



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 13 (2003) 4431-4435

BIOORGANIC & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY LETTERS

Design and Syntheses of Melanocortin Subtype-4 Receptor Agonists: Evolution of the Pyridazinone Archetype

Feroze Ujjainwalla,^{a,*} Daniel Warner,^a Thomas F. Walsh,^a Matthew J. Wyvratt,^a Changyou Zhou,^a Lihu Yang,^a Rubana N. Kalyani,^b Tanya MacNeil,^b
Lex H. T. Van der Ploeg,^b Charles I. Rosenblum,^b Rui Tang,^b Aurawan Vongs,^b David H. Weinberg^b and Mark T. Goulet^a

^aDepartment of Medicinal Chemistry, Merck Research Laboratories, Rahway, NJ 07065-0900, USA ^bDepartment of Obesity and Metabolic Research, Merck Research Laboratories, Rahway, NJ 07065-0900, USA

Received 15 July 2003; revised 9 September 2003

Abstract—The discovery and optimization of a new class of non-peptidyl, pyridazinone derived melanocortin subtype-4 receptor agonists is disclosed. © 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The melanocortin receptors constitute a family of five known seven-transmembrane Gas G-protein-coupled receptor subtypes (MC1R-MC5R). These receptors and their endogenous ligands, namely the melanocortins and corticotropins, form peripheral and central signaling networks which mediate a diverse number of physiological functions, including skin pigmentation, steroidogenesis, energy homeostasis, sexual function and exocrine secretion.¹ The association between melanocortins and feeding behavior was suggested in 1995, when it was determined that the agouti protein acted as a melanocortin subtype-4 receptor (MC4R) antagonist.² Taken in conjunction with an earlier observation that agouti was overexpressed in the central nervous system of the A^y agouti mice which were hyperphagic and obese,³ these observations implied a link between central MC4 receptors and feeding control. This link was further fortified by the discovery of the $Mc4r^{-/-}$ mice which developed morbid obesity.⁴ Moreover, the $Mc4r^{+/-}$ heterozygous mice displayed a proportionately milder obesity suggesting that the extent of MC4 receptor signaling was important in sustaining normal body weight. The most compelling evidence indicating a role for the MC4R in obesity was obtained in humans in which both nonsense and frameshift mutations in

0960-894X/\$ - see front matter \odot 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2003.09.026

MC4R led to dominantly inherited obesity.⁵ These observations in part, have fueled the recent surge of efforts in drug discovery to identify novel MC4R agonists for the potential treatment of obesity.

As part of our obesity research program, the design and pharmacology of a novel tetrahydroisoquinoline derived (1) MC4R agonist was recently described (Fig. 1).⁶ Herein, we disclose the discovery and optimization of pyridazinone **2**,⁷ which led to a new class of non-peptidyl, functionally selective (versus hMC3R and hMC5R) agonists of the MC4R.

Pyridazinones 9, 22, and 23 were prepared according to the general method described below (Scheme 1). Deprotonation of 3^8 and subsequent exposure to allyl bromide, gave alkene 4 in excellent yield. Oxidative cleavage of the terminal olefin via the Lemieux–Johnson method,⁹ followed by reduction of the resultant aldehyde 5, afforded primary alcohol 6 in >85% overall yield. Functional group interconversion to the azide using the Mitsunobu-type protocol,¹⁰ followed by palladium catalyzed hydrogenation and subsequent acylation, furnished pyridazinone 9 as a 1:1 mixture of *trans* diastereoisomers.

The general synthetic strategy to compounds **12** and **24–26** is presented in Scheme 2. Reaction of aldehyde **5** with methyl magnesium bromide provided the requisite

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-732-594-1719; fax: +1-732-594-5966; e-mail: f_ujjainwalla@merck.com



Figure 1. MC4R agonists 1 and 2.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) LHMDS, allyl bromide, THF, -78 °C, 89%; (ii) (a) OsO₄, NMO, acetone, H₂O; (b) NaIO₄, THF, H₂O; (iii) NaBH₄, MeOH, 0 °C to rt, 86% (two steps); (iv) Zn(N₃)₂·2Py, PPh₃, imidazole, DEAD, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt, 98%; (v) H₂ (50 psi), Pd/C, EtOH, rt, 85%; (vi) *trans*-1-(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)-4-phenylpiperidine-3-carboxylic acid, EDC, HOBT, CH₂Cl₂, *N*-methylmorpholine, rt; (vii) HCl, EtOAc, rt, 80% (two steps).



 $Ar^{1} = 4$ -methoxyphenyl, $Ar^{2} = 4$ -chlorophenyl

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) MeMgBr, THF, $-78 \degree C$, 64%; (ii) Zn(N₃)₂·2Py, PPh₃, Imidazole, DEAD, CH₂Cl₂, $0 \degree C$ to rt, 99%; (iii) H₂ (50 psi), Pd/C, EtOH, rt, 72%; (iv) *trans*-1-(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)-4-phenylpiperidine-3-carboxylic acid, EDC, HOBT, CH₂Cl₂, *N*-methylmorpholine, rt, 80%; (v) HCl, EtOAc, rt, 90%.

secondary alcohol **10**. Subsequent elaboration to the target amides was conducted according to the synthetic strategy described in Scheme 1.

To access compounds 9 and 12 in enantiomerically pure form, asymmetric routes to carboxylic acids 16 and 17 and amines 20 and 21 were developed (Schemes 3 and 4). Enantiomeric resolution of *N*-BOC-4-phenyl-3-carboxypiperidine 13 was performed according to the known method to afford the *cis* enantiomers 14 and 15 (Scheme 3).¹¹ Esterification of either 14 or 15, followed by epimerization and subsequent base catalyzed hydrolysis, furnished the *trans* enantiomers 16 and 17, respectively.

Asymmetric syntheses of amines 20 and 21 were accomplished as shown in Scheme 4. Allylic deprotonation of 3 and subsequent treatment with either *S*- or *R*propylene oxide gave enantiomerically pure alcohols 18and 19, respectively. Functional group interconversion followed by hydrogenation afforded optically pure amines 20 and 21, respectively.

Pyridazinone 2, which was discovered through an earlier structure–activity relationship (SAR) effort,⁷ showed good binding affinity and modest functional activity for the MC4R (Table 1).

Deletion of the phenyl portion of the benzolactam residue in **2**, resulted in a dramatic loss of binding and functional activity.¹³ Also, comparison of **2** with **1** and other compounds of that class, suggested that **2** lacked a key basic site found in other MC4R agonists. We therefore reasoned that incorporation of an appropriately positioned basic residue could improve the relatively modest functional activity observed for **2**. These observations guided us to a series of compounds



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) (a) (S)-(-)- α -methyl-benzylamine, MeOH; (b) fractional crystallization; (c) 1 N HCl; (ii) diazomethane, Et₂O, MeOH; (iii) (a) Na, MeOH, 65 °C; (b) 5 N NaOH, 65 °C; (iv) (*R*)-(+)- α -methylbenzylamine, MeOH; (b) fractional crystallization; (c) 1 N HCl.



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (i) LHMDS, (*S*)-(-)-propylene oxide, THF, -78 °C; (ii) Zn(N₃)₂·2Py, PPh₃, imidazole, DEAD, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt; (iii) H₂ (50 psi), Pd/C, THF, EtOH, rt, 37% (three steps); (iv) LHMDS, (*R*)-(+)-propylene oxide, THF, -78 °C.

Table 1. Binding affinity and functional activity of 1 and 2 at the human $MC4R^{12}$

Compd	Binding IC_{50} (nM)	cAMP EC ₅₀ (nM) (%max)
1 2	1.2 144	2.1 (97%) 3060 (34%)

typified by 9, 22, and 23, which contains both the desired phenyl substituent and a basic contact (Table 2). The in vitro data showed a modest improvement in functional activity for 9 and 23 relative to 2, but this was accompanied by \geq 10-fold erosion of binding affinity for the MC4R. We envisioned that binding and functional activity might be enhanced by restricting the conformational freedom in the tether adjoining the pyridazinone nucleus to the aryl-piperidine/pyrrolidine portion. Accordingly, a methyl group was installed proximal to the amide to limit the number of low energy bond rotamers in this region. This resulted in an overall improvement in binding and functional activity for compounds 12, 24 and 25 relative to their corresponding des-methyl counterparts. In the case of 24, the increased functional activity was at least an order of magnitude greater than 22. Unexpectedly, activation of the MC4R was also increased in all cases.

The position of the methyl group was critical with respect to potency, and attempts to relocate this moiety β - or γ - to the amide bond (27 and 28, respectively) resulted in a profound loss of activity (Table 3).

The size of the α -amido substituent was investigated briefly. While ethyl substitution could be tolerated with impunity, the sterically larger isopropyl group resulted in diminished binding and functional activity.¹⁴ Having established the correct position and size for the α -amido substituent, we focused our attention on determining



Compd ^a	Х	R ^b	Binding IC ₅₀ (nM)	cAMP EC ₅₀ (nM) (%max)
9	Н	HN Start Ph	1970	500 (20%)
22	Н	NH Ph	2755	> 5000 (28%)
23	Н	NH E O Ph	1245	820 (22%)
12	<i>R/S</i> -Me	AS D Ph	41	227 (82%)
24	<i>R</i> / <i>S</i> -Me	NH NH	339	260 (79%)
25	<i>R</i> -Me	NH Ph	380	250 (97%)
26	S-Me	NH S O Ph	975	5800 (67%)

^aAll compounds are racemic, >95% pure (HPLC) and were tested as either TFA or HCl salts.

^bRelative stereochemistry.

Table 3. Binding affinity and functional activity of 27 and 28 at the human $MC4R^{12}$



Ar¹ = 4-methoxyphenyl, Ar² = 4-chlorophenyl

Compd ^a	Binding IC ₅₀ (nM)	cAMP EC ₅₀ (nM) (%max) ^b
27	2170	> 5000 (35%)
28	3930	> 5000 (31%)

 $^{\mathrm{a}}\text{All}$ compounds are racemic, >95% pure (HPLC) and were tested as HCl salts.

^bRelative stereochemistry.

Table 4. Binding affinity and functional activity of compounds at the human $MC4R^{12}$



Compd ^a	Stereo (Me)	R ^b	Binding IC ₅₀ (nM)	cAMP EC ₅₀ (nM) (%max)
29	S	H P P P P P	9020	13% @ 10 µM
30	S	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	82% @ 20 μM	12% @ 10 µM
31	S	^{zs⁵} ,, Ph	2990	5% @ 10 µM
32	S	Ph	1197	1733 (65%)
33	R	R Ph	2240	4050 (54%)
34	R	P ^o P ^o O Ph	95% @ 20 µM	> 5000 (38%)
35	R	Ph H N Ph	2055	> 5000 (32%)
36	R	H N E D Ph	33	177 (77%)
37	R	NH O Ph	130	237 (85%)
38	R	NH NH Đ Đ Đ Đ	190	405 (103%)

^aAll compounds are single enantiomers, >95% pure (HPLC) and were tested as HCl salts.

^bAbsolute stereochemistry.

Table 5. MCR activity profile of 36^{12}

Receptor	Binding IC ₅₀ (nM)	cAMP EC ₅₀ (nM)	Activation at 10 µM (%)
MC3R	2172	_	8
MC4R	33	177	77
MC5R	1410	—	5

the activities of the individual components in 12, since this mixture of four compounds displayed the best combination of binding and functional activity. Compounds 31 and 32 which feature an S-methyl group and a *trans* disposition of the carboxamide and aryl groups (piperidine ring), displayed significantly reduced binding and functional potency at the MC4R relative to 12 (Table 4). The corresponding cis substituted piperidine variants 29 and 30, which were readily accessible from 14 and 15, resulted in an inactive design. Compounds 33–36 in which the methyl group exists in a *R*-configuration experienced a modest to dramatic right-shift in potency. Remarkably, the lack of activity observed for the cis diastereoisomers 29 and 30 could be partially rescued in the presence of a R-methyl substituent. Compound 36 showed the most pronounced improvement in binding and functional activity, and was ~ 10 fold more active than the methyl epimer 32. Therefore the most active constituent of 12 was 36 and both were judged equipotent in the context of assay reproducibility. Relaying the preferred stereochemical pattern found in 36 to compounds 24 and 25 gave 37 and 38, respectively. The activity differences between 24 and 37 and between 25 and 38 were again inconsequential, and these data suggested that the stereochemical relationships found in 36, 37 and 38 were optimum in all three cases, and moreover, independent of ring size or location of the ring nitrogen atom.

A more complete MCR activity profile for pyridazinone **36** is shown in Table 5. These data indicate that **36** is a subtype selective (versus hMC3R and hMC5R) agonist of the MC4R.

In conclusion, we have described the design and asymmetric synthesis of a new class of non-peptidyl, MC4R agonists derived from a pyridazinone architecture. This preliminary SAR study has transformed the moderately potent partial MC4R agonist 2 to the potent and functionally selective (versus hMC3R and hMC5R) agonist 36.

References and Notes

1. (a) For recent reviews on the Melanocortin system see: Zimanyi, I. A.; Pelleymounter, M. A. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2003, 9, 627. (b) Gantz, I.; Fong, T. M. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 2003, 284, E468. (c) MacNeil, D. J.; Howard, A. D.; Guan, X.; Fong, T. M.; Nargund, R.; Bednarek, M. A.; Goulet, M. T.; Weinberg, D. H.; Strack, A. M.; Marsh, D. J.; Chen, H. Y.; Shen, C.-P.; Chen, A. S.; Rosenblum, C. I.; MacNeil, T.; Tota, M.; MacIntyre, D. E.; Van der Ploeg, L. H. T. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2002, 440, 141. (d) Wikberg, J. E. S. Exp. Opin. Ther. Pat 2001, 11, 61. (e) Anderson, P. M.; Boman, A.; Seifert, E.; Skottner, A.; Lundstedt, T. Exp. Opin. Ther. Pat 2001, 11, 1583. (f) Wikberg, J. E. S. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1999, 375, 295.

2. Lu, D.; Willard, D.; Patel, I. R.; Kadwell, S.; Overton, L.; Kost, T.; Luther, M.; Chen, W.; Woychik, R. P.; Wilkison, W. O.; Cone, R. D. *Nature* **1994**, *371*, 799.

3. For the first description of an agouti gene, see: Woychik, R. P. US 6080550, June 27, 2000.

4. Huszar, D.; Lynch, C. A.; Fairchild-Huntress, V.; Dunmore, J. H.; Fang, Q.; Berkemeier, L. R.; Gu, W.; Kesterson, R. A.; Boston, B. A.; Cone, R. D.; Smith, F. J.; Campfield, L. A.; Burn, P.; Lee, F. *Cell* **1997**, *88*, 131.

5. (a) Gu, W.; Tu, Z.; Kleyn, P. W.; Kissebah, A.; Duprat, L.; Lee, J.; Chin, W.; Maruti, S.; Deng, N.; Fisher, S. L.; Franco, L. S.; Burn, P.; Yagaloff, K. A.; Nathan, J.; Heymsfield, S.; Albu, J.; Pi-Sunyer, F. X.; Allison, D. B. *Diabetes* **1999**, *48*, 635. (b) Hinney, A.; Schmidt, A.; Nottebom, K.; Heibult, O.; Becker, I.; Ziegler, A.; Gerber, G.; Sina, M.; Gorg, T.; Mayer, H.; Siegfried, W.; Fichter, M.; Remschmidt, H.; Hebebrand, J. *J. Clin. Endocrin. Metab.* **1999**, *84*, 1483.

6. Sebhat, I. K.; Martin, W. J.; Zhixiong, Y.; Barakat, K.; Mosley, R. T.; Johnston, D. B. R.; Bakshi, R.; Palucki, B.; Weinberg, D. H.; MacNeil, T.; Kalyani, R. N.; Tang, R.; Stearns, R. A.; Miller, R.; Tamvakopoulos, C.; Strack, A.; McGowan, E.; Cashen, D. E.; Drisko, J. E.; Hom, G. J.; Howard, A. D.; MacIntyre, D. E.; Van der Ploeg, L. H. T.; Patchett, A. A.; Nargund, R. P. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 4589. 7. Preliminary SAR studies on this lead class were conducted by C. Zhou, Yanping Pan and L. Yang, unpublished results.



Screening Hit MC4R Binding IC₅₀ = 5,598 nM MC4R cAMP EC₅₀ = 15% @ 20 μ M

8. Bochis, R. J.; Kotliar, A.; Parsons, W. H.; Rupprecht, K. M. US 5670504, Sept. 23, 1997.

9. Pappo, R.; Allen, D. S., Jr.; Lemieux, R. U.; Johnson, W. S. J. Org. Chem. **1956**, 21, 478.

10. Viaud, M. C.; Rollin, P. Synthesis 1990, 130.

11. Chakravarty, P. K.; Chen, M. H.; Nargund, R. P; Patchett, A. A.; Tata, J. R.; Wu, M. T.; Yang, L. US 5804578, Sept. 8, 1998.

12. (a) All data represent mean values for at least two separate experiments. MC4R binding IC₅₀ is defined as the concentration of compound which can inhibit binding of $[^{125}I]$ -NDP- α -MSH by 50% from membranes prepared from CHO cells expressing human MC4R. MC4R cAMP EC₅₀ is defined as the inflection point of the cAMP dose-response curve for any given compound or the cAMP accumulation (relative to α -MSH) observed at the highest compound concentration tested. %Max is the percentage of cAMP accumulation at 10 µM of compound relative to α -MSH. For a detailed description of the assay protocols see: Bednarek, M. A.; MacNeil, T.; Kalyani, R. N.; Tang, R.; van der Ploeg, L. H. T.; Weinberg, D. H. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 3665. (b) Bednarek, M. A.; Silva, M. V.; Arison, B.; MacNeil, T.; Kalyani, R. N.; Huang, R.-R. C.; Weinberg, D. H. Peptides 1999, 20, 401. 13.



14. Ujjainwalla, F.; Warner, D., unpublished results.