

Homologations of boronate esters: the first observation of sequential insertions


Li Ren and Cathleen M. Crudden*

Department of Chemistry, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada, E3B 6E2.
E-mail: cruddenc@unb.ca

Received (in Corvallis, OR, USA) 10th January 2000, Accepted 2nd March 2000


By employing dibromo or diiodomethane as halomethylithium precursors, the *in situ* double and triple homologation of boronate esters has been obtained for the first time.

Homologation reactions of boronate esters and other borane species have been well explored, most notably by Brown *et al.*¹ and Matteson *et al.*² We have recently reported a variant in which the chirality is introduced *catalytically* via a Rh–binap-mediated hydroboration with catechol borane.^{3,4} Homologation of the intermediate boronate ester with CH_2Cl_2 or CH_2BrCl in the presence of Bu^nLi yields either 2-arylpropionic acids **1** or 2-arylpropanols **2** respectively [eqn. (1)]. This asymmetric hydroboration–homologation (AHH) reaction was used in the synthesis of enantiomerically enriched 2-arylpropionic acids, such as IbuprofenTM [eqn. (1)].



During our study of the latter reaction, we were intrigued by the observed chemoselectivity. In both cases, the products of the homologation reaction, prior to oxidation, are also boronate esters and might be expected to undergo homologation themselves. The boronate ester resulting from homologation with LiCHCl_2 is sufficiently distinct from the starting boronate ester **3** [eqn. (2)] by virtue of the chlorine substituent. However, the boronate ester from homologation with LiCH_2Cl **7** [eqn. (5)] should be susceptible to further homologation. Despite this fact, no such sequential homologation with halomethane reagents has been reported to the best of our knowledge. Brown *et al.* have reported a ring-expansive sequential homologation reaction using halomethane reagents, in which the halomethane reagent was added in successive portions after isolation of the previously generated boracycle.⁵ Shea *et al.*⁶ have reported that sulfur ylides can homologate triorganoboranes sequentially in the same pot to yield long chain aliphatic alcohols after oxidation but such a reaction has not been observed with boronate esters. We wish to report that by adjusting the leaving group ability of the halomethane reagent, double and triple homologations can be achieved.

Using our standard protocol, boronate ester **3** is generated by hydroboration of styrene with catechol borane in the presence of $[\text{Rh}(\text{cod})_2]^+\text{BF}_4^-$ and dppb,[†] followed by transesterification with pinacol which permits isolation of the boronate ester by chromatography [eqn. (2)].⁴



Treatment of **3** with LiCH_2Cl provided, after oxidation, the expected product **2** (Table 1).^{‡§} The nature of the halogen which is transmetalated to generate LiCH_2Cl has little effect on the outcome of the homologation. Thus ICH_2Cl gave 79%

Table 1 Observation of single and double homologation

Entry	Reagent precursor	Homologation reagent	Equiv.	Single in-section 2 ^a	Double in-section 4	Unreacted 5
1	BrCH_2Cl	LiCH_2Cl	1.1	88%	0%	12%
2	ICH_2Cl	LiCH_2Cl	1.1	79%	0%	21%
3	BrCH_2Br	LiCH_2Br	1.1	74%	15%	11%
4	ICH_2I	LiCH_2I	1.1	43%	17%	40%
5	BrCH_2Cl	LiCH_2Cl	2.0	83%	0%	17%

^a Relative ratios determined by integration of appropriate resonances in NMR; NMR yield calculated by use of internal standard is within several percent of quoted ratios.

homologation while BrCH_2Cl gave 88%. However, the nature of the leaving group was significant. Using BrCH_2Br as the precursor to the homologation reagent, a new product **4** was observed which resulted from the incorporation of two CH_2 units. Diiodomethane also gave the product of double homologation, but with lower overall conversion (entry 4). This is presumably due to the decreased stability of LiCH_2I .⁷ (Note that any unreacted boronate ester **3** is converted into **5** after oxidation with $\text{NaOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$.) Although the amount of the doubly homologated alcohol could be increased by increasing the amount of LiCH_2Br (see below), no double homologation was observed with LiCH_2Cl even when it was used in excess (entry 5).

Using dibromomethane as the candidate for further study, the effect of increasing the amount of the homologating reagent was examined. As shown in Table 2, the percentage of doubly homologated alcohol **4** which was formed in the reaction increased when the amount of LiCH_2Br was increased above 1 equivalent. A significant increase was not, however, observed as the amount of LiCH_2Br was increased further. It should be noted that a 5–10% variance was generally observed in the relative ratios reported in Table 2, which may be attributable to


Table 2 Effect of increasing amounts of LiCH_2Br

Entry	Equiv. of LiCH_2Br	Single insertion 2 ^a	Double insertion 4	Triple insertion 6	Unreacted 5
1	1.1	74%	15%	0%	11%
2	2.0	34%	34%	10%	22%
3	4.0	29%	40%	10%	21%
4	6.0	35%	28%	7%	31%
5	10.0	22%	30%	19%	29%

^a Relative ratios determined by integration of appropriate resonances in NMR; NMR yield calculated by use of internal standard is within several percent of quoted ratios.

differences in rates of addition of BuⁿLi, especially as we attempted to generate increasing amounts of LiCH₂Br. At these higher loadings, we also observed alcohol **6**, resulting from incorporation of *three* CH₂ units. One interesting trend which can be gleaned from Table 2 is that as the amount of LiCH₂Br used is increased, there is a concomitant increase in the amount of alcohol **5** resulting from unreacted starting material (compare entry 1 with 4 and 5).

This observation led us to postulate that homologated boronate esters such as **7** were more reactive than the secondary boronate esters **3**. This reactivity difference could be rationalized by the different steric requirements of **3** *cf.* primary homologated derivatives such as **7**. To test this hypothesis, we prepared and isolated **7** and also prepared boronate ester **3'** by hydroboration of *p*-methylstyrene. A *ca.* 1 : 1 mixture of **7** and **3'** was then treated with LiCH₂Cl [eqn. (5)]. This homologating reagent was chosen for two reasons. Firstly, its use would obviate complications due to double homologations observed with LiCH₂Br; and secondly, as LiCH₂Cl is the least reactive of the homologating reagents, it would presumably be the best probe of reactivity differences.



Much to our surprise, in the presence of a limiting quantity of LiCH₂Cl (50%), boronate esters **7** and **3'** gave equal amounts of homologated products **4** and **2'** (19 and 21% respectively) indicating no difference in the reactivity of the secondary and primary boronate esters under these conditions. It should be noted that the introduction of the *para* methyl substituent will skew the results somewhat as it alters the electronic nature of the migrating group. An isotopically labeled analog of **3** is currently being prepared and the results of this study will be reported in due course. Experiments are also underway to elucidate the reason for the remarkable differences in the reactivity of LiCH₂Cl and LiCH₂Br.

In conclusion, we have shown that the homologation of boronate esters derived from the hydroboration of styrene and its derivatives can be carried out in a sequential, one-pot manner depending on the nature of the homologating reagent used. Homologation with LiCH₂Cl yields only the product of single homologation regardless of the number of equivalents used, and LiCH₂Br yields the doubly homologated product even at 1 equivalent loading. Increasing the amount of this reagent leads to the incorporation of three CH₂ units in a single reaction.

The Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) is gratefully acknowledged for support of this research in terms of research and equipment grants to CMC. The Department of Chemistry at UNB is thanked for providing a Wiesner award to Li Ren.

Notes and references

† cod = Cycloocta-1,5-diene, dppb = 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane. Note that the asymmetric version of this reaction employs binap [2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl]. See ref. 4 for appropriate experimental procedures.

‡ The following procedure is representative. *Double homologation with LiCH₂X*: in a 10 mL flame dried round bottomed flask, (1-phenethyl)pinacolboronate **3** (229.8 mg, 1.0 mmol) and BrCH₂Br (0.077 mL, 1.1 mmol) were dissolved in 2.0 mL of THF. After cooling to -78 °C using a N₂/isopropyl alcohol bath (bath temperature monitored by a low temp. thermometer), BuⁿLi (2.17 M in hexane, 0.50 mL, 1.1 mmol) was added dropwise over 15 min to the center of the flask with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm gradually to room temperature overnight under N₂. The solvent was removed *in vacuo*, and the resulting residue diluted with 10 mL of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl. The aqueous layer was extracted with light petroleum (bp 30–60 °C, 20 mL × 4), and the combined organic layers dried over MgSO₄. After filtration and removal of solvent *in vacuo*, crude (1-phenylpropyl)pinacolboronate (231 mg) **7** was obtained.

Boronate ester **7**, (231 mg), was dissolved in 10 mL of diethyl ether, 2.0 mL of methanol and 4.0 mL of 1 M NaOH (8.0 mmol). The flask was flushed with nitrogen and 0.285 mL of H₂O₂ (30% w/v in H₂O, 2.27 mmol) was added slowly at room temperature. The reaction mixture was left at room temperature under N₂ overnight. The ether layer was separated and the aqueous layer washed with diethyl ether (20 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄. After filtration and removal of solvent *in vacuo*, a mixture of 1-phenethanol **5** (11%), 2-phenylpropanol **2** (74%) and 3-phenylbutanol **4** (15%) was obtained. The ratio was determined by 400 MHz ¹H NMR. The NMR yields (11, 74 and 15%, respectively) of the aforementioned products were determined by integration of the peaks of interest vs. added internal standard (*p*-nitrotoluene).

§ For spectral data of compound **2**, see ref. 4; compound **4** is commercially available (Aldrich); and for compound **6**, the data are as follows: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35–7.19 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 3.62 (t, *J* 6.8 Hz, 2H, CH₂OH), 2.72 (m, 1H, CH), 1.70–1.63 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.55–1.40 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.28 (d, *J* 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.18 (br s, 1H, OH).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 147.5, 128.6, 127.2, 126.2, 63.3, 40.0, 34.6, 31.2, 22.6.

- H. C. Brown, *Organic Syntheses via Boranes*, John Wiley and Sons, London, 1975; H. C. Brown, S. M. Singh and M. V. Rangaishenvi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1986, **51**, 3150; H. C. Brown, N. N. Joshi, C. Pyun and B. Signaram, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 1754; M. V. Rangaishenvi, B. Singaram and H. C. Brown, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, **56**, 3286; H. C. Brown, A. S. Phadke and N. G. Bhat, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, **34**, 7845.
- D. S. Matteson and D. Majumdar, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 7590; D. S. Matteson, R. Ray, R. R. Rocks and D. J. Tsai, *Organometallics*, 1983, **2**, 1536; D. S. Matteson and E. Erdlik, *Organometallics*, 1983, **2**, 1083; D. S. Matteson, K. M. Sadhu and M. L. Peterson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 810; D. S. Matteson, R. P. Singh, B. Schafman and J.-J. Yang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1998, **63**, 4466.
- A. C. Chen, L. Ren and C. M. Crudden, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 611.
- A. C. Chen, L. Ren and C. M. Crudden, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 9704.
- H. C. Brown, A. S. Phadke and M. V. Rangaishenvi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 6263.
- K. J. Shea, B. B. Busch and M. M. Paz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 1391.
- Decreased yields were also reported with LiCH₂I compared with the bromo and chloro derivatives, but double homologation was not observed: R. Soundararajan, G. Li and H. C. Brown, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, **35**, 8957.