



Article

Subscriber access provided by George Mason University Libraries & VIVA (Virtual Library of Virginia)

An Internal Activation of Peptidyl Prolyl Thioesters in Native Chemical Ligation

Yue Gui, Lingqi Qiu, Yaohao Li, Hongxing Li, and Suwei Dong

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b01202 • Publication Date (Web): 16 Mar 2016

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 19, 2016

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

An Internal Activation of Peptidyl Prolyl Thioesters in Native Chemical Ligation

Yue Gui,[†] Lingqi Qiu,[†] Yaohao Li,[†] Hongxing Li,[†] and Suwei Dong^{†,*}

[†]State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University, 38 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100191, China

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: Prolyl thioesters have shown significantly lower reactivities in native chemical ligation (NCL) in comparison to the alanyl thioester. This report describes a mild and efficient internal activation protocol of peptidyl prolyl thioesters in NCL without using any thiol-based additives, where the introduction of a 4-mercaptan substituent on the *C*-terminal proline significantly improves the reactivity of prolyl thioesters *via* the formation of a bicyclic thiolactone intermediate. The kinetic data indicate that the reaction rate is comparable to the reported data of alanyl thioesters, and the mechanistic studies suggest that the ligation of two peptide segments proceeds through an NCL-like pathway instead of a direct aminolysis, which ensures the chemoselectivity and compatibility of various amino acid side chains. This 4-mercaptoprolyl thioester-based protocol also allows an efficient one-pot ligation-desulfurization procedure. The utility of this method has been further demonstrated in the synthesis of a proline-rich region of Wilms tumor protein 1.

Introduction

Protein-based biomacromolecules have been broadly studied for their essential roles in organisms. While biochemical methods are still predominantly used for protein production,¹ chemical peptide synthesis has shown its irreplaceability among several research areas, including D-protein-based mirror image phage display,² racemic protein crystallography,³ and syntheses of homogeneously modified proteins, especially glycoproteins.4 Over the past century, the requirements of diverse natural and unnatural peptides and derivatives in biochemical research and drug design have prompted the development of a series of new synthetic methods for polypeptides, including solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) pioneered by Merrifield in the 1960s,⁵ as well as native chemical ligation (NCL) reported by Kent and associates in 1994 (Figure 1).⁶ These advancements in turn accelerated the development of related research fields. As a milestone advance, in conjunction with the broad scoped metal-free desulfurization (MFD),⁷ NCL is not only one of the most powerful methods in the field of protein chemical synthesis, but it has inspired chemists on seeking new chemical methods and tools to study biological problems. A number of ligation methods were thus invented, including auxiliary-based ligation methods, ⁸ Staudinger ligation, ⁹ α-ketoacidhydroxylamine (KAHA) ligation,¹⁰ seleno-amino acids based ligation,¹¹ serine-threonine ligation (STL),¹² and peptide hydrazides-based ligation,13 etc. However, nature always poses new challenges to chemists. For instance, the synthesis of highly diverse peptide sequences requires various possible ligation sites in the events where two peptide segments joint together selectively. Under the conditions of metal-free desulfurization, the

possible ligation sites have been largely expanded, and a number of thio-amino acids have been utilized as the *N*-termini in NCL,¹⁴ even the secondary amine proline.¹⁵





For the requisite N-terminal peptide segment in NCL, numerous endeavors have been made to obtain stable and easy to handle peptidyl thioesters or surrogates without racemizing the corresponding C-terminal amino acid residues, particularly the fragments derived from the routinely applied Fmoc-SPPS. The developments of several epimerization-free protocols were thus investigated, including the usage of a stable alkyl thioester,^{6,16} Dawson's resin,¹⁷ as well as Liu's acyl hydrazidebased protocol.¹⁸ Notably, these procedures all require exogenous thiol additives. such as 4mercaptophenylacetic acid (MPAA)¹⁹ to accelerate the reaction rate and ensure satisfactory ligation efficiency. Application of MPAA expanded the scope of the Ctermini of peptidyl thioesters to almost all native amino acids, including a number of previously problematic residues, ²⁰ such as glutamate, ²¹ valine, ^{14g} and isoleucine.^{3c} However, the inherent radical quenching property of aryl thiols was incompatible with the radical-based desulfurization method, which led to a required operation of removing such species between the ligation and dethiylation steps, compromising the overall efficiency of the powerful ligation-desulfurization strategy. Chemical synthesis of large polypeptides and complex proteins in a more practical and efficient manner calls for solutions to address this issue.

An alternative strategy to circumvent epimerization at the ligation sites when preparing the peptidyl thioesters, is activating peptide fragments at their C-terminal proline or glycine sites, taking notes from the direct condensation method for peptidyl fragments coupling, where the peptidyl prolyl acids were epimerization-free under typical coupling conditions.²² It would thus be ideal to conduct NCL at the proline sites, as the corresponding thioesters (or other derivatives) could be prepared using common coupling reagents without the concern of epimerization, and not require strictly controlled reaction conditions.²³ However, prolyl thioesters have been suggested to be extremely unreactive under typical NCL conditions (Figure 2a),²⁴ which significantly limited the use of peptidyl prolyl thioesters in the chemical synthesis of polypeptides and proteins. Aiming to exploit the reactivity of *C*-terminal prolyl esters under NCL conditions, a number of research groups attempted to tackle this problem. For instance, Danishefsky et al. noticed that the *p*-nitrophenolester of proline was able to react with cysteinyl peptides in weak acidic buffers, in spite of the competing hydrolysis.²⁵ In 2011, Durek *et al.* reported that the use of prolyl selenoesters enabled rapid ligation with cysteine-containing peptides in the presence of a selenol catalyst under mild buffered conditions, accompanied by a competing side reaction that forms unreactive thioesters on unprotected nonligation site cysteines (Figure 2b).²⁶ More recently, the Otaka group developed a protocol where the prolyl thioesters were activated in suitable ligation conditions, which required the addition of MPAA (200 mM) and elevated temperature (50 °C) (Figure 2b).²⁷ Despite these advancements, a more effective and mild, thiol-additivefree proline-ligation method would be desirable in the preparation and studies of various peptide sequences and proteins, including the ones containing proline-rich regions (PRRs).²⁸ Herein, we report the development of such an optimized method based on a design of prolinederived active intermediate.

Results and Discussion

Synthetic Design. In 2011, on the basis of a systematic study, Kent *et al.* proposed that the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ orbital interaction²⁹ and steric hindrance of the *N*-carbonyl of proline may reduce the electrophilicity of the prolyl thioester carbonyl, thus resulting in an extremely low reactivity (Figure 2a).²⁴ We envisioned that a heterobicyclo[2.2.1]septane structure 2 (Figure 2c) may preclude the *N*-carbonyl oxygen/thioester carbonyl $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ a) Origins of the low reactivity of prolyl thioesters

Journal of the American Chemical Society



Figure 2. Prolyl thioesters *vs.* thioprolyl thioesters in native chemical ligation.

interaction, and form a strained ring system, both of which may promote the intermolecular transthioesterification, and the subsequent irreversible $S \rightarrow N$ acyl transfer would afford a ligated peptide containing the thio-Pro-Cys segment. The resulting peptides may be converted to the native sequences with Pro-Ala residues using the desulfurization protocol. Considering the potential lability of 2 during the preparation of the corresponding peptides, thio-prolyl thioester 1 was designed as the precursor to 2.

Synthesis of Unnatural Amino Acids and Peptide Segments. We initiated our investigation by synthesizing the thio-proline derivatives **11** (Scheme 1). Starting from the commercially available *N*-Boc-4-hydroxyl proline (**3**), selective allylation of the carboxylate afforded compound **4**,³⁰ followed by the activation of hydroxyl with 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride (**5**)³¹ to produce sulfonate **6**. The thio substituent was introduced in *cis*-configuration to the carboxylate using po-

1

tassium thioacetate³² to form compound 7, ensuring the formation of the requisite cyclic bridge in the ligation reactions. Hydrolysis of the thioacetate and carboxylate was accomplished simultaneously using aqueous lithium hydroxide, followed by protection of the free thiol with methylthio group in the same reaction flask, affording carboxylic acid **10a**. The corresponding Fmoc derivative **11a** was generated from **10a** in two steps, and was ready for use in Fmoc-based SPPS.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of thioproline derivatives **11a** and **11b**. a) 3-Bromo-1-propene, DIEA, DMF, rt, 14 h, 93%; b) **5**, TEA, DMAP, DCM, rt, 2 h, 95%; c) KSAc, DMF, 40 °C, 3 h, 96%; d) LiOH•H₂O, THF/H₂O (1:1), rt, 6 h; then **8**, 2 h, 71%; e) DCM/TFA/TES (8:2:1), rt, 3 h; f) FmocOSu, TEA, MeCN, rt, 6 h, 87% over two steps; g) LiOH•H₂O, THF/H₂O (1:1), rt, 7 h, 99%; h) TrtCl, DCM, rt, 19 h, 91%; i) 4 M HCl in 1,4dioxane, rt, 1 h; j) FmocCl, TEA, DCM, rt, 15 h, 97% over two steps. DIEA = N,N-diisopropylethylamine, DMF = N,Ndimethylformamide, TEA = triethylamine, DMAP = 4dimethylaminopyridine, DCM= dichloromethane, THF = tetrahydrofuran, TFA = trifluoroacetic acid, TES = triethylsilane. Boc = *tert*-butyloxycarbonyl, All = propenyl, Trt = triphenylmethyl, Fmoc = 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl.

In a similar manner, a trityl-protected thio-proline derivative **11b** was synthesized, where carboxylic acid **9** with a free thiol group was isolated after hydrolysis of **7**, and was further protected with a trityl group to provide **10b**. The final *N*-protecting-group manipulation afforded Fmoc amino acid **11b** in decent yield, thus providing an alternative 4-mercaptan-proline derivative for further evaluation of peptide synthesis and ligation conditions.

While it was plausible to incorporate the thioproline moiety *via* direct condensation with side-chain protected peptide fragment,³³ we decided to preload the proline derivatives on resin to take full advantage of the convenience of SPPS, and to circumvent any possible racemization in the preparation of peptidyl thioesters. Accordingly, Fmoc-amino acids **11** were loaded on 2chlorotritylchloride resin in DCM in the presence of DIEA (Scheme 2). The resulting preloaded resins 12 were further employed in SPPS under Fmoc-based conditions,³⁴ followed by cleavage from the resin using a cocktail containing DCM/TFE/AcOH (3:1:1), which afforded peptide 14 as a peptidyl acid with *N*-terminal and sidechain protecting groups untouched. The *C*-terminal carboxylic acid was then coupled with ethyl 3-mercaptopropionate (15) to generate prolyl thioesters 16.



Scheme 2. Preparation of peptidyl thioprolyl thioesters. a) 2-Chlorotritylchloride resin, DIEA, DCM; b) Fmoc-based SPPS; c) DCM/TFE/AcOH (3:1:1); d) 3-mercaptopropionate (**15**), HATU, DIEA, DCM; e) TFA/TIS/H2O (95:2.5:2.5). TFE = trifluoroethane, HATU = 1-[Bis(dimethylamino)methyl-ene]-1H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxid hexafluorophosphate , TFA = trifluoroacetic acid, TIS = triisopropylsilane, PG = protecting groups.

Table 1. Optimization of thioester formation.

Boc-(PG peptide 1 14b STrt Thioesterification OH Thioesterification Boc per 14b	btide 1	STrt CO2Et
Entry	Reaction conditions	Time	conversion ^a
1	1.2 equiv EDC, DCM	3 h	<5%
2	1.2 equiv PyBOP, 1.1 equiv DIEA, DCM	24 h	>95%
3	1.2 equiv HATU, 2.0 equiv DIEA, DCM	1 h	>95%

^{*a*} Estimated conversions based on calculations of the analytical HPLC integrations integrations of free peptides obtained from the global deprotection of **14b** and **16b**.

In these coupling reactions, several activating reagents, including EDC (Table 1, Entry 1), PyBOP (Entry 2) and HATU (Entry 3), were evaluated, and the HATUmediated condition was found to be optimal.³⁵ After global deprotection using TFA/TIS/H₂O (95:2.5:2.5), purification by preparative reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) provided the desired peptidyl thioesters 17 with either a methylthioprotected thiol on the C-terminal proline (17a), or a free thiol in the case of peptide 17b. On the other hand, the east-side peptides containing Cys or thio-amino acid derivatives at the *N*-termini were synthesized following the Fmoc-based SPPS protocol and deprotection procedures.³⁶ **Experimental Evaluation and Optimization.** We chose two peptide segments 18a and 20a (Scheme 3) to investigate suitable reaction conditions, where the ligated peptide product would resemble the sequence of a proline-rich region in cornifin-B protein.37 With the requisite peptide segments in hand, we next investigated the ligation reaction under a typical NCL condition (6 M Gn·HCl, 200 mM NaH₂PO₄, 20 mM TCEP·HCl, pH 7.0, room temperature),²⁴ and the reaction progress was monitored using HPLC-MS. When equal amounts of peptides 18a and 20a were mixed in buffer, it was observed that a new peak formed almost immediately after the addition of buffer (Figure 3), and analysis of the mass spectra suggested a thiolactone-containing intermediate 19a as we originally designed, this observation indicated a rapid intramolecular trans-thioesterification favored the formation of such a bicyclic structure in the reaction buffer.36



Scheme 3. Ligation between **18a** and **20a**. ^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **18a** (3 mM), 1.0 equiv **20a**, 400 μ L of NCL buffer (6 M Gn·HCl, 200 mM NaH₂PO₄, 20 mM TCEP·HCl), room temperature, 8 h. ^{*b*} Estimated conversion based on calculations of the analytical HPLC integrations of peptides **20b** and **20c**, and products **21a**, **22a**, and **23a**. ^{*c*} Isolated yield after HPLC purification. TCEP = Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine.

As the reaction proceeded, the desired ligation product 21a was observed, along with the formation of products 22a and 23a, which were desulfurized peptides as indicated by the mass spectra. Based on the integrations of UV signals of reactive starting peptide segment H-CHPKV-OH (20b), desulfurized east-side peptide H-AHPKV-OH (20c), and all ligation products (21a-23a), the conversion of this reaction after 8 h was calculated as ca. 70%. The desulfurized products may be resulted from a TCEP-promoted reaction involving a phosphoranyl radical-based mechanism,^{7, 38, 39} which was supported by the fact that formation of side products was suppressed when using a phosphine-free DTTbuffer.36,40 Our observation was also in accord with a previous report where prolonged ligation time led to desulfurized product under NCL conditions.²⁶ In our case, the extraneous thiol groups needed to be eventually removed to reveal the native amino acid residues, thus desulfurization occuring in the ligation step was inconsequential. Regardless, all products could

be either isolated separately using prep-HPLC, or as a mixture subjected to the next step.



Figure 3. HPLC-MS traces of ligation between **18a** and **20a**. Reaction conditions: **18a** (3 mM), 1.0 equiv **20a**, 400 μ L of NCL buffer (6 M Gn·HCl, 200 mM NaH₂PO₄, 20 mM TCEP·HCl, pH 7.0), room temperature. ^{*a*} UV trace from LC-MS analysis of the reaction quenched right after the addition of buffer.

In order to further probe the desulfurization process, hoping to obtain the product with native Pro-Cys segment, we elucidated the structure of the monodesulfurized product by comparing its HPLC retention time against two authentic samples. H-PKSKEP(SH)AHPKV-OH (s22a) and H-PKSKEPCHPKV- $(s22a').^{36}$ OH The co-injection experiments unambiguously assigned that under the reaction conditions, the -SH group of Cys was reduced prior to the -SH on Pro, which suggested that it would be difficult to selectively remove the thiol on Pro and leave Cys untouched.

To improve the ligation efficiency, an alternative peptide **18b** (Scheme 4) and several reaction conditions were evaluated.³⁶ The ligation between protection-free thioester **18b** and **20a** was found to be cleaner than that between **18a** and **20a**, leading to higher isolated yield of desired products (70%). We found that using a slight excess (1.2 equiv) of either starting material improved the conversion under neutral pH conditions.

$$H \xrightarrow{\mathsf{SH}}_{\mathsf{D}a} \mathsf{SR} + H \xrightarrow{\mathsf{S}^{\mathsf{B}u}}_{\mathsf{D}a} \mathsf{OH} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Conditions}^{a}} \mathsf{21a} + \mathsf{22a} + \mathsf{23a}$$

$$R = \mathsf{CH}_{2}\mathsf{CH}_{2}\mathsf{CO}_{2}\mathsf{Et}$$

Scheme 4. Ligation between **18b** and **20a**. ^{*a*} Reaction conditions refer to the Supporting Information.

60

Since desulfurization of starting peptide 20a was also observed in the reaction, where the N-terminal Cys was converted to Ala to afford an unreactive material, we wondered whether this process was competing with the desired ligation, and possibly diminishing the reaction conversion. To test this hypothesis, *tert*-butylthiol was added as a scavenger of the free radicals in the reaction to eliminate the dethiylations.³⁶ As a result, no desulfurized product was detected (Figure 4), and the conversion was approximately the same as the one without the addition of ^tBuSH. This finding suggests that formation of **20c** may have no significant impact on the reaction conversion. Based on these results, and in consideration of reaction scales in most cases of protein chemical synthesis, we conducted further experiments at the concentration of 3 mM for west-side peptidyl thioesters, and the reactions were stirred in pH 7.0 buffer at room temperature for 8 h.



Figure 4. HPLC-MS traces of ligation between **18b** and **20a**. **18b** (3 mM), 1.2 equiv **20a**, 200 μ L of NCL buffer (6 M Gn·HCl, 200 mM NaH₂PO₄, 20 mM TCEP·HCl, pH 7.0), 20 μ L of ^{*t*}BuSH, room temperature.

Scope and limitations. To evaluate the applicability of peptidyl thioproline thioester in reactions with peptides containing non-cysteine *N*-terminal β - or γ -thio-containing amino acid derivatives were tested (Table 2). Peptide **2od** containing β -methyldisulfide-Val,^{14q} a representative sterically hindered ligation site, reacted with **18b** to afford the ligation products in a combined conversion of 92% within 8 h (Entry 2). Considering that the ligation of penicillamine usually requires more than 12 h,^{14q} this result demonstrated the high reactivity of the thiolactone intermediate.

When peptides containing γ -thio-Glu^{14e} (Entry 3) and β -thio-Asp^{14b,14c} (Entry 4) as the *N*-termini were subjected to the optimized conditions, the reactions proceeded smoothly, and generated the ligated products in good yields. However, when we tested the *trans*-4-

thiolproline-containing peptide 20g, hoping to conquer the challenging Pro-Pro ligation, neither the ligated thioester intermediate from intermolecular transthioesterification, nor the final amide bond forming product, was detected (Entry 5). Further attempts under elevated temperature (60 °C) did not generate any desired product, but promoted an intramolecular condensation. In this case, the free amino group at either the N-terminus, or the side chain of the west-side peptide, directly reacted with the thiolactone moiety, producing a cyclic peptide as indicated by mass spectrometry.³⁶ noticeable It was that this intramolecular aminolysis process was not observed at room temperature, which underscores the distinct reactivity of the bicyclic thiolactone structure in NCL conditions, in contrast to the observed aminolysis in THF reported by Brands, et al.⁴¹ The unsuccessful Pro-Pro ligation suggested that two prolines might be too strained to adopt a suitable conformation for the $S \rightarrow N$ acyl transfer, and whether the transthioesterification would be affected was unclear thus far.

Further explorations of a number of sequences consisting of commonly used natural amino acids demonstrated the compatibility of diversed functional groups in the thiolactone-mediated ligation (Entries 6-10). In particular, Lys, Ser and Thr were found to be tolerated and no direct aminolysis or esterification was observed, which further underscored the chemoselectivity of this internal activation of prolyl thioesters, and suggested an NCL-type process. Peptides containing O-mannosylated Ser (Entry 7), or Acmprotected Cys (Entry 10), were also proven to be compatible under the reaction conditions, suggesting potential applications of this strategy in the synthesis of cysteine-containing proteins, or glycopeptides and glycoproteins.

In order to further evaluate this thioprolyl thioesterbased method in different sequences, in particular the problematic ones in Otaka's studies,²⁷ two peptides **18g** (Entry 11) and **18h** (Entry 12) with Gly and Ser adjacent to prolyl thioester, respectively, were prepared. While **18g** was found to be prone to forming an amino-aciddeleted byproduct in the reported procedure, under our standard conditions the ligation reaction with peptide **20i** generated the desired product in decent yield without any observed amino acid deletion. However, ligation of peptide **18h** afforded the product in only 37% isolated yield, similar to the previously reported result,²⁷ indicating that such poor yield was probably due to an unstable sequence under the buffered conditions.

It is important to point out that the desulfurized products were observed in most of our examples, although the amount of dethiylation varied in different peptide sequences. Such desulfurization process during ligation was not substantial in previous studies,^{14,27} presumably because the –SH groups were isolated and exogenous thiols (e.g. *tert*-butylmercaptan) were

Journal of the American Chemical Society

HS

H-(LYRS)



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **18** (3 mM), 1.2 equiv **20**, 200 μ L of NCL buffer (6 M Gn⁻HCl, 200 mM NaH₂PO₄, 20 mM TCEP⁻HCl, pH 7.0), room temperature, 8 h. ^{*b*} Estimated conversion based on calculations of the analytical HPLC integrations of peptides **20** and the corresponding desulfurized peptide, and products **21**, **22** and **23**. ^{*c*} Isolated yield after HPLC purification. ^{*d*} Not detected on HPLC-MS. ^{*e*} 20 μ L of ^{*t*}BuSH was added.

-NH₂

20i

(0, 0, 37)

(YRANK)

^tBuSS

H₂N

18h

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 required as hydrogen donors to accelerate radical propagation of the desulfurization. It could be possible that in our cases the -SH on proline may act as an internal hydrogen donor, leading to an accelerated rate of radical-based desulfurization on the adjacent thioamino acids, particularly the sequences that better suit the conformational requirements for such intramolecular hydrogen delivery.

Desulfurization. The formation of native proline residues requires the removal of 4-mercaptan group on the ligation site prolines. Accordingly, Danishefsky's protocol was employed on the obtained ligation products (Table 3).⁷ In the representative cases, the dethivlation proceeded efficiently to reveal the native Pro-Ala (Entry 1), Pro-Val (Entry 2), Pro-Glu (Entry 3) and Pro-Asp (Entry 4) residues in full conversion and excellent isolated yields. Furthermore, as the external activation using aryl thiol additives was not necessary in the thiolactone-mediated procedure, the ligation and desulfurization steps were able to be streamlined into a straightforward one-pot protocol. In the case of reaction between 18b and 20a, we found that eliminating the purification step after ligation, and directly conducting desulfurization in the same reaction flask afforded product 23a in 85% isolated yield, which was more efficient in comparison to the stepwise procedure (ca. 80% of 23a over two steps, cf. Table 3, entry 1). This improved yield using a one-pot operation versus a multistep reaction-purification procedure was in accord with previous studies.⁴² The nonessential of radical quenching additives^{19,43} eased the operation in our case, where after the ligation step only the addition of reagents for dethivlation under an argon atmosphere was required, thus improving the overall efficiency.^{14e,44}

Table 3. Metal-free desulfurization on thioprolinecontaining peptides.^{*a*}

Ent	y Ligation product	desulfurized product co	nversion ^b	yield ^c
1	<mark>SH</mark> Н— <u>РКЅКЕРСНРКУ</u> —ОН 21а	H-PKSKEPAHPKV-OH 23a	100%	94%
2	HSSH H- <u>PKSKEPVHPKV</u> -OH 21d	н—(<u>РКЅКЕРVНРКV</u> —ОН 23d	100%	95%
3	HSSH H (PKSKEPESPGYS)-NH ₂ 21e	H-(PKSKEPESPGYS)-NH2 23e	100%	92%
4	HSSH H(PKSKEPDSPGYS)-NH2 21f	H-PKSKEPDSPGYS-NH ₂ 23f	100%	92%

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **21** (3 mM), 200 μ L of NCL buffer (6 M GnHCl, 200 mM NaH₂PO₄, 20 mM TCEPHCl, pH 7.0), 200 μ L of 0.5 M bond-breaker[®] TCEP solution (Pierce), 20.0 μ L of 2-methyl-2-propanethiol, and 10.0 μ L of radical initiator (0.1 M VA-044 in water), 37 °C, 1 h. ^{*b*} Estimated conversion based on calculations of the analytical HPLC integrations of peptides **21** and **23**. ^{*c*} Isolated yield after HPLC purification.

Mechanistic Studies. To further probe the reactions using 4-mercapto-prolyl thioester, we carried out mechanistic investigations through several control experiments (Table 4). Firstly, the poor reactivity of normal prolyl thioesters was verified by conducting the reaction between the peptidyl prolyl thioester 24a and the *N*-terminal cysteinyl peptide **20a** in a ligation buffer containing 30 mM MPAA (Entry 1). No ligation product was observed, which was consistent with the results obtianed by the Kent group.²⁴ When an N-terminal alanyl peptide **20c** was subjected to the ligation with **18b**, the reaction did not afford any ligated peptide, which further confirmed that the thioprolyl thioester react via an NCL-like process instead of direct aminolysis (Entry 2). Moreover, the peptidyl thioester 24b containing a trans-4-mercapto substituted C-terminal proline was also found to be unreactive in the reaction conditions (Entry 3). These experimental results, along with the kinetic data we obtained (Figure 5), clearly indicate the significantly improved reactivity of prolyl thioesters by the introduction of a *cis*-4-mercapto substituent.

 Table 4. Mechanistic studies.^a



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **24** or **18b** (3 mM), 1.0 equiv **20**, 200 μ L of NCL buffer (6 M Gn HCl, 200 mM NaH₂PO₄, 20 mM TCEP HCl, pH 7.0), room temperature, 8 h. ^{*b*} The reaction buffer contained 30 mM MPAA except for standard conditions. ^{*c*} Not detected on HPLC-MS.

Although the observed mass from HPLC-MS analysis provided evidence for the thiolactone intermediate **19a** in the reaction, at this stage we could not rule out the possibility that the activation was originated from the conformational change of proline resulting from the 4substitution.⁴⁵ In order to determine whether **19a** was the actual active intermediate, we prepared peptide **24c** containing a proline with 4-methylthio substituent, which would presumably introduce a similar conformational change as that in **18b**, but could not form a bridged bicyclic structure (Table 4, entry 4). From the HPLC-MS analysis of the reaction between **24c** and **20a** under our optimized conditions, we could not detect any ligation product, indicating that the key bicyclic thiolactone **19a** is most likely the reactive intermediate, while the effect from the 4-substitution was not obvious in this case.



Figure 5. a) Reaction conversion as a function of time for the reactions between **18b** and **20a**, b) Reciprocal of concentration as a function of time for the reactions between **18b** and **20a**: [A], combined concentration of **20a** and **20b**; [A_o], initial concentration of **20a**. Data are the average of three replicates. The determined second order rate constant k = 0.0961 mM⁻¹·h⁻¹ = 0.027 M⁻¹·s⁻¹, which is approximately in the same magnitude as the reported data of alanyl thioester (0.087 M⁻¹·s⁻¹).²⁴ Reaction conditions: **18b** (3 mM), 1.0 equiv **20a**, 200 µL of NCL buffer (6 M Gn⁻HCl, 200 mM NaH₂PO₄, 20 mM TCEP⁻HCl, pH 7.0), room temperature.

On the basis of all experimental results, a reaction mechanism was proposed as shown in **Scheme 5**. The equilibrium between thioprolyl thioester 1 and the thiolactone intermediate 2 preferred the latter bicyclic structure in buffer, ⁴⁶ which possessed a more electrophilic thioester carbonyl. At room temperature, Pathway a was favored for peptides containing Nterminal cysteine or several other thio-amino acid analogs, where the reversible intermolecular transthioesterification followed by irreversible $S \rightarrow N$ acyl transfer led to the elongated peptide, similar as in the original NCL. At the same time, the intramolecular aminolysis (Pathyway b) is suppressed under such conditions. The hydrolysis of 2 (Pathway c) highly depends on the acidity of the reaction buffer, where increasing pH would promote such a process.⁴⁷ In the case of east-side peptide with an N-terminal trans-4thiol-proline residue, although we did not observe the A'-type cross-linked thioester, the generation of A' could not be completely ruled out.^{15a} Nevertheless, the resulting thioester could not proceed further to generate the corresponding Pro-Pro segment.



Scheme 5. Proposed mechanism for the thiolactonemediated ligation reaction. Pathway a: intermolecular transthioesterification with with *C*-terminal peptide; Pathway b: intramolecular aminolysis; Pathway c: hydrolysis of intermediate **2**.

Synthetic Application. The cis-4-thiol-prolyl thioestermediated ligation protocol was employed to synthesize a proline-rich region (PRR) of Wilms tumor protein 1 (WT1), in order to evaluate its applicability in the synthesis of proline-rich polypeptides (Scheme 6). WT1 is a zinc finger transcription factor that has an essential role in the development of urogenital system, and regulates several reproductive genes.⁴⁸ It has also been reported that WT1 may have a potential role in luteinizing hormone β (LH β) transcription in clonal mouse gonadotrope LβT₂ cells.⁴⁹ However, the detailed function of WT1, as well as the proline-rich regions presented in this protein, has not been fully understood. The full length of WT1 contains 449 amino acids, where prolines make up approximately 10.5% of the protein. We chose one of the most proline-rich segments, Ala25-Gln₈₇, as our synthetic target, which includes a stretch of nine contiguous prolines and contains 19 prolines in total.

Retrosynthetically, the sequence could be disected into a *C*-terminal thio-prolyl thioester Ala₂₅-thioPro₅₄ (**25**) and an *N*-terminal cysteinyl peptide Cys₅₅-Gln₈₇ (**26**). Accordingly, segments **25** and **26** were prepared using the optimized protocols described above. Ligation reaction was conducted under the standard conditions to afford the ligated peptide **27** in 82% isolated yield after eight hours. After desulfurization, native segment **28** was obtained in 74% overall yield. Noticeably, our attempts to directly prepare this 63 amino acids sequence failed to afford any desired peptide under the same SPPS conditions, which further underscores the synthetic difficulties inherent in proline-rich sequences.





Scheme 6. Synthesis of PRR Ala₂₅-Gln₈₇ (**28**) of WT1. ^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **25** (3 mM), 1.2 equiv **26**, 200 μ L of NCL buffer (6 M Gn·HCl, 200 mM NaH₂PO₄, 20 mM TCEP·HCl, pH 7.0), room temperature, 8 h. ^{*b*} Reaction conditions: 200 μ L of NCL buffer (6 M Gn·HCl, 200 mM NaH₂PO₄, 20 mM TCEP·HCl, pH 7.0), 200 μ L of 0.5 M bond-breaker[®] TCEP solution (Pierce), 20.0 μ L of 2-methyl-2-propanethiol, and 10.0 μ L of radical initiator (0.1 M VA-044 in water), 37 °C, 1 h.

Conclusion. Among all natural amino acids, glycine and proline have attracted significant attentions in peptide synthesis, due to their tolerance in various activation conditions without the concern of racemization.²² In particularly before the era of native chemical ligation, syntheses of peptides/proteins using a direct fragment condensation strategy were mostly conducted at a Gly or Pro site.⁵⁰ As we have shown in this work, the successful utilization of proline as the C-terminal reaction site in NCL offered the convenience during the preparation of the corresponding thioester, and at the same time took full advantage of the mild and highly chemoselective ligation conditions without side-chain protections. Ensured by the highly effective metal-free desulfurization protocol, the activation of otherwise less reactive prolyl thioester was accomplished using an easily removable internal mercaptan group, which allowed for the ligation and desulfurization in the same flask with satisfactory overall efficiency. As demonstrated by synthesizing a proline-rich sequence, we believe this strategy will have further utility in the preparation of important proteins and glycoproteins, and would be complementary to the existing toolbox of chemical ligations. The once challenging and avoided proline site is now a synthetically useful, or in some cases even more effective choice as the ligation site under the thiol additive-free conditions. The strategy of utilizing intramolecular reactions and strained structures to accelerate

desired transformations may be further applied to reactions of other difficult *C*-terminal amino acid residues, e.g. Val, Leu, Thr, etc., as well as possible developments of novel bio-orthogonal transformations. This strategy has been inspired not only by the logic of ligationdesulfurization,^{7,51} more importantly, also the rational of tuning bio-level large molecules utilizing chemical principles and reactivities used on small molecules, which has also been the most valuable inspiration from NCL to the methodology developments in the field of peptide/protein synthesis, and will continue generating huge impacts on research at the chemistry-biology interface.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

General experimental procedures, including spectroscopic and analytical data for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* dongs@hsc.pku.edu.cn

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful for financial support from Peking University Health Science Center (BMU20130354), State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, the National Recruitment Program of Global Youth Experts (1000 Plan), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21502005). We thank Dr. Yuan Wang, Weiqing Zhang, and Xulin Sun for spectroscopic assistance, Professor Qian Wan (Huazhong University of Science and Technology) for helpful discussions, and Yuankun Dao and Changdong He (Peking University) for the experimental assistance.

REFERENCES

¹ Selected reviews on commonly used protein expression systems: (a) Wegmuller, S.; Schmid, S. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *18*, 1005-1019; (b) Mattanovich, D.; Branduardi, P.; Dato, L.; Gasser, B.; Sauer, M.; Porro, D. In *Recombinant Protein Production in Yeast*; Lorence, A., Ed.; Methods in Molecular Biology 824; Human Press: New York, 2012; p 329-358; (c) Kost, T. A.; Condreay, J. P.; Jarvis, D. L. *Nature Biotech.* **2005**, *23*, 567-575.

² (a) Schumacher, T. N. M.; Mayr, L. M.; Minor D. L. Jr., Milhollen, M. A.; Burgess, M. W.; Kim P. S. *Science* **1996**, *271*, **1854-1857**; (b) Wiesehan, K.; Willbold, D. *ChemBioChem*. **2003**, *4*, 811-815; (c) Mandala, K.; Uppalapati, M.; Ault-Richéc, D.; Kenneyd, J.; Lowitzd, J.; Sidhub, S. S.; Kent, S. B. H. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2012**, *109*, 14779-14784;

³ (a) Yeates, T. O.; Kent, S. B. H. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* **2012**, *41*, 41-61; (b) Dang, B.; Kubota, T.; Mandal, K.; Bezanilla, F.; Kent, S. B. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 11911-11919; (c) Okamoto, R.; Mandal, K.; Sawaya, M. R.; Kajihara, Y.; Yeates, T. O.; Kent, S. B. H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 5194-5198.

⁴ (a) Wang, P.; Dong, S.; Brailsford, J. A.; Iyer, K.; Townsend, S. D.; Zhang, Q.; Hendrickson, R. C.; Shieh, J.; Moore, M. A. S.; Danishefsky, S. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11576-11584; (b) Sakamoto, I.; Tezuka, K.; Fukae, K.; Ishii, K.; Taduru K.; Maeda, M.; Ouchi, M.; Yoshida, K.; Nambu, Y.; Igarashi, J.; Hayashi, N.; Tsuji, T.; Kajihara, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5428-5431; (c) Wang, P.; Dong, S.; Shieh, J.; Peguero, E.; Hendrickson, R.; Moore, M. A. S.; Danishefsky, S. J. Science 2013, 342, 1357-1360; (d) Sato, K.; Shigenaga, A.; Kitakaze, K.; Sakamoto, K.; Tsuji, D.; Itoh, K.; Otaka, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7855-7859; (e) Hsieh, Y. S. Y.; Wijeyewickrema, L. C.; Wilkinson, B. L.; Pike, R. N.; Payne, R. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3947-3951; (f) Okamoto, R.; Mandal, K.; Ling, M.; Luster, A. D.; Kajihara, Y.; Kent, S. B. H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 5188-5193; (g) Reif, A.; Siebenhaar, S.; Trçster, A.; Schmälzlein, M.; Lechner, C.; Velisetty, P.; Gottwald, K.; Pchner, C.; Boos, I.; Schubert, V.; Rose-John, S.; Unverzagt, C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 12125-12131; (h) Asahina, Y.; Komiya, S.; Ohagi, A.; Fujimoto, R.; Tamagaki, H.; Nakagawa, K.; Sato, T.; Akira, S.; Takao, T.; Ishii, A.; Nakahara, Y.; Hojo H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 8226-8230; (i) Murakami, M.; Kiuchi, T.; Nishihara, M.; Tezuka, K.; Okamoto, R.; Izumi, M.; Kajihara, Y. Sci. Adv. 2016, 2: e1500678.

⁵ (a) Merrifield, R. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, 85, 2149-2154; (b) Merrifield R. B., Stewart J. M. *Nature* **1965**, 207, 522-523.

⁶ Dawson, P. E.; Muir, T. W.; Clark-Lewis, I.; Kent, S. B. H. *Science* **1994**, *226*, 776-778.

⁷ Wan, Q.; Danishefsky, S. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 9248-9252.

⁸ (a) Canne, L. E.; Bark, S. J.; Kent, S. B. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 5891-5896; (b) Offer, J.; Boddy, C. N.C.; Dawson, P. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 4642-4646; (c) Wu, B.; Chen, J.; Warren J. D.; Chen, G. Hua, Z.; Danishefsky, S. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 4116-4125; (d) Brik, A.; Yang, Y.; Ficht, S.; Wong, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 5626-5627; For a recent review, see: (e) Malins, L. R.; Payne, R. J. In *Modern Extensions of Native Chemical Ligation for Chemical Protein Synthesis*; Liu, L., Ed.; Protein Ligation and Total Synthesis I; Springer: New York, 2015; p 36-46.

⁹ Nilsson, B. L., Kiessling, L. L., and Raines, R. T. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 1939-1941.

¹⁰ Bode, J. W.; Fox, R. M.; Baucom, K. D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2006**, 45, 1248-1252.

¹¹ (a) Gieselman, M. D.; Xie, L.; van der Donk, W. A. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 1331-1334; (b) Metanis, N.; Keinan, E.; Dawson, P. E. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 7049-7053; (c) Townsend, S. D.; Tan, Z.; Dong, S.; Shang, S.; Brailsford, J. A.; Danishefsky, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 3912-3916; (d) Mitchell, N. J.; Malins, L. R; Liu, X.; Thompson, R. E.; Chan, B.; Radom, L.; Payne R. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 14011-14014; (e) Malins, L., Mitchell, N., McGowan, S., Payne, R. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 12716-12721; (f) Malins, L. R.; Giltrap, A. M.; Dowman, L. J.; Payne R. J. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 2070-2073.

¹² (a) Li, X. C.; Lam, H. Y.; Zhang, Y. F.; Chan, C. K. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 1724-1727; for recent review, see: (b) Zhang, Y.; Xu, C.; Lam, H. Y.; Lee, C. L.; Li, X. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2013**, *110*, 6657-6662.

¹³ Fang, G.; Li, Y.; Shen, F.; Huang, Y.; Li, J.; Lin, Y.; Cui, H.; Liu, L. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 7645-7649.

¹⁴ NCL with *N*-ternimal Arg: (a) Malins, L. R.; Cergol, K. M.; Payne, R. J. ChemBioChem. 2013, 14, 559-563; Asp: (b) Thompson, R. E.; Chan, B.; Radom, L.; Jolliffe, K. A.; Payne, R. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9723-9727; (c) Guan, X.; Drake, M. R.; Tan, Z. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 6128-6131; Gln: (d) Siman, P.; Karthikeyan, S. V.; Brik, A. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1520-1523; Glu: (e) Cergol, K. M.; Thompson, R. E.; Malins, L. R.; Turner, P.; Payne, R. J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 290-293; Leu: (f) Tan, Z. P.; Shang, S. Y.; Danishefsky, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9500-9503; (g) Harpaz, Z.; Siman, P.; Kumar, K. S. A.; Brik, A. ChemBioChem, 2010, 11, 1232-1235; Lys: (h) Yang, R. L.; Pasunooti, K. K.; Li, F. P.; Liu, X. W.; Liu, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc, 2009, 131, 13592-13593; (i) Ajish Kumar, K. S.; Haj-Yahya, M.; Olschewski, D.; Lashuel, H. A.; Brik, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 8090-8094; (j) El Oualid, F.; Merkx, R.; Ekkebus, R.; Hameed, D. S.; Smit, J. J.; de Jong, A.; Hilkmann, H.; Sixma, T. K.; Ovaa, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 10149-10153; (k) Merkx, R.; de Bruin, G.; Kruithof, A.; van den Bergh, T.; Snip, E.: Lutz, M.; El Oualid, F.; Ovaa, H.; Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 4494-4498; Phe: (1) Crich, D; Banerjee, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 10064-10065; (m) Malins, L. R.; Payne, R. J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3142-3145; Thr: (n) Chen, J.; Wang, P.; Zhu, J. L.; Wan, Q.; Danishefsky, S. J. Tetrahedron. 2010, 66, 2277-2283; Trp: (0) Malins, L.; Cergol, K.; Payne, R. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 260-266; Val: (p) Chen, J.; Wan, Q.; Yuan, Y.; Zhu, J.; Danishefsky, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8521-5824; (q) Haase, C.; Rohde, H.; Seitz, O. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6807-6810.

¹⁵ (a) Shang, S.; Tan, Z.; Dong, S.; Danishefsky, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 10784-10786; (b) Ding, H.; Shigenaga, A.; Sato, K.; Morishita, K.; Otaka, A. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 5588-5591.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
1	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
10	
14	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
30	
27	
38	
30	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52 52	
57	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

¹⁶ Muir, T. W.; Dawson, P. E.; Kent, S. B. H. *Method. Enzymol.* **1997**, 289, 266-298.

¹⁷ Blanco-Canosa, J. B.; Dawson, P. E. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2008**, *47*, 6851–6855.

¹⁸ Zheng, J.-S.; Tang, S.; Qi, Y.-K.; Wang, Z.-P.; Liu, L. *Nat. Protoc.* **2013**, *8*, 2483-2495.

¹⁹ Johnson, E. C. B.; Kent, S. B. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, 128, 6640-6646.

²⁰ Hackeng, T. M.; Griffin, J. H.; Dawson, P. E. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **1999**, 96, 10068-10073.

²¹ Dang, B.; Kubota, T.; Mandal, K.; Bezanilla, F.; Kent, S. B. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 11911-11919.

- ²² Bodanszky M. In *Peptide Synthesis: A Practical Textbook*; Springer-Verlag: Berlin Heidelberg, 1993; p 117-128.
- ²³ Kajihara, Y.; Yoshihara, A.; Hirano, K.; Yamamoto, N. *Carbohyd. Res.* **2006**, 341, 1333–1340.

²⁴ Pollock, S. B.; Kent, S. B. H. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 2342-2344.

²⁵ Wan, Q.; Chen, J.; Yuan, Y.; Danishefsky, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *1*30, 15814-15816.

²⁶ Durek, T.; Alewood, P. F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 12042-12045.

²⁷ Nakamura, T.; Shigenaga, A.; Sato, K.; Tsuda, Y.; Sakamoto, K.; Otaka, A. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, 50, 58-60.

²⁸ (a) Bennick, A. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* **1982**, *45*, 83-99; (b) Carregaro, F.; Stefanini, A. C. B.; Henrique, T.; Tajara, E. H. *Arch. Dermatol. Res.* **2013**, 305, 857-866.

²⁹ (a) Hinderaker, M. P.; Raines, R. T. *Protein Science* 2003, *12*, 1188-1194; (b) Hodges J. A.; Raines, R. T. *Org. Lett.* 2006, *8*, 4695-4697. (c) Choudhary, A.; Kamer, K. J.; Powner, M. W.; Sutherland J. D.; Raines, R. T. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2010, *5*, 655-657.

³⁰ Shaffer K. J.; Taylor, C. M. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3959-3962.

³¹ (a) Yale, Harry L.; Sowinski, Francis *J. Org. Chem.* **1960**, *25*, 1824-1826; (b) Mangion, I. K.; Ruck, R. T.; Rivera, N.; Huffman, M. A.; Shevlin, M. Org. Lett. **2011**, *13*, 5480-5483.

³² Mollica, A.; Paradisi, M. P.; Varani, K.; Spisanic S.; Lucente, G. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2006**, 14, 2253-2265.

³³ Kimura, T.; Takai, M.; Masui, Y.; Morikawa, T.; Sakakibara, S. *Biopolymers*, **1981**, 20, 1823-1832.

³⁴ For a recent review, see: Jensen, K. J. In *Peptide Synthesis and Applications*; Jensen, K. J., Shelton, P. T., Pedersen, S. L. Ed. Methods in Molecular Biology 1047; Human Press: New York, 2013, p 1-21.

³⁵ Joullie, M. M.; Lassen, K. M. *ARKIVOC* **2010**, *8*, 189-250.

 $^{\rm 36}$ See the Supporting Information for complete procedures and details.

³⁷ (a) Kartasova, T.; van de Putte, P. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1988**, *8*, 2195-2203; (b) Marvin, K. W.; George, M. D.; Fujimoto, W.; Saunders, N. A.; Bernacki, S. H.; Jetten, A. M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1992**, 89, 11026-11030.

³⁸ (a) Hoffmann, F. W.; Ess, R. J.; Simmons, T. C.; Hanzel, R. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1956**, *78*, 6414; (b) Walling, C.; Rabinowitz, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1957**, *79*, 5326; (c) Walling, C.; Basedow, O. H.; Savas, E. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1960**, *82*, 2181-2184.

³⁹ Wang, Z. X.; Rejtar, T.; Zhou, Z. S.; Karger, B. L. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **2010**, *24*, 267-275.

⁴⁰ (a) Cleland, W. W. *Biochemistry* **1964**, **3**, 480-482; (b) Metanis, N.; Keinan, E.; Dawson, P. E. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*, **2010**, 49, 7049-7053.

⁴¹ Brands, K. M. J.; Marchesini, G.; Williams, J. M.; Dolling, U. -H.; Reider, P. L.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 2919-2922.

⁴² Bang, D.; Kent, S. B. H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2534-2538.

⁴³ Dawson, P. E.; Churchill, M. J.; Ghadiri, M. R.; Kent S. B. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, *119*, 4325-4329.

⁴⁴ Several solutions to avoid the desulfurization inhibiting agent have also been investigated. For representative reports, see: the use of MESNa: (a) Siman, P.; Blatt, O.; Moyal, T.; Danieli, T.; Lebendiker, M.; Lashuel, H. A.; Friedler, A.; Brik, A. ChemBioChem. 2011, 12, 1097-1104; the use of ascorbate: (b) Rohde, H.; Schmalisch, J.; Harpaz, Z.; Diezmann, F.; Seitz, O. ChemBioChem. 2011, 12, 1396-1400; the use of bifunctional aryl thiol catalyst: (c) Moyal, T.; Hemantha, H. P.; Siman, P.; Refua, M.; Brik, A. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 2496-2501; the removal of aryl thiol: the use of TFET: (d) Thompson, R. E.; Liu, X.; Alonso-García, N.; Pereira, P. J. B.; Jolliffe, K. A.; Payne R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 8161-8164; (e) Sayers, J.; Thompson, R. E.; Perry, K. J.; Malins, L. R.; Payne R. J. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4902-4905; additive-free selenocystine ligation: (f) Mitchell, N. J.; Malins, L. R.; Liu, X.; Thompson, R. E.; Chan, B.; Radom, L.; Payne, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 14011-14014; additivefree TCEP-prompted ligation: (g) Tsuda, S.; Yoshiya, T.; Mochizuki, M.; Nishiuchi, Y. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1806-1809.

⁴⁵ Cadamuro, S. A.; Reichold, R.; Kusebauch, U.; Musiol, Hans-Juergen; Renner, C.; Tavan, P.; Moroder, L. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2143-2146.

⁴⁶ Although we have not been able to isolate the intermediate **19a** and conduct full characterization, the data from a series of LC-MS experiments suggest that the bicycle-containing peptide is most likely the structure of this reactive species. See the Supporting Information for more details on the conducted experiments.

⁴⁷ As the thiolactone intermediate was found to be much more reactive than the non-activated prolyl thioester in our case, we presumed that the hydrolysis, if happened, would likely be mainly resulted from the thiolactone intermediates.

⁴⁸ (a) Gessler, M.; Poustka, A.; Cavenee, W.; Nevs, R. L.;
Orkin, S. H.; Bruns, G. A. P. *Nature* **1990**, *343*, *774-778*; (b) Call,
K. M.; Glaser, T.; Ito, C. Y.; Buckler, A. J.; Pelletier, J.; Haber, D.
A.; Rose, E. A.; Kral, A.; Yeger, H.; Lewis, W. H.; Jones, C.;
Housman, D. E. *Cell* **1990**, *60*, 509-520; (c) Drummond, I. A.;
Madden, S. L.; Rohwer-Nutter, P.; Bell, G. I.; Sukhatme, V. P.;
Rauscher, F. J. 3rd. *Science* **1992**, *257*, 674-678; (d) Barbolina, M.
V.; Adley, B. P.; Shea, L. D.; Stack, M. S. *Cancer* **2008**, *112*, 1632-1641.

⁴⁹ Bagchi, D.; Andrade, J.; Shupnik M. A. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e016825. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0116825.

⁵⁰ (a) Lewis, I. C.; Aebersold, R.; Ziltener, H.; Schrader, J. W.; Hood, L.; Kent, S. B. H. *Science* **1986**, *231*, 134-139; (b) Shin, S. Y.; Kaburaki, Y.; Watanabe, M.; Munekata, E. *Biosci., Biotech. Biochem.* **1992**, *56*, 404-408.

⁵¹ Yan, L. Z.; Dawson, P. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 526-533.

