Aerial Oxidation of 2,2-Dibromo-1-Aryl and Heteroaryl Ethanones: A Facile Synthesis of Aryl and Heteroaryl α-Keto Amides

D. Shanmugapriya,^a R. Shankar,^a G. Satyanarayana,^a Vilas H. Dahanukar,^a U. K. Syam Kumar,^{*a} N. Vembu^b

^a Technology Development Centre, Custom Pharmaceutical Services, Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd, Miyapur, Hyderabad 500049, India Fax +91(40)23045439; E-mail: syam_kmr@yahoo.com

^b Urumu Dhanalakshmi College, Tiruchirappalli 620019, India

Received 25 March 2008

Dedicated to Prof. H. Junjappa on the occasion of his 72nd birthday.

Abstract: The aerial oxidation of various 2,2-dibromo-1-aryl and heteroaryl ethanones to α -keto amides in the presence of air or oxygen and secondary amines are described. The reaction provides α -keto amides in moderate to good yields. The versatility of the reaction was established by synthesizing a series of α -keto amides by the reaction of dibromoethanones derived from aryl and heteroaryl ketones with cyclic and acyclic aliphatic secondary amines.

Key words: aerial oxidation, 2,2-dibromo-1-aryl and heteroaryl ethanone, α -keto amide, oxygen, secondary amine

The α -keto amides or α -oxoamides represent an important template that has attracted considerable interest because of its long history of applications in pharmaceutical industry.¹ They are the key skeletal framework of many biologically active compounds such as immunosuppressive drug FK506,² FKBP12,³ rapamycine,⁴ human cytosolic phospholipase A₂ (GIVA PLA₂) inhibitors,⁵ androgen and estrogen receptors.⁶ Consequently, they serve as useful synthetic intermediates. The α -keto amides are particularly important for the synthesis of sodium-channel blocker GW 356194,⁷ nonimmunosuppressive compounds such as V-10367⁸ and GPI-1046,⁹ homoprotoberberines,¹⁰ α -diones,¹¹ *cis*- and *trans*-isomers of β -lactam,¹² oxazolidin-4-ones,¹³ and 5-HT₆ binding ligands.¹⁴

Although a number of methods are available for the synthesis of α -keto amides, a review of the literature confirms that the condensation of α -keto acid with amines by using dicyclohexylcarbodiimide and other activating groups are the most common method,¹⁵ however, the complexities involved in the synthesis of α -keto acid makes this approach impractical. The carboxamide synthesis by palladium-catalyzed aminocarbonylation protocols utilizes carbon monoxide and results mixture of products.¹⁶ The oxidative functionalization of N,N-(dialkyl)acylmethylamines with [{Fe(salen)}₂O] in the presence of 2-mercaptoethanol afforded the carboxamide in lower yields and utilizes inaccessible reagents.¹⁷ The other methods reported in the literature¹⁸⁻²¹ have several practical disadvantages like use of hazardous reagents, adiabatic reaction conditions, and lower yield. Therefore, development of a general and an efficient protocol for the synthesis of α -keto amide is highly desirable.

Herein we report an oxidative amidation protocol for the synthesis of α -keto amides by the reaction of 2,2-dibromo-1-aryl/heteroaryl ethanones with cyclic or acyclic secondary amine as well as amino acid ester in the presence of oxygen.

The synthesis of 1-phenyl-2-morpholin-1-yl-ethane-1,2dione (2a) derived from dibromoacetophenone 1a is outlined in Scheme 1. The required 2,2-dibromo-1-phenylethanone (1a) was prepared by the reaction of acetophenone with bromine in dioxane at room temperature²² and was used for the oxidation reaction without further purification. The oxidative amidation was performed as described below. To a solution of dibromoacetophenone 1a (1 g, 3.5 mmol, 1 equiv) in a 10-fold volume of THF was added morpholine (0.99 g, 14 mmol, 4 equiv) dropwise over a period of 30 minutes at 20-30 °C. The temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised to 40-50 °C under air and was maintained for 18-24 hours (monitored by TLC). After the completion of the reaction, THF was distilled off, and LC-MS of the crude reaction mixture was recorded, and it confirms the formation of 1-phenyl-2-morpholin-1-yl-ethane-1,2-dione (2a), along with N-hydroxymorpholine. The THF was distilled off from the reaction mixture up to 80% of the volume, and was subjected to aqueous acidic workup and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic layer was then separated, distilled off under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography. The product 2a was obtained as an off-white solid in 54% of yield, though TLC and NMR of the crude reaction mixture shows more than 80-85% of product formation. The product 2a was characterized by means of IR and NMR spectroscopy and mass spectroscopy. This reaction has shown high acceleration in reaction rate when pure oxygen was purged directly into the reaction mass instead of air. Kim et al.²³ reported mechanistically a similar





SYNLETT 2008, No. 19, pp 2945–2950 Advanced online publication: 12.11.2008 DOI: 10.1055/s-0028-1087353; Art ID: D09108ST © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York



Scheme 2

transformation of dibromoethanone to α -keto amides in their thiadiazole synthesis.

In order to confirm the formation of *N*-hydroxymorpholine as byproduct in the oxidative amidation reaction, a spiking experiment in the LC-MS column was conducted with freshly prepared standard sample of *N*-hydroxymorpholine with the crude reaction mixture without any workup. The peak corresponds to *N*-hydroxymorpholine standard, and the molecular ion peak of 104 in the crude reaction mixture was eluted in the LC-MS column at the same retention time (RT) and relative retention time (RRT) with respect to the product **2a**. This result suggests that the formation of *N*-hydroxymorpholine might occur during the course of the reaction. However, our attempt to isolate pure *N*-hydroxymorpholine from the reaction mixture was not successful.

To understand the probable mechanism of the reaction, we have carried out the reaction under anaerobic conditions (Scheme 2). Thus, a mixture of dibromoethanone **1a** and morpholine in 1:4 molar ratio was stirred together at room temperature in dry THF under perfect anhydrous nitrogen blanket. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC, 8–10 h), the solvent was evaporated under vacuum. The crude reaction mass was analyzed both by LC-MS and NMR and confirms the formation of 1-phenyl-2,2-dipiperidin-1-yl-ethanone (**4**)²⁴ and no traces of α -keto amide were detected. The above result suggests that oxygen is essential for the oxidative amidation reaction,

and the reaction might have proceeded through the diaminal **4** followed by aerial oxidation under basic conditions.

Based on the above observations, a plausible mechanism for the conversion of 2,2-dibromo-1-phenylethanone (1a) to phenyl α -keto amide 2a is depicted in Scheme 3. The initial step of the reaction involves the formation of 1-phenyl-2,2-dimorpholin-yl-ethanone (4) by the nucleophilic displacement of both bromine atoms of dibromoketone 1a by morpholine. Aminal 4 subsequently undergoes baseassisted enolization, followed by reaction with oxygen to form the intermediate 6. The unstable intermediate 6 upon extrusion of *N*-hydroxymorpholine will result in the desired α -keto amide 2a.

The generality of this oxidative amidation reaction was evaluated by screening the reaction of a series of dibromoethanones **1a–e** derived from aryl as well as heteroaryl ethanones with different secondary amines, and the results are summarized in Table 1.25 All these reactions were performed in a 1:4 molar ratio of dibromoethanone (1a-e) and secondary amine in the presence of air, and the reactions were completed within 24 hours. The reactions performed with 2-3 mol equivalents of secondary amine took prolonged time (more than 72 h, in the case of 2a) for completion. Hence no further attempts were made to optimize the reaction with lesser equivalents of secondary amine for this oxidative amidation reaction. The reaction of electron-rich and electron-poor aromatic and heterocyclic dibromoethanones with secondary amines afforded the expected α -keto amides in moderate to good yields. The attempt to synthesize dibenzyl and dibutyl α -ketoamides by the reaction of N,N'-dibenzyl and dibutyl amines with dibromoethanone were not successful, and these reactions resulted in unidentifiable product mixtures along with some percentage of unreacted starting materials. Similarly, our attempts to synthesis α -keto amides derived from gem-dibromo derivatives of aliphatic ketones under different reaction conditions were not successful, and these reactions resulted in inseparable product mixtures; the expected keto amides were not detected in LC-MS analysis.

Compounds that have been reported to be high affinity FKBP 12 ligands are pipecolyl or prolyl ketoamides.²⁷



Scheme 3

Synlett 2008, No. 19, 2945-2950 © Thieme Stuttgart · New York

Entry	Dibromoethanone	Amine	α-Keto amide	Yield (%)	Mp (°C)
1	Br Br	HNO		54 ^{26a}	90–93
2	1a	HN	n n n n n n n n n n	5217	104–106
3	1a	HN	2c	54 ¹⁷	Viscous liquid
4	1a	HN		48 ^{26b}	Viscous liquid
5	1a	HN		5117	Viscous liquid
6	1a	HN		45 ^{16e}	Viscous liquid
7	Br Br MeO Br 1b	HN	Br O N MeO 2g	56	135–138
8	1b	HN	Br MeO 2h	57	120–122
9	O_2N Br Br Br Br Br Br Br Br	HNO		60	143–146
10	1c	HN	O_2N	60 ^{16h}	96–98

Table 1 Oxidative Amidation Reaction with Dibromoethanones 1a-e and Different Secondary Amin	les
--	-----

Synlett 2008, No. 19, 2945-2950 © Thieme Stuttgart · New York

 Table 1
 Oxidative Amidation Reaction with Dibromoethanones 1a-e and Different Secondary Amines (continued)

Entry	Dibromoethanone	Amine	α-Keto amide	Yield (%)	Mp (°C)
11	1c	HN	0 ₂ N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	59 ^{16h}	115–117
12	Br Br Br	HNO		55	123–125
13	1d	HN		61	140–141
14	1d	HN		55	126–128
15	Br O Br Ie	HN	2n $Br 0 N$ 0 $2o$	45 ^{26c}	Viscous liquid
16	1e	HN		59 ^{26c}	Viscous liquid
17	Br Br Br	HN	2p	5117	85–88
18	2f	HN		59 ¹⁷	130–132

Downloaded by: University of Pennsylvania Libraries. Copyrighted material.

Using the methodology developed for the synthesis of α keto amides, we have attempted the reaction of 2,2-dibromo-1-phenylethanone (**1a**) with 2-pipecolyl methyl ester. The reaction between this cyclic amino acid ester and dibromoethanone **1a** resulted in the formation of expected product 1-(2-oxo-2-phenyl-acetyl)-piperidine-2-carboxylic acid methyl ester (**12**) in low yield (24%), along with unreacted starting material (Scheme 4). The product was isolated after usual acidic workup and column chromatographic purification.



Scheme 4

Thus, by following relatively straightforward design elements, we have effectively designed an efficient method for the synthesis of α -keto amides; the present reaction promises to afford a generally applicable route for the synthesis of a variety of aromatic and heteroaromatic α -keto amides. The generality of the method was established by carrying out the reaction with aromatic and heteroaromatic ketones with cyclic and acyclic secondary amines as well as amino acid ester. The applications of this methodology for the synthesis of few biologically active natural products are in progress.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Dr. Reddy's Laboratories for the allowance to pursue this work. We also thank analytical department, Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, for providing the analytical support.

References and Notes

- (1) (a) Chiou, A.; Verger, R.; Kokotos, G. *Lipids* 2001, *36*, 535.
 (b) Kokotos, G.; Verger, R.; Chiou, A. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2000, *6*, 4211. (c) Kotsovolou, S.; Chiou, A.; Verger, R.; Kokotos, G. *J. Org. Chem.* 2001, *66*, 962. (d) Soai, K.; Ishizaki, M. *J. Org. Chem.* 1986, *51*, 3290. (e) Dessen, A.; Tang, J.; Schmidt, H.; Stahl, M.; Clark, J. D.; Seehra, J.; Somers, W. S. *Cell* 1999, *97*, 349. (f) Gaburjakova, M.; Gaburjakova, J.; Reiken, S.; Huang, F.; Marx, S. O.; Rosemblit, N.; Marks, A. R. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001, *276*, 16931. (g) Schreiber, S. L. *Science* 1991, *251*, 283.
 (h) Bourdonnec, B. L.; Goodman, A. J.; Michaut, M.; Hai-Fen, Ye.; Graczyk, M.; Belanger, S.; Herbertz, T.; Yap, G. P. A.; DeHaven, R. N.; Dolle, R. E. *J. Med. Chem.* 2006, *49*, 7278.
- (2) (a) Tanaka, H.; Kuroda, A.; Marusawa, H.; Hatanaka, H.; Kino, T.; Goto, T.; Hashimoto, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5031. (b) Romo, D.; Meyer, S. D.; Johnson, D. D.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 7906.
 (c) Ireland, R. E.; Gleason, J. L.; Gegnas, L. D.; Highsmith, T. K. A. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 6856.
- (3) Galat, A. Eur. J. Biochem. 1993, 216, 689.
- (4) (a) Smith, A. B. III; Adams, C. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 365. (b) Smith, A. B. III.; Condon, S. M.; McCauley, J. A.; Leazer, J. L.; Leahy, J. W.; Maleczka, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 962.
- (5) (a) Six, D. A.; Barbayianni, E.; Loukas, V.; Constantinou-Kokotou, V.; Hadjipavlou-Litina, D.; Stephens, D.; Wong, A. C.; Magrioti, V.; Moutevelis-Minakakis, P.; Baker, F. S.; Dennis, E. A.; Kokotos, G. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 4222.
 (b) Chiou, A.; Markidis, T.; Kokotou, V. C.; Verger, R.; Kokotos, G. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 2891. (c) Kokotos, G.; Six, D. A.; Loukas, V.; Smith, M.; Kokotou, V. C.; Hadjipavlou-Litina, D.; Kotsovolou, S.; Chiou, A.; Beltzner, C. C.; Dennis, E. A. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 3615.
- (6) Bridgeman, E.; Cavill, J. L.; Schofield, D. J.; Wilkins, D. S.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 8521.
- Jones, I. L.; Schofield, D. J.; Strevens, R. R.; Horton, P. N.; Hursthouse, B. M.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, 48, 521.
- (8) Costantini, L. C.; Isacson, O. Exp. Neurol. 2000, 164, 60.
- (9) (a) Ross, D. T.; Guo, H.; Howorth, P.; Chen, Y.; Hamilton, G. S.; Steiner, J. P. *Neurosci. Lett.* 2001, 297, 113.
 (b) Costantini, L. C.; Chaturvedi, P.; Armistead, D. M.; Mccaeffrey, P. G.; Deacon, T. W.; Isacson, O. *Neurobiol. Disc.* 1998, 5, 97.
- (10) Suau, R.; López-Romero, J. M.; Ruiz, A.; Rico, R. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 993.

- (11) Mueller-Westerhoff, U. T.; Zhou, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 571.
- (12) (a) Rau, H. Chem. Rev. 1983, 83, 535. (b) Inoue, Y. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 741. (c) Pete, J. P. Adv. Photchem. 1996, 21, 135. (d) Buschmann, H.; Scharf, H. D.; Hoffmann, N.; Esser, P. Angew Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 477. (e) Earle, R. H. Jr.; Hurst, D. T.; Viney, M. J. Chem. Soc. C 1969, 2093. (f) Toda, F.; Tanaka, K.; Yagi, M. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 1495. (g) Axten, J. M.; Krim, L.; Kung, H. K.; Winkler, J. D. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9628. (h) Natarajan, A.; Wang, K.; Ramamurthy, V.; Scheffer, J.; Patrick, B. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1443.
- (13) Chodynski, M.; Grzeszczyk-Morzycka, B.; Morzycki, J. W.; Boncza-Tomaszewski, Z.; Rodewald, W. J. *Heterocycles* 1985, 9, 2335.
- (14) (a) Holenz, J.; Mercè, R.; Díaz, J. L.; Guitart, X.; Codony, X.; Dordal, A.; Romero, G.; Torrens, A.; Mas, J.; Andaluz, B.; Hernández, S.; Monroy, X.; Sánchez, E.; Hernández, E.; Pérez, R.; Cubí, R.; Sanfeliu, O.; Buschmann, H. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 1781. (b) Slade, J.; Parker, D.; Girgis, M.; Wu, R.; Joseph, S.; Repic, O. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2006, 10, 334.
- (15) (a) Dubowchik, G. M.; Ditta, J. L.; Vrudhula, M. V.; DasGupta, B.; Ditta, J.; Chen, T.; Sheriff, S.; Sipman, K.; Witmer, M.; Tredup, J.; Vyas, D. M.; Verdoorn, T. A.; Bollini, S.; Vinitsky, A. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3987.
 (b) Ojima, I.; Yoda, N.; Yatabe, M.; Tanaka, T.; Kogure, T. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 1255. (c) Chiou, A.; Markidis, T.; Kokotou, V. C.; Verger, R.; Kokotos, G. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 347. (d) Dubowchik, G. M.; Ditta, J. L.; Herbst, J. J.; Bolini, S.; Vinitsky, A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2000, 10, 559.
- (16) (a) Applied Homogeneous Catalysis with Organometallic Compounds; Cornils, B.; Herrmann, W. A., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1996. (b) Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis, Vol. 1 and 2; Beller, M.; Bolm, C., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998. (c) Colquhoun, H. M.; Thompson, D. J.; Twigg, M. V. Carbonylation, Direct Synthesis of Carbonyl Compounds; Plenum: New York and London, 1991. (d) Skoda-Foldes, R.; Kollar, L. Curr. Org. Chem. 2002, 6, 1097. (e) Ozawa, F.; Soyama, H.; Yanagihara, H.; Aoyama, I.; Takino, H.; Izawa, K.; Yamamoto, T.; Yamamoto, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3235. (f) Ozawa, F.; Soyama, H.; Yamamoto, T.; Yamamoto, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3383. (g) Acs, P.; Müller, E.; Rangits, G.; Lóránd, T.; Kollár, L. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 12051. (h) Munreaki, L.; Yoshinori, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. 2006, 1739.
- (17) Murata, S.; Suzuki, K.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. J. Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 361.
- (18) Stocks, M. J.; Harrison, R. P.; Teague, S. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 6555.
- Burton, A. J.; Cardwell, K. S.; Fuchter, J. M.; Lindvall, M. K.; Patel, R.; Packham, T. W.; Prodger, J. C.; Schilling, M. B.; Walker, D. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 5653.
- (20) Tsukada, N.; Ohba, Y.; Inoue, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 2, 436.
- (21) Ozawa, F.; Yamagami, I.; Nakano, M.; Fujisawa, F.; Yamamoto, A. *Chem. Lett.* **1989**, *18*, 125.
- (22) (a) Klingenberg, J. Org. Synth., Coll. Vol. 4 1963, 110.
 (b) Bigelow, L. A.; Hanslick, R. S. Org. Synth., Coll. Vol. 2 1943, 244.
- (23) (a) Kim, K.; Cho, J.; Yoo, S. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I 1995, 253. (b) Caho, J.; Kim, K. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1992, 29, 1433.
- (24) (a) Limanto, J.; Desmond, R. A.; Gauthier, D. R. Jr.; Devine,
 P. N.; Reamer, R. A.; Volante, P. R. *Org. Lett.* 2003, *13*,
 2271. (b) Kerfanto, M.; Brault, A.; Venien, F.; Morvan,

Synlett 2008, No. 19, 2945-2950 © Thieme Stuttgart · New York

J. M.; Le Rouzic, A. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Fr.* **1975**, 196.
(c) Morvan, J. M.; Kerfanto, M.; Brault, A. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Fr.* **1975**, 1679.

(25) Representative Procedure for the Synthesis of 1-Phenyl-2-morpholin-1-yl-ethane-1,2-dione

To a solution of 2,2-dibromo-1-phenylethanone (**1a**, 1 g, 0.0035 mol, 1 equiv) in THF (10 mL) was added morpholine (0.99 g, 0.014 mol, 4 equiv) dropwise over a period of 30 min at 20–30 °C. The temperature of the reaction mixture was slowly raised to 40–50 °C under an oxygen atmosphere and maintained for 18–26 h (monitored by TLC). After the completion of the reaction, THF was distilled off up to 80% of its volume. The reaction mass was then diluted with CH_2CI_2 (25 mL), washed with dil. HCl (10 mL, 4×), followed by brine. The organic layer was then dried over Na_2SO_4 , and the product **2a** was obtained after column chromatographic purification in 54% of yield as off-white solid; yield 57%.

IR (KBr): 1428, 1517, 1578, 1604, 1683, 1677, 2843 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.40 (m, 2 H), 3.70 (m, 2 H), 3.80 (m, 4 H), 7.50 (m, 2 H), 7.70 (m, 1 H), 7.95 (m, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 41.6, 46.2, 66.6, 66.7, 129.0, 129.6, 133.0, 134.8, 165.4, 191.1. MS: *m/z* (%) = 220.2 [M + 1], 192,159, 105. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₃NO₃ (219.9): C, 65.74; H, 5.98; N, 6.39. Found: C, 65.71; H, 5.95; N, 6.32.

1-Phenyl-2-piperidin-1-yl-ethane-1,2-dione (2b)

Yield 52%. IR: (KBr): 1447, 1579, 1638, 1671, 2938 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.60 (m, 2 H), 1.71 (m, 4 H), 3.30 (m, 2 H), 3.71 (m, 2 H), 7.50 (m, 2 H), 7.64 (m, 1 H), 7.95 (m, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 24.3, 25.4, 26.1, 42.1, 47.0, 128.9, 129.5, 133.2, 134.6, 165.4, 191.9. MS: *m/z* (%) = 218.1 [M + 1], 190.2, 149.0, 126.0. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₅NO₂ (203.4): C, 71.81; H, 6.96; N, 6.45. Found: C, 71.79; H, 6.91; N, 6.41.

1-Phenyl-2-pyrolidin-1-yl-ethane-1,2-dione (2c) Yield 54%. IR (KBr): 1446, 1597, 1638, 1677, 2882, 2977 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.95$ (m, 4 H), 3.38 (m, 2 H), 3.65 (m, 2 H), 7.49 (m, 2 H), 7.63 (m, 1 H), 7.95 (m, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 23.8, 25.7, 45.0,$ 46.5, 128.7, 129.6, 132.7, 134.4, 165.8, 191.4. MS: *m/z* (%) = 204.1 [M + 1], 176.3, 105. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₃NO₂ (203.4): C, 70.92; H, 6.45; N, 6.89. Found: C, 70.89; H, 6.45; N, 6.89.

1-phenyl-2-dimethylamino-ethane-1,2-dione (2d) Yield 48%. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.95 (s, 3 H), 3.11 (s, 3 H), 7.50 (m, 2 H), 7.63 (m, 1 H), 7.92 (m, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 33.8, 36.9, 128.9, 129.5, 132.9, 134.6, 166.9, 191.7. MS: *m/z* (%) = 178.2 [M + 1], 150.2, 105.0. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₃NO₃ (177.2): C, 67.78; H, 6.26; N, 7.90. Found: C, 67.70; H, 6.24; N, 7.89. **1-phenyl-2-diethylamino-ethane-1,2-dione (2e)** IR (KBr): 720, 855, 1146, 1233, 1383, 1448, 1642, 1681, 1720, 2934, 2977 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃):

 $\delta = 1.29 \text{ (m, 3 H)}, 1.31 \text{ (m, 3 H)}, 3.2 \text{ (m, 2 H)}, 3.59 \text{ (m, 2 H)},$ 7.50 (m, 2 H), 7.63 (m, 1 H), 7.93 (m, 2 H). $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 12.8, 14.0, 38.8, 42.1, 128.8, 129.5,$ 130.0, 133.0, 133.2, 134, 166.6, 191.4. MS: m/z (%) = 206.1 [M + 1], 178.1, 130. Anal.Calcd for C₁₂H₁₅NO₂ (205.1): C, 70.22; H, 7.37; N, 6.82. Found: C, 70.19; H, 7.31; N, 6.80. N-Benzyl-N-methyl-2-oxo-2-phenylacetamide (2f) IR (KBr): 723, 881, 1003, 1210, 1449, 1643, 1680, 2926, 3030, 3063 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.84$ -2.99 (d, 3 H), 4.39 (s, 1 H), 4.74 (s, 1 H), 7.20-7.61 (m, 8 H), 8.02–8.11 (m, 2 H), ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 34.4, 49.8, 128.2, 128.7, 128.8, 128.9, 129.5, 130, 133, 133.2, 133.5, 134.6, 134.8, 135.7, 167, 191.3 MS: *m/z* (%) = 254.1 [M + 1], 211, 198. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₅NO₂ (253.1): C, 75.87; H, 5.97; N, 5.53. Found: C, 75.79; H, 5.96; N, 5.50. 1-(3-Bromo-4-methoxy-phenyl)-2-piperidin-1-yl-ethane-1,2-dione (2g)

Yield 56%. IR (KBr): 1202, 1278, 1405, 1589, 1637, 1671, 2927 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.28$ (m, 4 H), 1.69 (m, 2 H), 3.30 (m, 2 H), 3.70 (m, 2 H), 3.98 (s, 3 H), 6.95 (d, 1 H, J = 8.6 Hz), 7.98 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.2, 8.6 Hz), 8.15 (d, 1 H, J = 2.2 Hz). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 24.2$, 25.3, 26.1, 42.1, 47.0, 56.5, 111.4, 112.4, 127.3, 131.0, 134.5, 160.7, 164.9, 189.3. MS: m/z (%) = 326.10 [M + 1], 300.0, 212.8, 148.7, 112.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₀BrNO₃ (326.19): C, 51.55; H, 4.94; N, 4.29. Found: C, 51.53; H, 4.28; N, 4.83.

1-(3-Bromo-4-methoxy-phenyl)-2-pyrolidin-1-yl-ethane-1,2-dione (2h)

Yield 57%. IR (KBr): 1264, 1499, 1589, 1629, 1642, 1665, 2980 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.95 (m, 4 H), 3.40 (m, 2 H), 3.70 (m, 2 H), 3.98 (s, 3 H), 6.95 (d, 1 H, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.98 (dd, 1 H, J = 2.2, 8.8 Hz), 8.2 (d, 1 H, J = 2.2 Hz). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 23.9, 25.8, 45.2, 46.7, 56.5, 111.3, 112.3, 127.0, 131.4, 135.0, 160.7, 164.3, 188.9. MS: m/z (%) = 313.90 [M + 1], 312.0 [M⁺], 212.9, 134.8. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₄BrNO₃ (312.36): C, 50.02; H, 4.52; N, 4.49. Found: C, 50.0; H, 4.51; N, 4.43. **1-Naphthalen-1-yl-2-morpholin-1-yl-ethane-1,2-dione** (21)

IR (KBr):1115.7, 1226, 1252, 1273, 1628, 1669, 2923 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.43 (m, 2 H), 3.65 (m, 2 H), 3.83 (m, 4 H), 7.60 (m, 3 H), 8.01 (m, 3 H), 9.20 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.6 Hz). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 41.5, 46.2, 66.4, 124.3, 125.5, 126.9, 128.2, 128.6, 129.3, 130.7, 133.9, 134.3, 136.0, 165.9, 193.4 MS: *m/z* (%) = 270.1 [M + 1], 249, 177.4, 155.2. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₅NO₃ (269.11): C, 71.36; H, 5.61; N, 5.20. Found: C, 71.30; H, 5.93; N, 5.52.

- (26) (a) Tao, Z.; Zhen-Chu, C. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 2001, 3, 116. (b) Chen, J.; Cunico, R. F. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 65, 5509. (c) Kiyofumi, I.; Mika, K.; Takashi, Y.; Yukari, A.; Kou, H.; Takao, S. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 2695.
- (27) Hasler, D. W.; Jensen, L. T.; Zerbe, O.; Winge, D. R.; Vasak, M. *Biochemistry* **2000**, *39*, 1456.