LETTERS

Ligand-Controlled Monoselective C-Aryl Glycoside Synthesis via Palladium-Catalyzed C–H Functionalization of *N*-Quinolyl Benzamides with 1-lodoglycals

Minglong Liu,[†] Youhong Niu,[†] Yan-Fen Wu,* and Xin-Shan Ye*

State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University, Xue Yuan Road No. 38, Beijing 100191, China

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A monoselective synthesis of aryl- $C-\Delta^{1,2}$ -glycosides from 1-iodoglycals via palladium-catalyzed *ortho*-C–H activation of *N*-quinolyl benzamides has been developed. An amino acid derivative was used as a crucial ligand to improve the yield and monoselectivity of the coupling reaction. The utility of this protocol was demonstrated by a concise synthesis of key moieties of some natural products.



C-Glycosides are important carbohydrate congeners of Oglycosides that are stable to hydrolytic or enzymatic cleavage. C-Aryl glycosides belong to a subclass of C-glycosides. This subclass of sugar derivatives contains vital motifs of a number of biologically active natural products and medicinally valuable compounds with antidiabetic, antibacterial, and antitumor activities (Figure 1).¹ C-Aryl glycals are particularly





Figure 1. Some bioactive natural products and drugs.

useful synthons for the construction of different sugar moieties because the 1,2-double bond can be further transformed into various functionalities.

Various cross-coupling reactions are employed for the synthesis of *C*-aryl glycals and glycosides. General methods include the use of coupling of glycals with aryl halides,² coupling of C1-stannylated or boronated glycals with aryl halides,³ coupling of glycals with boronic acids or carboxylic acids,⁴ coupling reactions between glycal derivatives and organometallic reagents,⁵ and Negishi coupling reactions between glycosyl halides and organozinc reagents (Scheme 1).⁶ In most cases, both reactants have to be preactivated, and one of them has to be an organometallic reagent. Therefore, the development of more straightforward, atom-economic, and environmentally benign

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Glycals and C-Glycosides



methods for the synthesis of C-aryl glycosides is still of great significance.


Transition-metal-catalyzed, directing-group-mediated C–H functionalization has emerged as a powerful method for the construction of organic molecules in the last two decades.⁷ Among these transformations, Pd-catalyzed $C(sp^2)$ –H activation, in combination with its subsequent cross-coupling reaction with simple alkynes, alkenes, allenes, arenes, and heteroatoms,^{7e,8} has generated many aromatic compounds with different scaffolds. In particular, C–H activation of aromatic acids and their derivatives followed by modification with aryl iodides⁹ or alkenyl iodides¹⁰ provides a reliable approach to synthesizing biphenyl or vinylbenzene derivatives. Given these reports, we


Received: February 29, 2016

ACS Publications © XXXX American Chemical Society

envisioned that a direct C–H functionalization of benzoic acid derivatives might be possible with 1-iodoglycals, though 1iodoglycals are much more complicated substrates than simple aryl and alkenyl iodides. Herein, we report the synthesis of aryl- $C-\Delta^{1,2}$ -glycosides by Pd-catalyzed, *N*-quinolyl-group-directed *ortho*-C–H functionalization of benzoic acid derivatives with 1iodoglycals.¹¹

Initially, the reaction of *N*-quinolyl benzamide $(4)^{12}$ and triisopropylsilyl-protected 1-iodoglucal 1^{11} was chosen as the model reaction to optimize the reaction conditions; the results are summarized in Table 1. When a mixture of compounds 1 and 4 was treated with Pd(OAc)₂ (10 mol %) and AgOAc (2 equiv) in toluene at 100 °C, the monoglycosylated product 4a and diglycosylated product 4aa were produced in 14 and 47% yields, respectively (entry 1). To obtain the desired monoglycosylated






^{*a*}Unless specified, a solution of 4 (0.04 mmol), 1 (0.08 mmol), and the catalyst (0.004 mmol) in *t*-AmylOH/H₂O (0.20 M) was heated at 100 °C for 10 h. Yields are based on ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture, using dibromomethane as an internal standard. A = *t*-AmylOH, H = H₂O.

product in high yield and with good selectivity, the effect of base was investigated. Some inorganic bases such as K₂CO₃, Na₂CO₃, and NaHCO₃ (entries 2-4) were tried, and it was found that the addition of NaHCO3 increased the ratio of 4a to 4aa despite the low yield of 4a, which might result from the poor solubility of NaHCO₃ in toluene. After testing several solvents, we found that when the reaction was conducted in the mixed solvent t-AmylOH/H₂O (10:1), the yield of desired product 4a was doubled and the ratio of 4a to 4aa was maintained at 4:1. Previously, Yu's and Chen's work^{13,14} showed that the use of ligands could increase the monoselectivity of the reactions. Inspired by these results, we turned our attention to ligand screening. 2,2'-Dipyridyl (L₁) as a ligand was tested. However, the addition of L₁ hindered the reaction, and no products were detected. Following a literature report,¹⁴ when phosphonic acids $(L_2, L_3, entries 7 and 8, Table 1)$ were used, both the overall yield and the monoselectivity of desired product were improved. These results indicated that the use of ligands would truly benefit the reaction. So, we next screened several protected amino acid ligands $(L_4 - L_{10})$, entries 9–15). It was found that the L-proline derivative L₅ was the most effective ligand, leading to the formation of product 4a in 83% yield and with more than 8:1 selectivity of 4a/4aa.

Having the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we evaluated the generality of our method for synthesizing C-aryl glycosides by using various substrates, including different glycals and N-quinolyl benzamides. The results are shown in Chart 1. When the glucal derivative 1 was used, the reaction of the unsubstituted N-quinolyl benzamide (4) worked well and the reactions of substituted N-quinolyl benzamides bearing either electron-donating groups such as Me, t-Bu, MeO, and di-MeO functionalities or electron-withdrawing groups such as F, Cl, Br, CF₃, and NO₂ functionalities proceeded smoothly, furnishing the desired products 4a-17a in moderate to excellent yields and with good selectivity. Halo groups, especially the bromo group, were compatible with the reaction conditions. In addition, different substituents at the ortho-, meta-, or para-positions of the quinolyl benzamides had little influence on the reaction outcomes. 1-Naphthalene-derived amide and other heterocyclic amides as substrates also worked well (the formation of 18a-**21a**). Besides the glucal **1**, the reactions of glycals **2** and **3** from galactose and rhamnose with different quinolyl benzamides were examined. The coupling reactions of these two glycals with benzamides provided the corresponding products in good yields and with high mono/di ratios (the formation of 4b, 5b, 16b, 4c, 9c, 11c). To further investigate the practical utility of this protocol, the gram-scale preparation of 16a from 1 was realized in yields up to 93% under the optimized reaction conditions. After validating this method, we tried to deprotect the N-quinolyl directing group and synthesize the C-aryl glycoside derivatives through modifications of the double bond on the sugar ring and the amide bond of the N-quinolyl benzamide moiety. Because of the instability of the silyl group under strong basic and acidic conditions and poor solubility of aryl- $C-\Delta^{1,2}$ -glycosides in the protic solvents, we failed to convert the amide products to the corresponding acids or esters by using various conditions such as acids and bases in different solvent systems.

The *N*-quinolyl benzamide was then transformed into the hydroxymethyl group by sequential reductions with Schwartz's reagent¹⁵ and NaCNBH₃. The amide was first reduced to the aldehyde which was prone to degradation during the purification process. So the aldehyde was either directly treated with triphenylphosphonium benzylide to furnish the stilbene-




^{*a*}All reactions were carried out on a 0.04 mmol scale, unless specified; isolated yields were given; ratios (mono- versus diglycosylation) were determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*b*}Reaction was conducted in 0.10 mmol. ^{*c*}CH₂Cl₂ as solvent. ^{*d*}Reaction was conducted in 1.0 mmol. ^{*e*}K₂CO₃ (2 equiv) was used. ^{*f*}CH₂Cl₂ as solvent and 20 mol % of Pd(OAc)₂ as catalyst.

containing *C*-glycoside 23^{16} in 70% yield or further reduced *in situ* to the hydroxymethyl-containing compound 22 in 68% overall yield (Scheme 2).

Starting from compound **22**, three transformations were effected as follows: (1) When the unsaturated compound **22** was subjected to hydrogenation under a H_2 atmosphere in the presence of Pd/C, the corresponding 2-deoxy- β -C-aryl glycoside

Scheme 2. Further Transformations of the Coupled Product



24 was obtained in 80% yield. (2) The epoxidation of 22 using oxone in acetone and subsequent in situ ring opening of the epoxide by the hydroxyl group provided the spiro-cyclization product 25 of the papulacandin skeleton in good yield. (3) Treatment of 22 with *p*-TsOH·H₂O in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature furnished two spirocyclic isomers 26 and 27 in one step (Scheme 2).^{3d,17} It should be mentioned that the stereochemistry of products 25, 26, and 27 was confirmed by NMR analysis (NOESY studies, Figure 2).¹⁸






Figure 2. NOESY correlations (red arrows) of compounds 25, 26, and 27.

On the basis of our results and previous reports,^{8a,14,19} a plausible C–H activation mechanism is proposed in Scheme 3. 1-





Iodoglycal undergoes oxidative addition (OA), which is supposed to be the rate-determining step of C–H functionalization,²⁰ to give a palladacycle intermediate, generated from the Pd coordination with AQ and insertion between carbon and hydrogen atoms. In our method, amino acid ligands probably coordinate to the palladacycle, which decreases the reaction rate of the first OA and makes the second OA more difficult (time course, Figure S1 in the Supporting Information), leading to the high monoselectivity and good yields of the coupled products. Limiting the amount of NaHCO₃ was also important for achieving high monoselectivity and high yield. In summary, we have developed a method for the monoselective synthesis of aryl-C- $\Delta^{1,2}$ -glycosides via Pd-catalyzed C–H functionalization of *N*-quinolyl benzamides with different types of 1-iodoglycals in a straightforward, atomeconomic, and environmentally friendly manner. The monoselectivity of the glycosylation products can be controlled by the addition of amino acid ligands. The reaction can be scaled up, and the protocol can be applied to synthesize natural product derivatives of potential biological importance by simple transformations. The preparation of new compounds with the therapeutic potential by this protocol is currently underway in our group.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.6b00566.

Experimental procedures and characterization data for all new compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: wuyanfen@bjmu.edu.cn. *E-mail: xinshan@bjmu.edu.cn.

Author Contributions

[†]M.L. and Y.N. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by grants (2012CB822100 and 2013CB910700) from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21232002).

REFERENCES

130, 12177-12183.

 (a) Franz, G.; Grun, M. Planta Med. 1983, 47, 131–140.
 (b) Humber, D. C.; Mulholland, K. R.; Stoodley, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 283–292. (c) Bililign, T.; Griffith, B. R.; Thorson, J. S. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2005, 22, 742–760. (d) Lee, D. Y. W.; He, M. S. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2005, 5, 1333–1350. (e) Rich, J. R.; Wakarchuk, W. W.; Bundle, D. R. Chem. - Eur. J. 2006, 12, 845–858. (f) Gong, H.; Sinisi, R.; Gagné, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1908–1909.
 (g) Anand, N.; Upadhyaya, K.; Ajay, A.; Mahar, R.; Shukla, S. K.; Kumar, B.; Tripathi, R. P. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 4685–4696. (h) Lalitha, K.; Muthusamy, K.; Prasad, Y. S.; Vemula, P. K.; Nagarajan, S. Carbohydr. Res. 2015, 402, 158–171.

(2) Li, H. H.; Ye, X. S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 3855-3861.

(3) (a) Koester, D. C.; Kriemen, E.; Werz, D. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2985–2989. (b) Dubois, E.; Beau, J.-M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 5165–5168. (c) Friesen, R. W.; Loo, R. W.; Sturino, C. F. Can. J. Chem. 1994, 72, 1262–1272. (d) Parkan, K.; Pohl, R.; Kotora, M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, 20, 4414–4419.

(4) (a) Xiong, D.-C.; Zhang, L.-H.; Ye, X.-S. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1709– 1712. (b) Xiang, S.; Cai, S.; Zeng, J.; Liu, X.-W. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4608–4611. (c) Novoa, A.; Pellegrini-Moïse, N.; Lamandé-Langle, S.; Chapleur, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 6484–6487.

(5) (a) Daves, G. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 201–206. (b) Cheng, J.
C. Y.; Hacksell, U.; Daves, G. D. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 3093–3098.
(6) (a) Yu, X.; Dai, Y.; Yang, T.; Gagné, M. R.; Gong, H. Tetrahedron
2011, 67, 144–151. (b) Gong, H.; Gagné, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008,

(7) (a) Liu, C.; Zhang, H.; Shi, W.; Lei, A. Chem. Rev. **2011**, *111*, 1780–1824. (b) Yamaguchi, J.; Yamaguchi, A. D.; Itami, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, *51*, 8960–9009. (c) Rouquet, G.; Chatani, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, *52*, 11726–11743. (d) Ackermann, L. Chem. Rev. **2011**, *111*, 1315–1345. (e) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. Chem. Rev. **2010**, *110*, 1147–1169. (f) Godula, K.; Sames, D. Science **2006**, *312*, 67–72.

(8) (a) Daugulis, O.; Do, H.-Q.; Shabashov, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1074–1086. (b) Wencel-Delord, J.; Colobert, F. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 14010–14017. (c) Liu, Y. J.; Xu, H.; Kong, W. J.; Shang, M.; Dai, H. X.; Yu, J. Q. Nature 2014, 515, 389–393. (d) Wang, Y.; Zhou, K.; Lan, Q.; Wang, X. S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 353–356. (e) Deb, A.; Bag, S.; Kancherla, R.; Maiti, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 13602–13605. (f) Giri, R.; Thapa, S.; Kafle, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1395–1411. (g) Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 5588–5598. (h) Alberico, D.; Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 174–238. (i) Chen, X.; Engle, K. M.; Wang, D. H.; Yu, J. Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5094–5115.

(9) (a) Ziegler, C. B.; Heck, R. F. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2941–2946.
(b) Lebrasseur, N.; Larrosa, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2926–2927.
(c) Nadres, E. T.; Santos, G. I. F.; Shabashov, D.; Daugulis, O. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 9689–9714. (d) Reddy, B. V.; Reddy, C. R.; Reddy, M. R.; Yarlagadda, S.; Sridhar, B. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3730–3733.

(10) (a) Nakao, Y.; Imanaka, H.; Sahoo, A. K.; Yada, A.; Hiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6952–6953. (b) Savarin, C.; Liebeskind, L. S. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2149–2152. (c) Huang, Z.; Qian, M.; Babinski, D. J.; Negishi, E.-i. Organometallics 2005, 24, 475–478.

(11) (a) Denmark, S. E.; Kobayashi, T.; Regens, C. S. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 4745–4759. (b) Potuzak, J. S.; Tan, D. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 1797–1801.

(12) We screened several other directing groups such as N-(pivaloyloxy)benzamide, N-phenylpivalamide, N-methoxy benzamide, benzoic acid derivative, picolinic acid derivative, and N-quinolyl benzamide was found to be the most efficient directing group for the C–H activation and subsequent glycosylation by TLC detection.

(13) Chan, K. S. L.; Wasa, M.; Chu, L.; Laforteza, B. N.; Miura, M.; Yu, J. Q. Nat. Chem. **2014**, *6*, 146–150.

(14) Zhang, S.-Y.; Li, Q.; He, G.; Nack, W. A.; Chen, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 531–539.

(15) Yi, J.; Yang, L.; Xia, C.; Li, F. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 6213–6221.
(16) Wang, Y. H.; Zhang, Z. K.; He, H. P.; Wang, J. S.; Zhou, H.; Ding, M.; Hao, X. J. J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 2007, 9, 631–636.

(17) (a) Wurst, J. M.; Liu, G.; Tan, D. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 7916–7925. (b) Lehmann, U.; Awasthi, S.; Minehan, T. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2405–2408. (c) Sakamaki, S.; Kawanishi, E.; Nomura, S.; Ishikawa, T. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 5744–5753. (d) Potuzak, J. S.; Tan, D. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 1797–1801.

(18) (a) Mainkar, P. S.; Johny, K.; Rao, T. P.; Chandrasekhar, S. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 2519–2525. (b) Aho, J. E.; Pihko, P. M.; Rissa, T. K. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 4406–4440.

(19) (a) Dang, Y.; Qu, S.; Nelson, J. W.; Pham, H. D.; Wang, Z. X.; Wang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 2006–2014. (b) Parella, R.; Gopalakrishnan, B.; Babu, S. A. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11911–11934. (c) Zaitsev, V. G.; Shabashov, D.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13154–13155. (d) Li, H.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2011, 1, 191–206. (e) Aihara, Y.; Tobisu, M.; Fukumoto, Y.; Chatani, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15509–15512. (f) Rouquet, G.; Chatani, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11726–11743.

(20) Stille, J. K.; Lau, K. S. Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 1977, 10, 434-442.