Graphene-Supported RuO₂ Nanoparticles for Efficient Aerobic Cross-Dehydrogenative Coupling Reaction in Water

XXXX Vol. XX, No. XX 000–000

ORGANIC LETTERS

Qing-Yuan Meng,[†] Qiang Liu,^{†,‡} Jian-Ji Zhong,[†] Hui-Hui Zhang,[†] Zhi-Jun Li,[†] Bin Chen,[†] Chen-Ho Tung,[†] and Li-Zhu Wu^{*,†}

Key Laboratory of Photochemical Conversion and Optoelectronic Materials, Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China, and State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China, and

lzwu@mail.ipc.ac.cn

Received October 21, 2012



A cross-dehydrogenative coupling (CDC) reaction between tertiary amines and nitroalkanes has been realized under an oxygen atmosphere in water simply by using graphene-supported RuO_2 as the catalyst, which was made from water-soluble graphene with sulfonic groups and $RuCl_3 \cdot nH_2O$ to form $RuO_2 \cdot nH_2O$ nanocomposites in situ. In contrast to $RuCl_3 \cdot nH_2O$ and $RuO_2 \cdot nH_2O$, the graphene-supported RuO_2 nanoparticles exhibited higher activity and stability for the aerobic CDC reaction in water.

Transition-metal-catalyzed activation of C-H bonds for C-C bond formation has always been an important concern of organic chemistry.¹ As exemplified by one of the most successful reaction protocols, cross-dehydrogenative coupling (CDC) reaction that avoids prefunctionalization and defunctionalization has aroused much interest in recent years.² With the aid of a sacrificial oxidant, inorganic metal salts³ and organic oxidants⁴ have been successfully employed for such organic transformation.^{2–5} Among various oxidants, molecular oxygen⁵ is one of the best choices due to

[†]Chinese Academy of Sciences.

[‡] Lanzhou University.

^{(1) (}a) Arndtsen, B. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Mobley, T. A.; Peterson, T. H. Acc. Chem. Res. **1995**, 28, 154. (b) Ritleng, V.; Sirlin, C.; Pfeffer, M. Chem. Rev. **2002**, 102, 1731. (c) Sun, C.-L.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Rev. **2011**, 111, 1293. (d) Liu, C.; Zhang, H.; Shi, W.; Lei, A. Chem. Rev. **2011**, 111, 1780.

^{(2) (}a) Murahashi, S.-I.; Komiya, N.; Terai, H.; Nakae, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 15312. (b) Li, Z.; Li, C.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11810. (c) Zhang, Y.; Li, C.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1949. (d) Borduas, N.; Powell, D. A. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7822. (e) Deng, G.; Zhao, L.; Li, C.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6278. (f) Li, C.-J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 42, 335. (g) Murahashi, S.-I.; Nakae, T.; Terai, H.; Komiya, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 11005. (h) Jia, Y.-X.; Kündig, E. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1636. (i) Perry, A.; Taylor, R. J. K. Chem. Commun. 2009, 3249. (j) Condie, A. G.; González-Gómez, J. C.; Stephenson, C. R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1464. (k) Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. M. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1215. (l) Rueping, M.; Vila, C.; Koenigs, R. M.; Poschary, K.; Fabry, D. C. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 2360. (m) Boess, E.; Schmitz, C.; Klussmann, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5317. (n) Liu, Q.; Li, Y.-N.; Zhang, H.-H.; Chen, B.; Tung, C.-H.; Wu, L.-Z. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 620.

^{(3) (}a) Pei, T.; Wang, X.; Widenhoefer, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 648. (b) Liu, C.; Wang, X.; Pei, T.; Widenhoefer, R. A. Chem.—Eur. J. 2004, 10, 6343. (c) Wang, X.; Widenhoefer, R. A. Chem. Commun. 2004, 660. (d) Li, R.; Liang, L.; Lu, W. Organometallics 2006, 25, 5973. (e) Hull, K. L.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11904. (f) Wang, K.-L.; Lü, M.-Y.; Wang, Q.-M.; Huang, R.-Q. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 7504. (g) Martin, C. L.; Overman, L. E.; Rohde, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7568. (h) Li, J.-J.; Mei, T.-S.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6452. (i) Cheng, D.; Gallagher, T. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2639. (j) Potavathri, S.; Pereira, K. C.; Gorelsky, S. I.; Pike, A.; LeBris, A. P.; DeBoef, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14676. (k) Wei, Y.; Su, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16377. (l) Wang, F.; Song, G.; Li, X. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5430. (m) He, C.; Guo, S.; Ke, J.; Hao, J.; Xu, H.; Chen, H.; Lei, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5766.

it being abundant, clean, and atom-efficient. Although considerable progress has been made, most of the aerobic CDC reactions are carried out in organic solvents. It seems difficult to realize a CDC reaction in water that is safe and environmentally benign. In 2006, Li and Wang reported an efficient "on water"-promoted direct coupling of 1,4-benzoquinones with indole compounds.⁶ Later, Li et al. found that copper salts could catalyze the aerobic CDC reaction of tertiary amines with nitroalkanes or dialkyl malonates to proceed efficiently in water.⁷ Lipshutz et al. recently employed cationic [Pd(MeCN)₄](BF₄)₂ salts as a catalyst to activate aromatic C-H bonds for the Fujiwara-Moritani reaction of anilides in water.8 Nonetheless, examples on aerobic CDC reactions in water⁶⁻⁹ that are as stable and efficient as those in organic solvents are quite rare.

Scheme 1. Preparation of the Water-Soluble Nanocomposites



(4) (a) Boele, M. D. K.; van Strijdonck, G. P. F.; de Vries, A. H. M.; Kamer, P. C. J.; de Vries, J. G.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1586. (b) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Swamy, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 9121. (c) Jean, A.; Cantat, J.; Berard, D.; Bouchu, D.; Canesi, S. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2553. (d) Borduas, N.; Powell, D. A. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7822. (e) Song, C.-X.; Cai, G.-X.; Farrell, T. R.; Jiang, Z.-P.; Li, H.; Gan, L.-B.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Commun. 2009, 6002. (f) Hull, K. L.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9651. (g) de Haro, T.; Nevado, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1512. (h) Kumar, G. S.; Maheswari, C. U.; Kumar, R. A.; Kantam, M. L.; Reddy, K. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11748. (i) Wang, P.; Rao, H.; Hua, R.; Li, C.-J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 902.

(5) (a) Baran, P. S.; Corey, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7904. (b) Hatamoto, Y.; Sakaguchi, S.; Ishii, Y. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4623. (c) Dwight, T. A.; Rue, N. R.; Charyk, D.; Josselyn, R.; DeBoef, B. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3137. (d) Zhang, Y.-H.; Shi, B.-F.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5072. (e) Yin, W.; He, C.; Chen, M.; Zhang, H.; Lei, A. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 709. (f) Shi, B.-F.; Zhang, Y.-H.; Lam, J. K.; Wang, D.-H.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 460. (g) Matsuyama, N.; Kitahara, M.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2358. (h) Zhang, B.; Xiang, S.-K.; Zhang, L. H.; Cui, Y.; Jiao, N. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3280. (j) Shi, Z.-Z.; Zhang, C.; Tang, C.-H.; Jiao, N. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3381.

(6) Zhang, H.-B.; Liu, L.; Chen, Y.-J.; Wang, D.; Li, C.-J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 869.

(8) Nishikata, T.; Lipshutz, B. H. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1972.

In this contribution, we wish to report an aerobic CDC reaction in water, in which graphene, a two-dimensional sp²-hybridized carbon network, was incorporated into the transition-metal-catalyzed CDC reaction. It is anticipated that the excellent electronic, mechanical, and thermal properties¹⁰ of graphene would be useful not only to provide a support for the transition metal catalyst but also to improve the performance of the CDC reaction. In order to make the whole system water-soluble, sulfonic groups were used to functionalize graphene in this work. Inspired by the work of Murahashi,^{2a} who discovered RuCl₃catalyzed oxidation of tetrahydroisoquinolines by oxygen, we expected that RuCl₃ could be successfully anchored on the surface of the water-soluble graphene with sulfonic groups. However, we found that $RuO_2 \cdot nH_2O$ rather than RuCl₃ was formed on the surface of the graphene in situ. More importantly, graphene-supported RuO₂ (G-RuO₂) is able to catalyze the CDC reaction between tertiary amine and nitroalkane under an oxygen atmosphere in water. A comparison of the performance revealed that G-RuO₂ nanocomposites are more efficient than $RuCl_3 \cdot nH_2O$ and $RuO_2 \cdot nH_2O$ under the same condition. Moreover, G-RuO₂ catalyst could be recycled simply by filtration.

The synthetic route to the nanocomposites is shown in Scheme 1. Graphite oxide was prepared by a modified Hummers' method from graphite powder¹¹ and used as the starting material. Under the reduction by NaBH₄, the majority of the oxygen functional groups on the graphite oxide was removed, and sulfonation of the preliminarily reduced graphene by aryl diazonium salt of sulfanilic acid¹² afforded water-soluble graphene. After addition of RuCl₃ · *n*H₂O into the aqueous solution of the sulfonated graphene, sodium citrate aqueous solution was further added dropwise to reduce the graphene under heat for 10 h. Then centrifugation was carried out to obtain the nanocomposite.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) equipped with energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were used to study the chemical nature of the catalyst. As shown in Figure 1a,b, the reduced graphene oxide sheets are slightly wrinkled and folded on the ultrathin carbon membrane. The nanoparticles were about 2 nm in size and well-dispersed on the surface of the transparent carbon sheet. Almost no particle was found to scatter out of the surface of graphene, indicating the strong interaction between the graphene and the particles. The EDS images confirmed the existence of S and Ru elements in the nanocomposite (Figure 1c). The nature of nanoparticles on the surface of graphene was further investigated by XPS measurements. Note that the binding energies of Ru $3p_{3/2}$ and $3p_{1/2}$ at 463.4 and 486.3 eV (Figure 1d), respectively, are consistent with the character of

(11) (a) Kovtyukhova, N. I.; Ollivier, P. J.; Martin, B. R.; Mallouk, T. E.; Chizhik, S. A.; Buzaneva, E. V.; Gorchinskiy, A. D. Chem. Mater.

⁽⁷⁾ Basle, O.; Li, C.-J. Green Chem. 2007, 9, 1047.

⁽⁹⁾ Alagiri, K.; Kumara, G. S. R.; Prabhu, K. R. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11787.

^{(10) (}a) Geim, A. K.; Novoselov, K. S. Nat. Mater. 2007, 6, 183. (b) Wan, X.; Huang, Y.; Chen, Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 598.

¹⁹⁹⁹, *11*, 771. (b) Zhang, H.-H.; Liu, Q.; Feng, K.; Chen, B.; Tung, C.-H.; Wu, L.-Z. Langmuir **2012**, *28*, 8224.

⁽¹²⁾ Si, Y.; Samulski, E. T. Nano Lett. 2008, 8, 1679.

hydrous RuO₂ (also written as RuO_xH_y).¹³ The absence of RuCl₃·nH₂O might be a result of hydrolysis during reaction under an alkaline environment.¹⁴ The alkaline environment is related to sodium citrate, which could act as a capping reagent to interact with the surface of sulfonic-group-functionalized graphene.¹⁵ As a result, the real catalytic center on the graphene is RuO₂ rather than RuCl₃. The prepared nanocomposition is stable and soluble in water without the need for any polymeric or surfactant stabilizers.



Figure 1. (a,b) TEM images of the G-RuO₂ at different magnifications; (c) typical EDS spectrum of G-RuO₂; (d) Ru $3p_{3/2}$ and $3p_{1/2}$ XPS spectrum of G-RuO₂ composites.

Our preliminary studies focused on the CDC reation of N-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (1a) and nitromethane (2a) in water. Typically, an aqueous solution of G-RuO₂ (2 mol %) containing 1a and 2a (3.0 equiv) was heated at 60 °C in an oxygen atmosphere for 16 h. It was a pleasure to see that 78% yield of cross-coupling product was obtained (Table 1, entry 1). In contrast, either RuCl₃. nH_2O or $RuO_2 \cdot nH_2O$ gave lower yields under the same condition (Table 1, entries 2 and 3). The water-soluble graphene G-RuO₂ is indeed important for the reaction performance. Control experiments showed that G-RuO₂ catalyst and oxygen are all essential for the CDC reaction in water. Replacement of oxygen by air caused a significant drop in the reaction yield (Table 1, entry 4). Furthermore, the absence of any of G-RuO₂ and oxygen led to no product formation (Table 1, entries 5 and 6).

Time course of the yield for coupling production in the reaction was also investigated (Figure 2). Both RuCl₃·nH₂O and RuO₂·nH₂O were found to lose their catalytic activity in water within 5 h. However, when G-RuO₂ was used to catalyze the reaction, the yield of **3a** was increased

Table 1. Screening of Reaction Conditions for Aerobic CDC Reaction of 1a and 2a Catalyzed by G-RuO₂ in Water^{*a*}

N _{Ph}	+	CH ₃ NO ₂	catalyst, O₂ H₂O, 60 ⁰C	N _{Ph}
1a		2a		3a

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	entry	catalyst	solvent	gas (1 atm)	yield $(\%)^b$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	$\operatorname{G-RuO_2}^c$	H_2O	O_2	78
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	$RuCl_3 \cdot nH_2O$	H_2O	O_2	43
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	$RuO_2 \cdot nH_2O$	H_2O	O_2	54
$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	G-RuO_2^c	H_2O	air	36
6 G-RuO_2^c H_2O N_2 0	5	no	H_2O	O_2	0
	6	G-RuO_2^c	H_2O	N_2	0

^{*a*} *N*-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (0.1 mmol), nitromethane (0.3 mmol), and 0.002 mmol of catalyst (the amount of ruthenium) were stirred in 0.6 mL of water under the corresponding gas for 16 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield based on *N*-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline. ^{*c*} Sonicated in water for 20 min before utilization.

in 24 h and the CDC reaction product was obtained in a yield of 89%. Clearly, the catalytic activity of $G-RuO_2$ nanocomposite is much better than that of $RuCl_3 \cdot nH_2O$ and $RuO_2 \cdot nH_2O$ for the reaction.



Figure 2. Comparison of RuCl₃·nH₂O, RuO₂·nH₂O, and G-RuO₂ with reaction time.

As tabulated in Table 2, the scope of the CDC reaction between tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives and nitroalkanes was studied. Using G-RuO₂ as catalyst, the reactions proceeded and the desired coupling products were obtained in good to excellent yields. In the case of tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives with a methoxy group either at the 4-position of *N*-phenyl (Table 2, entry 5) or at the 5,6position of isoquinoline (Table 2, entry 6), the yields were obviously decreased. This is possibly due to the steric and electronic effect of the methoxy group. Additionally, the reaction system works well when less reactive nitroethane (Table 2, entries 7–9) or nitropropane (Table 2, entry 10) was used as an electrophilic reagent.

To evaluate the catalytic stability of G-RuO₂, we recycled the catalyst, and the result is shown in Figure 3. Although the yield was decreased from 89 to 64% after five runs, the catalytic activity was still superior to RuCl₃. nH₂O (Table 1, entry 2). From TEM and fluorescence

⁽¹³⁾ Rolison, D. R.; Hagans, P. L.; Swider, K. E.; Long, J. W. Langmuir 1999, 15, 774.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Zheng, J. P.; Cygan, P. J.; Jow, T. R. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1995, 142, 2699.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Zhang, Z.; Chen, H.; Xing, C.; Guo, M.; Xu, F.; Wang, X.; Gruber, H.; Zhang, B.; Tang, J. *Nano Res.* **2011**, *4*, 599.

Table 2. G-RuO2-Catalyzed Aerobic CDC Reactions betweenN-Phenyltetrahydroisoquinoline Derivatives and Nitroalkanein Water^a

R^1		+ R^	`no₂ —	G-RuO₂, O₂ H₂O, 60 ⁰C	R^{1}	
	1		2			3
entry	R	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^3	product	yield (%) ^b
1	Н	Н	Н	Н	3a	89
2	Η	Η	Н	Me	3b	88
3	Η	Η	Н	\mathbf{F}	3c	88
4	Η	Η	Н	OMe	3d	90
5	Η	Η	OMe	Η	3e	72
6	Η	OMe	Н	Η	3f	67
7	Me	Η	Н	Η	3g	87^c
8	Me	Η	Н	Me	3h	91^d
9	Me	Η	Н	\mathbf{Br}	3i	92^d
10	C_2H_5	Η	Н	Η	3j	91^d

^{*a*} *N*-phenyltetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives (0.1 mmol), nitroalkane (0.3 mmol), G-RuO₂ (2.5 mg, sonicated in 0.6 mL of water for 20 min before use), under O₂ (1 atm) at 60 °C for 24 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} Ratios of the two diastereoisomers were 3:2. ^{*d*} Ratios of the two diastereoisomers were 2:1.



Figure 3. Reaction yield of CDC reaction using the recycled G-RuO₂ catalyst.

microscopic images, we inferred that the decreased catalytic activity of G-RuO₂ is a result of leaching of RuO₂ \cdot *n*H₂O nanoparticles from the surface of graphene, while that of RuO₂ \cdot *n*H₂O is the aggregation after reaction (Figures S1 and S2, Supporting Information).

On the basis of the above observation, we tentatively proposed the reaction mechanism shown in Scheme 2. The high-valent $RuO_2 \cdot nH_2O 4$ on the surface of water-soluble graphene could coordinate to tertiary amine to generate the iminium ion $Ru^{II}OH 5$ by abstracting an electron and one hydrogen atom directly from the amine.^{2a,g,16} The intermediate 5 would be trapped by nitroalkane to Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism



afford coupling product **3**, reduced Ru species **6**, and water. In the presence of O₂, the low-valent Ru species **6** is able to further react with the other amine, leading to the formation of iminium ion Ru^{II}OOH **7**. Subsequently, nucleophilic attack of iminium **7** with nitroalkane gave the desired product **3**, RuO₂ **4**, and water to complete the catalytic cycle. The better performance of G-RuO₂ over RuO₂·*n*H₂O implies that the electronic conductivity of graphene¹⁰ may accelerate the electron transfer to the catalytic species, like Ru^{IV}=O and Ru^{II}, which might further suppress the aggregation of the nanoparticles on the surface of graphene during the reaction.

In summary, the nanocomposite G-RuO₂ has been made in situ from sulfonic-group-functionalized graphene and RuCl₃ hydrate. The well-dispersed G-RuO₂ has been demonstrated to be a robust catalyst for the CDC reaction between *N*-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives and nitroalkanes in water under an oxygen atmosphere. The higher efficiency of G-RuO₂ than either RuCl₃·*n*H₂O or RuO₂·*n*H₂O highlights the potential application of transition-metal-functionalized graphene in green and sustainable chemistry. Research is currently underway to functionalize graphene with other metal nanoparticles, especially cheap metals, and to apply these composites in organic transformation.

Acknowledgment. Financial support from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2009CB220008, 2013CB834505, and 2013CB834800), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21090343, 21172102, and 91027041), and the Chinese Academy of Sciences is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental details and characterization of all compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Murahashi, S.; Naota, T.; Kuwabara, T.; Saito, T.; Kumobayashi, H.; Akutagawa, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, *112*, 7820.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.