Ag(I) and Au(I) complexes of sterically crowded cyclic phosphinimine ligands[†]

Christopher C. Brown, Christoph Glotzbach and Douglas W. Stephan*

Received 5th April 2010, Accepted 5th August 2010 DOI: 10.1039/c0dt00261e

Cyclic phosphinimines are strong bases with structural similarities to carbene ligands. The cyclic phosphinimines $R_2PNCPh_2(CH_2CH(CO_2Me))$ (R = Ph 4, *i*-Pr 5, Me 6), $R_2PNCPh_2(CCOMe)_2$ (R = Ph 7, *i*-Pr 8) and Ph_2PNCPh_2(CH_2CH(CN)) 9 are readily prepared *via* cycloaddition of the compounds R_2PNCPh_2 (R = Ph 1, *i*-Pr 2, Me 3) and olefins or alkynes. In the case of 4 this phosphinimine proved to be moisture sensitive, converting to Ph_2C(NH_2)CH(CO_2Me)CH_2P(O)Ph_2 10 upon hydrolysis. Nonetheless, the Ag(1) and Au(1) complexes [{Ph_2PNCPh_2(CH_2CH(CO_2Me))_2Ag][NO_3] 11 (Ph_2PNCPh_2(CH_2CH(CO_2Me)AuCl 12 and (Ph_2PNCPh_2(C(CO_2Me)))_2AuCl 13 were prepared and characterized. Compounds 1, 2 and 7–13 have been characterized crystallographically.

Introduction

The advent of N-heterocyclic carbene (NHCs) ligands (Scheme 1) has had a dramatic impact on inorganic and organometallic chemistry.1 These ligands have allowed access to unique coordination geometries and, in some cases, the generation of remarkably reactive catalysts.² The steric and electronic features of NHCs are unique. For example, the strong sigma bonding nature of carbenes is well understood to play a role in stabilizing reactive metal species. In addition, judicious choice of substituents permits the tuning of the steric and stereochemical environment proximal to the metal. Variants of these ligands have been described. For example, Bertrand et al. have described complexes of alkylaminocarbenes (CAACs) (Scheme 1).³ In addition, carbodiphosphoranes (CDPs), (Scheme 1) which are formally dianionic at the carbon atom, have been extensively investigated as ligands in transition metal chemistry.⁴ More recently, complexes of cyclic carbodiphosphoranes have also been described.5

With these precedents in mind, we sought to explore other ligand systems that might offer similar features. Phosphinimines are a class of donors which are known to be strongly basic.⁶ Nonetheless, these systems are electronically distinct from carbenes in that the donor N atom formally has a filled p-orbital orthogonal to the donor pair, whereas in carbenes the orthogonal p-orbital is vacant. While anionic phosphinimide ligands have been exploited extensively as ancillary ligands,6-7 phosphinimine ligand systems have drawn much less attention, although some recent work has incorporated such donors into chelating ligand systems.⁸ The structural similarity of cyclic phosphinimines to NHCs suggests that such ligands may also combine the features of a strong donor with the additional flexibility of controlling the steric environment via alteration of the substituents on C and P. While cyclic phosphinimines were first prepared some 40 years ago,⁹ to our knowledge, the ability of these systems to act as ligands has not been explored. In this paper, we explore synthetic routes



Scheme 1 Structural similarity and electronic difference among NHCs, CAACs, CDPs and cyclic phosphinimines.

to a series of saturated and unsaturated cyclic phosphinimines and probe their viability as ligands for Ag(I) and Au(I) complexes. The implications for use of these ligands in subsequent chemistry are considered.

Experimental section

All preparations were performed under an atmosphere of dry, O₂free N₂ employing both Schlenk line techniques and a MBraun Labmaster inert atmosphere glove box. Solvents (CH₂Cl₂, Et₂O and pentane) were purified employing a Grubbs' type column system manufactured by Innovative Technology. 1,2-Dichloroethane was dried over CaH₂ and distilled under a nitrogen atmosphere. Solvents were stored in the glove box over 4 Å molecular sieves. Molecular sieves (4 Å) were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company and dried at 150 °C under vacuum for 48 h prior to use. All glassware was dried overnight at 120 °C and evacuated for 1 h prior to use. The chlorophosphines were purchased from Strem Chemicals. All other chemicals were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and used without further purification. ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ and ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectroscopy spectra were recorded on Varian 400 MHz and Bruker 400 MHz spectrometers. ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra are referenced to SiMe₄ using the residual solvent peak impurity of the given solvent. ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra were referenced to 85% H₃PO₄. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm and coupling constants in Hz. C_6D_6 and CD_2Cl_2 were used as the NMR solvents after being dried over Na/benzophenone (C_6D_6) or CaH₂ (CD₂Cl₂), vacuum-transferred into Young bombs and freeze-pump-thaw degassed (three cycles). Combustion analyses were performed in-house employing a Perkin Elmer 2400 Series II CHN Analyzer.

Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, 80 St. George St. Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 3H6. E-mail: dstephan@chem.utoronto.ca; Tel: +1 416-946-3294

[†] CCDC reference numbers 772411–772420. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c0dt00261e

These compounds were prepared in a similar fashion and thus only one preparation is detailed. A solution of benzophenone imine (9.69 g, 53.5 mmol) and Et₃N (6.77 mL, 48.6 mmol) in diethyl ether (400 mL) was cooled to 0 °C before adding Ph₂PCl (8.72 mL, 48.6 mmol) dropwise. The reaction mixture turned yellow and was stirred overnight. The suspension was filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. Purification via recrystallization from acetonitrile afforded 1 as yellow crystals (12.4 g, 34.0 mmol, 70%) ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) : 7.35–7.47 (m, 20H, Ph). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD_2Cl_2) : 175.70 (d, $(Ph)_2CN$, ${}^2J_{CP} = 13.7$ Hz), 142.65 (${}^2J_{CP} =$ 13.7 Hz, o-PPh₂), 140.56 (d, o-PPh₂, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 7.5$ Hz), 132.48 (Ph), 132.27 (Ph), 130.16 (Ph), 129.04 (Ph), 128.62-128.73 (m, Ph). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{} NMR(CD_2Cl_2): 36.8. C, H, N analysis calc. for C_{25}H_{20}NP$ (365.42): C, 82.17; H, 5.52; N, 3.83. Found: C, 82.02; H, 5.69; N, 3.88. 2: orange crystals (8.52 g, 28.7 mmol, 87.5%), ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) : 7.38–7.47 (m, 10H, Ph), 1.89 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.09 (dd, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{PH} = 14.8$ Hz), 1.00 (dd, 6H, CH(CH₃)₃, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{PH} = 14.8$ Hz). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂) : 177.27 (d, (Ph)₂CN, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 13.7 Hz), 141.04 (d, $Ph_{,1}J_{CP} = 7.5 Hz$, 129.90 (s, Ph), 129.16 (s, Ph), 129.14 (s, Ph), 128.49 (s, Ph), 27.55 (m, CH(CH₃)₂), 19.09 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 18.90 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 18.61 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 18.51 (s, CH(CH₃)₂). ¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 68.6. C,H,N analysis calc. for C₁₉H₂₄NP (297.38): C, 76.74; H, 8.13; N, 4.91. Found: C, 76.75; H, 8.10; N, 5.04. X-Ray quality crystals were grown from cooling a saturated diethyl ether solution to $-35 \,^{\circ}$ C. 3: orange oil ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): 7.49–7.51 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.06–7.09 (m, 6H, Ph), 1.07 (d, 6H, CH_3 , ${}^2J_{PH} = 4.7$ Hz) ${}^{1}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (C₆D₆): 27.81 (${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR shows 93% purity). Attempts to purify were unsuccessful, thus the crude product was used as prepared in subsequent reactions.

Synthesis of R₂PNCPh₂(CH₂CH(CO₂Me)) (R = Ph 4, *i*-Pr 5, Me 6)

These compounds were prepared in a similar fashion and thus only one preparation is detailed. 1 (731 mg, 2.0 mmol) was completely dissolved in diethyl ether (5 mL) before the addition of methyl acrylate (181 mg, 2.10 mmol). The yellow colour of the solution faded to a clear solution and a white precipitate formed. The solution was stirred overnight. The solution was filtered and washed with cold pentane (15 mL) to afford 4 as a white solid (772 mg, 1.71 mmol, 85.5%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 7.02–7.38, 7.46– 7.61, 7.88–7.97 (m, 20H, Ph), 4.25–4.37 (m, 1H, CHCO₂CH₃), 2.93-3.03 (m, 4H, CH₃ and CH₂ trans to CO₂Me), 2.70-2.85 (m, 1H, CH₂ cis to CO₂CH₃). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂) : 173.5 (d, *J* = 6 Hz), 150.95, 148.63, 148.43, 132.26, 132.17, 131.57, 131.55, 131.11, 131.01, 130.74, 130.71, 128.22, 128.10, 128.02, 127.97, 127.91, 127.42, 127.14, 126.80, 125.92, 125.58, 82,89, 50.90, 31.85, 31.40. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 48.61. C,H,N analysis calc. for C₂₉H₂₆NO₂P (451.51): C, 77.15; H, 5.80; N, 3.10. Found: C, 76.62; H, 5.89; N, 3.19. X-Ray quality crystals were grown by slow diffusion of pentane into a saturated dichloromethane solution. 5: (800 mg, 2.02 mmol, 63%) white solid, ¹H NMR (CD_2Cl_2): 7.62–7.63 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.42–7.45 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.25 (tt, 2H, J = 8 Hz, Ph), 7.12-7.16 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.06 (tt, 1H, J = 7 Hz, Ph), 4.05-4.13 (m, 1H, CHCO₂CH₃), 3.08 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.45-2.54 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.08–2.18 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.63–1.68 (m, 1H,

 $CH(CH_3)_2$, 1.38 (dd, 3H, $CH(CH_3)_2$, ${}^{3}J_{PH} = 10.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.3$ Hz), 1.34 (dd, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂, ${}^{3}J_{PH} = 10.2$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.3$ Hz), 0.98 (dd, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂, ${}^{3}J_{PH} = 14.7$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.2$ Hz), 0.79 (dd, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂, ${}^{3}J_{PH} = 15.5$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.1$ Hz). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 73.80. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 173.97, 173.91, 152.85, 152.82, 149.56, 149.38, 127.94, 127.52, 127.28, 126.57, 125.53, 82.78, 54.58, 54.47, 53.96, 53.69, 53.42, 53.15, 52.88, 50.92, 27.53, 26.99, 26.14, 25.49, 24.41, 24.03, 17.60, 17.58, 17.14, 17.11, 16.41, 16.38, 16.34, 16.31. C,H,N analysis calc. for C₂₃H₃₀NO₂P (383.47): C, 72.04; H, 7.89; N, 3.65. Found: C, 71.83; H, 8.05; N, 3.72. 6: (642 mg, 1.96 mmol, 85%). Purification via recrystallization from dichloromethane yields colourless needles. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 7.48-7.54 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.22-7.26 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.12-7.18 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.06–7.10 (tt, 1H, Ph), 4.17 (m, 1H, CHCO₂CH₃), 3.00 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.44 (m, 1H, CH₂), 2.02-2.10 (m, 1H, CH₂), 1.78 (d, 3H, ${}^{2}J_{PH} = 14.0$ Hz, P(CH₃)₂), 1.09 (d, 3H, ${}^{2}J_{PH} = 14.0$ Hz, $P(CH_3)_2$). ³¹ $P{^1H} NMR (CD_2Cl_2)$: 52.7. ¹³ $C{^1H} NMR (CD_2Cl_2)$: 174.59, 151.56, 149.03, 148.78, 128.18, 128.08, 128.06, 127.50, 127.40, 126.32, 126.28, 82.97, 66.22, 51.45, 31.00, 30.57, 19.20, 18.62, 17.02, 16.28, 15.67. C,H,N analysis calc. for C₁₉H₂₂NO₂P (327.36): C, 69.71; H, 6.77; N, 4.28. Found: C, 71.09; H, 7.05; N, 4.52.

Synthesis of R₂PNCPh₂(CCO₂Me)₂ (R = Ph 7, *i*-Pr 8)

These compounds were prepared in a similar fashion and thus only one preparation is detailed. Compound 1 (365 mg, 1.0 mmol) was dissolved in diethyl ether (5 mL) to which dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (150 mg, 1.05 mmol) dissolved in diethyl ether (5 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction turned from light yellow to light orange with a precipitate forming in 10 min. The reaction was stirred overnight. The reaction was filtered and washed with cold pentane (15 mL) to give a pure yellow powder 7 (380 mg, .75 mmol, 75%). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 7.56–7.61 (m, 6H, Ph), 7.42– 7.46 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.29–7.32 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.22–7.25 (m, 6H, Ph), 3.68 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.59 (s, 3H, CH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 49.04. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 176.71, 176.33, 166.57, 166.33, 162.48, 162.36, 146.58, 146.51, 132.60, 132.50, 132.18, 132.16, 128.40, 128.30, 128.16, 127.36, 126.62, 88.08, 52.49, 52.28, 43.92. C,H,N analysis calc. for C₃₁H₂₆NO₄P (507.52): C, 73.36; H, 5.16; N, 2.76. Found: C, 72.91; H, 5.59; N, 2.71. 8: orange powder (1.20 g, 2.73 mmol, 75%). Orange crystals (8.52 g, 28.7 mmol, 88%), ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 7.84–7.87 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.65–7.68 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.27-7.49 (m, 6H, Ph), 4.32 (m, 1H, CHCOOCH₃), 3.30 (s, 3H, CH_3), 2.66–2.77 (m, 2H, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 2.37 (m, 1H, CH₂), 1.83–1.93 (m, 1H, CH₂), 1.55–1.63 (m, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.20 (dd, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂, ${}^{3}J_{PH} = 15.9$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.3$ Hz), 1.01 (dd, 3H, CH(CH₃)₂, ${}^{3}J_{PH} = 16.9$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.2$ Hz). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂) : 173.95, 152.85, 149.48, 127.94, 127.52, 127.28, 126.57, 125.53, 82.77, 54.54, 50.92, 27.27, 25.79, 24.22, 17.61, 17.34, 16.37. ¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 73.79 C,H,N analysis calc. for C₂₅H₃₀NO₄P (439.48): C, 68.32; H, 6.88; N, 3.19. Found: C, 68.43; H, 6.66; N, 3.65. X-Ray quality crystals were grown by slow cooling of a saturated diethyl ether solution.

Synthesis of R₂PNCPh₂(CH₂CH(CN)) 9

Compound 1 (1.096 g, 3.00 mmol) was dissolved in diethyl ether (10 mL) to which acrylonitrile (160 mg, 3.03 mmol) dissolved

in diethyl ether (5 mL) was added in addition. The reaction turned from light yellow to colourless with a white precipitate upon stirring for 12 h. The reaction volume was reduced by half *in vauco*, filtered, and washed with cold pentane (20 mL) to give a white powder (961 mg, 2.20 mmol, 77%). %). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 7.75–7.91 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.11–7.68 (m, 16H, Ph), 4.34 (m, 1H, CH), 3.03 (m, 2H, CH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂) 46.0. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 149.00, 148.95, 148.74, 148.56, 132.82, 132.71, 132.22, 131.88, 131.78, 131.63, 130.59, 129.25, 129.12, 129.01, 128.89, 128.42, 128.29, 127.84, 127.11, 127.03, 126.85, 121.32, 81.56, 42.40, 42.27, 33.86, 33.42.

Synthesis of Ph₂C(NH₂)CH(CO₂Me)CH₂P(O)Ph₂ 10

Compound 4 (45 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (5 mL) and exposed to air for 5 min. The initially clear solution immediately formed a fine white precipitate. After 5 min. the reaction was complete, the volume reduced to 1 mL and filtered. Washing the solid with cold dichloromethane (2 mL) yields a pure white solid. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 7.62–7.74 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.41–7.51 (m, 8H, Ph), 7.28 (t, 4H, Ph), 7.13-7.20 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.06 (m, 1H, Ph), 4.10 (m, 1H, CH₂), 2.82–2.90 (m, 1H, CHCO₂CH₃), 2.80 (s, 3H, CHCO₂CH₃), 2.48 (m, 1H, CH₂), 1.70-2.30 (br, 2H, NH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): 29.9. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): 174.63 (s, CO₂CH₃), 146.00, 144.78, 133.97, 132.98, 132.15, 132.12, 132.03, 132.00, 131.53 (d, J = 10 Hz), 130.90 (d, J = 10 Hz), 128.97, 128.92, 128.85, 128.65, 128.53, 128.03, 128.20, 126.95, 126.68, 126.34, 63.90 (d, J = 13 Hz, $C(Ph)_2(NH_2)$), 51.47 (s, CO_2CH_3), 46.66 (CHCO₂CH₃), 29.44 (d, J = 70 Hz, $CH_2P(O)Ph_2$). C,H,N analysis calc. for C₂₉H₂₈NO₃P (469.51): C, 74.19; H, 6.01; N, 2.98. Found: C, 73.32; H, 6.62; N, 2.97.

Synthesis of [{Ph₂PNCPh₂(CH₂CH(CO₂Me)}₂Ag][NO₃] 11

Compound **4** (226 mg, 0.50 mmol.) was dissolved in dichloromethane (5 mL) and added to a slurry of silver nitrate (85 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1 eq.) in dichloromethane (3 mL). The solution was stirred for 24 h in the dark. The solution colour slowly changed from colourless to a light yellow. The solution was filtered through Celite and the solvent removed *in vacuo* to yield an off-white solid. (227 mg, 73%) ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂):6.73–7.70 (br. m, 40H, Ph), 4.23–4.34 (br. m, 2H, CH), 3.31–3.41 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.08 (s, 6H, CH₃), 2.93–3.04 (m, 2H, CH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 52.83 (d, ² J_{Ag-P} = 18 Hz), 52.58 (d, ² J_{Ag-P} = 18 Hz) ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 172.18 (d, C=O, *J* = 6 Hz), 148.30, 145.41, 133.60, 132.3–132.8 (m, Ph), 129.21, 129.08, 128.9, 128.8, 128.4, 127.9, 127.6, 127.17, 126.75, 80.74 (d, CHCOOCH₃, *J* = 8 Hz), 65.7, 51.84 (s, CH₃), 30.10. C,H,N analysis calc. for C₂₃H₃₀NO₂P (383.47): C, 72.04; H, 7.89; N, 3.65. Found: C, 71.83; H, 8.05; N, 3.72.

Synthesis of (Ph₂PNCPh₂(CH₂CH(CO₂Me)AuCl 12 and (Ph₂PNCPh₂(C(CO₂Me)₂)AuCl 13

These compounds were prepared in a similar fashion and thus only one preparation is detailed. (Me_2S)AuCl (215 mg, 0.74 mmol) was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane (5 mL) before a 1,2-dichloroethane (5 mL) solution of **4** (345 mg, 0.75 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 20 min. at which time the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The resulting white solid was washed with pentane (10 mL) to give a white solid. Yield: 450 mg, 0.65 mmol, 89%. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 7.70–7.75 (m, 6H, Ph), 7.56–7.60 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.32–7.44 (m, 10H, Ph), 3.70 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.58 (s, 3H, OCH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 51.28. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 164.6, 161.35, 143.2, 134.89, 134.01, 133.90, 129.82, 129.78, 129.29, 129.02, 128.64, 86.38, 68.1, 28.1, 20.1. C, H, N analysis calc. for C₂₉H₂₆AuNO₂P (683.92): C, 50.93; H, 3.83; N, 2.05. Found: C, 50.52; H, 3.85; N, 2.31. X-Ray quality crystals were grown by slow diffusion of pentane into a saturated dichloromethane solution. 13: Yield: 324 mg, 0.44 mmol, 82%. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂):8.03-8.09 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.48-7.77 (m, 10H, Ph), 7.35-7.41 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.26-7.30 (m, 6H, Ph), 4.32 (m, 1H, CHCO₂CH₃), 3.30 (m, 1H, CH₂ trans to CO₂CH₃), 3.17 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.97-3.05 (m, 1H, CH_2 cis to CO_2CH_3). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD_2Cl_2): 49.89. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂) :171.84 (d, COOCH₃), 146.5, 146.7, 144.58, 144.47, 133.69, 132.96, 132.86, 131.82, 131.72, 129.0, 128.95, 128.83, 128.28, 128.05, 128.01, 127.57, 127.51, 127.41, 80.00, 51.9, 29.5 (d, CH₂). C,H,N analysis calc. for C₃₁H₂₆AuClNO₄P (739.94): C, 50.32; H, 3.54; N, 1.89. Found: C, 50.82; H, 3.93; N, 1.92.

X-Ray data collection and reduction

Crystals were coated in Paratone-N oil in the glovebox, mounted on a MiTegen Micromount and placed under a N2 stream, thus maintaining a dry, O_2 -free environment for each crystal. The data for crystals of **10** were collected on a Nonius Kappa-CCD diffractometer; for crystals of **4**, **6**, **7**, and **8**, data were collected on a Bruker Apex II diffractometer. The data were collected at 150((2) K for all crystals. For crystals of 5, data were processed with the DENZO-SMN package. For crystals of **4**, **6**, **7**, and **8**, the frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT software package using a narrow-frame algorithm. Data were corrected for absorption effects using the empirical multiscan method (SADABS).

Structure solution and refinement

Non-hydrogen atomic scattering factors were taken from the literature tabulations.¹⁰ The heavy atom positions were determined using direct methods employing the SHELXTL direct methods routine. The remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located from successive difference Fourier map calculations. The refinements were carried out by using full-matrix least-squares techniques on F, minimizing the function $\omega (F_o - F_c)^2$, where the weight ω is defined as $4F_{o}^{2}/2\sigma(F_{o}^{2})$ and F_{o} and F_{c} are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes, respectively. In the final cycles of each refinement, all non-hydrogen atoms were assigned anisotropic temperature factors in the absence of disorder or insufficient data. In the latter cases, atoms were treated isotropically. C-H atom positions were calculated and allowed to ride on the carbon to which they were bonded, assuming a C-H bond length of 0.95 Å. H-atom temperature factors were fixed at 1.10 times the isotropic temperature factor of the C atom to which they were bonded. The H-atom contributions were calculated, but not refined. The locations of the largest peaks in the final difference Fourier map calculation as well as the magnitude of the residual electron densities in each case were of no chemical significance. Additional details are provided in Table 1 and the Supporting Information.[†]

	(1)	(2)	(4)	(L)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
Formula Formula wt	$C_{25}H_{20}NP$ 365.39	$C_{19}H_{24}NP$ 297.36	C ₂₉ H ₂₆ NO ₂ P 451.48	$C_{34}H_{32}NO_4P$ (55.93	$C_{25}H_{30}NO_4P$ 439.47	$C_{28}H_{23}N_2P$ 418.45	$C_{29}H_{28}NO_3P$ 469.49	${ m C_{60}}{ m H_{56}}{ m Ag_2}{ m Cl_4}{ m N_4}$	$C_{29}H_{26}AuCl_3N$ 766.81	C ₃₃ H ₃₀ AuCl ₅ N 909.77
Cryst. syst.	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space grp	$P2_1/c$	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1$	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_1/c$
$a(\mathbf{A})$	14.9681(5)	10.0312(11)	6.1469(12)	8.6024(6)	15.8375(8)	18.1088(15)	5.8622(12)	11.2357(9)	9.8098(3)	8.9595(7)
$b(\mathbf{A})$	18.5680(6)	16.5830(19)	12.962(3)	18.0080(11)	8.0078(3)	5.9338(4)	17.456(4)	11.5055(9)	12.3568(3)	14.3413(11)
$c(\mathbf{A})$	(6.9944(2))	10.7114(11)	15.073(3)	21.0067(14)	19.2830(9)	20.6934(14)	11.936(2)	13.2632(11)	12.9623(3)	27.391(2)
$\alpha (^{\circ})$		85.977(3)	90.32(3)					112.819(4)	107.066(1)	
$\beta \left(\circ \right)$	90.314(2)	77.378(3)	93.83(3)	96.638(4)	110.237(3)	96.973(5)	103.83(3)	109.495(4)	102.188(2)	98.231(5)
$\chi^{(0)}$		88.752(5)	98.83(3)					91.874(4)	94.728(1)	
$V(\mathbf{A}^3)$	1943.91(11)	1734.4(3)	1183.9(4)	3232.4(4)	2294.58(19)	2207.1(3)	1186.0(4)	1463.6(2)	1450.58(7)	3483.2(5)
Ζ	4	4	7	4	4	4	2	1	2	4
Temp (K)	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
d(calc) gcm ⁻¹	1.248	1.139	1.226	1.348	1.272	1.259	1.315	1.603	1.756	1.735
R(int)		0.0565	0.0344	0.0582	0.1036	0.1262	0.0299	0.0331	0.0522	0.0705
$\mu (\text{cm}^{-1})$	0.150	0.153	0.143	0.372	0.151	0.142	0.148	0.969	5.431	4.691
Total data	19952	28715	20194	29348	40834	47239	1.144	12144	11621	17636
Data used	5334	7894	5301	7927	5264	7699	4825	10212	34679	4915
Variables	244	379	298	388	280	280	306	373	347	403
$R(>3\sigma)$	0.0470	0.0515	0.0662	0.0410	0.0399	0.0509	0.0641	0.0412	0.0356	0.0439
$R_{ m w}$	0.1231	0.1142	0.1712	0.0906	0.1022	0.1484	0.1446	0.1232	0.1135	0.0866
GOF	1.051	0.993	0.997	0.865	1.043	0.996	1.027	1.002	0.744	1.052
Data collected v	with Mo Kα radia	tion $(\lambda = 0.71069)$	$\dot{\mathbf{A}}$), $^{a}R = \Sigma (F_{o} - F_{o})$	$\Gamma_{\rm o}^{\rm e}/\Sigma F_{\rm o}, {}^{b}R_{\rm w} = \{\Sigma_{\rm e}\}$	$[w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}]/\Sigma[$	$W(F_{ m o})^2]\}^{rac{1}{2}}$				

Results and discussion

Ligand synthesis

A convenient synthesis of cyclic phosphinimines was established by Schmidpeter *et al.*⁹ in the 1970s. While these researchers examined the cycloaddition chemistry of these systems, the utility of such compounds in metal complex chemistry has not been explored. Thus, we began with the synthesis of a series of ligands using the Schmidpeter methodology. To this end, the compounds R_2PNCPh_2 (R = Ph 1, *i*-Pr 2, Me 3) were prepared *via* the reaction of benzophenone-imine with the corresponding chlorophosphine in the presence of base as per literature precedent (Scheme 2). The NMR data was consistent with the formulations and crystallographic data was obtained for 1 and 2 which confirmed the formulations (Fig. 1). The metric parameters within the two molecules were unexceptional with P–N bond distances of 1.7203(14) and 1.7419(17) Å, respectively, and N=C bond lengths of 1.282(2)) Å.



Scheme 2 Synthesis of compounds 1–10.

Cycloaddition of 1–3 to methyl acrylate affords the phosphaimines $R_2PNCPh_2(CH_2CH(CO_2Me))$ (R = Ph 4, *i*-Pr 5, Me 6) in 86, 63 and 85% yields, respectively. The NMR data were as expected with ³¹P{¹H} chemical shifts of 48.6, 73.8 and 52.7 ppm, respectively. The crystal structure of 4 (Fig. 2) confirmed the formation of a puckered five-membered PNC₃ ring. The ester substituent adopts an axial position with respect to the ring, presumably minimizing steric conflict with the phenyl substituents on the adjacent carbon. The P–N and P–C bond distances within the ring are found to be 1.573(3) Å and 1.823(3) Å, respectively; while the N–C distance and the C–C distance within the ring were 1.471(4) Å and 1.536(4) Å, respectively. The corresponding P–N–C angle in 4 was found to be 109.0(2)°.

Attempts to effect similar cyclizations with more electron-rich alkynes such as PhCCPh, *t*BuCCH, Me₃SiCCH, PhCCH were unsuccessful However, the corresponding reactions of **1** and **2** with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate affords the related cyclized products R_2 PNCPh₂(CCOMe)₂ (R = Ph **7**, *i*-Pr **8**) in approximately 80% yields.

 Table 1
 Crystallographic data



Fig. 1 POV-ray depictions (a): 1, (b): one of the two molecules in the asymmetric unit of 2, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Fig. 2 POV-ray depiction of 4, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

These five-membered phosphinimine species retain a C = Cdouble bond in the ring and are essentially planar. The ¹H and ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR data were as expected while the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ chemical shifts of 7 and 8 were 49.0 ppm and 73.8 ppm, respectively. Whereas compound 7 has been previously reported, X-ray crystallographic data for 7 confirmed the cyclic nature and revealed P-N, P-C, N-C and C=C bond lengths of 1.5749(15) Å, 1.7998(17) Å, 1.457(2) Å and 1.331(2) Å in the heterocyclic ring (Fig. 3). The C-N-P angle was found to be 111.98(11)°. The increase in the C-N-P angle in comparison to that in 4 is consistent with the rigidity resulting from the planarity of the five-membered ring. In a similar fashion, the crystallography study of 8 (Fig. 4) revealed a planar structure where P-N and N=C bond distances were found to be 1.5801(13) Å and 1.4618(19) Å, respectively, while the corresponding C-N-P angle was 112.21(9)°. These structural perturbations are consistent with the presence of a more electronrich P centre in 8.



Fig. 3 POV-ray depiction of 7, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Fig. 4 POV-ray depiction of 8, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Reaction of **1** with acrylonitrile results in the isolation of the white solid in 77% yield. This product **9** was formulated as $Ph_2PNCPh_2(CH_2CH(CN))$. The spectroscopic data are similar to the cyclic phosphinimines described above, with a ³¹P{¹H} NMR resonance at 46.0 ppm. The infrared spectrum was also consistent with the presence of the nitrile fragment exhibiting a C=N stretch at 2240 cm⁻¹.

Once again, crystallographic data confirmed the formulation unambiguously (Fig. 5). Similar to 4, the ring conformation



Fig. 5 POV-ray depiction of 9, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

is puckered with the nitrile substituent adopting an axial position. The P–N and N–C bond distances were found to be 1.5892(13) Å and 1.4802(19) Å, respectively, while the C–N–P angle was determined to be 107.81(9)°. The comparatively long P–N and N–C bonds, together with the small angle at N are consistent with the electron-withdrawing nature of the nitrile substituent.

These phosphinimines proved to be moisture sensitive. In the case of **4**, exposure to H_2O resulted in the hydrolytic ring opening of the phosphinimine. This results in the cleavage of the P–N bond and formation of the corresponding amine-phosphine-oxide species $Ph_2C(NH_2)CH(CO_2Me)CH_2P(O)Ph_2$ **10**. This species is isolated as a white solid, The ¹H NMR spectrum reveals a resonance at 1.70–2.30 attributable to the NH₂ fragment, while the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum shows a resonance at 29.9 ppm, typical of phosphine oxides. The formulation of this hydrolysis product was confirmed crystallographically (Fig. 6). The structure reveals the open chain nature of this species with a P–O bond distance of 1.490(3) Å.



Fig. 6 POV-ray depiction of 10, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Complexation

In examining the potential of these cyclic phosphinimines as ligands, the reactions of 4 with $Ag(NO_3)$ was first performed. Employing a 1:1 ligand: silver stoichiometry, crystals of a new species were isolated in 73% yield. While the NMR data was consistent with the complexation of the ligand, the nature of the product 11 was only unambiguously confirmed via X-ray diffraction methods. These experiments revealed the formulation of 11 to be $[{Ph_2PNCPh_2(CH_2CH(CO_2Me))_2Ag}]$ $[(NO_3)_2Ag]$ (Fig. 7, Scheme 3). The anion is a linear Ag ion, in which two nitrate groups bind via one oxygen atom to the Ag centre with a Ag-O distance of 2.223(3) Å. The cation of this salt is a two-coordinate pseudo-linear Ag ion coordinated to the N of two phosphinimine ligands. The Ag-N distance was determined to be 2.0675(17) Å, while the linearity of the N-Ag-N vector was crystallographically imposed. This distance is similar to the distances reported for a series of Ag-carbene complexes of the form LAgCl where the Ag-C distances range from 2.094(6) to 2.060(19) Å.¹¹ The geometry of the cation of **11** is similar to that seen in the bis-carbene complex $[(C_6H_4(NEt)_2C)_2Ag][AgBr_2]^{12}$ although the Ag-N distance in 11 is slightly shorter than that reported for this carbene species (2.073(26) Å).



Fig. 7 POV-ray depiction of the cation of **11**, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Scheme 3 Synthesis of compounds 11–13.

In reactions conducted in a similar fashion, the cyclic phosphinimines **4** and **7** were reacted with $(Me_2S)AuCl$. This resulted in the isolation of Ph₂PNCPh₂(CH₂CH(CO₂Me)AuCl **12** and (Ph₂PNCPh₂(C(CO₂Me)₂)AuCl **13**, respectively, in yields of 89 and 82%. While coordination to Au was inferred by the observation of a complexation shift of the NMR resonances, X-ray crystallography was employed to confirm the formulations (Fig. 8, 9, Scheme 3). In both cases these molecules were linear Au(1) complexes in which the N of the cyclic phosphinimine was coordinated to a AuCl fragment. The Au–N distances in **12** and **13** were determined to be 2.0253(13) and 2.010(7) Å, with corresponding Au–Cl distances of 2.2612(4) and 2.257(2) Å, and N–Au–Cl angles of 177.07(4)° and 179.7(2)°, respectively.

The Au–N distances in **12** and **13** are slightly longer than those observed for Au–C bond lengths in related NHC-carbene-AuCl complexes,¹³ which range from 1.965(5) Å to 2.018(3) Å, and slight shorter than those seen in the CAAC complex cations of



Fig. 8 POV-ray depiction of 12, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Fig. 9 POV-ray depictions of 13, hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

the form $[L_2Au]^+$ (2.0321(11) 2.033(4) Å).^{3b} Consequently the Au– Cl distances in **12** and **13** are slightly shorter as the Au–Cl in the related carbene complexes range from 2.2698(11) to 2.3061(11) Å.

While the structural features of these cyclic phosphinimine ligands are reminiscent of that of carbene ligands the data presented herein is consistent with the anticipated strong donor ability of these ligands. The metric data suggest that these ligands exhibit similar sigma donor abilities to N-heterocyclic carbenes, however the geminal substitution at the P and C adjacent to N generates a donor environment that is even more sterically encumbered than that in bulky N-heterocyclic carbenes.

Conclusions

In conclusion, in this initial study in this area, we have described the facile syntheses of a number of cyclic phosphinimines, which allows for ready derivatization of the substitution on P and on the backbone of the ring. In addition, we have shown that these species act as strong sigma donor ligands for Ag(I) and Au(I), while providing a highly sterically encumbered donor environment. The utility of these attributes in subsequent chemistry and catalysis are under study and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgements

The support of NSERC of Canada is gratefully acknowledged. D.W.S. is grateful for the award of a Canada Research Chair and a Killam Research Fellowship.

Notes and references

- (a) W. A. Herrmann, M. Elison, J. Fischer, C. Kocher and G. R. J. Artus, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 2371; (b) W. A. Herrmann and C. Kocher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 2163; (c) E. Peris and R. H. Crabtree, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2004, 248, 2239; (d) C. M. Crudden and D. P. Allen, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2004, 248, 2247; (e) V. Cesar, S. Bellemin-Laponnaz and L. H. Gade, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2004, 33, 619; (f) D. Bourissou, O. Guerret, F. P. Gabbai and G. Bertrand, Chem. Rev., 2000, 100, 39; (g) K. J. Cavell and D. S. McGuinness, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2004, 248, 671; (h) W. A. Herrmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 2002, 41, 1291; (i) J. A. Mata, M. Poyatos and E. Peris, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2007, 251, 841.
- 2 (a) in Topics in Organometallic Chemistry ed. F. Glorius, 2007; (b) N. Marion, R. n. S. Ramo'n and S. P. Nolan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 448.
- 3 (a) V. Lavallo, G. D. Frey, S. Kousar, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 13569; (b) G. D. Frey, R. D. Dewhurst, S. Kousar, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2008, **693**, 1674.
- 4 (a) K. Kubo, N. D. Jones, M. J. Ferguson, R. McDonald and R. G. Cavell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 5314; (b) R. Tonner, F. Oexler, B. Neumuller, W. Petz and G. Frenking, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 8038; (c) W. Petz, C. Kutschera and B. Neumuller, *Organometallics*, 2005, **24**, 5038; (d) W. Petz, C. Kutschera, M. Heitbaum, G. Frenking, R. Tonner and B. Neumuller, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 1263.
- 5 R. Corbera, S. Marrot, N. Dellus, N. Merceron-Saffon, T. Kato, E. Peris and A. Baceiredo, *Organometallics*, 2009, 28, 326.
- 6 K. Dehnicke and F. Weller, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1997, 158, 103-169.
- 7 D. W. Stephan, Organometallics, 2005, 24, 2548.
- M. Wiecko and P. W. Roesky, Organometallics, 2009, 28, 1266–1269; (b) C. Bibal, M. Pink, Y. D. Smurnyy, J. Tomaszewski and K. G. Caulton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 2312; (c) L. P. Spencer, R. Altwer, P. R. Wei, L. Gelmini, J. Gauld and D. W. Stephan, Organometallics, 2003, 22, 3841; (d) J. D. Masuda, P. R. Wei and D. W. Stephan, Dalton Trans., 2003, 3500; (e) K. T. K. Chan, L. P. Spencer, J. D. Masuda, J. S. J. McCahill, P. Wei and D. W. Stephan, Organometallics, 2004, 23, 381; (f) C. J. Wallis, I. L. Kraft, J. N. Murphy, B. O. Patrick and P. Mehrkhodavandi, Organometallics, 2009, 28, 3889; (g) C. A. Wheaton, B. J. Ireland and P. G. Hayes, Organometallics, 2009, 28, 1811; (i) K. R. D. Johnson and P. G. Hayes, Organometallics, 2009, 28, 6352.
- 9 (a) A. Schmidpeter and T. Von Criegern, *Chem. Ber.*, 1979, **112**, 3472–3479; (b) A. Schmidpeter and T. Von Criegern, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1978, 470; (c) A. Schmidpeter and W. Zeiss, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1971, **10**, 396.
- 10 D. T. Cromer and J. T. Waber, int. Tables for X-ray Crystallogr., 1974, 4, 71.
- 11 P. d. Fre'mont, N. M. Scott, E. D. Stevens, T. Ramnial, O. C. Lightbody, C. L. B. Macdonald, J. A. C. Clyburne, C. D. Abernethy and S. P. Nolan, *Organometallics*, 2005, 24, 6301.
- 12 H. M. J. Wang and I. J. B. Lin, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 972.
- 13 P. d. Fre'mont, N. M. Scott, E. D. Stevens and S. P. Nolan, Organometallics, 2005, 24, 2411.