# Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of E-Selectin Antagonists that Present Different Carbohydrate Ligands in a Multivalent Format

Gebhard Thoma,\* Franz Schwarzenbach

Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research, Lichtstrasse 35, WSJ-507.4.12, 4056 Basel, Switzerland Fax +41(61)3246735; E-mail: gebhard.thoma@pharma.novartis.com

Received 12 January 2005

Dedicated to Prof. Bernd Giese on the occasion of his 65th birthday

**Abstract:** The synthesis of the first polylysine conjugates that present different carbohydrate ligands in a multivalent format is reported. Glycopolymers **3c** and **3d** have been prepared with a highly predictable composition as indicated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. They function as multivalent E-selectin inhibitors but their potencies are not superior compared to previously-described related compounds.

**Key words:** sialyl Lewis X, slectins, glycopolymers, multivalency, carbohydrate chemistry

The interactions of E-selectin, a vascular endothelial cell surface protein, with its physiological glycoprotein ligand mediates leukocyte rolling on the blood vessel wall.<sup>1</sup> Inhibition of this early stage of the inflammatory response prevents excessive leukocyte recruitment and thus is a potential therapy for acute and chronic inflammatory disorders such as reperfusion injuries, psoriasis, rheumatoid arthritis, or respiratory diseases.<sup>2</sup> The tetrasaccharide sialyl Lewis X (1, sLe<sup>x</sup>; Figure 1) is the minimum epitope recognized by E-selectin.<sup>3</sup> The concentration of sLe<sup>x</sup> to achieve 50% inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub>) was determined to be 1000– 2000  $\mu$ M in a static, cell-free E-selectin binding assay<sup>4</sup> which is in line with the observation that monovalent carbohydrate-protein interactions are generally very weak  $(K_D = 10^{-3} - 10^{-4} \text{ M}^{-1})$ .<sup>5</sup> While modifying sLe<sup>x</sup> we discovered the simplified analogue 2 (Figure 1) which showed 30-fold improved potency (IC<sub>50</sub> = 36  $\mu$ M) compared with sLe<sup>x</sup>.<sup>6,7</sup>

In some cases high affinity inhibitors can be obtained by multivalent presentation of low affinity ligands.<sup>8</sup> Polymers, liposomes and protein conjugates containing sLe<sup>x</sup> or similar carbohydrates have been described.<sup>9</sup> We have prepared multivalent sLe<sup>x</sup>–polyaspartic acid conjugates<sup>10</sup> and poly-L-lysine–sLe<sup>x</sup> conjugates<sup>11</sup> but did not observe improved potencies. However, multivalent polylysine conjugates of antagonist **2**, such as **3a** and **3b** (Scheme 1, Table 1) were highly active E-selectin inhibitors with IC<sub>50</sub> values as low as 0.04  $\mu$ M in the binding assay. Furthermore, these glycopolymers reduced neutrophil rolling on activated endothelial cells both in vitro and in vivo.<sup>12,13</sup>

We here report on the synthesis, characterization and biological evaluation of compounds **3c** and **3d**, the first polyl-

SYNTHESIS 2005, No. 9, pp 1491–1495 Advanced online publication: 07.04.2005 DOI: 10.1055/s-2005-865299; Art ID: C00205SS © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York



**Figure 1** E-Selectin antagonists and carbohydrate ligands incorporated in polylysine conjugates. *gal:* D-galactose; *glcNAc: N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine; *sia:* sialic acid; *fuc:* L-fucose; THP: tetrahydropyran

ysine conjugates with different carbohydrate ligands (Scheme 1).

The essential pharmacophores of sLe<sup>x</sup> and **2** required to bind to E-selectin are the carboxylic acid function, all three OH-groups of the L-fucose as well as the 4-OH and 6-OH groups of the galactose.<sup>14</sup> We have shown that the tetrahydropyran of antagonist **2** mainly functions as spacer to keep the pharmacophores in the appropriate positions with respect to each other.<sup>15</sup> To study if random expression of all important pharmacophores in a multivalent format would lead to a potent antagonist we designed glycoconjugate **3c** containing multiple copies of galactose derivative **R<sup>4</sup>-S**- and fucose derivative **R<sup>5</sup>-S**-, which are fragments of antagonist **2**.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of carbohydrate-polylysine conjugates

 Table 1
 E-Selectin Inhibition<sup>a</sup>

Com pound	R <sup>i</sup> (% cont.)	R <sup>ii</sup> (% cont.)	R <sup>iii</sup> (% cont.)	IC <sub>50</sub> bind. <sup>b</sup> [μM]
1	monovalent			1000-2000
2	monovalent			36
3a	R <sup>2</sup> (35)	_	R <sup>6</sup> (65)	0.04
3b	R <sup>2</sup> (20)	-	R <sup>6</sup> (80)	0.18
3c	R <sup>4</sup> (30)	R <sup>5</sup> (30)	R <sup>6</sup> (40)	1900
3d	R <sup>2</sup> (20)	_	R <sup>4</sup> (80)	0.40

<sup>a</sup> For R groups, see Figure 1.

<sup>b</sup> For multivalent compounds concentrations refer to carbohydrate ligand concentrations but not to macromolecule concentration.



**Scheme 2** *Reagents and conditions*: (a) NIS, F<sub>3</sub>CSO<sub>3</sub>H, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -10 °C; (b) 1. Pd/C, H<sub>2</sub>, dioxane–H<sub>2</sub>O 5:1, 20 °C; 2. NaOH, CH<sub>3</sub>OH, 20 °C; (c) γ-thiobutyrolactone, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>3</sub>OH, 64 °C; NIS: *N*-iodosuccinimide; Z: benzyl oxycarbonyl; Bn: benzyl; Bz: benzoyl

The structure of the physiological E-selectin ligand has not been fully elucidated<sup>16</sup> but there is evidence that additional fucose residues in the proximity of sLe<sup>x</sup> are required for high affinity binding.<sup>17</sup> Glycopolymer **3d** with fucose derivative **R<sup>5</sup>-S**- in addition to the potent sLe<sup>x</sup> mimic **R<sup>2</sup>-S**- was designed to study the role of additional fucose residues in close proximity to the potent sLe<sup>x</sup>-like E-selectin inhibitor **2** in a multivalent format.

The E-selectin ligand **R<sup>2</sup>-SH** was prepared as reported earlier.<sup>11</sup> The modified galactose **R<sup>4</sup>-SH** was obtained from  $7^{18}$  (Scheme 2). Glycosylation with propanolamine **8** furnished compound **9**, which was deprotected to give **10**. Subsequent reaction with  $\gamma$ -thiobutyrolactone gave thiol



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: (a) 1. Br<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, 2. Et<sub>4</sub>NBr, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>–DMF 3:2; (b) 1. Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub>/C, H<sub>2</sub>, dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O 4:1, 20 °C; 2.  $\gamma$ -thiobutyrolactone, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMF, 90 °C

PAPER

R<sup>4</sup>-SH. Likewise, fucose R<sup>5</sup>-SH was prepared from 11<sup>19</sup> which was glycosylated ( $\rightarrow$  12), deprotected and subsequently treated with  $\gamma$ -thiobutyrolactone (Scheme 3). The glycopolymers 3c and 3b were prepared from chloroacetylated polylysine 13.<sup>11</sup> Conjugate 3c was obtained by reacting 13 with 30 mol% of R<sup>4</sup>-SH and 30 mol% of R<sup>5</sup>-SH in the presence of DBU followed by the addition of an excess of R<sup>6</sup>-SH. Reaction of 13 with 20 mol% of R<sup>2</sup>-SH and subsequent treatment with a small excess of R<sup>4</sup>-SH furnished glycopolymer 3d. As we have shown that the degree of polymerization of the L-polyslysine starting material (n ca. 1200) is not altered under the reaction conditions applied<sup>11</sup> the molecular weight  $(M_n)$  of **3c** and **3b** can be estimated to ca 400-500 kD. Both composition and integrity of the novel glycopolymers were confirmed by means of <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (Figure 2). Within the experimental error carbohydrate incorporation occurred quantitatively.

The glycoconjugates were evaluated in the binding assay. Compound **3c** was found to inhibit E-selectin with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 1900  $\mu$ M. Thus, random expression of all important pharmacophores in a multivalent format resulted in a inhibitory potential similar to monovalent sLe<sup>x</sup> (Table 1, Figure 1). Conjugate **3d** was highly active showing an IC<sub>50</sub> value comparable to **3b** also containing 20% of **R<sup>2</sup>-S**-



**Figure 2** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz) spectra of glycopolymers **3c** and **3d** in  $D_2O$  at 60 °C. Several baseline-separated signals can be assigned to the corresponding R groups. Integration allows the determination of the composition within NMR accuracy.

but with  $\mathbf{R}^{6}$ -S- instead of additional fucose (Table 1, Figure 1). Thus, incorporation of fucose in a multivalent format does not lead to improved potency.

In conclusion we have prepared the first polylysine conjugates, which present different carbohydrate ligands in a multivalent format with a highly predictable composition. These compounds inhibit E-selectin but are not superior compared to previously-described related analogues.

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of anhyd Ar. Commercially available absolute solvents were used. The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance DPX 400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals of water-soluble compounds are reported relative to the shift of the DHO peak (4.75 ppm). The signal assignments are based on 2D <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H-correlation (COSY) and <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C-correlation spectroscopy (HSQC). The MS spectra were obtained on a Finnigan MAT 90 mass spectrometer, the HRMS spectra on a Bruker Daltonics 9.4T APEX-III FT-MS mass spectrometer. Ultrafiltrations were performed using Amicon stirred cells 8010 (volume: 10 mL; diameter: 25 mm) and Amicon disc membranes YM3 (molecular weight cut-off: 3000).

## Compound 3c

DBU (91 mg, 0.60 mmol) was added at 20 °C to a solution of **13** (50 mg, 0.25 mmol) and  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -SH (37 mg; 0.05 mmol) in a mixture of DMF (4 mL) and water (0.1 mL). The mixture was stirred for 15 min. Then,  $\mathbb{R}^5$ -SH (120 mg, 0.37 mmol) and DBU (73 mg, 0.49 mmol) were added and stirring continued for 2 h. The solution was added dropwise to a mixture of EtOH–Et<sub>2</sub>O (30 mL, 1:1). The formed precipitate was filtered off and washed with EtOH. The crude product was dissolved in water and further purified by means of ultrafiltration (5 × 10 down to 2 mL, with the volume being made up with distilled water on each occasion). Following lyophilization, the product **3c** was isolated as a colorless powder (128 mg, 91%).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR: see Figure 2.

#### **Compound 3d**

DBU (55 mg, 0.37 mmol) was added at 20 °C to a solution of **13** (50 mg, 0.25 mmol), **R<sup>4</sup>-SH** (39 mg, 0.08 mmol) and **R<sup>5</sup>-SH** (26 mg; 0.08 mmol) in a mixture of DMF (4 mL) and water (0.2 mL). The mixture was stirred for 15 min. Then, **R<sup>6</sup>-SH** (79 mg, 0.73 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.2 mL) were added and stirring continued for 2 h. The solution was added dropwise to a mixture of EtOH–Et<sub>2</sub>O (30 mL, 1:1). The formed precipitate was filtered off and washed with EtOH. The crude product was dissolved in water and further purified by means of ultrafiltration (5 × 10 down to 2 mL, with the volume being made up with distilled water on each occasion). Following lyophilization, the product **3d** was isolated as a colorless powder (107 mg, 100%).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR: see Figure 2.

#### **Compound R4-SH**

Under rigorous exclusion of oxygen a solution of **10** (1000 mg, 2.56 mmol),  $\gamma$ -thiobutyrolactone (2600 mg, 25.6 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (2600 mg, 25.6 mmol) in degassed CH<sub>3</sub>OH (30 mL) was heated under reflux for 16 h. The solvent and volatile side products were removed in vacuo and the residue subjected to chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc–*i*-PrOH–water, 1:1:0.25). Lyophilization afforded the product **R<sup>4</sup>-SH** as a colorless powder (956 mg, 76%);  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  – 33.5 (*c* = 0.76, CH<sub>3</sub>OH).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta = 0.90-1.75$  (m, 13 H,  $CH_2-cC_6H_{11}$ ), 1.79 (quint, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH), 1.83 (quint, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>SH), 2.30 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>SH), 2.49 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>SH), 3.25 (m, 2 H,

OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH), 3.36 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 3.0 Hz, 1 H, H3), 3.54 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H2), 3.63 (m, 1 H, OC*Ha*HbCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.66–3.77 (m, 3 H, H5, H6, H6'), 3.87 (br d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1 H, H4), 3.93 (m, 2 H, OCHaHbCH<sub>2</sub>, OCHCO<sub>2</sub>H), 4.35 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H1).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O): δ = 22.6, 25.3, 25.5, 25.7, 27.8, 29.0, 31.3, 32.8, 33.1, 33.9, 35.9, 40.7, 60.6, 65.7, 67.3, 69.5, 74.0, 78.8, 82.4, 102.2, 175.6, 182.2.

HRMS: m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>39</sub>NO<sub>9</sub>S: 516.2238; found: 516.2240.

## Compound R<sup>5</sup>-SH

A mixture of **12** (1000 mg, 1.60 mmol), Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub> (500 mg, 10% on charcoal), dioxane (16 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (4 mL) was hydrogenated by means of a balloon at 20 °C for 16 h. Following filtration the solvent was removed to give a colorless oil which was dissolved in degassed DMF (20 mL).  $\gamma$ -Thiobutyrolactone (1630 mg, 16.0 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (1620 mg, 16.0 mmol) were added and the mixture was stirred for 16 h at 90 °C. The solvent and volatile side products were removed in vacuo and the residue subjected to chromatography on silica gel (CHCl<sub>3</sub>–MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O, 3:1:0  $\rightarrow$  3:1:0.3). The product **R<sup>5</sup>–SH** was isolated as a colorless oil (383 mg, 74%); [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup>–112.2 (*c* = 0.76, CH<sub>3</sub>OH).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  = 1.15 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3 H, H6), 1.79 (m, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH), 1.83 (quint, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>SH), 2.31 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>SH), 2.49 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>SH), 2.49 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>SH), 3.17–3.33 (m, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH), 3.45 (m, 1 H, OCHaHbCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.68 (m, 1 H, OCHaHbCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.71 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, H2), 3.74 (br d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1 H, H4), 3.81 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 3.5 Hz, 1 H, H3), 3.98 (br q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1 H, H5), 4.81 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1 H, H1).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 15.8, 23.7, 28.6, 29.1, 34.3, 36.7, 65.6, 65.8, 68.8, 70.8, 71.4, 98.1, 172.1.

HRMS: m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>S: 346.1295; found: 346.1295.

#### **Compound 9**

Under rigorous exclusion of moisture CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H (70 µL) was added at -10 °C to a solution of **7** (1070 mg, 5.13 mmol), **8** (2000 mg, 2.56 mmol) and NIS (866 mg, 3.85 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and the mixture stirred for 30 min. EtOAc was added and the mixture extracted with Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and brine. The solvent was removed and the residue subjected to flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane– EtOAc,  $3:1 \rightarrow 1:1$ ). Compound **9** was isolated as a colorless oil (2070 mg, 87%);  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +17.3 (c = 0.74, CH<sub>3</sub>OH).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.44-1.79$  (m, 15 H,  $CH_2c-C_6H_{11}$ , OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH), 3.14 (m, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH), 3.55 (m, 1 H, OCHaHbCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.90 (dd, J = 9.5, 3.0 Hz, 1 H, H3), 3.94 (m, 1 H, OCHaHbCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.97 (br t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H, H5), 4.19 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.5 Hz, 1 H, OCHCO<sub>2</sub>H), 4.43 (dd, J = 11.5, 5.0 Hz, 1 H, H6), 4.49 (dd, J = 11.5, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, H6'), 4.55 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, Hvv1), 4.99 (br t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H, NH), 5.03 (m, 2 H, OBn), 5.08 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1 H, CO<sub>2</sub>Bn), 5.19 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1 H, CO<sub>2</sub>Bn), 5.63 (dd, J = 10.0, 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H2), 5.94 (br d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H, H4), 7.29–8.16 (m, 25 H, ArH).

 $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 16.2, 28.6, 39.7, 66.0, 66.1, 67.6, 72.9, 73.0, 74.3, 75.7, 77.3, 79.1, 97.8, 127.0–138.4 (signals of aromatic C-atoms), 158.2.

HRMS: m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>54</sub>H<sub>57</sub>NO<sub>13</sub>: 950.3722; found: 950.3724.

### Compound 10

A mixture of **9** (2.07 g, 2.23 mmol),  $Pd(OH)_2$  (1.00 g, 10% on charcoal), dioxane (40 mL),  $H_2O$  (10 mL) and HOAc (0.34 mL) was hydrogenated by means of a balloon at 20 °C for 16 h. Following

filtration the solvent was removed and the residue dissolved in a mixture of MeOH (50 mL) and NaOH (2 N, 11 mL). The solution was stirred at 60 °C for 4 h. The solvents were removed and the residue subjected to flash chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc–*i*-PrOH–H<sub>2</sub>O, 1:1:0.5). Compound **10** was isolated as a colorless oil (0.855 g, 98%).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta = 0.83-1.73$  (m, 13 H, CH<sub>2</sub>c-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>), 1.98 (m, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH), 3.14 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH), 3.39 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 3.0 Hz, 1 H, H3), 3.58 (br t, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, H2), 3.68 (m, 1 H, H5), 3.74 (m, 2 H, H6, H6'), 3.79 (m, 1 H, OCHaHb), 3.89 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1 H, H4), 3.94 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 3.0 Hz, 1 H, OCHCO<sub>2</sub>H), 4.03 (m, 1 H, OCHaHbv), 4.39 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, H1).

MS:  $m/z = 392 [M + H]^+$ .

#### **Compound 12**

A solution of Br<sub>2</sub> (2.21 g, 13.8 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) was added dropwise at 0 °C to a solution of **11** (6.0 g, 12.6 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL). After stirring for 30 min at 0 °C cyclohexene (2.5 mL) was added to consume excessive Br<sub>2</sub>. The solution was added within 10 min to a mixture of **8** (5.25 g, 25.1 mmol) and Et<sub>4</sub>NBr (6.30 g, 30.1 mmol; dried for 2 h at 200 °C) in DMF–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 mL, 1:1). The mixture was stirred for 90 h at 20 °C, diluted with EtOAc, washed with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, HCl (0.5 M) and brine and dried with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed and the residue subjected to flash–chromatography on silica gel (hexane–acetone, 6:1). Compound **12** was isolated as a colorless oil (7.0 g, 89%);  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  –74.5 (c = 1.2, CH<sub>3</sub>OH).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.09 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3 H, H6), 1.80 (quint, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH), 3.25 (m, 1 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-CH*a*HbNH), 3.39–3.53 (m, 2 H, OCH*a*HbCH<sub>2</sub>CH*aHb*NH), 3.59 (m, 1 H, H4), 3.78–3.86 (m, 2 H, H5, OCH*a*Hb), 3.88 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H3), 4.01 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 4.0 Hz, 1 H, H2), 4.65 (m, 3 H, OBn), 4.71 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1 H, H1), 4.78 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1 H, OBn), 4.80 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1 H, OBn), 4.96 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1 H, OBn), 5.03 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1 H, OBn), 5.10 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1 H, OBn), 5.90 (m, 1 H, NH), 7.24–7.36 (m, 20 H, ArH).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 25.1, 25.4, 25.7, 29.1, 32.2, 32.9, 33.0, 37.6, 40.0, 62.4, 65.9, 66.2, 67.0, 69.4, 71.5, 72.2, 76.7, 77.8, 101.1, 127.5–135.1 (signals of aromatic C-atoms), 156.2, 164.8, 165.5, 165.9, 172.1.

HRMS: m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>43</sub>NO<sub>7</sub>: 648.2932; found: 648.2931.

## Acknowledgment

We thank Mr. François Nuninger for technical assistance.

#### References

- (a) Kansas, G. S. *Blood* **1996**, 88, 3259. (b) Varki, A. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1994**, *91*, 7390. (c) Welply, J. K.; Keene, J. L.; Schmuke, J. L.; Howard, S. C. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1994**, *1197*, 215. (d) Springer, T. A. *Cell* **1994**, *76*, 301.
- (2) (a) Cines, D. B.; Pollak, E. S.; Buck, C. A.; Loscalzo, J.; Zimmermann, G. A.; McEver, R. P.; Pober, J. S.; Wick, T. M.; Konkle, B. A.; Schwartz, B. S.; Barnathan, E. S.; McCrae, K. R.; Hug, B. A.; Schmidt, A.-M.; Stern, D. M. *Blood* **1998**, *91*, 3527. (b) Mousa, S. A. *Drugs Future* **1996**, *21*, 283. (c) Mousa, S. A.; Cheresh, D. A. *Drug Discov. Today* **1997**, *2*, 187.

- (3) (a) Springer, T. A.; Lasky, L. *Nature (London)* 1999, 349, 196. (b) Drickamer, K. *Glycobiology* 1994, 4, 245. (c) Ng, K. K.-S.; Weis, W. I. *Biochemistry* 1997, 36, 965. (d) Phillips, M. L.; Nudelman, E.; Gaeta, F. C. A.; Perez, M.; Singhal, A. K.; Hakomori, S.; Paulson, J. C. *Science* 1990, 250, 1130. (e) Walz, G.; Aruffo, A.; Kolanus, W.; Bevilacqua, M.; Seed, B. *Science* 1990, 250, 1132. (f) Lowe, J. B.; Stoolman, L. M.; Nair, R. P.; Larsen, R. D.; Berhend, T. L.; Marks, R. M. *Cell* 1990, 63, 475. (g) Tiemyer, M.; Swiedler, S. J.; Ishihara, M.; Moreland, M.; Schweingruber, H.; Hirtzer, P.; Brandley, B. K. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1991, 88, 1138.
- (4) Thoma, G.; Magnani, J. L.; Oehrlein, R.; Ernst, B.;
   Schwarzenbach, F.; Duthaler, R. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7414.
- (5) Lee, Y. C.; Lee, R. T. Acc. Chem. Res. 1995, 28, 321.
- (6) Thoma, G.; Kinzy, W.; Bruns, C.; Patton, J. T.; Magnani, J. L.; Bänteli, R. J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 4909.
- (7) Other small molecule E-selectin antagonists are summarized in: Simanek, E. E.; McGarvey, G. J.; Jablonowski, J. A.; Wong, C.-H. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, 98, 833.
- (8) (a) Mammen, M.; Choi, S.-K.; Whitesides, G. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2754. (b) Lee, R. T.; Lee, Y. C. Glycoconjugate J. 2000, 17, 543. (c) Lundquist, J. J.; Toone, E. J. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 555. (d) Ercolani, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 16097. (e) Kitov, P. I.; Bundle, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 16271. (f) Kiessling, L. L.; Pontrello, J. K.; Schuster, M. C. In Carbohydrate-Based Drug Discovery, Vol. 2; Wong, C. H., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2003, 575. (g) Kiessling, L. L.; Young, T.; Mortell, K. H. In Glycoscience – Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Vol. 2; Fraser-Reid, B. O.; Tatsuta, K.; Thiem, J., Eds.; Springer: New York, 2001, 1817.
- (9) (a) Manning, D. D.; Hu, X.; Beck, P.; Kiessling, L. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 3161. (b) Sanders, W. J.; Gordon, E. J.; Oren, D.; Beck, P. J.; Ronen, A.; Kiessling, L. L. J. Biol. Chem. 1999, 274, 5271. (c) Miyauchi, H.; Tanaka, M.; Koike, H.; Kawamura, N.; Hayashi, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1997, 7, 985. (d) Palcic, M. M.; Li, H.; Zanini, D.; Bhella, R. S.; Roy, R. Carbohydr. Res. 1998, 305, 433. (e) Stahn, R.; Schäfer, H.; Kernchen, F.; Schreiber, J. Glycobiology 1998, 8, 311. (f) Lin, C.-C.; Kimura, T.; Wu, S.-H.; Weitz-Schmidt, G.; Wong, C.-H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1996, 6, 2755. (g) Roy, R.; Park, W. K. C.; Srivastava, O. P.; Foxall, C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1996, 6, 1399. (h) DeFrees, S. A.; Phillips, L.; Guo, L.; Zalipsky, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6101. (i) Spevak, W.; Foxall, C.; Charycj, D. H.; Dasgupta, F.; Nagy, J. O. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1018. (j) Welply, J. K.; Abbas, S. Z.; Sudder, P.; Keene, J. L.; Broschat, K.; Casnocha, S.; Gorka, C.; Steininger, C.; Howard, S. C.; Schmuke, J. J.; Graneto, M.; Rotsaert, J. M.; Manger, I. D.; Jacob, G. S. Glycobiology 1994, 4, 259. (k) Berg, E. L.; Robinson, M. K.; Mansson, O.; Butcher, E. C.; Magnani, J. L. J. Biol. Chem. 1991, 266, 14869.
- (10) Thoma, G.; Ernst, B.; Schwarzenbach, F.; Duthaler, R. O. *Bioorg. Chem. Med. Lett.* **1997**, *7*, 1705.
- Thoma, G.; Patton, J. T.; Magnani, J. L.; Ernst, B.; Oehrlein,
   R.; Duthaler, R. O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 5919.
- (12) Thoma, G.; Duthaler, R. O.; Magnani, J. L.; Patton, J. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10113.
- (13) Ali, M.; Hicks, A. E. R.; Hellewell, P. G.; Thoma, G.; Norman, K. E. *FASEB J.* **2004**, *18*, 152.
- (14) Somers, W. B.; Tang, J.; Shaw, G. D.; Camphausen, R. T. *Cell* **2000**, *103*, 467.

- (15) (a) Thoma, G.; Magnani, J. L.; Patton, J. T.; Ernst, B.; Jahnke, W. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 1941.
  (b) Kolb, H. C.; Ernst, B. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **1997**, *3*, 1571.
- (16) (a) Levinovitz, A.; Muehlhoff, J.; Isenman, S.; Vestweber, D. J. Cell Biol. 1993, 121, 449. (b) Lenter, M.; Levinovitz, A.; Isenman, S.; Vestweber, D. J. Cell Biol. 1994, 125, 471. (c) Steegmaier, M.; Levinovitz, A.; Isenman, S.; Borges, E.; Lenter, M.; Kocher, H. P.; Kleuser, B.; Vestweber, D. Nature (London) 1995, 373, 615.
- (17) (a) Patel, T. P.; Goelz, S. E.; Lobb, R. R.; Parekh Raj, B. *Biochemistry* **1994**, *33*, 14815. (b) Handa, K.; Stroud, M. R.; Hakomori, S. *Biochemistry* **1997**, *36*, 12412.
- (18) Bänteli, R.; Herold, P.; Bruns, C.; Patton, J. T.; Magnani, J. L.; Thoma, G. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2000, *83*, 2893.
- (19) Yamazaki, F.; Kitajima, T.; Numata, T.; Ito, T.; Ogawa, T. Carbohydr. Res. 1990, 201, 15.