

Letter

Dirhodium(II)-Catalyzed (3 + 2) Cycloaddition of the *N*-Arylaminocyclopropane with Alkene Derivatives

Yi Kuang,^{†,§} Yangbo Ning,[‡] Jin Zhu,[†] and Yuanhua Wang^{*,‡}•

[†]Chengdu Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610046, China

[‡]College of Chemistry, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610046, China

[§]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: Several (3 + 2) cycloaddition reactions catalyzed by dirhodium(II) complexes between *N*-arylaminocyclopropane and alkenes derivative have been developed. Preliminary mechanism studies suggest that dirhodium(II) complexes may decrease the bond-dissociation energy (BDE) of the N–H bond of *N*-arylaminocyclopropanes for N–H bond activation, thus facilitating the formation of N-centered radicals by loss of a hydrogen radical.

The formation of compounds possessing a five- or sixmembered ring by the ring-opening reaction of a smaller ring, particularly a cyclopropane or cyclobutane, is widely used in synthetic chemistry.¹ The N-arylaminocyclopropane 1 is prone to single-electron oxidation of the nitrogen, and subsequent ring opening, to generate a distonic radical cation, which can be trapped by an alkene or alkyne to form a cycloamine² or triplet oxygen to yield a dioxolane (Scheme 1).³ These characteristics make the N-arylaminocyclopropane motif a useful building block for entry into a variety of biologically active compounds.⁴ To advance synthetic methodology, several research studies have focused on this ring-opening reaction and have developed ways of accelerating the process of radical cation generation. These methods include using the stoichiometric oxidant ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN),⁵ the catalytic single-electron oxidizing Fe^{III}(phen)₃(PF₆)₃,^{3a} the hydrogenabstracting agents benzoyl peroxide or tert-butyl peroxide/UV light,^{3a} and visible-light photocatalysis with ruthenium catalysts (Scheme 1).² Fortunately, recent studies have reported an efficient synthesis of compounds of structure 1, which has provided a great opportunity to develop a good synthetic methodology for the above reaction.⁶ In continuation of our study of reactions of dirhodium(II) complexes (Rh₂(II,II)) with *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP),⁷ we envisaged $Rh_2(esp)_2/$ 70% TBHP in water (T-HYDRO) generating tert-butylperoxy radicals that could induce the ring-opening reaction of aminocyclopropane 1. During the investigation, it was discovered that the Rh₂(II,II) complex could catalyze the ring-opening reaction of 1 efficiently by itself under an argon atmosphere (Scheme 1). Therefore, the efforts of this investigation have focused on this ring-opening reaction catalyzed by Rh₂(II,II) complexes.

Initially, the α , β -unsaturated ester **2a** was selected to trap the generated distonic radical cation from N-phenylaminocyclopropane 1a (Table 1). The desired cycloaddition product 3aa was obtained in moderate yield when 1 mol % of $Rh_2(esp)_2$ and T-HYDRO was used in the reaction with dichloromethane (DCM) as the solvent under an argon atmosphere (Table 1, entry 1). Of particular interest was the yield of 3aa increasing to 68% when the T-HYDRO was left out (Table 1, entry 2). No conversion to the desired product occurred without a metal catalyst, but 1a spontaneously decomposed in DCM (Table 1, entry 3). Further solvent screening disclosed nonpolar *n*-hexane as the best solvent (Table 1, entries 4-7). In *n*-hexane, the yield of product **3aa** increased to 84% catalyzed by Rh₂(esp)₂ (Table 1, entry 5). It was reasoned that the decomposition rate of 1a slowed in n-hexane. Coordinating solvents, such as dimethoxymethane (DME), inhibited the reaction (Table 1, entry 6). No products were formed when the strong coordinating solvent dimethylformamide (DMF) was used (Table 1, entry 7). This indicated that the axial sites of the Rh₂(II,II) catalyst play an important role in the reaction. Decreasing the $Rh_2(esp)_2$ catalyst loading to 0.1 mol % gave the lowest yield of 3aa (Table 1, entry 8). Other Rh₂(II,II) compounds were found to still be feasible in the reactions. Doyle's $Rh_2(cap)_4$ catalyst generated a high yield of 88%, though the catalyst was insoluble in n-hexane (Table 1, entry 9). Another Doyle's catalyst, Rh₂(5S,R-MenPY)₄,⁸ was found to exhibit excellent solubility in *n*-hexane and even generate a 94% product yield (Table 1, entry 10). When the reaction was

Received: March 20, 2018

Scheme 1. Distonic Radical Cation Generation and Cycloaddition Reactions

Previous work:



conducted in the dark with $Rh_2(5S,R-MenPY)_4$, the yield of 3aa reached 92%, which indicated the reaction was not photosensitive (Table 1, entry 11). Even 0.01 mol % of Rh₂(5S,R-MenPY)₄ gave the desired product in 60% yield after 48 h (Table 1, entry 12). Regrettably, the obtained products were optically inactive, though the $Rh_2(5S,R-MenPY)_4$ was a chiral catalyst. The Rh₂(II,II) compounds bearing carboxylate ligands (i.e., $Rh_2(OAc)_4$, $Rh_2(oct)_4$) were not as efficient as the amide Rh₂(II,II) catalysts (Table 1, entries 13 and 14). For comparison, rhodium metal complexes in other oxidation states and additional metal complexes were also evaluated in the reactions (Table 1, entries 15-18). The Rh(I) species generated a product in not so high yield, while the Rh(III) species provided decent product yield but was still inferior to the Rh₂(5S,R-MenPY)₄ catalyst (Table 1, entries 15 and 16). Except for the cobalt species, other metal complexes each generated product 3aa in poor yield (see Supporting Information (SI)). $Fe(phen)_3(PF_6)_3$, which has been reported as an efficient catalyst to oxidize 1a and generate the radical, catalyzed the reaction in DCM with 53% yield (Table 1, entry 18). Nevertheless, due to solubility issues, no reaction in nhexane was observed with $Fe(phen)_3(PF_6)_3$ (Table 1, entry 17). Despite this, the measured diastereomeric ratios of the selected reactions in Table 1 gave moderate values, which suggested that a free radical mechanism was operative.

Next, with the optimized reaction conditions, $Rh_2(5S,R-MenPY)_4$ was selected to investigate the scope of the reaction with respect to different *N*-arylaminocyclopropanes **1**. As shown in Scheme 2, various substituted versions of **1** were synthesized according to the reported procedure⁶ and reacted with ester **2a** to yield the desired products **3** with moderate diastereomeric ratios. Compounds of structure **1** bearing electron-donating groups, such as methoxy, methyl, and ethyl,



	✓ + <0 0 0 0 0 0		N N N
1a	2a		3aa
entry	conditions	solvent	yield (%) ^b (cis/trans) ^c
1^d	Rh ₂ (esp) ₂ , TBHP	DCM	45
2	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	DCM	68
3	No catalyst	DCM	NR
4	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	toluene	69
5	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	hexane	84
6	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	DME	50
7	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	DMF	NR
8 ^e	$Rh_2(esp)_2$	hexane	60
9	$Rh_2(cap)_4$	hexane	88 (40/60)
10 ^e	$Rh_2(5S, R-MenPY)_4$	hexane	94 (41/59)
11 ^{e,f}	$Rh_2(5S, R-MenPY)_4$	hexane	92
12 ^g	$Rh_2(5S, R-MenPY)_4$	hexane	60
13	$Rh_2(OAc)_4$	hexane	44 (40/60)
14	$Rh_2(oct)_4$	hexane	70 (39/61)
15	$[Rh(CH_2CH_2)_2Cl]_2$	hexane	41 (41/59)
16	$[Rh(Cp^*)Cl_2]_2$	hexane	80 (44/56)
17	$Fe(phen)_3(PF_6)_3$	hexane	trace
18	$Fe(phen)_3(PF_6)_3$	DCM	53 (64/36)

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol, 0.2 M in degassed solvent), **2a** (2.5 mmol), catalyst (1 mol %) under argon at rt for 18 h, unless otherwise noted. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of crude product. ^{*d*}2 equiv of TBHP in water. ^{*c*}0.1 mol % of catalyst. ^{*f*}Reaction was conducted in the dark. ^{*g*}0.01 mol % catalyst, 48 h. esp = $\alpha,\alpha,\alpha',\alpha'$ -tetramethyl-1,3-benzenedipropionate, cap = caprolactamate, *SS*,*R*-MenPY = (*S*)-(1*R*,2*S*,*SR*)-2-isopropyl-5-methyl-cyclohexyl 2-oxopyrrolidine-5-carboxylate, oct = *n*-octyl, NR = no reaction, phen = 1,10-phenanthroline monohydrate. For more information, see the SI.



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **1b**–**q** (1 mmol, 0.2 M in degassed solvent), **2a** (5 mmol), catalyst (0.1 mol %) at rt for 18 h, unless otherwise noted. Isolated yields of two isomers. *cis/trans* ratio was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of crude products. NR = no reaction. ^{*b*}36 h.

or electron-withdrawing groups, such as CF_3 , Cl, and *p*-phenyl, all gave high yields of products 3ba-ja. Multiple substituted cyclopropylanilines with the 3,5-dimethyl group 11 and 3,5disubstitued trifluoromethyl 1m generated products 3la and 3ma in 76% and 80% yields, respectively. However, steric interactions played a major role in the reaction. *o*-Methylsubstituted cyclopylamines 1j gave the least yields of product 3ja. A poor yield of product 3ka was obtained when the bulky *o*-phenyl 1k was applied. Nonetheless, the 1- or 2-naphthalenesubstituted cyclopylamines 1n and 10 both gave good yields of the products 3na and 3oa. Substrate 1p bearing the pyridine



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **1a** (1 mmol, 0.2 M in degassed solvent), **2b**-**x** (5 mmol) or **4a**-**d** (5 mmol), $Rh_2(5S,R$ -MenPY)₄ (0.1 mol %) at rt for 18 h, unless otherwise noted. Isolated yields of two isomers. The *cis/trans* ratio was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of crude products. ^{*b*}36 h. ^{*c*}DCM as solvent.

group gave only a trace product due to the pyridine group to which Rh₂(II,II) was coordinated, which inhibited coordination of amine to Rh₂(II,II) complex. It is worth mentioning that no reaction occurred when the intrinsically reactive molecule 1methyl-2-phenyl-2-azabicyclo [3.1.0] hexane (1q) was used (see the SI), suggesting that the hydrogen was important in the reaction. Subsequently, a variety of α_{β} -unsaturated esters **2b**-**q** were catalyzed by $Rh_2(5S,R-MenPY)_4$ and reacted with 1a for 18 h at room temperature under an argon atmosphere (Scheme 3). The various substituted unsaturated esters 2b-n produced cycloaddition products 3ab-an with good yields, but with moderate diastereomeric ratios. A diastereomeric ratio of 83/17 for 3ah with the trans configuration prevailing was obtained when trisubstituted esters 2h were used. Additionally, the cis configuration of 3ai predominated (71/29) when the esters 2i contained a hydroxyl group. The β -substituted methyl ester 2j gave the β -amino ester 3aj, while the β -substituted phenyl ester 2k gave the γ -amino ester 3ak. The crystal structure of (1RS,2RS,3SR)-3ak was obtained by crystallizing the inseparable product mixture, and the γ -amino ester motif of 3ak was confirmed by X-ray crystallography. It was reasoned that this different regioselectivity derived from the radical stability of the intermediate.^{2e} In contrast to the pyridine substituent, when the amide group was present in the substrate 2l, the reactions proceeded smoothly, providing 3al in 71% yield. In particular, the reaction of the cis- or trans-dimethyl fumarate 2m and 2n with 1a produced the products 3am and 3an in yields of 84% and 76% respectively, with a similar diastereomeric ratio. In addition, after treatment with the cis product 3ba with CAN, the desired β -amino ester **6a** was obtained in 79% yield (see the

SI). It was reported the **6a** can undergo facile transformation to the chiral β -amino acid by chiral resolution.⁹

Furthermore, except for the $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated esters, the reaction of 1a was probed using different alkenes. Reactions with the aromatic alkenes 2q-x, bearing either electron-rich or electron-poor substituents on the aromatic ring, proceeded smoothly with 1a to yield the desired products 3aq-ax with moderate diastereomeric ratios. Modest yields were obtained when alkyne derivatives 4a-d were used in the reactions after an extended period of time. Though no reaction occurred with cyclohexenone 2o (Scheme 3), 1a reacted with 2*H*-chromen-2-one 4d to generate a product mixture in 53% yield containing the cycloaddition compound Sad and amide compound Sae. It was supposed that Sae formed spontaneously through the intramolecular amide reaction with Sad.

Next, we investigated the plausible mechanism for the reactions. The key issue is how the $Rh_2(II,II)$ catalyst initiates the radical process. Unsubstituted aminocyclopropanes are reported to undergo facile oxidation to a radical cation species, in which the oxidation process is essentially barrier free.¹⁰ However, compound 1 generated radicals slowly due to stereoelectronic and resonance effects, which is in accordance with the report by Zheng et al.^{2a} In Zheng's report, no (3 + 2) cycloaddition product was obtained without light or Ru catalyst. Despite this, the conversion of **1a** reached 14% after 12 h without light and a Ru catalyst, indicating that **1a** decomposed and generated a radical or active species, thus leading to a reaction pathway different from the (3 + 2) cycloaddition. UV/ vis spectra demonstrate that the Rh₂(II,II) catalyst coordinated with **1a** to form a metal complex. The characteristic band of

Rh₂ π^* to Rh₂ σ^* moved from 665 to 592 nm when the Rh₂(esp)₂ coordinated to **1a** in DCM. In regard to the UV/vis spectra analysis, no change in the oxidative state of Rh₂(esp)₂ was observed (Figure S1). Chirik et al. recently reported a study addressing the weakness of the N–H, and they found that the bond-dissociation energy (BDE) of an N–H decreased when the metal was coordinated to an amine.¹¹ On the basis of this work, it is inferred that the N–H bond of **1** may be activated by the Rh₂(II,II) by axially coordinating to **1**, which facilitates proton transfer (PT) and electron transfer (ET) to lose the hydrogen radical as a result of the decreased BDE_{N–H}. Experiments were designed to demonstrate how the Rh₂(II,II) complex tunes the BDE of the N–H bond by comparing various efficiencies catalyzed by Rh₂(esp)₂ and Rh₂(5S,*R*-MenPY)₄ in the reaction. Table 2 displays the radical

Table 2. $Rh_2(II,II)$ Complex Tunes the BDE of the N-H Bond of $1a^a$

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol, 0.2 M in degassed solvent), **2a** (2.5 mmol), catalyst (0.1 mol %), and additive (20 mol %) at rt for 18 h, unless otherwise noted. ^{*b*}Isolated yields of two isomers. ^{*c*}1 mol % of catalyst was used.

terminating reagents 4-methoxyphenol (MEHQ) or butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) with different BDE_{O-H} that were added to the reactions. The experimental results showed that MEHQ $(BDE_{O-H} = 81.7 \text{ kcal/mol})^{12}$ was unable to inhibit the reaction catalyzed by Rh₂(esp)₂ or Rh₂(5S,R-MenPY)₄, indicating that these two $Rh_2(II,II)$ compounds lowered the BDE_{N-H} of 1a to be less than the BDE_{O-H} of MEHQ. However, when BHT $(BDE_{O-H} = 79.9 \text{ kcal/mol})^{12}$ was added, the reaction catalyzed by $Rh_2(esp)_2$ was efficiently inhibited, but not for the reaction catalyzed by Rh₂(5S,R-MenPY)₄ These results suggest that the BDE_{N-H} of 1a, tuned by $Rh_2(5S,R-MenPY)_4$, was lower than the BDE_{O-H} of BHT. This can help clarify why Rh₂(5S,R-MenPY)₄ was sought out. Further experimentation demon strated that the BDE_{N-H} plays an important role in the reaction. As literatures reported, the electron-withdrawing groups on the arenes increase the BDE_{N-H} of the aromatic amines.¹² The 1m bearing two CF₃ groups substituted phenyl group of 1a catalyzed by Rh₂(5S,R-MenPY)₄ to yield 3ma in 80% yield. However, the addition of MEHQ inhibited this reaction effectively (Table 2, entry 8). It is reasoned that 1m has such a high BDE_{N-H} value that $Rh_2(5S,R-MenPY)_4$ cannot reduce

the BDE_{N-H} beyond the BDE_{O-H} of MEHQ. On the basis of the investigation, the proposed mechanism is described in Scheme 4. Since 1a decomposes spontaneously to generate

Scheme 4. Proposed Mechanism

unidentified radicals, these trace radicals abstract H atom from the Rh₂(II,II)-1a complex A and form the *N*-centered radical B. This is followed by cyclopropane ring-opening to generate radical C, which is trapped by 2a to form intermediate D. The formation of D occurs by intramolecular radical addition to yield E. After hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) from complex A, the desired product 3aa is obtained and regenerates the *N*centered radical B. During the catalytic cycle, it was proposed that the Rh₂(II,II) complex "tames" the radicals to complete the cycloaddition reaction efficiently.

This study detailed the development of a (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction catalyzed by Rh₂(II,II) complexes between *N*-arylaminocyclopropane and alkenes derivatives. The reaction exhibited a wide tolerance for various functional groups and could be used to produce β -amino acids. It appears that the Rh₂(II,II) complex coordinates axially to the *N*arylaminocyclopropane to activate the N–H bond and decreases the BDE of N–H bond in the aminocyclopropane. This mechanism facilitates formation of an *N*-centered radical by loss of a hydrogen radical. Future experiments will focus on elucidating the structure of Rh₂(II,II) complexes related to the N–H bond activation and broadening the scope of this method.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.8b00904.

Additional experimental procedures and spectroscopic data for all new compounds (PDF)

Organic Letters

Accession Codes

CCDC 1581725, 1581760, and 1581762 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac. uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: yhwang@scu.edu.cn.

ORCID

Yuanhua Wang: 0000-0003-0514-6976

notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for financial support from National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21272162).

REFERENCES

(1) de Nanteuil, F.; De Simone, F.; Frei, R.; Benfatti, F.; Serrano, E.; Waser, J. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 10912.

(2) (a) Maity, S.; Zhu, M. Z.; Shinabery, R. S.; Zheng, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 222. (b) Maity, S.; Zheng, N. Synlett 2012, 23, 1851. (c) Hu, J.; Wang, J.; Nguyen, T. H.; Zheng, N. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2013, 9, 1977. (d) Nguyen, T. H.; Maity, S.; Zheng, N. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2014, 10, 975. (e) Nguyen, T. H.; Morris, S. A.; Zheng, N. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2831. (f) Morris, S. A.; Wang, J.; Zheng, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1957. (g) Cai, Y.; Wang, J.; Zhang, Y. X.; Li, Z.; Hu, D.; Zheng, N.; Chen, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 12259.

(3) (a) Wimalasena, K.; Wickman, H. B.; Mahindaratne, M. P. D. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 2001, 3811. (b) Blackburn, A.; Bowles, D. M.; Curran, T. T.; Kim, H. *Synth. Commun.* **2012**, 42, 1855.

(4) (a) Silverman, R. B. Acc. Chem. Res. **1995**, 28, 335. (b) Garg, R.; Gupta, S. P.; Gao, H.; Babu, M. S.; Debnath, A. K.; Hansch, C. Chem. Rev. **1999**, 99, 3525. (c) Yoshida, Y.; Umezu, K.; Hamada, Y.; Atsumi; Tabuchi, N. F. Synlett **2003**, 14, 2139. (d) Wang, J.; Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Aceña, J. L.; del Pozo, C.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Fustero, S.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Liu, H. Chem. Rev. **2014**, 114, 2432.

(5) (a) Takemoto, Y.; Yamagata, S.; Furuse, S.; Hayase, H.; Echigo, T.; Iwata, C. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, *6*, 651. (b) Ha, J. D.; Lee, J.; Blackstock, S. C.; Cha, J. K. J. Org. *Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 8510. (c) Lee, H. B.; Sung, M. J.; Blackstock, S. C.; Cha, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, *123*, 11322.

(6) Gildner, P. G.; DeAngelis, A.; Colacot, T. J. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 1442.

(7) (a) Wang, Y.; Kuang, Y.; Wang, Y. H. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 5852. (b) Zhao, L. L.; Zhang, H. Y.; Wang, Y. H. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 129. (c) Wang, Y.; Kuang, Y.; Zhang, H. Y.; Ma, R. C.; Wang, Y. H. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 4729. (d) Zhao, L. L.; Wang, Y.; Ma, Z. L.; Wang, Y. H. Inorg. Chem. 2017, 56, 8166.

(8) (a) Wang, Y. H.; Wolf, J.; Zavalij, P.; Doyle, M. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1439. (b) Xu, X.; Wang, X.; Liu, Y.; Doyle, M. P. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 12185.

(9) Dragovich, P. S.; Murphy, D. E.; Dao, K.; Kim, S. H.; Li, L. S.; Ruebsam, F.; Sun, Z. X.; Tran, C. V.; Xiang, A. X.; Zhou, Y. F. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2008**, *19*, 2796.

(10) (a) Nguyen, M. T.; Creve, S.; Ha, T. K. Chem. Phys. Lett. **1998**, 293, 90. (b) Bouchoux, G.; Alcaraz, C.; Dutuit, O.; Nguyen, M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, 120, 152. (c) Qin, X. Z.; Williams, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, 109, 595. (d) Rassadin, V. A.; Six, Y. Tetrahedron **2016**, 72, 4701.

(11) Bezdek, M. J.; Guo, S.; Chirik, P. J. Science 2016, 354, 730.

Letter

(12) Lucarini, M.; Pedulli, G. F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 2106.