

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Molecular Structure 794 (2006) 115-124

Journal of MOLECULAR STRUCTURE

www.elsevier.com/locate/molstruc

Spectroscopic properties of macrocyclic oligo(phenyldiacetylenes)-II. Synthesis and theoretical study of diacetylenic dehydrobenzoannulene derivatives with weak electron-donor and -acceptor groups[☆]

Boris Zimmermann^a, Goran Baranović^{a,*}, Zoran Štefanić^b, Marko Rožman^b

^a Department of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Rudjer Bošković Institute, P.O. Box 180, 10002 Zagreb, Croatia ^b Department of Physical Chemistry, Rudjer Bošković Institute, P.O. Box 180, 10002 Zagreb, Croatia

Received 30 December 2005; received in revised form 27 January 2006; accepted 30 January 2006 Available online 29 March 2006

Abstract

Diacetylenic dehydrobenzo[12]annulene and dehydrobenzo[18]annulene derivatives with electron-donor and -acceptor groups were synthesized (including push-pull Eglinton-Galbraith dimer derivative 1c) via an oxidative coupling reaction, and spectroscopically and structurally characterized. The solid-solid phase transition in 1b has been revealed at 45 °C by DSC measurements. Its room temperature crystal structure has been solved by X-ray diffraction measurements. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts, UV/vis and infrared absorption spectra and Raman scattering spectra have been analyzed by using ground-state DFT calculations. The strongest absorptions in the UV/vis spectra of 1 and 2 most probably are not due to the HOMO \rightarrow LUMO excitations but due to the (HOMO-1) \rightarrow LUMO and HOMO \rightarrow (LUMO + 1) excitations. The substitution effects on the electronic charge distribution of the all-carbon annulenic cores can be particularly well observed in the distribution of IR intensities in the region of acetylenic stretching vibrations. IR intensities are thus useful in studying the extent of resonance interactions also in acetylenic macrocycles.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Dehydrobenzoannulenes; Diphenyldiacetylenes; Aromaticity; Donor; Acceptor; Substituent effects; Resonance interaction; Vibrational spectroscopy

1. Introduction

Dehydrobenzoannulenes have the potential of forming expanded carbon-rich networks [1–5] potentially leading to the theoretically predicted carbon allotropes [6,7]. Regarding their highly conjugated structure, these compounds have intensively been studied [8–14] as promising candidates for innovative electronic and photonic materials [15]. The donor/ acceptor dehydrobenzo[18]annulenes attracted special interest given that this kind of push–pull systems is good candidates for use in non-linear optics [16,17]. At the same time, related donor/acceptor dehydrobenzo[12]annulenes remained unexplored with respect to their ability of providing a communication through the conjugated bridge. With that in mind we attempted to create in a similar way dimer derivatives with electron acceptor groups, as a first step in synthesis of a pushpull dimeric macrocycle.

Highly strained Eglinton–Galbraith dimer **1a** ($R_1 = R_2 = H$, Scheme 1) was synthesized more than 40 years ago [18], but until last decade it did not draw attention, mainly because of its high instability in the solid state [19]. However, different derivatives of this dimer were successfully synthesized showing much higher crystal stability [14,20,21]. Bunz and Enkelmann concluded that the solid-state reactivity of this dimer is not a property of the individual molecule due to the strained nature of the bent butadiyne bridges, but is merely guided by the packing arrangements of the divne groups [19]. Swager's group prepared derivatives of Eglinton-Galbraith dimer containing electron-donating alkyl and alkoxy groups [14] and the presence of long side-chains stabilized the crystal. In view of the fact that solubility of dehydrobenzoannulenes is decreasing with increasing molecule size [3], it would be of interest to create this kind of relatively small dehydrobenzoannulenes. Here, we report our results on the synthesis and characterization of dehydrobenzo[12]annulenes and dehydrobenzo[18]annulenes with ester and alkyl side-chains. An attempt has been consequently undertaken to understand

^{*} For part I see Ref. [37].

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +385 1 468 0116; fax: +385 1 468 0195. *E-mail address:* baranovi@irb.hr (G. Baranović).



the substitutional effects on electronic properties of acetylenic macrocycles.

2. Experimental

2.1. General

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 300 and Bruker Avance 600 spectrometer. The chemical shifts of NMR are expressed in ppm from TMS as determined with reference to the internal CHCl₃ and CDCl₃ (δ 7.26 ¹H NMR and δ 76.9 ¹³C NMR). UV/vis spectra were taken on a Varian Cary 50 spectrometer. Melting points were measured on an Olympus System Microscope BX51TF with Linkam hot stage. The Central Analytical Service, Rudjer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, performed elemental analyses. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Bomem MB102 spectrometer. Raman spectra were taken on a Bruker FT-Raman 106/S spectrometer (Faculty of Sciences, University of Zagreb). All mass spectra were obtained using a Finigan 2001 DD (Finigan, Madison, WI, USA) Fourier transform mass spectrometer equipped with a Nd: YAG Quanta Ray DCR-11 laser (Spectra-Physics Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA) emitting at $\lambda = 1064$ nm and a nitrogen laser (VSL 337 NSD, LSI Laser Science, Newton, USA) emitting at $\lambda = 337$ nm. Following a quench pulse, positive/negative ions were formed by a single laser pulse. All mass spectra were recorded at 100 µs time delay.

2.2. X-ray structural determination

Crystal data for **1b**: yellow crystals, $C_{64}H_{88}O_8$, M=985.34, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$ (no. 14), a=13.444 (4), b=9.352 (2), c=23.741 (9) Å, $\alpha=90.00$, $\beta=94.15$ (3), $\gamma=$ 90.00°, V=2977.1 (16) Å³, Z=2, $D_c=1.099$ gcm⁻³, T=293(2) K, μ (Cu–K α)=0.554 mm⁻¹, 6395 reflections measured, 6238 unique reflections ($R_{int}=0.019$), $R_w=0.190$ (all data), $R_1=0.0641$ ($I>2\sigma$). Intensities were measured on an Enraf Nonius CAD4 diffractometer, with graphite monochromated Cu K α radiation, wavelength 1.54180 Å, using the $\omega/2\theta$ scan technique. During data collections there were no significant variations in intensities of the three control reflections, which were measured every 120 min. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects [22]. The absorption correction was based on a ψ -scan of seven reflections. Structures were solved using WinGX package [23] and refined by the package sheLxL97 [24]. Molecular geometry calculations and illustrations of the crystal packing were prepared by PLATON98 [25]. Atomic scattering factors were those included in sHELXL97. Crystallographic data excluding structure factors for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication number CCDC 216872. Copies of data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: +44 1223 336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

2.3. Computational

Full geometry optimization and calculations of vibrational frequencies and IR intensities were carried out at a B3LYP level of DF theory with a $6-31+G^*$ basis set. All the calculations were performed with the GAUSSIAN03 software package [26]. The chosen basis set when used with the chosen functional for the calculations of geometry and vibrational frequencies of hydrocarbons has been described as adequate [27].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. DSC measurements

Compounds **1b–c** are bright yellow solids and can be stored for weeks at 4 °C without decomposition. With decomposition that began above 50 °C donor/acceptor-substituted macrocycle **1c** showed lowest thermal stability in comparison to alkyl derivative **1d** (decomposition around 100 °C) [14] and ester



Fig. 1. Infrared spectra of 1b at 30 and 50 °C.

derivative **1b**. Differential scanning calorimetry showed that decomposition of **1b** began above 70 °C. This is an extremely exothermic process (enthalpy value of 426 kJ/mol) occurring over a relatively narrow 15–20 °C range ($w^{1/2} = 6$ °C). Similar to the long chain alkyl derivative **1d**, the compound **1b** shows an additional endothermic peak at 45 °C presumably due to crystal-to-crystal phase transition. This transition is obvious if we compare IR spectra of the compound **1b** at 30 and 50 °C, particularly the carbonyl band and 1250 cm⁻¹ regions (Fig. 1).

3.2. X-ray crystallography

The crystal structure of **1b** was solved by X-ray diffractometry on single crystals obtained from dichloromethane. The butadiyne bridges show expected deviation from linearity (Table 1) in agreement with the values described in the literature [14]. The shortest contacts of an annulene ring are found with the carbonyl oxygens of the two alkyl chains lying in the plane of the ring. Any of the annulene rings is thus parallel to the chains of the neighboring molecules (Fig. 2) and not to adjacent rings contrary to the packing of Eglinton-Galbraith dimer **1a** $(R_1=R_2=H)$ [19], its alkyl [14], alkynyl [13] and fluoro derivatives [21], where the parallel stacking of planar annulene rings determines the crystal structure. Half of the chains are laid out in the all-trans (planar zig-zag) conformation, while the other half exhibit gauche deformation beginning with the oxygen atom. Although the gauche conformation is thermodynamically less favored it enables the ester chain to be parallel to the adjacent chain thus leading to the optimal crystal packing. The angles for the two adjacent (CO)OC groups relative to the annulene plane are 31 and 52° (calculated values are 22 and 26°, respectively), which is significant. As a consequence of the deviation from planarity the (CO)OC π -molecular orbitals will remain localized with minimal overlap with the annulene π -orbitals. Thus, only the intramolecular interaction between the diyne units is possible and since the distance between the a-type carbons (Scheme 1) is only 3.232 Å, the intramolecular cyclization is highly probable at higher temperatures.

The agreement between the X-ray structure and the B3LYP/6-31+G* optimized bond lengths is reasonable (Table 1) although the triple bond length is systematically overestimated by 0.02 Å, while the central C–C bond length is calculated shorter by the same value. As a measure of the ring deformation due to the substitution that preserves C_2 symmetry of a benzene ring the quantity $\delta = (1/2)(HM - JK + (HI + LM)/2 - (IJ + KL)/2)$ might be useful (Scheme 1). Its non-zero value certainly is a consequence of the HM bond elongation due to the strained nature of the [12] annulenic ring. However, according to calculations the value of δ even for the unstrained **2a** should be different from zero and close to the value calculated for the strained **1a**.

Table 1

Selected bond distances and angles for some macrocyclic oligo(phenyldiacetylene) structures

Bond/ Angle ^a	1a		1a		1b	1b	2a	2b	2e	$\mathbf{dpb}^{\mathrm{b}}$
	Obs. ^c	Obs. ^d	Obs. ^e	Calc.	Obs.	Calc.	Calc.	Calc.	Calc.	Obs.
CD	1.430	1.429	1.437	1.425	1.438	1.424	1.417	1.416	1.417	1.421
DE	1.190	1.218	1.196	1.224	1.196	1.223	1.223	1.223	1.224	1.199
EF	1.381	1.368	1.380	1.363	1.376	1.362	1.358	1.357	1.358	1.374
FG	1.186	1.217			1.196					
GH	1.446	1.428			1.438					
HM	1.364	1.401	1.414	1.439	1.419	1.438	1.429	1.428	1.425	_
δ^{f}	_	0.007	0.032	0.024	0.011	0.016	0.019	0.013	0.011	-
CDE	166.4	165.7	165.3		166.1	164.3				
DEF	167.0	167.3	167.3		168.5	167.6				
EFG		166.9			167.6	167.7				
FGH		163.9			166.9	164.2				
GHM	117.6	117.0	117.3	117.9	116.9	118.1				

^a CD is a bond length (Å) between atoms C and D, etc. (Scheme 1).

^b Diphenyldiacetylene crystal structure [29]. The crystal structures of unsymmetrically substituted dehydrobenzo[18]annulenes are known [16] and are unsuitable for comparison with the calculated molecular structures.

^c This is a dehydro[12]annulene molecule with -C=C-Si(iPr)₃ groups instead of hydrogens [28].

^d See Tovar et al. [13] for the structure of a dehydrobenzo[12] annulene molecule where all hydrogens have been replaced by the $-C \equiv C - tBu$ groups.

^e Ref. [21].

^f $\delta = (1/2)(HM - JK + (HI + LM)/2 - (IJ + KL)/2)$ (Scheme 1).



Fig. 2. ORTEP packing diagram of 1b showing the unit cell structure.

3.3. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra

The anti-aromatic nature of dehydrobenzo[12]annulene ring as well as aromatic nature of dehydrobenzo[18]annulene ring was confirmed by comparing their ¹H NMR spectra with spectra of acyclic diethynylbenzenes 3 (see Supplementary material) and unsubstituted 1a [30] and 2a [31,32] (Scheme 1 and Table 2). The arene protons of dimers 1 undergo a 0.5 ppm upfield (paratropic) shifts relative to 3, while trimeric macrocycles 2 show 0.2 ppm downfield (diatropic) shifts. As already speculated [32] the weakness in diatropicity and paratropicity of these macrocycles might be due to benzannelation. However, if these systems are able to sustain the circular current only as a single loop of electrons (no current through the bonds shared by the two types of rings) it is then more correct to ask whether the unsubstituted octadehydrodibenzo[12]annulene system is aromatic or not and analogously for the unsubstituted dodecadehydrotribenzo[18]annulene system. In other words, the central annulenic ring should not be treated as a separate entity when the fused benzene rings are present.

The observed ¹³C NMR spectra (Supplementary material) show that all the compounds, **1b–d** and **2b–e**, possess in solution at least an effective C_2 symmetry. The calculated **1c** structure has no such symmetry (when, for example, Mulliken atomic charges are viewed) although the alkyl half of the molecule locally has the C_2 symmetry axis along the long

Table 2

 $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR data of arene protons of 1,~2 and 3 (Scheme A1 in Supplementary material) in CDCl_3

Molecule	$\delta { m H}'$	$\delta H''$	$\delta H'''$	
3c	7.29			
3f	7.83			
1a ^a	7.06			
1b	7.28			
1c	6.78	7.23		
1d	6.76			
2a ^b	7.68			
2b	8.02			
2c	7.49	7.97	8.01	
2d	7.96	7.46	7.45	
2e	7.44			

^a Ref. [30].

^b Refs. [5,31].

molecular axis. Similarly the atomic charges in the structures **2c** and **2d** are sensitive to the torsional angles of the ester groups while the observed ¹³C NMR shifts indicate a C_2 -axis lying in the molecular plane.

3.4. UV/vis spectra

Before starting any discussion of the recorded UV/vis spectra it is instructive to analyze the molecular orbital plots particularly when charge transfer transitions are suspected [33]. The molecular and accompanying electronic structures have here been predicted by using DFT and the subsequent discussion implies (a) that the Kohn-Sham molecular orbitals are similar to the Hartree-Fock orbitals and (b) the acceptance of the possibility to interpret excited electronic states only in terms of singly excited configurations formed from the Kohn-Sham orbitals. The central benzoannulenic core in 1a, 1b and 1d obviously has D_{2h} symmetry, while in 1c this symmetry is weakly perturbed. Therefore, the one-electron HOMO (B2g)-LUMO (B_{1g}) transition, $A_{1g} \rightarrow B_{3g}$ (the long molecular axis is z-axis while the x-axis is perpendicular to the molecular plane), is forbidden in the former three compounds while only weakly allowed in the latter (Fig. 3). Similarly, the central benzoannulenic core in 2a, 2b and 2e obviously has D_{3h} symmetry, while in 2c and 2d this symmetry is weakly perturbed (Fig. 4). The HOMO and LUMO are both degenerate E'' orbitals and by promoting single electron four different electronic states are formed, $A_1' + A_2' + E'$, where only the transition $A_1' \rightarrow E'$ is allowed that is not necessarily the lowest.

The electronic absorption spectra of 1 (Fig. 5, Tables 3 and 4) exhibit the characteristic pattern found for dehydrobenzoannulene cores (1a in [18], alkoxy derivatives of 1a in [34] and 2a in [5]). The spectra of 1 are dominated by the band at ~310 nm which presents a shift of ~40 nm in comparison with the average location of the most prominent doublet in the spectrum of, for example, **3f**. The corresponding shift for 2 is ~65 nm. In comparison with electron-donating derivatives, the UV/vis spectra of ester macrocycles 1b and 2b showed small but distinct red shift (ca. 8 nm). The alkyl/estersubstituted [12]annulene 1c showed two new absorption bands at 307 and 326 nm (Fig. 5). Given that the compound 1c is donor/acceptor substituted π -conjugated system it is



Fig. 3. LUMO plots (up) and HOMO plots (down) of 1a-1d.



Fig. 4. Plots of degenerate LUMOs (up) and HOMOs (down) of 2a, 2b, 2c and 2e (2d not shown in order to save space).

reasonable to assume that absorption band at 326 nm originates from intramolecular charge-transfer (ICT) transition, and thus should show larger solvatochromic shift than other bands in the spectrum of 1c. We measured UV/vis spectra of 1c in pentane, dichloromethane and 1-decanol (solubility problems precluded measurements in more polar solvents), but the observed shift of only ~ 5 nm (Fig. 6), although in the right direction and larger than for the other bands, is too small to be unambiguously attributed to an ICT band. The electron-donating/accepting abilities of the chosen substituents are known to be only weak to moderate but nevertheless, small effects of the ICT in the UV/vis spectra where the general dehydrobenzo[12]annulenic pattern dominates have certainly been observed. The molecular orbital plots (Fig. 3) are fully in accord with these experimental findings. Strong uniform delocalization across the benzoannulenic core present in both the HOMO and LUMO of 1a and 1d confirms the minor influence of the alkyl substitutions on the HOMO-LUMO transition. The LUMO of the alkyl/ester 1c exhibits slight charge transfer from the alkyl to the ester substituents with the central part unchanged.

The spectra of the alkyl/ester-substituted [18]annulenes 2c and 2d showed the same pattern for the dehydrobenzo[18]annulene core with four diagnostic peaks [16] and with the end absorption point extended about 10 nm more than 2b. With respect to the parent compound 2a ($R_1=H$, $R_2=H$), the spectrum of 2e is red shifted by only 8 nm, while the spectra of 2b, 2c and 2d (practically coinciding with each other) are red shifted by 16 nm. The doublet of bands at 359 and 369 nm in unsubstituted 2a is preserved in substituted derivatives but changes its appearance and the two components are not always well resolved [16]. Thus, the second component is easily confused with the very weak band found at 392 nm in 2e and at 405 nm in 2b, 2c and 2d. This transition is observable even in unsubstituted 2 at \sim 390 nm [5]. Although the alkyl/ester groups in macrocycles 2c and 2d do not significantly change electronic absorption spectra, it seems that the observed

changes go along with those found in previously published donor/acceptor dehydrobenzo[18]annulenes where aniline and nitro groups were used [16]. The molecular orbital plots (Fig. 4) are consistent with these experimental observations. In the fully alkyl substituted **2e** the HOMO and LUMO are unchanged relative to the parent **2a**. Some charge rearrangements are seen in **2c** but again due to the forbidden character of the lowest transitions it is not possible to associate them with the shifts in experimental absorption maxima.

Analogously to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, electronic bands of 1 and 2 are expected to show considerable vibrational fine structure because the chromophores are planar [28]. The bands at 293 and 313 nm (average values) in 1 are separated by 2181 cm^{-1} and this value should correspond to the triple bond stretching vibration in an electronically excited state. Since, this is close to the values measured for the ground state it might be an indication for the slightly changed geometry of the all-carbon core in the excited state. Since, the calculated lowest absorption should roughly be 100 nm longer than the HOMO-LUMO gap, weak bands around 520 nm for 1 and 490 nm for 2 should be looked for. However, no data is available on the ionization potentials of our compounds to assess the value of the HOMO-LUMO gap and thus the regions of end absorptions. The HOMO-LUMO gap in [12]annulenes is smaller than in [18]annulenes (Tables 3 and 4) and this would cause the strong red shift of the end absorption of [12]annulenes as compared to [18]annulenes [28]. However, this is correct only if very weak, barely observable absorptions at ≈ 410 and ≈ 450 nm (not listed in Table 3) are taken as the real end absorptions in [12]annulenes. No such very weak absorptions above ≈ 405 nm could have been found in the [18]annulenes. Thus, the strongest absorptions in the UV/vis spectra of 1 and 2 most probably are not due to the HOMO \rightarrow LUMO excitations but due to the $(HOMO-1) \rightarrow LUMO \text{ and } HOMO \rightarrow (LUMO+1) \text{ excitations.}$



Fig. 5. Electronic absorption spectra of 1 and 2 in CHCl₃.

3.5. IR and Raman spectra

As it is very well known the $C \equiv C$ absorption in disubstituted acetylenes is mainly affected by the resonance interactions of the substituents with the triple bond ([35] and references therein). In the present case, we are dealing with systems possessing several coupled diacetylenic bridges and it

is reasonable to expect similar behavior. Alkyl groups are all weak resonance donors, while ester groups are weak acceptors and redistribution of π -electron charges in the annulenic core including benzene rings will certainly take place upon substitution. The correlation with Mulliken charges might be useful [35]. The sum of Mulliken charges on all carbon atoms of the benzoannulenic system show that the all-carbon core has donated electrons to alkyl and ester substituents (Tables 5 and 6). This is opposite to what has already been concluded that the all-carbon cores in the systems without fused benzene rings are strong electron acceptors [36]. Unfortunately the calculated charges have not been partitioned into π - and σ -electron charges since only the former are relevant for the resonance effects. The main differences are found for the benzene ring atoms A and B, while other atoms, C, ..., H, seem to be well protected from the substituents by the same benzene ring.

Assuming D_{2h} symmetry for a [12]annulene ring, there are four acetylenic bond stretchings, $A_g + B_{1g} + B_{2u} + B_{3u}$, i.e. only two of them are expected to be IR active. Vibrational spectra were calculated for molecules having -CH3 group instead of - $C_{10}H_{21}$. The influence of such modifications in this spectral region, i.e. on the stretching vibrations of the annulenic core, is negligible. The agreement of not only wavenumbers but also relative IR intensities as well with the observed spectra is rather satisfactory (Fig. 7 and Table 7). While the B_{2n} transition remains equally IR active independently of the substitution, the B_{3u} vibration is getting weaker when the acceptor groups replace the donor groups. This is an indication that its intensity should be correlated with the resonance interaction. The atom displacements in the B_{3u} mode are described as simultaneous elongation of the DE and QP bonds accompanied with the contraction of the FG and ON bonds (Scheme 1). The existence of the permanent molecular dipole moment in 1c along the long molecular axis means that the strong absorption for the totally symmetric mode should occur. Unfortunately the spectra are not of high enough resolution to confirm the prediction that the strongest band in 1c should actually be the symmetric acetylenic stretching. They are also showing two acetylenic IR bands at ~2195 and ~2125 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 7). A very strong band corresponding to the totally symmetric $C \equiv C$ stretching vibration is present at 2191 cm^{-1} in the Raman spectra of 1 (Table 7). The other Raman active $C \equiv C$ stretching modes are weak as in the spectra of alkyl derivatives [37]. Both bands are found in the infrared spectrum of Eglinton-Galbraith dimer 1 (R=H) at 2187 and 2116 cm^{-1} with the same wavenumber difference of 70 cm^{-1} . Contrary to that, in the IR spectra of alkyl derivatives the intensity difference between the two acetylenic bands is getting greater with increasing the size of alkyl substituents and in the spectrum of 1d the second band cannot be determined [37]. Intensity of the latter band is especially large in the spectra of ester dimers 1a and 1b. Larger intensity of the band at 2195 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of donor/ acceptor 1c is clearly visible (Fig. 7). All dimeric compounds 1 show an infrared band at 480 cm^{-1} , which already was described as a characteristic band of the octadehydrodibenzo[12]annulene ring [37].

Table 3								
Observed	UV/vis	spectra	(λ_{max})	in	nm)	of 1	(CHO	$Cl_3)$

1a ^a	1f	1b	1c	1d	Average
252		≈274		262.0	263 ± 11
269	277.8	278.2	276.8	275.0	275 ± 6
285	297.0	296.8	296.2	292.1	293 ± 8
			307.0		307
304	316.2	316.9	316.0	311.3	313 ± 9
363	349.2	≈350	325.7		350 ± 14
		≈363			363
	376.9	377.0	375.9	368.9	375 ± 6
402 ^b		430	428	410	418 ± 16

^a 1a and 2a refer to the unsubstituted dehydrobenzo[12]annulene and -[18]annulene, respectively. Observed λ_{max} for 1a from Ref. [18].

^b The last row gives the HOMO–LUMO gaps (B3LYP/6-31+G*, in nm).

Table 4 Observed UV/vis spectra (λ_{max} in nm) of **2** (CHCl₃)

2a ^a	2f	2b	2c	2d	2e	Average
266	275.8	275.9	282.5	278.4	271.3	275±7
280	293.0	293.2	296.0	294.9	288.0	291 ± 5
309	326.1	325.8	327.7	326.5	317.8	322 ± 5
					≈325	325
330	349.6	349.6	349.3	344.3	339.5	344 ± 5
					≈350	350
359	376.8	376.1	378.7	376.4	367.1	372 ± 6
369	387.1	387.1	387.1	384.8	377.9	382 ± 5
		≈405	405.8	405.8	≈393	406 ± 10
355 ^b		380	398	396	360	378 ± 20

^a Observed λ_{max} for **2a** from Ref. [3]. Very similar to **2e** but uniformly downshifted by ≈ 10 nm.

^b HOMO–LUMO gap (B3LYP/6-31+G* in nm).

Among the vibrations of the [18]annulene ring of D_{3h} symmetry there are six triple bond stretchings, $A_1' + A_2' + 2E'$. Only degenerate vibrations should show some IR activity while A1' and E' vibrations are Raman active. According to calculations two pairs should be apart by around 70 cm^{-1} . In the Raman spectra of 2 (Table 8) there are two extremely strong bands at 2216 and 2198 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the doubly degenerate and totally symmetric $C \equiv C$ stretchings, respectively, (the former band was omitted in the previous paper [37]). In the infrared spectra of trimeric compounds 2 (Table 7) there are three acetylenic bands at ~ 2215 , ~ 2200 and $\sim 2150 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Since, the band at 2147 cm⁻¹ does not appear to be split, one component of the doublet observed at around 2210 cm^{-1} could originate from the strongly Raman active A_1' vibration or be a consequence of crystal packing. The doublet is present even in the spectra of symmetrically substituted compounds. While the third band is not found in the spectrum of 2d its intensity is much larger in the spectra of ester derivatives 2b. As expected, intensity of the acetylenic bands in the spectra of donor/acceptor trimers 2c and 2d is considerably larger. The vibrational spectra have been recorded only for the solid phase and the influence of the intermolecular interactions on the vibrational intensities cannot be excluded. However, since the annulenic cores are surrounded by bulky substituents the observed intensity changes actually prove that substituents induce significant intramolecular charge redistribution in the annulene bridges

leading to the changed bond polarities, i.e. enhanced IR activity of some C=C stretching modes. As for the dimers here again one band might be chosen that best correlates with the resonance interaction. According to the calculated and observed trends in IR intensities, the lower of the two degenerate pairs seems to be most appropriate for the characterization of resonance interactions and the reason being the same as for the B_{3u} vibrations of the dimers. The atom displacements in either of the two lower E' modes are described as simultaneous elongation of the **DE** and **QP** bonds accompanied with the contraction of the **FG** and **ON** bonds



Fig. 6. Electronic absorption spectra of 1c in different solvents.

Table 5	
Mulliken charges of selected atoms in 1 (Scheme	1)

1a		1b ^a		1d ^b		
Atom	Charge	Atom	Charge	Atom	Charge	
A, J	-0.073	А	0.638	A, J	0.600	
B, I	-0.218	В	0.136	B, I	-0.178	
С, Н	-0.351	С	-0.441	С, Н	-0.617	
D, G	-0.256	D	-0.416	D, G	-0.407	
E, F	0.502	Е	0.536	E, F	0.489	
		F	0.567			
		G	-0.446			
		Н	-0.468			
		Ι	0.223			
		J	-0.394			
Sum ^c	-1.584		-0.130		-0.452	
Δ^d	0		1.454		1.132	

^a The calculated 1b structure has C_2 symmetry with the axis perpendicular to the annulenic plane.

^b The calculated **1d** structure has D_{2h} symmetry.

^c The sum of Mulliken charges on all the carbon atoms of the benzoannulenic core.

^d The difference between the sums of Mulliken charges.

Table 6	5
---------	---

Mulliken charges of selected atoms in 2 (Scheme 1)

2a		2b		2e	
Atom	Charge	Atom	Charge	Atom	Charge
A, J	0.038	А	0.225	A, J	0.585
B, I	-0.958	В	0.168	B, I	-0.619
С, Н	0.443	С	0.122	С, Н	-0.292
D, G	-0.985	D	-1.202	D,G	-1.018
E, F	1.062	Е	1.052	E, F	1.070
		F	1.101		
		G	-1.224		
		Н	0.005		
		Ι	0.192		
		J	-0.680		
		K	0.225		
		L	0.168		
Sum ^a	-2.400		0.456		-1.644
Δ^{b}	0		2.856		0.756

^a The sum of Mulliken charges on all the carbon atoms of the benzoannulenic core.

^b The difference between the sums of Mulliken charges.



Fig. 7. Observed (black) IR acetylenic bands of 1 and 2 normalized relative to common alkyl band at 2920 cm⁻¹ (KBr pellets) and calculated (gray).

Table 7
Observed and calculated wavenumbers and IR intensities of 1 in the region of acetylenic stretchings

1a		1b			1c			1d		
IR ^a	Calc. ^b	IR ^c	R ^d	Calc. ^b	IR ^c	R ^d	Calc. ^b	IR ^c	R^d	Calc. ^b
	2210 Ag 0.0		2198s	2209 A 0.0	2192s	2191s	2208 A 83.6		2198s	2208 Ag 0.0
2187s	2201 B _{2u} 14.6	2194m ^e		2200 B 20.0	sh		2198 A 20.0	2189s		2199 B _{2u} 20.5
	2140 B _{1g} 0.0		2136w	2141 A 0.0		2133w	2139 A 2.2		2128w	2138 B _{1g} 0.0
2116m	2130 B _{3u} 17.3	2127s		2131 B 52.9	2121w		2129 A 24.5	_f		2127 B _{3u} 6.9

^a Ref. [18].

^b Scaled by 0.963 [37].

^c IR (KBr).

^d Raman (powder).

^e s, strong in this region; m, medium; w, weak; sh, shoulder.

^f Not observed.

Table 8 Observed and calculated wavenumbers and IR intensities of **2** in the region of acetylenic stretchings

2a		2b			2c			2d			2e		
IR ^{a,b}	Calc. ^c	IR ^a	R ^d	Calc.	IR ^a	R ^d	Calc.	IR ^a	R ^d	Calc.	IR ^a	R ^d	Calc.
2207s	2221 E' 2.7 2221 E' 2.7	2216w 2204w	2218m	2220 E 12.8 2220 E 12.8 2104 A	2214m	2216m	2219 A 15.6 2218 B 37.6	2214sh		2219 A 43.5 2217 A 41.6	2214m 2198s	2214m	2218 E' 3.1 2218 E' 3.1
	2195 A ₁ ' 0.0 2153 A ₂ ' 0.0 2147 E' 8.3 2147 E'	2148s	2201s	2194 A 0.0 2154 A 0.0 2148 E 35.4 2148 E	2200m 2146w	2199s	2192 A 84.8 2153 B 6.8 2147 B 32.6 2147 A	2198s		2192 A 120.1 2152 A 2.1 2146 A 14.0 2145 A	2146w	2197s	2192 A ₁ ' 0.0 2151 A ₂ ' 0.0 2146 E' 1.8 2146 E'
	8.3			35.4			8.4			4.9			1.8

^a IR (KBr).

^b No other bands listed [5].

^c Scaled by 0.963 [37].

^d Raman (powder).

while the carbon atoms of the third acetylenic bridge are practically motionless (Scheme 1). However, the most sensitive again is the totally symmetric mode A_1' which causes the greatest changes of the permanent dipole moment.

The strongest Raman modes in **1c**, **2c** and **2d** also exhibit strong IR activity and therefore, these compounds are expected to have large vibrational hyperpolarizibilities [15]. The same mode is also expected to be strongly coupled with the first allowed electronic transition. It is now obvious that the totally symmetrical acetylenic stretching in either case is an effective conjugation coordinate [38].

4. Conclusions

In summary, we have reported the preparation, characterization and structure determination of the Eglinton–Galbraith dimer derivatives with electron acceptor (**1b**) and donor (**1d**) groups, and analogous trimeric macrocycles **2b** and **2e**, along with donor/acceptor substituted macrocycles **1c**, **2c** and **2d**. Using the intermolecular route [16] we have nevertheless, succeeded in obtaining the product of $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry in macroscopic amounts. Contrary to the previous research [14] we conclude that dimeric compounds are in the solid phase predominantly stabilized by bulky substituents whereas the donor or acceptor nature of substituents has far less influence. According to our knowledge dimeric macrocycle 1c is the first example of push-pull Eglinton-Galbraith dimer derivative. Although IR spectra of the compounds 1c, 2c and 2d show significant intensity, i.e. charge redistribution in the benzoannulenic ring, it is not sufficient to induce dramatic shifts in the corresponding UV/vis spectra. Since, the extreme polarization of the conjugated backbone is present in some push-pull dehydrobenzo[18]annulenes [16], it seems that lack of its occurrence in the case of our compounds can be attributed to weak electron-donor and -acceptor properties of alkyl and ester substituents. Similar level of annulenic ring polarization in the dimer 1c and trimers 2c and 2d therefore, suggests that substantial polarization of the conjugated backbone in the dimeric dehydrobenzo[12]annulene could be induced by other electron-donor and -acceptor groups (specifically, amino and nitro groups), thus creating good candidates for use as novel photonic materials. Most important advantage of dehydrobenzo[12]annulenes over trimeric dehydrobenzo[18]annulenes is their greater solubility which is very important regarding

common poor solubility of dehydrobenzoannulenes. On the other hand, even though these dimeric macrocycles are quite stable at room temperature, they are decomposing more rapidly and at much lower temperatures than their trimeric counterparts [14,16].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Sport of the Republic of Croatia (Project no. 0098057). The generous supply of experimental time on the Raman spectrometer by Prof. Z. Meić (Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Zagreb) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data (synthesis and full characterization of all compounds) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2006.01.049. [39]

References

- [1] J.A. Marsden, M.M. Haley, J. Org. Chem. 70 (2005) 10213.
- [2] W. Brad Wan, M.M. Haley, J. Org. Chem. 66 (2001) 3893.
- [3] W. Brad Wan, S.C. Brand, J.J. Pak, M.M. Haley, Chem. Eur. J. 6 (2000) 2044.
- [4] U.H.F. Bunz, Y. Rubin, Y. Tobe, Chem. Soc. Rev. 28 (1999) 107.
- [5] M.M. Haley, S.C. Brand, J.J. Pak, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 36 (1997) 836.
- [6] R.H. Baughman, H. Eckhardt, M. Kertesz, J. Chem. Phys. 87 (1987) 6687.
- [7] F. Diederich, Nature 369 (1994) 199.
- [8] M.M. Haley, Synth. Lett. (1998) 557.
- [9] M.M. Haley, J.J. Pak, S.C. Brand, Topp. Curr. Chem. 201 (1999) 81.
- [10] J.A. Marsden, G.J. Palmer, M.M. Haley, Eur. J. Org. Chem. (2003) 2355.[11] J. Anthony, A.M. Boldi, C. Boudon, J.P. Gisselbrecht, M. Gross, P. Seiler,
- C.B. Knobler, F. Diederich, Helv. Chim. Acta 78 (1995) 797. [12] T. Nishinaga, Y. Miyata, N. Nodera, K. Komatsu, Tetrahedron 60 (2004)
- 3375.
- [13] J.D. Tovar, N. Jux, T. Jarrosson, S.I. Khan, Y. Rubin, J. Org. Chem. 63 (1998) 4856.
- [14] Q. Zhou, P.J. Carroll, T.M. Swager, J. Org. Chem. 59 (1994) 1294.
- [15] X. Zhou, A.-M. Reu, J.-K. Feng, X.-J. Liu, Can. J. Chem. Rev. Can. Chim. 82 (2004) 1172.
- [16] J.J. Pak, T.J.R. Weakley, M.M. Haley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121 (1999) 8182.

- [17] S.A. Sarkar, J.J. Pak, G.W. Rayfield, M.M. Haley, J. Mater. Chem. 11 (2001) 2943.
- [18] O.M. Behr, G. Eglinton, A.R. Galbraith, R.A. Raphael, J. Chem. Soc. (1960) 3614.
- [19] U.H.F. Bunz, V. Enkelmann, Chem. Eur. J. 5 (1999) 263.
- [20] T. Nishinaga, H. Nakayama, N. Nodera, K. Komatsu, Tetrahedron Lett. 39 (1998) 7139.
- [21] T. Nishinaga, N. Nodera, Y. Miyata, K. Komatsu, J. Org. Chem. 67 (2002) 6091.
- [22] K. Harms, S. Wocadlo, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany, 1995.
- [23] L.J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 32 (1999) 837.
- [24] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELX97: Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures, Universität of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- [25] A.L. Spek, PLATON98: A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool 101201 Version, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2001.
- [26] M.J. Frisch, G.W. Trucks, H.B. Schlegel, G.E. Scuseria, M.A. Robb, J.R. Cheeseman, J.A. Montgomery, Jr., T. Vreven, K.N. Kudin, J.C. Burant, J.M. Millam, S.S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G.A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J.E. Knox, H.P. Hratchian, J.B. Cross, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R.E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A.J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J.W. Ochterski, P.Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G.A. Voth, P. Salvador, J.J. Dannenberg, V.G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A.D. Daniels, M.C. Strain, O. Farkas, D.K. Malick, A.D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J.B. Foresman, J.V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A.G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B.B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R.L. Martin, D.J. Fox, T. Keith, M.A. Al-Laham, C.Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P.M.W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M.W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J.A. Pople, GAUSSIAN 03, Revision C.02, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2004.
- [27] W. Koch, M.C. Holthausen, A Chemist's Guide to Density Functional Theory, second ed., Wiley–VCH, New York, 2001.
- [28] J. Anthony, C.B. Knobler, F. Diederich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 32 (1993) 406.
- [29] F.R. Fronczek, M.S. Erickson, J. Chem. Cryst. 25 (1995) 737.
- [30] L. Guo, J.D. Bradshaw, C.A. Tessier, W.J. Youngs, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1994) 243.
- [31] J. Juselius, D. Sundholm, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 3 (2001) 2433.
- [32] A.J. Boydston, M.M. Haley, Org. Lett. 3 (2001) 3599.
- [33] J.A. Marsden, J.J. Miller, L.D. Shirtcliff, M.M. Haley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127 (2005) 2464.
- [34] M.E. Gallagher, J.E. Anthony, Tetrahedron Lett. 42 (2001) 7533.
- [35] A.R. Katritzky, R.D. Topsom, Chem. Rev. 77 (1977) 639.
- [36] F. Mitzel, C. Boudon, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, M. Gross, F. Diederich, Chem. Commun. (2002) 2318.
- [37] N. Biliškov, B. Zimmermann, G. Baranović, J. Mol. Struct. 661 (2003) 65.
- [38] M. Tommasini, C. Castiglioni, M. Del Zoppo, G. Zerbi, J. Mol. Struct. 480/481 (1999) 179.
- [39] Q. Zhou, T.M. Swager, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 12593.