## Direct catalytic asymmetric anti-selective Mannich-type reactions†

Ismail Ibrahem and Armando Córdova\*

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 14th February 2006, Accepted 28th February 2006 First published as an Advance Article on the web 15th March 2006 DOI: 10.1039/b602221a

The simple chiral pyrrolidine catalyzed asymmetric *anti*-selective Mannich-type reaction is presented; the reaction gives the corresponding amino acid derivatives with 10:1->19:1 dr and 97–99% ee.

The development of direct catalytic Mannich reactions has received considered attention in recent years.<sup>1</sup> It is used for the enantioselective synthesis of amino acids,  $\beta$ -lactams, amino sugars, imino sugars and amino alcohols.<sup>1-12</sup> The synthetic utility of these products have created a demand for catalytic highly enantioselective Mannich reactions that are either syn- or anti-selective.<sup>2</sup> Several catalysts have been developed for the catalysis of synselective direct asymmetric Mannich reactions. For instance, organometallic La-,3 Zn-,4 Cu-5 and In-complexes6 catalyze the reaction with excellent svn- and enantioselectivity. Moreover, organocatalysts such as Brønsted acids,<sup>7</sup> chincona alkaloids,<sup>8</sup> proline and its derivatives,9 proline tetrazoles,10 and amino acids catalyze<sup>11</sup> the direct Mannich reaction with high syn- and enantioselectivity. However, there are only a few examples of anti-selective direct catalytic asymmetric Mannich-type reactions.<sup>12</sup> Thus, the development of direct catalytic asymmetric anti-selective Mannich reactions is important and challenging.<sup>13</sup> Herein, we report a highly anti- and enantioselective Mannich-type reaction with aldehydes as nucleophiles that is catalyzed by a simple diphenylprolinol derivative.

In the proline, hydroxyproline and proline tetrazole-catalyzed Manich reactions, the *Si*-facial attack on the imine with a *trans* configuration by the *Si*-face of the chiral enamine gives the corresponding Mannich product with a *syn* relative configuration (Fig. 1).<sup>9,10</sup> However, we believed that efficient shielding of the *Si*-face of the chiral enamine by the employment of a bulky chiral amine catalyst would switch the facial selectivity of the reaction



**Fig. 1** The stereochemical outcome of the (*S*)-proline direct asymmetric catalyzed Mannich reaction and a plausible bulky chiral amine catalyzed Mannich-type reaction.

Department of Organic Chemistry, Arrhenius Laboratory, Stockholm University, Sweden. E-mail: acordova1a@netscape.net; acordova@organ.su.se; Fax: + 46 8 15 49 08; Tel: +46 8 162479

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: experimental procedures. See DOI: 10.1039/b602221a

(Fig. 1) and thus change the stereochemical outcome of the reaction and make it *anti*-selective. To test this we investigated the chiral pyrrolidine-catalyzed Mannich-type reaction between ethyl *N-p*-methoxyphenyl (PMP)-protected  $\alpha$ -iminoglyoxylate and *iso*-valeraldehyde **2a** (eqn (1)). We found that several bulky pyrrolidine derivatives catalyzed the reaction with moderate to good *anti*-selectivity. To our delight we found that the readily available TMS-protected  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -diphenyl-2-pyrrolidinemethanol<sup>14</sup> (diphenyl-prolinol, **1**) catalyzed the direct catalytic asymmetric Mannich-type reaction with high *anti*- and enantioselectivity and the corresponding  $\beta$ -formyl- $\alpha$ -amino acid **3a** was furnished in 56% yield with >19 : 1 dr (*anti* : syn) and 92% ee.



Encouraged by this result, we performed a solvent screen with protected diphenylprolinol **1** as the catalyst (Table 1).

The reaction proceeded smoothly with high *anti*- and enantioselectivity in all the solvents tested. The highest enantioselectivity was obtained in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>CN. Notably, the reaction was highly stereoselective in water: the amino acid derivative **3a** was formed with 12 : 1 dr and 98% ee. In fact, this is the first example of a direct catalytic asymmetric Mannich-type reaction in water. Based on the results from the solvent screen we chose to investigate the chiral pyrrolidine **1** catalyzed *anti*-selective reaction between different aldehydes and *N*-PMP-protected  $\alpha$ -iminoglyoxylate in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>CN (Table 2).

Table 1 Solvent screen for the direct catalytic asymmetric *anti-*selective formation of 2a

EtO;	$P^{PMP}_{QC} + Q^{PMP}_{QC} + Q^{PMP}_{QC}$	ı (	1 (10 mol%) Solvent			
Entry	Solvent	Time (h)	Temp. (°C)	Yield $(\%)^a$	dr <sup>b</sup>	ee (%) <sup>c</sup>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	t-BuOH: H <sub>2</sub> O-1:1 CH <sub>3</sub> CN CHCl <sub>3</sub> CHCl <sub>3</sub> Toluene EtOH H <sub>2</sub> O	16 17 16 24 16 16 16	rt rt 4 rt rt 4	35 50 56 68 43 32 35	>19:1 >19:1 >19:1 >19:1 14:1 >19:1 12:1	96 99 92 98 88 82 98

<sup>*a*</sup> Isolated yield of the pure products after silica-gel chromatography. <sup>*b*</sup> Anti:syn ratio as determined by NMR analyses. <sup>*c*</sup> Determined by chiral-phase HPLC analyses. PMP = p-methoxyphenyl.

 
 Table 2 Direct catalytic anti-selective asymmetric Mannich-type
reactions

EtC	N <sup>_PMP</sup> D₂C	+   R	0 ⊣ H 2	(1 	1 0 mol%) vent	EtO <sub>2</sub> C	H O H Ř 3
Entry	R	Cond.	Prod	Time (h)	Yield $(\%)^a$	dr <sup>b</sup>	ee (%) <sup>c</sup>
1	<i>i</i> -Pr	А	3a	24	68	>19:1	98
2	<i>n</i> -pent	А	3b	18	63	>19:1	99
3	Me	А	3c	14	$45(35)^d$	$14:1(15:1)^d$	99 $(90)^d$
4	Me	В	3c	17	75	15:1	99
5	CH <sub>2</sub> OBn	А	3d	16	$67 (30)^d$	$14:1(10:1)^d$	97 $(90)^d$
6	CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	А	3e	16	67	19:1	99
7	<i>i</i> -Pr	А	3a	16	$45^e$	$>19:1^{e}$	$99^e$

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield of the pure products after silica-gel chromatography. <sup>b</sup> Syn:anti ratio as determined by NMR analyses. <sup>c</sup> Determined by chiral-phase HPLC analyses. <sup>d</sup> Reaction performed in H<sub>2</sub>O at 4 °C, 1 h reaction time. Bn = benzyl, PMP = p-methoxyphenyl. <sup>e</sup> TMS protected di(2-naphthyl)prolinol (10 mol%) was used as the catalyst.  $A = CHCl_3, 4 \circ C. B = CH_3CN, 4 \circ C.$ 

The diphenylprolinol 1-catalyzed direct Mannich-type reactions were highly enantioselective and amino acid derivatives 3 were isolated in moderate to high yields with 97-99% ee. The reactions were highly *anti*-selective (14 : 1 - >19 : 1). For instance,  $\alpha$ -amino acid 3e was furnished in 67% yield with 19:1 dr and 99% ee. Moreover, the chiral amine-catalyzed asymmetric reaction with α-benzyloxyacetaldehyde as the donor was highly stereoselective and gave 3d in 67% yield with 14 : 1 dr and 97% ee. Amino sugar 3d with an anti-configuration is an important chiral synthon and can be used in the de novo synthesis of C-6 amino- and iminosugars.<sup>91</sup> Thus, the catalytic asymmetric anti-selective Mannich-type reaction opens up the possibility of synthesizing all the different diastereomers of amino and imino sugar derivatives. We also investigated the organocatalytic asymmetric Mannich-type reactions in water with aldehydes 2 as nucleophiles. The reactions were very fast due to the hydrophobic effect<sup>15</sup> and the product together with the starting imine formed an organic precipitate within 1 h, which upon isolation gave the corresponding products 3 with high enantioselectivity (90-98% ee) but low conversion (<40%). Moreover, TMS protected di(2-naphthyl)prolinol catalyzed the asymmetric Mannich reaction with excellent *anti-* and enantioselectivity to give **3a** with >19: 1 dr and 99% ee.

The absolute stereochemistry of the Mannich products was established by comparison with the epimerized (2S,3S)-syn-3a and 3d Mannich products obtained by (S)-proline catalysis.<sup>9g,i,l</sup> Hence, (S)-diphenylprolinol 1 catalyzed the asymmetric formation of (2S,3R)-amino acid derivatives 3. The stereochemical outcome of the reaction was explained by the proposed transition state I (Fig. 2). Thus, attack on the Si-face of the imine with a transconfiguration by the Re-face of the chiral enamine gives the amino acid derivative 3.

Stabilization of the trans-configuration and efficient shielding of the Si-face of the chiral enamine can explain the high stereoselectivity of the reaction. In addition, plausible stabilization by coulombic interactions between the amine atom of the imine and the  $\delta^+$  on the nitrogen of the pyrrolidine moiety of the chiral enamine, which is generated during the nucleophilic attack,



Fig. 2 Proposed transition state for the protected diarylprolinol catalyzed direct asymmetric anti-selective Mannich-type reaction.

contributes to the stabilization of the Si-facial attack on the electrophile.16

In summary, we have developed a highly anti- and enantioselective direct catalytic asymmetric Mannich-type reaction. The asymmetric Mannich-type reactions are catalyzed by simple diphenyl- and di(2-naphthyl)prolinol derivatives that are prepared in one step and furnish amino acid derivatives in high yields with  $14: 1 \rightarrow 19: 1$  dr and up to 99% ee. The reaction is also highly stereoselective in water (90-98% ee). Further studies on the development of direct catalytic anti-selective Mannich reactions and their application in catalytic asymmetric domino- and tandemreactions are ongoing.

We gratefully acknowledge the Swedish National Research Council, Carl-Trygger Foundation, Lars-Hierta Foundation and Medivir AB for financial support.

## Notes and references

- 1 A. Córdova, Acc. Chem. Res., 2004, 37, 102.
- 2 (a) S. Kobayashi, H. Ishitani and M. Ueno, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 431; (b) T. Hamada, K. Manabe and S. Kobayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 7768; (c) S. Kobayashi and H. Ishitani, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 1069; (d) S. Kobayashi, R. Matsubara, Y. Nakamura, H. Kitagawa and M. Sugiura, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 2507.
- 3 S. Yamasaki, T. Iida and M. Shibasaki, Tetrahedron Lett., 1999, 40, 307.
- 4 (a) S. Matsunaga, N. Kumagai, N. Harada, S. Harada and M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 4712; (b) B. M. Trost and L. M. A. Terrell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 338.
- 5 K. Juhl, N. Gathergood and K. A. Jørgensen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 2995.
- 6 S. Harada, S. Handa, S. Matsunaga and M. Shibasaki, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 43, 4365
- 7 T. Akiyama, J. Itoh, K. Yokota and K. Fuchibe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 1566; D. Uraguchi and M. Terada, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004. 126. 5356.
- 8 S. Lou, B. M. Taoka, A. Ting and S. Schaus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127. 11256.
- 9 (a) B. List, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 9336; (b) A. Córdova, Chem.-Eur. J., 2004, 10, 1987; (c) A. Córdova, Synlett, 2003, 1651; (d) Y. Hayashi, W. Tsuboi, I. Ashimine, T. Urushima, M. Shoji and K. Sakai, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 3677; (e) A. Münch, B. Wendt and M. Christmann, Synlett, 2004, 2751; (f) W. Zhuang, S. Saaby and K. A. Jørgensen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 4476; (g) A. Córdova, S.-I. Watanabe, F. Tanaka, W. Notz and C. F. Barbas, III, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 1866; (h) S. Fustero, D. Jimenez, J. F. Sanz-Cervera, M. Sanchez-Rosello, E. Esteban and A. Simon-Fuentes, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 3433; (i) A. Córdova and C. F. Barbas, III, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 7749; (j) B. Westermann and C. Neuhaus, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 4077; (k) I. Ibrahem and A. Córdova, Tetrahedron Lett., 2005, 46, 2839; (1) W. -W. Liao, I. Ibrahem and A. Córdova, Chem. Commun., 2006, 674; (m) D. Enders, C. Grondal, M. Vrettou and G. Raabe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 4079.
- 10 A. J. A. Cobb, D. M. Shaw and S. V. Ley, Synlett, 2004, 558; A. J. A. Cobb, D. M. Shaw, D. A. Longbottom, J. B. Gold and S. V. Ley, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2005, 3, 84.
- 11 I. Ibrahem, W. Zou, M. Engqvist and Y. Xu, Chem.-Eur. J., 2005, 11, 7024
- (a) T. Yoshida, H. Morimoto, N. Kumagai, S. Matsunaga and 12 M. Shibasaki, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 3470; (b) T. Kano,

Y. Yamaguchi, O. Tokuda and K. Maruoka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 16408; (*c*) S. Mitsumori, H. Zhang, P. H.-Y. Cheong, K. N. Houk, F. Tanaka and C. F. Barbas, III, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 1040; (*d*) B. M. Trost, J. Jaratjaroonphong and U. Reutrakul, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 2778.

- 13 M. M. B. Marques, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 348.
- 14 (a) E. J. Corey, R. K. Bakshi and S. Shibata, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 5551; (b) E. J. Corey, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1650. Both enantiomers of α,α-Diphenylprolinol and α,α-di(2-naphthyl)prolinol are commercially available and TMS protected in one-step see; (c) M. Marigo, T. C. Wabnitz, D. Fielenbach and K. A. Jørgensen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 794; (d) M. Marigo, D. Fielenbach,

A. Braunton, A. Kjaersgaard and K. A. Jørgensen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 3703; (e) J. Franzén, M. Marigo, D. Fielenbach, T. C. Wabnitz, A. Kjaersgaard and K. A. Jørgensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 18296; (f) M. Marigo, T. Schulte, J. Franzén and K. A. Jørgensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 15710; (g) Y. Hayashi, H. Gotoh, T. Hayashi and M. Shoji, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 4212; (h) H. Sundén, I. Ibrahem and A. Córdova, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 99.

- 15 (a) R. Breslow, Acc. Chem. Res., 1991, 21, 159; (b) S. Narayan, J. Muldoon, M. G. Finn, V. V. Fokin, H. C. Kolb and K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 3275.
- 16 V. Vinkovic and V. Sunjic, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 689.



## RSCPublishing

## www.rsc.org/chemicalscience