Preparation, molecular structure and reactivity of mono- and di-nuclear sulfonato rhodium(I) complexes

Helmut Werner, Marco Bosch, Michael E. Schneider, Christine Hahn, Frank Kukla, Matthias Manger, Bettina Windmüller, Birgit Weberndörfer and Matthias Laubender

Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany. E-mail: helmut.werner@mail.uni-wuerzburg.de

Received 28th July, Accepted 7th September 1998

PULL PAPER

Published on 01 January 1998. Downloaded on 28/10/2014 12:43:46.

The reaction of $[Rh(\eta^3-C_3H_5)(PPr^i_3)_2]$ 1 or $[Rh(\eta^3-CH_2Ph)(PPr^i_3)_2]$ 2 with an equimolar amount of RSO₃H (R = Me, *p*-tolyl, CF₃, F, Camph) led to the formation of the monomeric sulfonatorhodium(I) complexes [Rh{ $\eta^2-O_2S(O)R$ }- $(PPr_{3})_{2}$ 3–7 in excellent yield. An alternative route for the preparation of 4 (R = p-tolyl) and 5 (R = CF₃) is based on the reaction of PPr_{3}^{i} with the dinuclear compounds [{Rh(C₈H₁₄)₂[μ -O₂S(O)R]}₂], which were obtained either from $[{Rh(C_8H_{14})_2(\mu-Cl)}_2]$ 8 or $[{Rh(C_8H_{14})_2(\mu-OH)}_2]$ 9 as starting materials. Compounds 3–7 react smoothly with hydrogen by oxidative addition to give the dihydridorhodium(III) complexes $[RhH_2\{\eta^2-O_2S(O)R\}(PPr_{i_3})_2]$. Moreover, on treatment of 3-6 with CO and C_2H_4 the chelating bond of the sulfonate ligand is partially opened and the carbonyl and ethene complexes *trans*-[Rh{ η^1 -OS(O)₂R}(L)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] (L = CO or C₂H₄) are formed. The bis(stibine)rhodium(I) derivative trans-[Rh{ η^1 -OS(O)₂CF₃}(C₂H₄)(SbPrⁱ₃)₂] was obtained from [{Rh(C₂H₄)₂[μ -O₂S(O)CF₃]}₂] and SbPrⁱ₃. Reaction of the compounds [Rh{ η^2 -O₂S(O)CF₃}(olefin)(PPrⁱ₃)] (olefin = C₈H₁₄ or C₂H₄) with benzene led to the displacement of the sulfonate ligand and to the formation of the half-sandwich-type complexes [Rh{ $\eta^6-C_6H_6$ }]-(olefin)(PPrⁱ₃)][CF₃SO₃] containing a rather labile benzene-rhodium bond. The preparation of the vinylidene complex *trans*-[Rh{ η^1 -OS(O)C₆H₄Me-*p*}(=C=CHPh)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] is also described and the crystal and molecular structures of three compounds have been determined. The four-co-ordinate sulfonato complexes 3-6 are active catalysts in the C-C coupling reaction of ethene and diphenyldiazomethane. Besides the three isomeric 1:1 adducts of C_2H_4 and CPh_2 , quite unexpectedly also the 2:1 adduct 3,3-diphenylpent-1-ene is formed.

One of the most noteworthy discoveries, which we made in recent years, was that in the presence of catalytic amounts of chlororhodium(I) complexes such as $[{RhCl(PPr_3)_2}_2]$ or $[{RhCl(C_2H_4)_2}_2]$, ethene and diphenyldiazomethane react to give almost selectively 1,1-diphenylprop-1-ene Ph₂C=CHMe.¹ This trisubstituted olefin is *formally* built up by the coupling of two carbene fragments :CPh2 and :CHMe, of which the latter is generated from the isomeric ethene. It was previously known that dinuclear rhodium(II) compounds such as [Rh₂(µ-O₂-CMe)₄] and derivatives thereof are active catalysts for the synthesis of cyclopropanes from olefins and diazoalkanes,² but the formation of Ph₂C=CHMe from Ph₂CN₂ and C₂H₄ was without precedent. Following our initial studies, we also found that, if the acetylacetonato complex $[Rh(acac)(C_2H_4)_2]$ was used instead of $[{RhCl(C_2H_4)_2}_2]$ as the catalyst, 1,1-diphenylcyclopropane and not the isomeric 1,1-diphenylprop-1-ene was formed from ethene and diphenyldiazomethane. In contrast, the hexafluoroacetylacetonato derivative [Rh(acac-F₆)-(C₂H₄)₂] behaves similarly to the chloro complex [{RhCl- $(C_2H_4)_2$ and with C_2H_4 -Ph₂CN₂ generates catalytically (although with low turnover numbers) 1,1-diphenylprop-1ene.3,4

It was this apparent influence of the anionic ligand of the rhodium(I) complexes on both the reactivity and selectivity of the C–C coupling reaction that prompted us to prepare a series of compounds of the general composition [Rh{ η^2 -O₂S(O)R}-(PPrⁱ₃)₂]. The main reason why we chose the sulfonate derivatives was that the low nucleophilicity of the RSO₃⁻ anions makes this ligand an excellent leaving group, and we assumed that this could be an important aspect for the catalytic activity. Moreover, the sulfonate ligand may adopt either a bridging, a η^1 - or a η^2 -bonding mode upon co-ordination to a metal centre as was recently reported for carboxylato rhodium(I) compounds of a similar type.^{5,6}

The present paper describes the preparation of mono- and di-nuclear sulfonatorhodium(I) complexes, the reactivity of these species toward H₂, CO, C₂H₄, phenylacetylene and benzene, and the use of the bis(phosphine) complexes [Rh{ η^2 -O₂S(O)R}(PPrⁱ₃)₂] as catalysts for the reaction of ethene and diphenyldiazomethane. Some preliminary results of these studies have been communicated.⁷

Results and discussion

Preparation of mono- and di-nuclear sulfonate complexes

The most convenient synthetic routes leading to the mononuclear sulfonatorhodium(I) compounds 3-7 are shown in Scheme 1. The reactions of the starting materials 1 and 2 with



Scheme 1 $L = PPr_3^i$

sulfonic acids were carried out in diethyl ether at -78 °C and gave propene and toluene, respectively, as by-products. Similarly, Stuhl and Muetterties⁸ prepared the sulfonatomanganese(1) derivative [Mn{ η^2 -O₂S(O)CF₃}(CO)₂{P(OPrⁱ)₃}] by protonation of [Mn(η^3 -C₃H₅)(CO)₂{P(OPrⁱ)₃}] with CF₃-SO₃H. The rhodium complexes **3**–7 are red or violet airsensitive solids which have been characterized by elemental analysis and spectroscopic techniques. While the IR spectra of

J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1998, 3549–3558 3549



Fig. 1 An ORTEP plot of complex 4.

Table 1Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for complex 4

Rh–O(1)	2.217(2)	S-O(1)	1.476(2)
Rh-O(2)	2.227(2)	S-O(2)	1.475(2)
Rh-P(1)	2.206(1)	S-O(3)	1.429(2)
Rh-P(2)	2.218(1)	S-C(19)	1.761(3)
P(1)-Rh-P(2)	106.31(3)	Rh–O(2)–S	94.5(1)
P(1) - Rh - O(1)	93.85(6)	O(1) - S - O(2)	106.3(1)
P(1)-Rh-O(2)	157.86(6)	O(1) - S - O(3)	114.8(1)
P(2) - Rh - O(1)	159.79(6)	O(2) - S - O(3)	114.4(2)
P(2)-Rh-O(2)	95.70(6)	O(1) - S - C(19)	107.7(1)
O(1)-Rh-O(2)	64.21(7)	O(2) - S - C(19)	105.9(1)
Rh–O(1)–S	94.8(1)	O(3)–S–C(19)	107.2(2)

the related carboxylato compounds $[Rh(\eta^2-O_2CR)(PPr_{i_3})_2]$ clearly support the chelate structure,^{5,6} the IR data of **3**–7 are less informative and do not distinguish between a η^1 - and η^2 bonding mode of the sulfonato unit.⁹ As far as compounds **3**–6 are concerned, the *cis* disposition of the two phosphine ligands is indicated by the appearance of one signal for the CH₃ protons of the isopropyl groups in the ¹H NMR spectrum which due to P–H and H–H coupling is split into a doublet of doublets in the case of **3**, **5** and **6**. For **7**, which contains a chiral substituent at the sulfur atom, two doublets of doublets are observed. The ³¹P NMR spectra of **3**–7 display one doublet, the Rh–P coupling of which (210–220 Hz) is also consistent with a *cis* disposition of the PPr_i³ ligands.^{5,10}

To confirm the structural proposal for the complexes 3–7, a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of 4 was carried out. The ORTEP¹¹ plot (Fig. 1) reveals that the ligand sphere around the metal centre is distorted square planar with the two phosphorus and the two oxygen atoms O(1) and O(2) lying exactly in the same plane as rhodium. The symmetrical arrangement of the ligands is illustrated by almost identical Rh–P and Rh–O distances (see Table 1), the latter [Rh–O(1) and Rh–O(2)] being about 0.05 Å longer than in the analogous acetato compound [Rh(η^2 -O₂CMe)(PPrⁱ₃)₂]. However, the bite angle O–Rh–O in 4 [64.21(7)°] and in [Rh(η^2 -O₂CMe)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] [60.2(1)°] is quite similar which could be due to the steric requirements of the bulky phosphine groups. The angle O(1)–S–O(2) [106.3(1)°] is only slightly smaller than would be anticipated for an ideal tetrahedral geometry.

An alternative synthetic pathway to compounds 4 and 5 is outlined in Scheme 2. Treatment of the well known cyclooctene complex 8 with 2 equivalents of RSO₃Ag (R = p-Tol or CF₃) in CH₂Cl₂ or CH₂Cl₂-Et₂O led to a displacement of the bridging chlorides by *p*-toluene- or trifluoromethane-sulfonates and gave compounds 11 and 12 as yellow solids in excellent yield. Instead of 8, the corresponding dimeric μ -hydroxo complex 9 could also be used as starting material for the preparation



of 10 and 11. It reacted with 2 equivalents of MeSO₃H or *p*-MeC₆H₄SO₃H·H₂O in CH₂Cl₂ to afford the μ -sulfonato derivatives almost quantitatively. Compound 9 was prepared by reaction of 8 with an excess of NaOH in a two-phase system of C₆H₆-water following the procedure described by Alper and coworkers¹² for the synthesis of [{Rh(PPh₃)₂(μ -OH)}₂]. Along a similar route, the related triisopropylphosphine complex [{Rh(PPrⁱ₃)₂(μ -OH)}₂] was prepared in our laboratory and characterized by crystal structure analysis.¹³ The μ -sulfonato compounds 10–12 are yellow or orange-yellow, only moderately air-sensitive solids which are soluble in CH₂Cl₂, thf or ether and in the case of 12 even in saturated hydrocarbons. The reactions of 11 and 12 with triisopropylphosphine in ether at -78 °C proceed rather quickly and afford 4 and 5 in 75–90% yield.

Addition reactions of η^2 -sulfonato and μ -sulfonato rhodium(1) complexes

The chelate complexes 3-7 are quite labile and react smoothly at room temperature with H₂ as well as with CO and C₂H₄. On treatment with hydrogen, the dihydridorhodium(III) compounds 13-17 (Scheme 3) are obtained as white, nearly



air-stable solids in excellent yield. The hydrido complexes are soluble in most organic solvents and can be stored under H₂ at -78 °C for weeks. *In vacuo* they slowly lose hydrogen and regenerate the starting materials 3–7. The ³¹P NMR spectra of **13–17** display at room temperature only one resonance (doublet in ³¹P-{¹H} and doublet of triplets in off-resonance) with a ¹⁰³Rh-³¹P coupling which is typical for *trans* disposed triisopropylphosphine ligands.¹⁴ In the ¹H NMR spectra there

is also only one set of signals at $\delta -25.3$ to -25.8 for the hydride ligands. Since for a rigid six-co-ordinate structure as shown in Scheme 3 two stereoisomers should exist, we assume that at 25 °C these isomers rapidly interconvert. If the ³¹P NMR spectra are measured in CD₂Cl₂ at -90 °C or in [²H₈]toluene at -80 °C, a slight broadening of the single resonance is observed indicating that even under these conditions the interconversion of the two isomers is very fast on the NMR timescale. The same seems to be true in the case of the four-co-ordinate complex 7 for which the NMR data equally suggest an effective C_2 symmetry. It should be mentioned that compound 4 catalyses the hydrogenation of phenylactylene to styrene and we assume that the dihydrido derivative **14** is involved in this process.¹⁵

Like 13–17, the carbonyl complexes 18–21 are formed almost quantitatively by passing a slow stream of CO through a solution of 3–6 in hexane at room temperature. As far as the properties of 18–21 are concerned, a special feature is that while 18 and 19 are soluble in benzene or ether, the related species 20 and 21 are not. Conductivity measurements in nitromethane indicate that in this solvent the neutral compounds 20 and 21 are in equilibrium with the ionic species 20a and 21a (see Scheme 3). This can be understood by the general behaviour of $CF_3SO_3^-$ and FSO_3^- as good leaving groups. Owing to these results we assume that the NMR data measured for the carbonyl derivatives of the trifluoromethanesulfonato and the fluorosulfato rhodium complexes in nitromethane correspond to 20a and 21a and not to 20 and 21, respectively.

Treatment of complexes 3-6 with C_2H_4 led to the formation of 22-25 the structure of which is probably quite similar to that of the carbonyl compounds 18-21 (see Scheme 4). Since the

 C_2H_4

vacuum (R = Me, p-Tol)

22 - 25 (R = *p*-Tol, CF₃)



Scheme 4 $L = PPr_{3}^{i}$

ethene complexes in analogy to the dihydrido derivatives are also unstable *in vacuo*, undergoing loss of C_2H_4 , the NMR spectra of **22–25** were recorded in C_6D_6 which was saturated with ethene. The mass spectrum of **23** confirmed that the compound is monomeric in the solid state.

The ethene complexes 23 and 24 are not only formed from 4 and 5 but also from the sulfonato-bridged compounds 11 and 12 as starting materials. In the initial step the cyclooctene ligands of 11 and 12 are displaced by ethene to afford the corresponding dinuclear intermediates 26 and 27 both of which were isolated as yellow solids in excellent yield. While compound 26 is stable (and thus could be characterized by elemental analysis), the triflato derivative 27 is rather labile and decomposes rapidly in solution. We note that Aresta *et al.*¹⁶ reported the preparation of monomeric $[Rh(O_3SCF_3)(C_2H_4)_2]$ from $[{RhCl(C_2H_4)_2}_2]$ and CF₃SO₃Ag which was found to be

unstable under nitrogen and even under ethene. In contrast, the mass spectrum of **27** revealed that this compound (obtained from **12**) is a dimer and not a monomer in the solid state. Treatment of **26** and **27** with triisopropylphosphine led both to bridge cleavage and partial displacement of ethene to give the complexes **23** and **24** almost quantitatively.

The dinuclear triflato derivative **12** reacts with triisopropylstibine in pentane even at -40 °C yielding a labile species that presumably contains both SbPrⁱ₃ and cyclooctene as ligands.¹⁷ Treatment of this intermediate with ethene affords compound **28** which was isolated as an analytically pure solid in 86% yield. The same product is also obtained from **27** and an equimolar amount of SbPrⁱ₃. We would like to point out that, recently, we described the synthesis of the corresponding chlorobis(stibine) complex *trans*-[RhCl(C₂H₄)(SbPrⁱ₃)₂] which is an excellent starting material for the preparation of a whole series of carbene rhodium(I) derivatives.^{18,19}

The mixed cyclooctene–triisopropylphosphine rhodium(I) complex **29** containing a chelating triflate ligand is accessible from compound **12** and 2 equivalents of PPr_{3}^{i} (Scheme 5).



Scheme 5
$$L = PPr_{3}^{i}$$

If this reaction is monitored at room temperature a change from orange to violet-brown initially occurs which is smoothly reversed after ca. 2 h. The ³¹P NMR measurements revealed that in the first stage of the process the bis(phosphine) complex 5 is formed which in the presence of unchanged starting material 12 (and cyclooctene) affords the mixed olefinphosphine derivative 29. The same product is obtained from 12 and 5 in the molar ratio of 1:2 in pentane. Treatment of 29 with C_2H_4 led to the formation of the ethene-phosphine complex 30 which was isolated in ca. 70% yield as an analytically pure yellow solid. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 30 in CD₂Cl₂ at room temperature displays a broad singlet at δ 2.75 for the C_2H_4 protons indicating that under these conditions rotation of the olefinic ligand around the Rh-C₂H₄ bond is only slightly hindered. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 30 the resonance for the C_2H_4 carbon atoms appears at δ 43.7 as a doublet with a ¹⁰³Rh–¹³C coupling constant of 15.3 Hz.

The molecular structure of compound **29** was determined by X-ray crystallography. There are two independent molecules **A** and **B** in the unit cell, of which **A** is shown in Fig. 2. As the ORTEP plot reveals, the configuration around rhodium is slightly distorted square planar and therefore to some extent analogous to that of the tosylate complex **4**. However, the bond angle between phosphorus, rhodium and the centre of the C=C double bond for **29** (molecule **A**) is 97.15° (94.59° for **B**) and thus somewhat smaller than the bond angle P(1)–Rh–P(2) in compound **4**. The atoms S, O(1), O(2), Rh, P and the centre of the C=C bond lie almost in the same plane, the dihedral angle between the planes [O(1), S, O(2)] and [O(1), Rh, O(2)] for molecule **A** being 11.1(1)° and for molecule **B** 10.7(1)°. The corresponding dihedral angles between [O(1), Rh, O(2)] and (P,

Rh, centre of C=C) are 7.9(1)° for A and 8.0(1)° for B, respectively. While the Rh–O bond lengths in 4 are nearly identical, those in **29** are not (see Table 2); due to the different ligands (C₈H₁₄ and PPrⁱ₃) in *trans* position they differ by *ca*. 0.13 Å. In contrast, the distances Rh–P(1) and Rh–P(2) in 4 and Rh–P in **29** are almost the same.

Dissolving either compound **29** or **30** in benzene leads to the displacement of the triflate ligand and to the formation of the cationic half-sandwich-type complexes **31** and **32** in virtually quantitative yield. Both **31** and **32** are yellow, only moderately air-sensitive solids which have been characterized by elemental analysis, IR, NMR and (in the case of **31**) FAB mass spectroscopy. In solution in the absence of benzene they are quite labile and regenerate the starting materials **29** and **30**, respectively. Despite this lability, a crystal structure analysis of **31** could be carried out, and the result of this study is summarized in Fig. 3 and Table 3. Similar to compound **29**, there are two independent molecules **A** and **B** in the unit cell which differ in the conformation of the cyclooctene ligand. The bond length Rh–P as well as the distances Rh–C(30) and Rh–C(31) are somewhat longer than in the square-planar complex **29**



The reactivity of complex **4** as a representative of fourco-ordinate bis(triisopropylphosphine)rhodium(I) sulfonato compounds toward a terminal alkyne such as phenylacetylene is illustrated in Scheme 6. In toluene solution at room tem-



perature a smooth reaction between 4 and PhC=CH takes place which gives the vinylidene complex 33 as a violet crystalline solid in 91% isolated yield. The most typical spectroscopic



Fig. 2 An ORTEP plot of complex 29.



Fig. 3 An ORTEP plot of the cation of complex 31.

Table 2 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for complex 29 (there are two independent molecules A and B in the unit cell)

	Α	В		Α	В
Rh–P	2.192(1)	2.194(1)	C(20)–C(21)	1.413(5)	1.404(5)
Rh-O(1)	2.219(3)	2.205(3)	S-O(1)	1.477(3)	1.471(3)
Rh-O(2)	2.351(2)	2.339(2)	S - O(2)	1.458(3)	1.456(3)
Rh-C(20)	2.091(4)	2.091(4)	S = O(3)	1.422(3)	1.424(3)
Rh-C(21)	2.074(4)	2.073(4)	S – C (1)	1.820(5)	1.820(4)
P-Rh-O(1)	98 41(7)	97 64(7)	O(1) = Rh = O(2)	63 02(9)	63 00(9)
P-Rh-O(2)	160.55(7)	160.16(7)	O(1) - S - O(2)	109.1(2)	108.6(2)
P-Rh-C(20)	94.9(1)	95.3(1)	O(1) - S - O(3)	116.5(2)	116.5(2)
P-Rh-C(21)	96.9(1)	96.3(1)	O(2) - S - O(3)	117.3(2)	117.6(2)
Rh-O(1)-S	95.8(1)	96.2(1)	O(1) - S - C(1)	104.0(2)	103.9(2)
Rh–O(2)–S	90.9(1)	91.1(1)	O(2)-S-C(1)	103.6(2)	103.9(2)

Table 3 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for cationic complex 31 (there are two independent molecules A and B in the unit cell)

	Α	В		Α	В
Rh–P	2.294(1)	2.290(1)	Rh–C(52)	2.338(4)	2.324(3)
Rh–C(30)	2.141(3)	2.141(3)	Rh-C(53)	2.361(4)	2.365(4)
Rh-C(31)	2.138(3)	2.143(3)	Rh-C(54)	2.320(3)	2.362(4)
Rh-C(50)	2.330(3)	2.332(4)	Rh-C(55)	2.328(3)	2.296(4)
Rh–C(51)	2.313(3)	2.346(4)	C(30)–C(31)	1.403(4)	1.396(5)
P-Rh-C(30)	94.43(9)	93.92(9)	Rh–C(31)–C(30)	71.0(2)	70.9(2)
P-Rh-C(31)	90.43(8)	91.35(9)	C(30)-C(31)-C(32)	122.8(3)	124.8(3)
Rh-C(30)-C(31)	70.7(2)	71.0(2)	C(31)-C(30)-C(37)	123.0(3)	122.6(3)

Table 4Catalytic cycles and composition of the mixture of productsobtained from ethene and diphenyldiazomethane in methylcyclohexaneaccording to Scheme 7

[Rh]-cat		Product (%)				
	Cycles ^a	Ia	Ib	Ic	Id	
3	24	2	86	4	8	
4	11	2	87	2	9	
5 ^{<i>b</i>}	47	7	37	1	55	
6 ^{<i>b</i>}	37	5	29	0	66	

^{*a*} Cycle = mmol product/mmol catalyst. ^{*b*} In toluene.

features of **33** are the doublet of triplets at δ 1.51 for the =CHPh proton in the ¹H NMR and the low-field resonance (also a doublet of triplets) at δ 301.1 for the α -carbon atom of the vinylidene unit in the ¹³C NMR spectrum. These data are in good agreement with those of the corresponding chloro and acetato derivatives *trans*-[RhX(=C=CHPh)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] (X = Cl or MeCO₂) which have recently been prepared in our laboratory.^{5,14}

Catalytic studies

In the same way as the dimeric chloro derivative [{RhCl- $(PPr_{3}^{i})_{2}$], the new *monomeric* sulfonatorhodium(I) complexes **3–6** are also active catalysts in the C–C coupling reaction of ethene and diphenyldiazomethane. The most noteworthy feature is that the selectivity depends significantly on the substituent R of the sulfonate ligand. While in the reaction with either **3** or **4** as catalyst 1,1-diphenylprop-1-ene **Ib** (Scheme 7)



is the major product, in the presence of **5** and **6** 3,3-diphenylpent-1-ene **Id** is the dominating species (see Table 4). This 2:1 adduct of C_2H_4 and Ph_2CN_2 is formed in only minor quantities if **3**, **4** or the chlororhodium(I) dimer [{RhCl(PPrⁱ₃)₂}₂] is used as catalyst. In all C–C coupling reactions of ethene and diphenyldiazomethane, which are catalysed by bis(triisopropylphosphine)rhodium(I) compounds of the general type [{RhX(PPrⁱ₃)₂}_n] (n = 1 or 2), only trace amounts of 3,3diphenylprop-1-ene **Ic** (which is generated selectively in the stoichiometric reaction of *trans*-[{RhCl(=CPh₂)(SbPrⁱ₃)₂}₂] with ethene)¹⁹ are obtained.

The mechanism for the formation of compound Id is not clear as yet. We assume that in analogy to the reaction of ethene and diphenyldiazomethane with $[{RhCl(PPr_3)_2}_2]$ as catalyst,¹ in the initial stage of the catalytic process both C2H4 and CPh2 are co-ordinated to rhodium, and that subsequently a rhodacyclobutane is formed. The next step could be either an insertion of ethene into one of the Rh-C bonds of the metallacyclobutane to give a six-membered RhC₅ cycle or a β -H shift to generate a RhH(CH₂CHCPh₂) intermediate. Addition of C₂H₄ to this intermediate followed by the insertion of the olefin into the Rh-H bond could afford a Rh(C₂H₅)(CH₂CHCPh₂) species which by metal-mediated C-C coupling yields Id. If the catalytic cycle involves the above mentioned RhC₅ ring system, then upon a β -H shift a RhH(CH₂CH₂CPh₂CH=CH₂) intermediate could be formed which by reductive elimination generates Id. Precedence for the postulated insertion of ethene into the metal-carbon bond of a metallacyclobutane can be found in the work of Binger and Schuchardt,²¹ who argue that the palladium(0)-catalysed cycloaddition of methylenecyclopropane and olefins presumably proceeds through a PdC₅ intermediate. We cannot rule out that one of the initial steps in the formation of Id (following the co-ordination of ethene to

the metal centre) is an intramolecular C–H activation to give a RhH(CH=CH₂) intermediate which could then react with a second molecule of C_2H_4 to afford a Rh(C_2H_5)(CH=CH₂) species. However, the reason why we consider this mechanistic route as less likely is that we failed to observe the generation of a hydrido(vinyl)rhodium(III) compound on photolysis of 24 or 25, respectively.

With regard to the conversion of two ethene molecules into two σ -bonded ligands in the co-ordination sphere of a d⁸ metal centre it should be pointed out that Carmona and co-workers²² recently showed that the iridium complex [IrTp*(C₂H₄)₂] [Tp* = tris(3,5-dimethylpyrazol-1-yl)hydroborate] rearranges thermally or photochemically to the isomer [IrTp*(H)(CH= CH₂)(C₂H₄)]. On treatment with acetonitrile this compound yields the ethyl–vinyl derivative [IrTp*(CH=CH₂)(C₂H₅)-(NCMe)], which in the presence of catalytic amounts of water undergoes an intramolecular coupling of the vinyl and acetonitrile ligands to afford a five-membered iridapyrrole ring.²³ With [RhTp*(C₂H₄)₂] as the starting material a related conversion into [RhTp*(CH=CH₂)(C₂H₅)(L)] (L = NCMe or py) takes place.²⁴

In order to find out whether olefins other than ethene would also react with diphenyldiazomethane by C–C coupling, the reaction of Ph_2CN_2 with methyl acrylate and $CH_2=CHCH_2-CO_2Me$ in the presence of the triflato complex 5 as the catalyst was also investigated. As is shown in Scheme 8, only cyclo-



propanation occurs and the corresponding esters **Ha** and **Hb** are formed. Whereas for **Ha** the number of cycles is 55, the yield of **Hb** is rather low and could not be increased by using an excess of the diazoalkane.

Current work in our laboratory is aimed at the further exploration of the use of the sulfonatorhodium(I) as well as the related carboxylatorhodium(I) complexes in other types of C–C coupling reactions among which the cyclooligomerization of butadiene is of particular interest.⁷

Experimental

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of argon by Schlenk-tube techniques. Solvents were dried by the usual procedures and distilled under argon prior to use. The starting materials 1, 2^5 and 8^{25} were prepared by published methods. The NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AC 200 and AMX 400 instruments and the IR spectra on a Perkin-Elmer 1420 spectrometer. Abbreviations used: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; vt, virtual triplet; $N = {}^{3}J(PH) + {}^{5}J(PH)$ or ${}^{1}J(PC) + {}^{3}J(PC)$, respectively. Conductivity data (Λ) in nitromethane.

Preparations

[Rh{ η^2 -O₂S(O)Me}(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 3. (a) A solution of compound 1 (0.219 g, 0.47 mmol) in ether (15 cm³) was treated dropwise with MeSO₃H (0.031 cm³, 0.48 mmol) at -78 °C. After the addition a white suspension was formed which was warmed to room temperature to give an orange-red solution. The solution was stirred for 1 h, the solvent removed *in vacuo* and the residue extracted twice with pentane (40 cm³). The combined extracts were brought to dryness *in vacuo*, the remaining red solid was washed with small portions of pentane (-20 °C) and dried: yield 0.153 g (63%).

(b) A solution of compound **2** (0.241 g, 0.47 mmol) in ether (15 cm³) was treated dropwise with MeSO₃H (0.031 cm³, 0.48 mmol) at -78 °C. A red solution formed which was warmed to

room temperature. After it was stirred for 30 min, the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue washed twice with small portions of pentane (-20 °C) to give a red solid: yield 0.130 g (54%); mp 110 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 43.71; H, 8.94; S, 5.88. C₁₉H₄₅O₃P₂RhS requires C, 44.02; H, 8.75; S, 6.18%). IR (KBr): $v(O_3S)$ 1201, 1190 and 1049 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): δ_H (200 MHz) 2.68 (3 H, s, SCH₃), 1.80 (6 H, m, CHCH₃) and 1.23 [36 H, dd, *J*(PH) 13.0, *J*(HH) 7.1 Hz, CHCH₃]; δ_C (50.3 MHz) 40.0 (s, SCH₃), 25.5 (vt, *N* 21.3 Hz, CHCH₃) and 20.3 (s, CHCH₃); δ_P (81.0 MHz) 70.2 [d, *J*(RhP) 212.2 Hz].

 $[Rh{\eta^2-O_2S(O)C_6H_4Me-p}(PPr_3)_2]$ 4. A solution of compound 1 (0.116 g, 0.25 mmol) in toluene (2 cm³) was treated with p-MeC₆H₄SO₃H (0.048 g, 0.25 mmol) and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. A change from yellow to red occurred. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue extracted with acetone (20 cm³) and the extract concentrated to ca. 2 cm³ in vacuo. Red crystals precipitated which were filtered off, washed twice with small portions of acetone (0 °C) and dried: yield 0.131 g (88%); mp 80 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 50.62; H, 8.63. C₂₅H₄₉O₃P₂RhS requires C, 50.50; H, 8.31%). NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 8.34, 6.86 (4 H, both m, C_6H_4), 1.90 (3 H, s, C₆H₄CH₃), 1.83 (6 H, m, CHCH₃) and 1.24 (36 H, m, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz) 141.3 [d, J(RhC) 15.8 Hz, *ipso-*C of C₆H₄], 129.5, 129.0, 127.2 (all s, C₆H₄), 25.7 (vt, N 21.0 Hz, CHCH₃), 21.1 (s, C₆H₄CH₃) and 20.4 (s, CHCH₃); δ_P (81.0 MHz) 70.3 [d, J(RhP) 212.5 Hz].

Alternatively, compound 4 was prepared on treatment of a solution of 11 (0.040 g, 0.04 mmol) in ether (4 cm³) with PPrⁱ₃ (0.031 cm³, 0.16 mmol) at -78 °C. After the solution was stirred for 5 min the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The oily residue was dissolved in pentane (1.5 cm³) and the solution stored for 12 h at -78 °C to give a red solid: yield 0.066 g (72%).

[Rh{η²-O₂S(O)CF₃}(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 5. This compound was prepared as described for 3, using either 1 (0.088 g, 0.19 mmol) and CF₃SO₃H (0.017 cm³, 0.19 mmol) or 2 (0.160 g, 0.31 mmol) and CF₃SO₃H (0.028 cm³, 0.32 mmol) as starting materials. Violet solid: yield 0.102 g (95%) from 1 and 0.103 g (58%) from 2; mp 80 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 39.60; H, 7.48; S, 5.36. C₁₉H₄₂F₃O₃P₂RhS requires C, 39.87; H, 7.40; S, 5.60%). MS (70 eV): *m/z* 573 (M⁺). IR (KBr): *v*(O₃S) 1263 and 1030, *v*(CF₃) 1253 and 1161 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): δ_H (200 MHz) 1.70 (6 H, m, CHCH₃) and 1.13 [36 H, dd, *J*(PH) 13.5, *J*(HH) 7.3 Hz, CHCH₃]; δ_C (50.3 MHz) 121.4 [q, *J*(FC) 316.9 Hz, CF₃], 25.7 (vt, *N* 23.1 Hz, CHCH₃) and 20.0 (s, CHCH₃); δ_F (188.2 MHz) -77.1 (s); δ_P (81.0 MHz) 69.9 [d, *J*(RhP) 219.5 Hz].

Alternatively, compound 5 was prepared on treatment of a solution of 12 (0.038 g, 0.04 mmol) in ether (4 cm³) with PPrⁱ₃ (0.031 cm³, 0.16 mmol) at -78 °C. After the solution was stirred for 5 min the solvent was removed *in vacuo*, the violet residue washed twice with 2 cm³ portions of pentane (-40 °C) and dried: yield 0.041 g (89%).

[Rh{η²-O₂S(O)F}(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 6. This compound was prepared as described for 3, using either 1 (0.154 g, 0.33 mmol) and FSO₃H (0.019 cm³, 0.33 mmol) or 2 (0.251 g, 0.49 mmol) and FSO₃H (0.028 cm³, 0.49 mmol) as starting materials. Violet solid: yield 0.152 g (88%) from 1 and 0.256 g (81%) from 2; mp 64 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 41.02; H, 8.02; S, 6.62. C₁₈H₄₂FO₃P₂RhS requires C, 41.38; H, 8.10; S, 6.14%). MS (70 eV): *m/z* 522 (M⁺). IR (KBr): *v*(O₃S) 1312, 1240 and 1062, *v*(SF) 775 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): δ_H (200 MHz) 1.69 (6 H, m, CHCH₃) and 1.14 [36 H, dd, *J*(PH) 13.4, *J*(HH) 7.2 Hz, CHCH₃]; δ_C (50.3 MHz) 25.8 (vt, *N* 22.2 Hz, CHCH₃) and 20.1 (s, CHCH₃); δ_F (188.2 MHz) 40.7 (s); δ_P (81.0 MHz) 70.5 [d, *J*(RhP) 220.1 Hz].

 $[Rh{\eta^2-O_2S(O)C_{10}H_{15}O}(PPr_{3})_2]$ 7. This compound was prepared as described for 4, using 1 (0.116 g, 0.25 mmol) and (1*S*)-camphor-10-sulfonic acid (0.058 g, 0.25 mmol) as starting

materials. Red solid: yield 0.134 g (82%); mp 45 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 51.12; H, 8.67; S, 4.64. C₂₈H₅₇O₄P₂RhS requires C, 51.37; H, 8.78; S, 4.90%). NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz) 4.14, 3.18 [2 H, both d, *J*(HH) 15.1, CH₂SO₃], 2.10–1.45 (7 H, br m, 7 H of C₁₀H₁₅), 1.85 (6 H, m, CHCH₃), 1.28 [18 H, dd, *J*(PH) 12.8, *J*(HH) 5.6, CHCH₃], 1.27 [18 H, dd, *J*(PH) 12.8, *J*(HH) 5.5 Hz, CHCH₃], 1.15, 0.59 (6 H, both s, CH₃ of C₁₀H₁₅); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (162.0 MHz) 69.8 [d, *J*(RhP) 213.0 Hz].

[{Rh(C₈H₁₄)₂}₂(μ-OH)₂] 9. A two phase system of complex 8 (0.315 g, 0.44 mmol) in C₆H₆ (15 cm³), NaOH (0.12 g, 3.0 mmol) and [PhCH₂NEt₃]Cl (0.050 g) in water (10 cm³) was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The C₆H₆ layer was decanted and the aqueous phase extracted with 10 cm³ of C₆H₆. The combined benzene fractions were dried over Na₂SO₄ and then filtered. From the filtrate the solvent was removed *in* vacuo to give a yellow solid. The solid was washed three times with 5 cm³ portions of pentane and dried *in* vacuo. Pale yellow solid: yield 0.265 g (89%); mp 70 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 56.66; H, 8.29. C₃₂H₅₈O₂Rh₂ requires C, 56.47; H, 8.58%). IR (KBr): v(OH) 3676 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 2.44 (8 H, m, =CH of C₈H₁₄), 2.48–1.13 (48 H, br m, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄) and -1.23 (2 H, br s, OH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 72.49 (br m, =CH of C₈H₁₄), 30.24, 28.64, 28.59 (all s, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄).

[{**Rh**(C₈H₁₄)₂}₂{μ-O₂S(O)Me}₂] 10. A solution of complex 9 (0.455 g, 0.67 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 cm³) was treated at room temperature with MeSO₃H (0.087 cm³, 1.34 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 2 h and then the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The resulting yellow solid was washed three times with 5 cm³ portions of Et₂O and dried: yield 0.416 g (74%); mp 124 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 48.49; H, 7.27; S, 7.87. C₃₄H₆₂O₆Rh₂S₂ requires C, 48.80; H, 7.47; S, 7.66%). IR (KBr): ν (O₃S) 1276, 1207, 1070 and 1012, ν (CF₃) 1260 and 1170 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 2.47 (6 H, s, CH₃), 2.14 (16 H, m, =CH and CH₂ of C₈H₁₄), 1.78, 1.52, 1.38 (40 H, all br m, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz) 73.6 [d, *J*(RhC) 15.7 Hz, =CH of C₈H₁₄], 39.4 (s, CH₃), 29.7, 28.2, 26.6 (all s, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄).

 $[{Rh(C_8H_{14})_2}_2{\mu-O_2S(O)C_6H_4Me-p}_2]$ 11. A suspension of compound 8 (0.520 g, 0.72 mmol) and p-MeC₆H₄SO₃Ag (0.420 g, 1.45 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (35 cm^3) was stirred for 2 d at room temperature. A white solid precipitated and a change of the solution from orange-red to orange-yellow occurred. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue extracted with ether (40 cm³) and the extract brought to dryness *in vacuo*. A yellow solid was isolated which was repeatedly washed with 5 cm³ portions of pentane and dried: yield 0.570 g (80%); mp 168 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 55.81; H, 6.95; Rh, 20.62; S, 6.54. C₄₆H₇₀O₆Rh₂S₂ requires C, 55.87; H, 7.13; Rh, 20.81; S, 6.48%). IR (CH₂Cl₂): v(O₃S) 1190, 1115, 1068 and 1022 cm⁻¹. NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 8.03 (4 H, m, ortho-H of SC₆H₄), 7.27 (4 H, m, meta-H of SC₆H₄), 2.56 (8 H, m, =CH of C₈H₁₄), 2.39 (6 H, s, C₆H₄CH₃), 2.32–1.17 (48 H, br m, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz) 142.6 (s, *ipso*-C of SC₆H₄), 137.4, 129.1, 126.4 (all s, C₆H₄), 73.9 [d, J(RhC) 15.7 Hz, =CH of C₈H₁₄], 29.2, 28.0, 26.1 (all s, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄) and 21.4 (s, C₆H₄CH₃).

Alternatively, compound **11** was prepared on treatment of a solution of **9** (0.352 g, 0.519 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 cm³) with *p*-MeC₆H₄SO₃H·H₂O (0.247 g, 1.30 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature, the solvent removed *in vacuo* and the residue extracted twice with 15 cm³ portions of C₆H₆. The benzene layers were dried over Na₂SO₄ and filtered. Removal of the solvent *in vacuo* afforded a yellow solid which was washed three times with 5 cm³ portions of ether and dried: yield 0.375 g (72%).

 $[{Rh(C_8H_{14})_2}_2{\mu-O_2S(O)CF_3}_2]$ 12. A solution of compound 8 (0.340 g, 0.47 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂-ether (2:1, 25 cm³) was treated with a solution of CF₃SO₃Ag (0.234 g, 0.91 mmol) in

ether (15 cm³) and stirred for 2 h at room temperature. A white solid precipitated and a gradual change of the solution from orange-yellow to yellow occurred. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue extracted with hexane (40 cm³). The extract was slowly concentrated in vacuo until an orange-yellow solid began to precipitate. The solution was then stored for 24 h at -78 °C, the precipitate separated from the mother-liquor, washed twice with 3 cm³ portions of pentane $(-40 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$ and dried: yield 0.318 g (71%); mp 73 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 42.86; H, 5.95; S, 6.64. C34H56F6O6Rh2S2 requires C, 43.22; H, 5.97; S, 6.79%). IR (CH₂Cl₂): v(CF) 1245, v(O₃S) 1195, 1135, 1045 and 1005 cm⁻¹. NMR (CDCl₃ for ¹H and ¹³C): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 2.63 (8 H, m, =CH of C₈H₁₄), 2.24–1.32 (48 H, br m, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄); δ_C (50.3 MHz) 119.3 [q, J(FC) 318.8 Hz, CF₃], 74.1 [d, J(RhC) 15.7 Hz, =CH of C₈H₁₄], 29.1, 27.4, 26.1 (all s, CH₂ of C_8H_{14} ; δ_F (188.3 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) -77.1 (s).

[RhH₂{η²-O₂S(O)Me}(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 13. A slow stream of hydrogen was passed for *ca.* 5 s through a solution of complex **3** (0.064 g, 0.12 mmol) in ether (8 cm³). A rapid change from red to almost white occurred. After the solution was stirred for 15 min at room temperature the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The remaining white solid was washed with small quantities of pentane (-78 °C) and quickly dried: yield 0.057 g (88%): mp 41 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 43.60; H, 9.18; S, 5.99. C₁₉H₄₇O₃-P₂RhS requires C, 43.84; H, 9.10; S, 6.16%). IR (KBr): *v*(RhH) 2165 and 2135, *v*(O₃S) 1207, 1193 and 1043 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 2.60 (3 H, s, SMe), 2.18 (6 H, m, CHCH₃), 1.25 [36 H, dvt, *N* 14.3, *J*(HH) 7.1, CHCH₃] and -25.30 [2 H, dt, *J*(RhH) 29.1, *J*(PH) 14.8 Hz, RhH]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100.6 MHz) 39.5 (s, SCH₃), 25.7 [vt, *N* 21.4 Hz, CHCH₃] and 20.4 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (162.0 MHz) 60.9 [d, dt in off-resonance, *J*(RhP) 115.0 Hz].

[RhH₂{η²-O₂S(O)C₆H₄Me-*p*}(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 14. This compound was prepared as described for 13, using 4 (0.059 g, 0.10 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 cm³) as starting material. After the white solid was washed with small quantities of pentane (-78 °C) it was dried *in vacuo* for not more than 5 min: yield 0.057 g (95%); mp 112 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 49.90; H, 8.79; S, 5.30. C₂₅H₅₁O₃-P₂RhS requires C, 50.33; H, 8.62; S, 5.37%). NMR (C₆D₆): δ _H (200 MHz) 8.01–6.77 (4 H, m, C₆H₄), 2.14 (6 H, m, CHCH₃), 1.89 (3 H, s, C₆H₄CH₃), 1.18 [36 H, dvt, N 13.1, J(HH) 7.3, CHCH₃] and -25.26 [2 H, dt, J(RhH) 30.5, J(PH) 14.5 Hz, RhH]; δ _P (81.0 MHz) 61.8 [d, dt in off-resonance, J(RhP) 114.8 Hz].

 $[RhH_2{\eta^2-O_2S(O)CF_3}(PPr^i_3)_2]$ 15. A slow stream of hydrogen was passed for ca. 5 s through a solution of complex 5 (0.117 g, 0.21 mmol) in ether (5 cm³). A rapid change from violet to pale yellow occurred. After the solution was stirred for 15 min at room temperature the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted with pentane (30 cm³), the extract filtered and the filtrate brought to dryness in vacuo. The remaining white solid was washed with small quantities of pentane (-78 °C) and quickly dried: yield 0.085 g (68%); mp 52 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 39.84; H, 7.64; S, 5.17. C₁₉H₄₄F₃O₃P₂RhS requires C, 39.71; H, 7.72; S, 5.57%). MS (70 eV): m/z 574.8 (M⁺). IR (KBr): v(RhH) 2194 and 2135, $v(CF_3)$ 1258 and 1171, $v(O_3S)$ 1270 and 1032 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): δ_H (200 MHz) 2.16 (6 H, m, CHCH₃), 1.08 [36 H, dvt, N 13.9, J(HH) 6.9, CHCH₃] and -25.80 [2 H, dt, J(RhH) 33.5, J(PH) 14.5 Hz, RhH]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz) 121.0 [q, J(CF) 319.5 Hz, CF₃], 24.9 (vt, N 21.9 Hz, CHCH₃) and 20.2 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (188.2 MHz) -77.3 (s); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (81.0 MHz) 60.9 [d, dt in off-resonance, J(RhP) 114.1 Hz].

[RhH₂{ η^2 -O₂S(O)F}(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 16. This compound was prepared as described for 13, using 6 (0.136 g, 0.26 mmol) in ether (3 cm³) as starting material. White solid: yield 0.105 g (77%); mp 45 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 40.98; H, 8.42; S, 6.11.

C₁₈H₄₄FO₃P₂RhS requires C, 41.22; H, 8.46; S, 6.11%). IR (KBr): ν (RhH) 2180 and 2158, ν (O₃S) 1285, 1252 and 1078, ν (SF) 740 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): δ _H (200 MHz) 2.07 (6 H, m, CHCH₃), 1.10 [36 H, dvt, N 13.7, J(HH) 6.7, CHCH₃] and -25.60 [2 H, dt, J(RhH) 33.0, J(PH) 14.8 Hz, RhH]; δ _C (50.3 MHz) 25.2 (vt, N 22.1 Hz, CHCH₃) and 20.2 (s, CHCH₃); δ _F (188.2 MHz) 41.6 (s, SF); δ _P (81.0 MHz) 60.6 [d, dt in off-resonance, J(RhP) 114.5 Hz].

[RhH₂{η²-O₂S(O)C₁₀H₁₅O}(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 17. This compound was prepared as described for 13, using 7 (0.059 g, 0.09 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 cm³) as starting material. White solid: yield 0.054 g (92%); mp 42 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 50.70; H, 9.05; S, 4.47. C₂₈H₅₉O₃P₂RhS requires C, 51.21; H, 9.06; S, 4.88%). IR (KBr): ν (RhH) 2120 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 3.86, 3.04 [2 H, both d, *J*(HH) 16.0 Hz, CH₂SO₃], 2.32 (6 H, m, CHCH₃), 2.07– 1.40 (7 H, m, 7 H of C₁₀H₁₅), 1.25 [36 H, dvt, *N* 13.1, *J*(HH) 5.8, CHCH₃], 1.21, 0.57 (6 H, both s, CH₃ of C₁₀H₁₅) and -25.31 [2 H, dt, *J*(RhH) 30.5, *J*(PH) 14.4 Hz, RhH]; $\delta_{\rm P}$ (81.0 MHz) 61.4 [d, dt in off-resonance, *J*(RhP) 114.8 Hz].

trans-[Rh{ η^1 -OS(O)₂Me}(CO)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 18. A slow stream of CO was passed for *ca*. 5 s through a solution of complex 3 (0.067 g, 0.13 mmol) in hexane (2 cm³). The resulting pale yellow suspension was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. After the solvent was removed *in vacuo*, the remaining white solid was washed three times with pentane (2 cm³) and dried: yield 0.063 g (88%); mp 122 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 43.69; H, 8.05; S, 5.90. C₂₀H₄₅O₄P₂RhS requires C, 43.94; H, 8.30; S, 5.87%). MS (70 eV): *mlz* 546 (M⁺). IR (KBr): *v*(CO) 1964, *v*(O₃S) 1265 and 1033 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 2.61 (3 H, s, SCH₃), 2.54 (6 H, m, CHCH₃) and 1.25 [36 H, dvt, *N* 14.1, *J*(HH) 7.2 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz) 191.1 [dt, *J*(RhC) 76.7, *J*(PC) 16.4 Hz, CO], 40.1 (s, SMe), 24.9 (vt, *N* 20.3 Hz, CHCH₃) and 20.3 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (81.0 MHz) 51.4 [d, *J*(RhP) 119.2 Hz].

Alternatively, compound **18** was prepared on treatment of a solution of **13** (0.068 g, 0.13 mmol) in hexane (2 cm³). The resulting suspension was worked up as described above. White solid: yield 0.060 g (85%).

trans-[Rh{ η^1 -OS(O)₂C₆H₄Me-*p*}(CO)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 19. This compound was prepared as described for 18, using 4 (0.071 g, 0.12 mmol) as starting material. Light yellow solid: yield 0.066 g (89%); mp 117 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 49.69; H, 8.00; S, 5.18. C₂₆H₄₉O₄P₂RhS requires C, 50.16; H, 7.93; S, 5.15%). IR (KBr): ν (CO) 1945 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 7.99–6.83 (4 H, m, C₆H₄), 2.46 (6 H, m, CHCH₃), 1.95 (3 H, s, C₆H₄CH₃) and 1.23 [36 H, dvt, N 13.9, J(HH) 7.3 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm P}$ (81.0 MHz) 51.7 [d, J(RhP) 119.1 Hz].

trans-[Rh{ η^1 -OS(O)₂CF₃}(CO)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 20. This compound was prepared as described for 18, using either 5 (0.089 g, 0.16 mmol) or 15 (0.090 g, 0.16 mmol) as starting material. Light yellow solid: yield 0.087 g (93%) from 5 or 0.083 g (90%) from 15; mp 112 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 39.69; H, 7.11; S, 5.39. C20H42F3O4P2RhS requires C, 40.01; H, 7.05; S, 5.34%). A 35 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. MS (70 eV): *m*/*z* 600 (M⁺). IR (KBr): *v*(CO) 1964, v(O₃S) 1273 and 1042, v(CF₃) 1253 and 1171 cm⁻¹. NMR (CD₃NO₂): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz) 2.69 (6 H, m, CHCH₃) and 1.44 [36 H, dvt, N 15.6, J(HH) 7.2 Hz, CHCH₃]; δ_C (100.6 MHz) 191.6 [dt, J(RhC) 65.4, J(PC) 13.6, CO], 122.4 [q, J(CF) 320.9 Hz, CF₃], 28.7 (vt, N 24.8 Hz, CHCH₃) and 20.6 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (376.4 MHz) - 78.4 (s); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (162.0 MHz) 57.4 [d, J(RhP) 100.4 Hz]. Since the NMR spectra were measured in CD₃NO₂ the signals probably correspond to the ionic species 20a (see Scheme 3).

trans-[Rh{ η^1 -OS(O)₂F}(CO)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 21. This compound was prepared as described for 18, using either 6 (0.108 g, 0.21 mmol)

or **16** (0.116 g, 0.21 mmol) as starting material. Pale yellow solid: yield 0.110 g (95%) from **6** or 0.105 g (91%) from **16**; mp 121 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 41.21; H, 7.36; S, 5.91. $C_{19}H_{42}FO_4PRhS$ requires C, 41.46; H, 7.69; S, 5.82%). A 31 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. MS (70 eV): *m/z* 550 (M⁺). IR (KBr): *v*(CO) 1946, *v*(O₃S) 1286 and 1063, *v*(SF) 700 cm⁻¹. NMR (CD₃NO₂): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz) 2.68 (6 H, m, CHCH₃) and 1.44 [36 H, dvt, *N* 15.2, *J*(HH) 7.2 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100.6 MHz) 191.6 [dt, *J*(RhC) 65.4, *J*(PC) 13.6 Hz, CO], 28.7 (vt, *N* 24.8 Hz, CHCH₃) and 20.5 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (376.4 MHz) 36.5 (s); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (162.0 MHz) 57.4 [d, *J*(RhP) 100.3 Hz]. Since the NMR spectra were measured in CD₃NO₂ the signals probably correspond to the ionic species **21a** (see Scheme 3).

trans-[Rh{ η^1 -OS(O)₂Me}(C₂H₄)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 22. A slow stream of ethene was passed through a suspension of complex 3 (0.102 g, 0.20 mmol) in pentane (5 cm^3). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature it was concentrated to *ca.* 1 cm^3 by passing a stream of ethene through the solution. After the solution was stored for 12 h at -78 °C a yellow microcrystalline solid precipitated which was separated from the mother-liquor, washed twice with small portions of pentane (-78 °C) and dried with a stream of ethene: yield 0.104 g (97%); mp 74 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 45.71; H, 9.15; S, 5.56. C₂₁H₄₉O₃P₂RhS requires C, 46.14; H, 9.04; S, 5.85%). IR (KBr): $v(O_3S)$ 1252, 1162 and 1039 cm⁻¹. NMR (C_6D_6 , saturated with C_2H_4): δ_H (400 MHz) 2.70 (3 H, s, SCH₃), 2.47 (4 H, m, C_2H_4), 2.26 (6 H, m, CHCH₃) and 1.21 [36 H, N 13.2, J(HH) 6.4 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100.6 MHz) 40.2 (s, SCH₃), 33.3 [d, J(RhC) 16.5 Hz, C₂H₄], 22.8 (vt, N 16.3 Hz, CHCH₃) and 20.5 (s, CHCH₃); δ_P (162.0 MHz) 35.2 [d, J(RhP) 119.1 Hz].

trans-[Rh{η¹-OS(O)₂C₆H₄Me-*p*}(C₂H₄)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 23. This compound was prepared as described for 22, using 4 (0.075 g, 0.13 mmol) as starting material. Yellow microcrystalline solid: yield 0.067 g (85%); mp 58 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 52.14; H, 8.86; S, 5.16. C₂₇H₅₃O₃P₂RhS requires C, 52.09; H, 8.58; S, 5.15%). MS (70 eV): *m*/*z* 622 (M⁺). IR (KBr): *v*(O₃S) 1259 and 1032 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆, saturated with C₂H₄): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 8.02, 6.85 (4 H, both m, C₆H₄), 2.51 (4 H, m, C₂H₄), 2.17 (6 H, m, C*H*CH₃), 1.99 (3 H, s, C₆H₄CH₃) and 1.20 [36 H, *N* 13.2, *J*(HH) 6.6 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz) 144.2 (s, *ipso*-C of SC₆H₄), 139.3, 128.3, 127.0 (all s, C₆H₄), 33.2 [d, *J*(RhC) 16.6 Hz, C₂H₄], 22.9 (vt, *N* 16.6 Hz, CHCH₃), 21.1 (s, C₆H₄CH₃) and 20.4 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (162.0 MHz) 35.6 [d, *J*(RhP) 119.2 Hz].

Alternatively, compound **23** was prepared on treatment of a suspension of **26** (0.028 g, 0.04 mmol) in C_6D_6 (0.5 cm³) with PPrⁱ₃ (0.030 cm³, 0.16 mmol). The ¹H NMR spectrum displayed only the signals of **23** and of free ethene.

trans-[Rh{η¹-OS(O)₂CF₃}(C₂H₄)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 24. This compound was prepared as described for 22, using 5 (0.077 g, 0.14 mmol) as starting material. Yellow microcrystalline solid: yield 0.065 g (80%); mp 76 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 41.90; H, 7.53; S, 5.27. C₂₁H₄₆F₃O₃P₂RhS requires C, 41.99; H, 7.72; S, 5.33%). IR (KBr): ν (O₃S) 1305 and 1026, ν (CF₃) 1230 and 1165 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 2.54 (4 H, m, C₂H₄), 2.13 (6 H, m, CHCH₃) and 1.15 [36 H, N 13.2, J(HH) 6.9 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100.6 MHz) 120.8 [q, J(FC) 321.0 Hz, CF₃], 33.7 (br s, C₂H₄), 22.9 (vt, N 17.3 Hz, CHCH₃) and 20.3 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (188.2 MHz) –76.7 (s); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (188.2 MHz) 34.6 [d, J(RhP) 117.5 Hz].

Alternatively, compound 23 was prepared on treatment of a suspension of 27 (0.040 g, 0.06 mmol) in C_4D_8O (0.5 cm³) at -20 °C with PPrⁱ₃ (0.045 cm³, 0.24 mmol). The ¹H NMR spectrum displayed only the signals of 24 and of free ethene.

trans-[Rh{ η^1 -OS(O)₂F}(C₂H₄)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 25. This compound was prepared as described for 22, using 6 (0.098 g, 0.19 mmol)

as starting material. Yellow microcrystalline solid: yield 0.102 g (98%); mp 52 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 43.22; H, 8.23; S, 5.74. C₂₀H₄₆FO₃P₂RhS requires C, 43.64; H, 8.42; S, 5.82%). MS (70 eV): m/z 550 (M⁺). IR (KBr): $v(O_3S)$ 1332, 1231 and 1083, v(SF) 739 cm⁻¹. NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 2.38 [4 H, dd, $J({\rm RhH})$ 6.7, $J({\rm PH})$ 4.0, C₂H₄], 2.01 (6 H, m, CHCH₃) and 1.15 [36 H, N 13.1, $J({\rm HH})$ 6.8 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz) 34.8 [d, $J({\rm RhC})$ 17.7 Hz, C₂H₄], 21.6 (vt, N 17.6 Hz, CHCH₃) and 19.6 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (188.2 MHz) 42.8 (s); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (81.0 MHz) 35.8 [d, $J({\rm RhP})$ 117.3 Hz].

[{**Rh**(C₂H₄)₂}₂{**μ**-O₂S(O)C₆H₄Me-*p*}₂] 26. A slow stream of ethene was passed through a suspension of complex 11 (0.110 g, 0.11 mmol) in hexane (4 cm³) for 1 min at room temperature. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min it was stored until the pale yellow solid and the solution were separated. The mother-liquor was decanted, the pale yellow solid repeatedly washed with 5 cm³ portions of pentane and dried: yield 0.062 g (85%); mp 134 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 39.75; H, 4.62; S, 9.28. C₂₂H₃₀O₆Rh₂S₂ requires C, 40.01; H, 4.58; S, 9.71%). IR (CH₂Cl₂): ν (O₃S) 1195, 1132, 1048 and 1015 cm⁻¹. NMR (CDCl₃, 60 °C): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 7.82 (4 H, m, *ortho*-H of SC₆H₄), 6.80 (4 H, m, *meta*-H of SC₆H₄), 3.00 (12 H, s, C₂H₄) and 1.93 (6 H, s, C₆H₄CH₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz) 141.5 (s, *ipso*-C of SC₆H₄), 140.7, 129.1, 126.7 (all s, C₆H₄), 60.6 (br m, C₂H₄) and 21.0 (s, C₆H₄CH₃).

[{**Rh**(C_2H_4)₂}₂{**µ**- $O_2S(O)CF_3$ }] 27. This compound was prepared as described for 26, using 12 (0.080 g, 0.08 mmol) as starting material. Yellow solid: yield 0.040 g (76%); mp 131 °C (decomp.). MS (70 eV): m/z (%) 616 (0.4) [M⁺], 5.88 (1.0) [M⁺ - C_2H_4], 560 (2.3) [M⁺ - $2C_2H_4$], 532 (0.4) [M⁺ - $3C_2H_4$], 504 (2.8) [M⁺ - $4C_2H_4$], 252 (12.3) [RhO₃SCF₃⁺] and 103 (33) [Rh⁺].

trans-[Rh{ η^1 -OS(O)₂CF₃}(C₂H₄)(SbPrⁱ₃)₂] 28. A suspension of complex 12 (0.122 g, 0.12 mmol) in pentane (5 cm³) was treated at -40 °C with SbPrⁱ₃ (0.105 cm³, 0.49 mmol). A red solution was formed which was stirred for 10 min at -40 °C. A slow stream of ethene was then passed through the solution (ca. 1 min) and a yellow solid precipitated. The mother-liquor was decanted, the solid washed three times with 2 cm³ portions of pentane (-40 °C) and dried: yield 0.171 g (86%); mp 47 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 40.35; H, 6.41; S, 3.98. C₂₇H₅₃O₃RhSSb₂ requires C, 40.33; H, 6.64; S, 3.99%). IR (C₆H₆): v(O₃S) 1263, 1153 and 1105 cm⁻¹. NMR: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz, C₆D₆) 8.05, 6.88 (4 H, both m, C₆H₄), 3.59 (4 H, br s, C₂H₄), 2.11 (6 H, m, CHCH₃), 1.95 (3 H, s, C₆H₄CH₃) and 1.30 [36 H, d, J(HH) 7.0 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) 143.4 (br s, *ipso-C* of SC₆H₄), 139.6, 128.7, 126.8 (all s, C₆H₄), 29.5 (m, C₂H₄), 22.1 (s, CHCH₃) and 19.0 (br s, CHCH₃).

Alternatively, compound **28** was prepared on treatment of a suspension of **27** (0.098 g, 0.10 mmol) and SbPrⁱ₃ (0.098 cm³, 0.40 mmol) in pentane (15 cm³) at -40 °C. Yellow solid: yield 0.142 g (89%).

[Rh{η²-O₂S(O)CF₃}(C₈H₁₄)(PPrⁱ₃)] 29. A solution of complex 12 (0.529 g, 0.56 mmol) in pentane (25 cm³) was treated at 0 °C with PPrⁱ₃ (0.148 cm³, 1.12 mmol) to give a violet-brown reaction mixture which was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The resulting orange solution was concentrated to *ca*. 2 cm² *in vacuo* which led to the precipitation of an orange-red microcrystalline solid. The mother-liquor was decanted, the residue washed three times with 5 cm³ portions of pentane (-78 °C) and dried *in vacuo*: yield 0.380 g (65%); mp 36 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 41.78; H, 6.51; S, 6.01. C₁₈H₃₅F₃O₃PRhS requires C, 41.38; H, 6.75; S, 6.14%). MS (70 eV): *m/z* 522 (M⁺). IR (KBr): *v*(O₃S) 1259, 1249 and 1021, *v*(CF₃) 1244, 1179 and 1169 cm⁻¹. NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ_H (400 MHz) 3.01 [2 H, m, *J*(HH) 9.8, =CH of C₈H₁₄], 2.13 [2 H, dd, *J*(HH) 12.3, 3.1, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄], 1.75

Published on 01 January 1998. Downloaded on 28/10/2014 12:43:46.

(3 H, m, CHCH₃), 1.55 (2 H, m, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄), 1.38 (8 H, br m, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄) and 1.23 [18 H, dd, *J*(PH) 13.9, *J*(HH) 7.2 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100.6 MHz) 120.0 [q, *J*(CF) 319.4, CF₃], 61.1 [d, *J*(RhC) 18.3, =CH of C₈H₁₄], 29.7, 28.5, 26.8 (all s, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄), 23.8 [d, *J*(PC) 26.4 Hz, CHCH₃) and 19.7 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (376.4 MHz) -78.5 (s); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (162 MHz) 78.7 [d, *J*(RhP) 212.8 Hz].

[Rh{η²-O₂S(O)CF₃}(C₂H₄)(PPrⁱ₃)] **30.** A solution of complex **29** (0.197 g, 0.38 mmol) in pentane (10 cm³), prepared *in situ* from **12** (0.178 g, 0.19 mmol) and PPrⁱ₃ (0.074 cm³, 0.38 mmol), was treated at room temperature for 10 s with a stream of ethene which afforded a yellow suspension. The solvent was decanted, the yellow microcrystalline residue washed three times with 5 cm³ portions of pentane and dried *in vacuo*: yield 0.114 g (69%); mp 50 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 32.54; H, 5.72; S, 7.05. C₁₂H₂₅F₃O₃PRhS requires C, 32.74; H, 5.72; S, 7.28%). NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz) 2.75 (4 H, br s, C₂H₄), 1.83 (3 H, m, CHCH₃) and 1.33 [18 H, J(PH) 13.8, J(HH) 7.0 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100.6 MHz) 119.0 [q, J(FC) 318.5, CF₃], 43.7 [d, J(RhC) 15.3, C₂H₄], 23.1 [d, J(PC) 25.8 Hz, CHCH₃] and 19.8 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (376.4 MHz) –78.4 (s); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (162 MHz) 69.5 [d, J(RhP) 189.7 Hz].

 $[Rh(\eta^{6}-C_{6}H_{6})(C_{8}H_{14})(PPr^{i}_{3})][O_{3}SCF_{3}]$ 31. A solution of compound 29 (0.089 g, 0.17 mmol) in C_6H_6 (5 cm³) was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. A yellow solution was formed, which was filtered and the filtrate concentrated to ca. 1 cm³ in vacuo. Addition of pentane (5 cm³) afforded a yellow suspension which was stored for 2 h. The solvent was then decanted, the yellow microcrystalline residue washed twice with 5 cm³ portions of pentane and dried in vacuo: yield 0.093 g (92%); mp 67 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 48.06; H, 6.90; Rh, 17.48; S, 5.15. C₂₄H₄₁F₃O₃PRhS requires C, 47.99; H, 6.89; Rh, 17.15; S, 5.33%). MS-FAB: m/z (%) 451 (0.7) [M⁺ – O₃SCF₃], 373 (1.0) $[Rh(C_8H_{14})(PPr_3^i)]^+$ and 263 (4.0) $[Rh(PPr_3^i)]^+$. IR (KBr): $v(O_3S)$ 1276 and 1059, $v(CF_3)$ 1183 cm⁻¹. NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz) 6.70 (6 H, s, C₆H₆), 3.09 [2 H, d, J(RhH) 9.4, =CH of C₈H₁₄], 2.38 [2 H, dd, J(HH) 9.7, 3.0, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄], 1.87 (3 H, m, CHCH₃), 1.49 (2 H, m, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄), 1.39 (8 H, br m, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄) and 1.32 [18 H, dd, J(PH) 14.1, J(HH) 7.4 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100.6 MHz) 67.9 [d, J(RhC) 14.3 Hz, =CH of C₈H₁₄], 34.2, 32.4, 26.4 (all s, CH₂ of C₈H₁₄), 25.5 [d, J(PC) 23.8 Hz, CHCH₃] and 19.9 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (376.4 MHz) -78.0 (s); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (162 MHz) 63.7 [d, J(RhP) 182.4 Hz].

[Rh(η⁶-C₆H₆)(C₂H₄)(PPrⁱ₃)][O₃SCF₃] 32. This compound was prepared as described for 31, using 30 (0.264 g, 0.60 mmol) as starting material. Pale yellow solid: yield 0.281 g (90%); mp 82 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 40.81; H, 5.85; S, 6.02. C₁₈H₃₁F₃O₃PRhS requires C, 41.71; H, 6.03; S, 6.19%). IR (KBr): ν (O₃S) 1270 and 1028, ν (CF₃) 1156 cm⁻¹. NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz) 6.77 (6 H, s, C₆H₆), 3.33, 2.23 (4 H, both m, C₂H₄), 1.84 (3 H, m, CHCH₃) and 1.20 [18 H, dd, J(PH) 14.1, J(HH) 7.0 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100.6 MHz) 121.2 [q, J(FC) 321.1 Hz, CF₃], 104.5 (br s, C₆H₆), 40.6 [d, J(RhC) 13.2, C₂H₄], 25.3 [d, J(PC) 24.4 Hz, CHCH₃] and 19.6 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm F}$ (376.4 MHz) –78.5 (s); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (162 MHz) 65.8 [d, J(RhP) 176.3 Hz].

[Rh{ η^1 -OS(O₂)C₆H₄Me-*p*}(C=CHPh)(PPrⁱ₃)₂] 33. A solution of complex 4 (0.089 g, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (2 cm³) was treated with phenylacetylene (0.017 cm³, 0.15 mmol) and stirred for 6 h at room temperature. A smooth change from red to violet occurred. The solvent was removed, the residue extracted with ether (20 cm³) and the extract brought to dryness *in vacuo*. The remaining solid was dissolved in acetone (2 cm³) and the solution stored for 12 h at -78 °C. Violet crystals precipitated which were washed twice with 2 cm³ portions of acetone (0 °C) and dried: yield 0.095 g (91%); mp 74 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 56.61; H, 8.01; S, 4.53. $C_{33}H_{55}O_3P_2RhS$ requires C, 56.89; H, 7.96; S, 4.60%). MS (ES): m/z (%) 605 (2.7) [M⁺ - C₆H₄CH₃]. NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 7.99–6.85 (9 H, m, C₆H₅ and C₆H₄), 2.61 (6 H, m, CHCH₃), 1.97 (3 H, s, C₆H₄CH₃), 1.51 [1 H, dt, J(RhH) 1.5, J(PH) 2.9, =CHPh] and 1.24 [36 H, dvt, N 13.9, J(HH) 7.3 Hz, CHCH₃]; $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100.6 MHz) 301.1 [dt, J(RhC) 61.0, J(PC) 17.3 Hz, Rh=C], 143.6, 139.7, 135.2, 128.6, 128.5, 126.7, 125.7, 125.5 (all s, C₆H₅ and C₆H₄), 112.2 [dt, J(RhC) 17.3, J(PC) 6.1, =CHPh], 24.1 [vt, N 19.6 Hz, CHCH₃], 21.1 (s, C₆H₄CH₃) and 20.3 (s, CHCH₃); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (81.0 MHz) 44.8 [d, J(RhP) 136.6 Hz].

Catalytic studies

Reactions of Ph₂CN₂ and C₂H₄ with complexes 3-6 as catalysts. A solution of a complex (ca. 20 mg, ca. 0.04 mmol) in methylcyclohexane (6 cm³) for 3, 4 or toluene (6 cm³) for 5, 6 was treated dropwise at 40 °C with a 0.5 mol dm^{-3} solution of diphenyldiazomethane in methylcyclohexane while bubbling ethene through the solution. The catalytic reaction was finished when the violet colour of the diazoalkane solution did not disappear on further addition to the reaction mixture. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the oily residue dissolved in 2-3 cm³ of hexane. In order to destroy the excess of Ph2CN2 and separate the catalyst, the mixture was filtered through Al₂O₃ (neutral, activity grade III, height of column 3 cm). After evaporation of the solvent, an oil containing a mixture of Ia-Id was isolated from the eluate. The ratio of the products was determined by integration of characteristic signals in the ¹H NMR spectra and by GC-MS analysis. The results are summarized in Table 4.

Reaction of Ph₂CN₂ and methyl acrylate with complex 5 as catalyst. In an analogous manner to the catalytic reaction of Ph₂CN₂ and ethene, a solution of complex **5** (17 mg, 0.03 mmol) and methyl acrylate (2.6 cm³, 3.0 mmol) in methylcyclohexane (6 cm³) was treated dropwise at 40 °C with a 0.1 mol dm⁻³ solution of diphenyldiazomethane in toluene. After work-up, a clear oil was isolated and characterized by ¹H NMR data and GC-MS analysis as **IIa**: yield 390 mg (1.55 mmol). If instead of methyl acrylate the corresponding ester CH₂= CHCH₂CO₂Me was used as the substrate, a small quantity (11 mg, 0.04 mmol) of an off-white oil was isolated. It was characterized by ¹H NMR data and GC-MS analysis as **IIb**.

Crystallography

Single crystals of complex 4 were grown from acetone (8 °C), those of 29 from pentane (20 °C) and those of 31 from benzene (20 °C). Crystal data collection parameters are summarized in Table 5. Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects. Data reduction were performed for 4 and 31 with SDP²⁶ and for 29 with Stoe IPDS software. The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS 86).27 For 29 and 31 two independent molecules (A and B) were found in the asymmetric units with different conformations of the cyclooctene ligand. In Figs. 1 and 2 only molecule A of 29 and 31, respectively, is shown. Table 5 contains the crystallographic data of each whole asymmetric unit (molecule A and B), the chemical formula and the formula weight, however, belong to one molecule only. Atomic coordinates and anisotropic displacement parameters of the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by fullmatrix least squares on F^2 (SHELXL 93).²⁸ The positions of the hydrogen atoms [except of H(20), H(21), H(40) and H(41) in 29 and C(30), H(31), H(40) and H(41) in 31] were calculated according to ideal geometry using the riding method.

CCDC reference number 186/1157.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/1998/3549/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

T 47 51	
Formula $C_{25}H_{49}O_3P_2RhS$ $C_{18}H_{35}F_3O_3PRhS$ $C_{24}H_{41}F_3O_3PRhS$	
M 594.55 522.40 600.51	
Crystal system Monoclinic Monoclinic Triclinic	
Space group $P2_1/c$ (no. 14) $P2_1/c$ (no. 14) $P\overline{1}$ (no. 2)	
a/Å 10.498(4) 14.177(3) 14.042(4)	
b/Å 14.104(3) 17.626(6) 15.347(4)	
c/Å 20.276(7) 19.038(6) 15.532(2)	
a/° — — 63.12(2)	
βl° 92.89(2) 103.61(3) 73.03(2)	
y/° — — 70.98(2)	
$U/Å^3$ 2998(2) 4624(2) 2781(1)	
<i>T</i> /K 293 173 293	
Z 4 8 4	
$D_c/g \text{ cm}^{-3}$ 1.317 1.501 1.434	
λ(Mo-Kα)/Å 0.71073 0.71073 0.71073	
μ/mm^{-1} 0.761 0.928 0.782	
No. reflections measured 4422 36543 9104	
No. unique reflections (R_{int}) 4156 (0.0127) 8695 (0.0746) 8703 (0.0096)	
$R1^{b}$ 0.0266 0.0334 0.0294	
<i>wR2^c</i> 0.0717 0.0683 0.0751	

^{*a*} For complex **31** an extinction parameter was refined to $(5.98 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$. ^{*b*} $R = \Sigma |F_o - F_c|/\Sigma F_o$ [for $F_o > 2\sigma(F_o)$] for the number of observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$, respectively. ^{*c*} $wR2 = [\Sigma w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma w(F_o^2)^2]^2$; $w^{-1} = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0377P)^2 + 1.1100P]$ (**4**), $[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0289P)^2 + 0.0000P]$ (**29**), $[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0347P)^2 + 2.5370P]$ (**31**), where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$; for all data reflections, respectively.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 347) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support, the latter in particular for Ph.D. grants to M. E. S. and M. M. We are also grateful to Mrs R. Schedl and Mr C. P. Kneis (DTA measurements and elemental analyses), to Dr G. Lange and Dr M. Herderich (mass spectra), to Degussa AG and BASF AG (chemicals), and to Dr J. Wolf for numerous valuable discussions.

References

- J. Wolf, L. Brandt, A. Fries and H. Werner, *Angew. Chem.*, 1990, 102, 584; *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1990, 29, 510; H. Werner, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1994, 475, 45.
- A. J. Anciaux, A. J. Hubert, A. F. Noels, N. Petiniot and P. Teyssié, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 695; M. P. Doyle, Acc. Chem. Res., 1986, 19, 348; M. P. Doyle, W. R. Winchester, J. A. A. Hoorn, V. Lynch, S. H. Simonsen and R. Ghosh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 9968.
- 3 L. Brandt, Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Würzburg, 1991.
- 4 L. Brandt, A. Fries, N. Mahr, H. Werner and J. Wolf, *Selective Reactions of Metal-Activated Molecules*, eds. H. Werner, A. G. Griesbeck, W. Adam, G. Bringmann and W. Kiefer, Vieweg Verlag, Braunschweig, 1992, p. 171.
- 5 M. Schäfer, J. Wolf, H. Werner, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 1341; H. Werner, M. Schäfer, O. Nürnberg and J. Wolf, Chem. Ber., 1994, 127, 27; M. Schäfer, J. Wolf and H. Werner, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 476, 85.
- 6 H. Werner, S. Poelsma, M. E. Schneider, B. Windmüller and D. Barth, *Chem. Ber.*, 1996, **129**, 647.
- 7 M. E. Schneider and H. Werner, 10. International Symposium on Homogeneous Catalysis, Princeton, 1996.
- 8 L. S. Stuhl and E. L. Muetterties, Inorg. Chem., 1978, 17, 2148.
- 9 G. A. Lawrance, Chem. Rev., 1986, 86, 17.
- 10 K. Wang, G. P. Rosini, S. P. Nolan and A. S. Goldman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 5082.
- 11 C. K. Johnson, ORTEP, Report ORNL-5138, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 1976.
- 12 V. V. Grushin, V. F. Kuznetsov, C. Bensimon and H. Alper, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 3927.

- 13 O. Gevert, J. Wolf and H. Werner, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 2806.
- 14 H. Werner, F. J. Garcia Alonso, H. Otto and J. Wolf, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1988, 43, 722; H. Werner and U. Brekau, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1989, 44, 1438; T. Rappert, O. Nürnberg, N. Mahr, J. Wolf and H. Werner, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 4156.
- 15 U. Möhring, M. Schäfer, F. Kukla, M. Schlaf and H. Werner, J. Mol. Catal. A, 1995, 99, 55.
- 16 M. Aresta, E. Quaranta and A. Albinati, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 2032.
- 17 For a recent review on stibine transition-metal complexes see N. R. Champness and W. Levason, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1994, 133, 115.
- 18 P. Schwab, N. Mahr, J. Wolf and H. Werner, *Angew. Chem.*, 1993, 105, 1498; *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1993, **32**, 1480; H. Werner, P. Schwab, E. Bleuel, N. Mahr, P. Steinert and J. Wolf, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1997, **3**, 1375.
- 19 H. Werner, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 500, 331.
- 20 J. Halpern, D. P. Riley, A. S. C. Chan and J. J. Pluth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 8055; E. T. Singewald, C. S. Slone, C. L. Stern, C. A. Mirkin, G. P. A. Yap, L. M. Liable-Sands and A. L. Rheingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 3048; M. Manger, Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Würzburg, 1997.
- 21 P. Binger and U. Schuchardt, Angew. Chem., 1977, 89, 254; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1977, 16, 249; Chem. Ber., 1981, 114, 3313.
- Y. Alvarado, O. Boutry, E. Gutiérrez, A. Monge, C. M. Nicasio, M. L. Poveda, P. J. Pérez, C. Ruíz, C. Bianchini and E. Carmona, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1997, **3**, 860; P. J. Perez, M. L. Poveda and E. Carmona, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 8.
 Y. Alvarado, P. J. Daff, P. J. Perez, M. L. Poveda, R. Sanchez-
- 23 Y. Alvarado, P. J. Daff, P. J. Perez, M. L. Poveda, R. Sanchez-Delgado and E. Carmona, *Organometallics*, 1996, 15, 2192.
- 24 P. J. Perez, M. L. Poveda and E. Carmona, Angew. Chem., 1995, 107, 242; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 231.
- 25 A. van der Ent and A. L. Onderdelinden, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1973, 14, 92.
 26 B. A. Frenz, The Enraf-Nonius CAD4 SDP, a real time system for concurrent X-ray data collection and structure determination, in *Computing in Crystallography*, Delft University Press, Delft, 1978, p. 64.
- 27 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1990, 46, 467.
- 28 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL 93, A program for crystal structure refinement, University of Göttingen, 1993.

Paper 8/05900D