSe (559.7), MS: m/z (\$) = 560 (5, M²), 403 (28), 261 (63), 205 (37), 43 (100).- (Found C, 66.08; H, 7.19. $C_{30}H_{40}O_3$ SSe x 0.5 C_6H_6 requires C, 66.20; H, 7.24).

rac-(13R)-11a-Benzenesulfony1-8,13-epoxy-13,14-seco-16,17-dinor-pimarane (30, ent-30).

A solution of rac-31 (37.5 mg, 0.093 mmol) in THF (0.5 ml) was added at 0°C to anhydrous mercury-(II) trifluoroacetate (79.2 mg, 0.186 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 20°C for 9 h. The reaction mixture was poured into an ice-cold solution which was prepared from 3M NaOH (2 ml) and 0.5^m NaBH₄ in 3M NaOH (0.5 ml). The mixture was then allowed to warm to 20°C. Usual work-up (diethyl ather) followed by SC (SiO₂ (5 g), hexanes-ethyl acetate 15:1) gave rac-30 (23.2 mg, 62%); 7.7 mg (20%) of rac. 31 were recovered.- M.p. 190-192°C (from acetone/hexane).- ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆O₆): δ = 0.80 (s, 3H, CH₃) 0.82 (s, 3H, CH₃), 0.84 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.08 (d, 1H, CH₃-15), 1.77 (s, 3H, CH₃-14), 1.96 (dd, 1H), 2.10 (m, 1H), 2.30 (s, 1H, 9-H), 3.32 (d, 1H, 11-H), 4.48 (m, W_{1/2}=22.5 Hz, 1H, 13-H), 6.98 (mk, 3H, Ar-H), 7.91 (2H, Ar-H); J_{15,13}=6.0 Hz, J_{11,120}=9.0 Hz.- IR {CCl₄}: 1315, 1305 and 1145 cm⁻¹ (SO₂).- MS: m/z (%) = 389 (6.3, (M-CH₃)*), 263 (11), 247 (100).- (Found C, 71.20; H, 8.98. C₂₄H₃₆O₃S (404.6) requires C, 71.24; H, 8.97).

rac-(135)-110-Benzenesulfony1-8,13-epoxy-8,14-seco-15,16-dinor-pimerane (34, ent-34).

rac-32 (42.5 mg, 0.105 mmol) on reaction with mercury(II) trifluoroacetate (90.0 mg, 0.211 mmol) exactly as described for 30, gave rac-34 (18.0 mg, 42%); 24.4 mg (57%) of rac-32 were recovered.-M.D. 186-189°C (from acetone/ hexane).- ¹H NMR (400 MHz, COSY, C_6O_6): $\delta = 0.78$ (dd, 1H, 5-H), 0.83 (s, 3H, CH₃), 0.84 (s, 3H, CH₃), 0.85 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.01 (d, 3H, CH₃-17), 1.10-1.43 (7H, including 12-H), 1.56 (s, 3H, CH₃-14), 2.02 (m, 1H), 2.20 (d, 1H, 9-H), 2.26 (m, 1H, 12'-H), 3.20 (ddd, 1H, 11-H), 3.48 (m, $W_{1/2}$ =25.0 Hz, 1H, 13-H), 6.95 (3H, Ar-H), 7.33 (2H, Ar-H); $J_{5,6}$ =2.0 Hz, $J_{5,6}$ =12.5 Hz, $J_{17,13}$ =6.0 Hz, $J_{11,9}$ =2.0 Hz, $J_{11,12}$ + $J_{11,12}$ =20.0 Hz.- IR (CC1₄): 1320, 1305 and 1150 cm⁻¹ (SO₂).- MS: m/z (\$) = 389 (11, (M-CH₃)⁺), 247 (100).- (Found C, 71.22; H, 9.00. C₂₄H₃₆O₃S (404.6) requires C, 71.24; H, 8.97).

30 from 29 and 34 from 33.

Solutions of small samples (5 mg, 8.9 μ mol) of rac-29 and rac-33 in toluene (0.2 ml), individually treated with tri-n-butyltin hydride (3.6 μ l, 13.4 μ mol) and catalytic amounts of AIBN, were heated to 110°C for 90 min. TLC analysis (hexames-ethyl acetate 5:1, 2x developed) indicated the formation of 30 and 34, respectively.

<u>Acknowledgements</u> - We wish to thank Dr. W. Dietrich, Dr. D. Müller, and their staffs for the NMR and mass spectra. Financial support by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the Minister für Wissenschaft und Forschung des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen (graduate fellowship to J. Sch.) is gratefully acknowledged.

References and Notes

- For a recent formal total synthesis, see F.E.Ziegler, B.H.Jaynes, and M.T.Saindane, J.Am.Chem. Soc. 109, 8115 (1987); c.f. F.E.Ziegler and B.H.Jaynes, Tetrahedron Lett. 29, 2031 (1988).
- For a comprehensive listing of previous synthetic studies on forskolin, see: ref. 1; c.f. also J.Scherkenbeck, D.Böttger, and P.Welzel, Tetrahedron 43, 3797 (1987); J.A.Oplinger and L.A.Paquette, Tetrahedron Lett. 28, 5441 (1987); J.Scherkenbeck, D.Böttger, and P.Welzel, Tetrahedron 44, 2439 (1988).
- 3) J.Scherkenbeck, W.Dietrich, D.Müller, D.Böttger, and P.Welzel, Tetrahedron 42, 5949 (1986). A microbial exidation of 1,9-dideexyforskelin to forskelin has meanwhile been reported by

S.R.Nadkarni, P.M.Akut, B.N.Ganguli, Y.Khandelwal, N.J. de Souza, R.H.Rupp,

- and H.U. Fehlhaber, Tetrahedron Lett. 27, 5265 (1986).
- Ch.Meister and H.-D. Scharf, Liebigs Ann.Chem. 1983, 913, c.f. D.Tanner and P.Somfai, Tetrahedron 42, 5985 (1986).
- 5) R.M.Hanson and K.8.Sharpless, J.Org.Chem. 51, 1922 (1986).
- 6) Review: A.Pfenniger, Synthesis 1986, 89.
- 7) J.A.Dale, D.L.Dull, and H.S.Mosher, J.Org.Chem. 34, 2543 (1969).
- 8) S.K.Chaudhary and O.Hernendez, Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 99.
- 9) D.Seebach, R.Naef, and G.Calderari, Tetrahedron 40, 1313 (1984).
- 10) N.M.Yoon, Ch.S.Pak, H.C.Brown, S.Krishnamurthy, and Th.P.Stocky, J.Org.Chem. 38, 2786 (1973).
- 11) Review: A.J.Mancuso and D.Swern, Synthesis 1981, 165.
- 12) S.Torii, K.Uneyama, J.Kawahara, and M.Kuyama, Chem.Lett. 1978, 455.
- 13) S.Terao, K.Kato, M.Shiraishi, and H.Morimoto, J.Cham.Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1978, 1101.
- 14) For reviews on synthetic applications of epoxides, see: A.S.Rao, S.K.Paknikar, and J.G.Kirtane, Tetrahedron 39, 2323 (1983); J.Corzynski Smith, Synthesis 1984, 629.
- 15) For a review on sulfone chemistry, see Ph.D.Magnus, Tetrahedron 33, 2019 (1977).
- 16) T.Nakata, K.Saito, and T.Oishi, Tetrahedron Lett. 27, 6345 (1986).
- 17) B.Achmatowicz, St.Marczak, and J.Wicha, J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Commun. 1987, 1226.
- 18) M.Caron and K.B.Sharpless, J.Org.Chem. 50, 1557 (1985).
- 19) Ch.Grack, P.Grice, St.V.Ley, and A.Wonnacott, Tetrahedron Lett. 27, 5277 (1986).
- 20) c.f. M.J.Eis, J.E.Wrobel, and B.Ganem, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 108, 3693 (1984); M.Yamaguchi and J.Hirao, Tetrahedron Lett. 24, 391 (1983).
- 21) D.Hoppe and F.Lichtenberg, Angew.Chem. 96, 241 (1984); Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.Engl. 23, 241 (1984).
- 22) E. van Hülsen and D.Hoppe, Tetrahedron Lett. 26, 411 (1985).
- 23) J.Scherkenbeck, Dissertation, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 1987.
- 24) The reaction of 19 with propylene oxide under the conditions reported by Torii et al.¹² Could not be repeated.²³
- 25) T.Kato, K.Ishii, I.Ichinose, Y.Nakai, and T.Kumagai, J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Commun. 1980, 1106.
- 26) M.Nishizawa, H.Takenaka, and Y.Hayashi, J.Org.Chem. 51, 806 (1986), and references therein.
- 27) J.E. McMurry and M.D.Erion, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 107, 2712 (1985).
- 28) J.D.White, R.W.Skesan, and G.L.Trammell, J.Org.Chem. 50, 1939 (1985), and references therein.
- 29) E.J.Corey and R.M.Burk, Tetrahedron Lett. 28, 6413 (1987).
- For a new approach in this field, see U.S.Johnson, St.J.Telfer, S.Cheng, and U.Schubert, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 109, 2517 (1987).
- 31) K.C.Nicolaou, Tetrahedron 37, 4097 (1981).
- 32) K.C.Nicolaou, N.A.Petasis, and D.A.Claremon, Tetrahedron 41, 4835 (1985).
- 33) E.S.Simon, G.M.Whitesides, D.C.Cameron, D.J.Weitz, and C.L.Cooney, J.Org.Chem. 52, 4042 (1987), and references therein.
- 34) Forskolin numbering.
- 35) ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR (DEPT technique³⁶), ¹H/¹H chemical shift correlation spectroscopy (COSY³⁷), ¹³C/¹H 2D shift correlation using large (¹J_C,^H) C,H couplings,³⁸ and ¹H/¹H nuclear Overhauser enhancement (NDE) difference spectroscopy.³⁹
- 36) M.R.Bendall, D.M.Doddrell, and D.T.Pegg, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 103, 4603 (1981); D.M.Doddrell, D.T.Pegg, and M.R.Bendall, J.Magn.Reson. 48, 323 (1982).
- 37) A.Bax, R.Freeman, and G.Morris, J.Magn.Reson. 42, 164 (1981); A.Bax and R.Freeman, ibid. 44, 542 (1981).
- 38) A.A.Maudsley, L.Müller, and R.R.Ernst, J.Magn.Reson. 28, 463 (1977); G.Bodenhausen and R.Freeman, ibid. 28, 471 (1977); A.Bax and G.A.Morris, ibid. 42, 501 (1981).
- 39) J.K.M.Sanders and J.D.Mersh, Prog.Nucl.Magn.Reson.Spectrosc. 15, 353 (1982).
- 40) H.J.Reich and J.E.Trend, J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Commun. 1978, 310; U.McFarlane, D.S.Rycroft, and Ch. J. Turner, Bull.Soc.Chim.Belg. 86, 457 (1977); H.C.E.McFarlane and U.McFarlane, in "NMR of Accessible Nuclei" ed. P.Laszlo, Academic Press, New York, 1983, vol. 1, p.275.
- c.f. P.Welzel, W.Holtmeier, and B.WeGling, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1978, 1327, and references therein.
- 42) Assigned on the basis of results reported by L.Crombie, R.W.King, and D.A.Uhiting, J.Chem.Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1975, 913.
- Signal assignments according to ref. ²⁶.
- 44) After completion of this menuscript two papers on forskolin total synthesis appeared:
 a) S.Hashimoto, S.Sakata, M.Sonegawa, and S.Ikegami, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 110, 3670 (1988);
 b) E.J.Corey, P. de Silva Jardine, and J.C.Rohloff, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 110, 3672 (1988).