LETTERS

R

CO₂Me

CO₂Me

FWG

de up to >99%

Diastereoselective Synthesis of Functionalized Tetrahydrocarbazoles via a Domino-Ring Opening–Cyclization of Donor–Acceptor Cyclopropanes with Substituted 2-Vinylindoles

.CO₂Me

°CO₂Me

Ranadeep Talukdar, Deo Prakash Tiwari, Amrita Saha, and Manas K. Ghorai*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A new domino synthetic approach for the synthesis of highly functionalized tetrahydrocarbazoles via DROC of various functionalized DA-cyclopropanes with 2-indolylnitroethylene and indole-substituted alkylidene malonate is described. The tetrahydrocarbazoles were obtained with excellent diastereoselectivity having *cis* alignment of the 1,4-appendages across the six-membered carbocyclic ring.

T etrahydrocarbazole¹ is one of the most important classes of indole-based molecular frameworks. These heterocycles contain a saturated six-membered carbacycle fused to indole at the C-2 and C-3 positions. They are integral parts of several biologically active natural products² and other pharmaceutically relevant synthetic compounds.³

Over the years, several interesting synthetic routes have been devised for the synthesis of substituted tetrahydrocarbazoles and their analogues.^{4,5} Some of the most important strategies include intramolecular allylic arylation,^{4a,b} Friedel–Crafts-type cyclization reactions,^{4c,d} and [4 + 2] cycloaddition of indole derivative bearing an unsaturated functionality at the C-2 or C-3 position with various kinds of dienophiles.⁵ Kerr and co-workers reported an elegant [3 + 3] annulative strategy based upon the reaction of donor–acceptor (DA)-cyclopropanes with 2-alkynylindoles for the synthesis of substituted tetrahydrocarbazoles.⁶ Development of a highly stereoselective route to substituted tetrahydrocarbazoles is still a challenging job and certainly would add value to the overall efforts toward tetrahydrocarbazole synthesis.

DA-cyclopropanes are versatile intermediates in synthetic organic chemistry^{7,8} and have been utilized for the synthesis of a number of organic compounds including several bioactive natural products and drugs. Our recent ongoing research activity and success on DROC of DA-cyclopropanes for the construction of substituted carbocyclic rings⁹ prompted us to investigate the synthesis of diastereomerically pure substituted tetrahydrocarbazoles.

We anticipated that the ring-opening of DA-cyclopropanes with indoles bearing an activated olefin would generate the corresponding substituted malonate anion which would further react with the tethered olefinic moiety via an intramolecular Michael reaction in a domino fashion leading to the diastereoselective formation of tetrahydrocarbazole scaffolds (Scheme 1). Herein, we report a new protocol for the synthesis of highly substituted tetrahydrocarbazoles via DROC of DA cyclopropanes with substituted 2-vinylindoles.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Tetrahydrocarbazoles via DROC of 2-Activated Olefin-Tethered Indoles with DA-cyclopropanes

Yb(OTf)3 (20 mol %)

(CH₂)₂Cl₂, rt-65 °C yield up to 90%




For this purpose, we have prepared indole substrates with activated olefinic moieties, **2a** and **2b**, following literature reports.¹⁰ At the outset of our study, we performed the reaction of DA-cyclopropane **1a** with 2-indolylnitroethylene (**2a**) in the presence of a catalytic amount of $Yb(OTf)_3$ (20 mol %) as the Lewis acid (LA) catalyst in THF, and the product tetrahydrocarbazole **3a** was obtained in only 14% yield (Table 1, entry 1). In order to optimize the reaction conditions, we screened different solvents and Lewis catalysts. The optimal result came with 1.0 equiv of **1a** and **2a** in 1,2-dichloroethane in the presence of 20% LA, which afforded the tetrahydrocarbazole **3a** in high yield (69%) as a single diastereomer (Table 1, entry 2).

The structure of **3a** was determined by spectroscopic techniques and the relative stereochemistry was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis where aryl and nitro methyl groups were found to possess *cis* appendages (Figure 1).

To generalize this approach, a number of DA-cyclopropanes with a variety of aryl substituents were studied for the DROC with 2-indolylnitroethylene 2a, and the results are shown in Table 2. In the case of the reaction of 2a with 2-phenylcyclopropanedicarboxylate 1b, the corresponding tetrahydrocarbazole 3b was obtained as a single diastereomer in comparable yield. 4-Methylphenylcyclopropanedicarboxylate 1c behaved similar to 2a and generated tetrahydrocarbazole 3c. Fluorinated tetrahydrocarbazole 3d was also synthesized in high yield when 1d was reacted with 2a (Table 2, entry 4).

```
Received: June 18, 2014
```

Table 1. Optimization Study



"In all cases, 1.0 equiv of 1a was reacted with 1.0 equiv of 2a. ^bThe product was obtained as a single diastereomer.





Figure 1. X-ray crystal structure of 3a with 50% thermal ellipsoids.

Similarly, naphthyl and thiophene-yl tetrahydrocarbazoles (3e and 3f, respectively) were generated in high yields via DROC of the corresponding DA-cyclopropanes 1e and 1f, respectively, with 2a (Table 2, entries 5 and 6, respectively).

Next, to extend the scope of our methodology, reactions of several functionalized DA-cyclopropanes 1a-c,f-l were carried out with indole substituted alkylidene malonate 2b under the same optimized reaction conditions, and the results are summarized in Table 3.

When the reaction of 1a was performed with 2b, the product tetrahydrocarbazole tetraester 3g was obtained in excellent yield as a single diastereomer (Table 3, entry 1). Similarly, DA-cyclopropanes 1b and 1c reacted with 2b to provide the corresponding products 3h and 3i, respectively. Heteroaryl tetrahydrocarbazole tetraesters 3j and 3k could also be generated when thiophene-2-yl and 2-furyl cyclopropane dicarboxylates 1f and 1g were reacted with 2b. DA-cyclopropanes having electron-donating substituents on the aromatic rings also afforded the corresponding tetrahydrocarbazoles (3l,m) in excellent yields (Table 3, entries 6 and 7, respectively). Halo-substituted DA-cyclopropanes 1j,k served as excellent substrates for this reaction and produced the corresponding products 3n, o in good yields. The Michael acceptor 2b gave the corresponding tetraester products 3g-p in excellent yields.

Table 2. Reaction of DA-cyclopropanes with 2-Indolylnitroethylene $2a^{a,b}$



^{*a*}Yields of isolated products. ^{*b*}The compounds were obtained as single diastereomers.

The relative stereochemistry of **3p** was also found to be *cis* as determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction data (Figure 2).


The scope of our strategy was further extended employing styrylcyclopropanedicarboxylate 1m as the DA-cyclopropane. When 1m was reacted with 2a and 2b, the corresponding tetrahydrocarbazoles 3q and 3r, respectively, were obtained in high yields (Scheme 2, eqs 1 and 2). Although the carbazole 3q was obtained as a single diastereomer, 3r was produced as a mixture of diastereomers (dr 4:1).

In the case of the reaction of **1m** with **2a**, a trace amount of the uncyclized product **4** was also isolated. This clearly indicated that the two steps, ring opening and intramolecular Michael addition, take place sequentially in a domino fashion.

Interestingly, when 4-methoxystyrylcyclopropanedicarboxylate 1m was reacted with 2b, cyclopentene dicarboxylate 5 was obtained in 90% yield as the sole product; this was generated via intramolecular rearrangement of 1m upon interaction with the LA catalyst (Scheme 3). It is worth mentioning that construction of substituted cyclopentenes has always been an important goal in organic synthesis.¹¹

The mechanism of the reaction is depicted in Scheme 4. The Lewis acid activates the cyclopropane ring generating a complex **D**, which upon attack of the indole nucleophile generates intermediate **E**. The ring-opened intermediate **E** undergoes attack of its malonate anion moiety to the tethered activated olefin in Michael fashion to produce the tetrahydrocarbazoles. The intermediate **E** can adopt two different cyclohexene like half-chair conformations **F** and **G**. In the conformer **F**, the Michael acceptor adopts a pseudoaxial position and faces a gauche interaction with one of the ester moieties, whereas the Michael acceptor adopts a pseudoequatorial position in the conformer **G** and suffers from a severe gauche interaction with both the ester groups. The more stable conformer **F** is preferred over **G**

Table 3. Reaction of DA-cyclopropanes with Indole-Substituted Alkylidene Malonate $2b^{a,b}$



"Yields of isolated products. ^bUnless otherwise noted, all the compounds were obtained as single diastereomers. ^cObtained as a 7:2 diastereomeric mixture.

providing tetrahydrocarbazoles with a *cis* orientation of the 1,4-substituents on the cyclohexyl ring.

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient protocol for the synthesis of highly functionalized tetrahydrocarbazoles with






Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of 3p with 50% thermal ellipsoids.


Scheme 2. Synthesis of Styryl-Substituted Tetrahydrocarbazoles 3q and 3r



Scheme 3. Synthesis of Cyclopentene Dicarboxylate via Intramolecular Rearrangement of 4-Methoxystyrylcyclopropanedicarboxylate



Scheme 4. Mechanism of the Reaction and Origin of Diastereoselectivity



excellent diastereoselectivity (de up to 99%) via domino ringopening cyclization (DROC) of DA-cyclopropanes with 2indolylnitroethylene **2a** and indole-substituted alkylidene malonate **2b**. The reaction proceeds via a half chairlike transition state where the activated olefin adopts a more stable pseudoaxial

Letter

transition state for generation of a six-membered carbocyclic ring with 1,4-*cis* appendages.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Detailed experimental procedures, characterization data and Xray crystallographic analysis of **3a** and **3p**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: mkghorai@iitk.ac.in. Fax: (+91)-512-2597436. Tel: (+91)-512-2597518.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.K.G. is thankful to IIT Kanpur and DST, India. R.T. thanks CSIR, D.P.T. thanks UGC and IIT Kanpur, and A.S. thanks IIT Kanpur, India, for financial support.

DEDICATION

Dedicated to Prof. R. N. Mukherjee on the occasion of his 61st birthday.

REFERENCES

(a) Patir, S.; Ertürk, E. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 2804.
 (b) Adams, G. L.; Carroll, P. J.; Smith, A. B., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 519.
 (c) Wang, W.; Dong, G.; Gu, J.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, S.; Zhu, S.; Liu, Y.; Miao, Z.; Yao, J.; Zhang, W.; Sheng, C. Med. Chem. Commun. 2013, 4, 353.
 (d) Bennasar, M.-L.; Zulaica, E.; Ramfrez, A.; Bosch, J. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 3117.

(2) (a) Pandey, G.; Prasanna, C. K. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4672. (b) Jones, S. B.; Simmons, B.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 13606. (c) Jones, S. B.; Simmons, B.; Mastracchio, A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Nature 2011, 475, 183. (d) Kozmin, S. A.; Iwama, T.; Huang, Y.; Rawal, V. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4628. (e) Ohshima, T.; Xu, Y.; Takita, R.; Shimizu, S.; Zhong, D.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 14546 and references therein. (f) Ueda, H.; Satoh, H.; Matsumoto, K.; Sugimoto, K.; Fukuyama, T.; Tokuyama, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7600. (g) Nicolaou, K. C.; Dalby, S. M.; Li, S.; Suzuki, T.; Chen, D. Y.-K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7616.

(3) (a) Nirogi, R. V. S.; Konda, J. B.; Kambhampati, R.; Shinde, A.; Bandyala, T. R.; Gudla, P.; Kandukuri, K. K.; Jayarajan, P.; Kandikere, V.; Dubey, P. K. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2012**, *22*, 6980. (b) Mittapalli, G. K.; Jackson, A.; Zhao, F.; Lee, H.; Chow, S.; McKelvy, J.; Wong-Staal, F.; Macdonald, J. E. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2011**, *21*, 6852. (c) Kimura, T.; Hosokawa-Muto, J.; Asami, K.; Murai, T.; Kuwata, K. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *46*, 5675.

(4) (a) Bandini, M.; Eichholzer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9533. (b) Wu, Q.-F.; Zheng, C.; You, S.-L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1680. (c) Loh, C. C. J.; Raabe, G.; Enders, D. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 13250. (d) Wang, M.-Z.; Zhou, C.-Y.; Che, C.-M. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 1312. (e) Müller, S.; Webber, M. J.; List, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18534. (f) Bandini, M.; Gualandi, A.; Monari, M.; Romaniello, A.; Savoia, D.; Tragni, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 696, 338. (g) Liu, C.; Widenhoefer, R. A. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1935. (h) Han, X.; Widenhoefer, R. A. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3801. (i) Maertens, F.; Toppet, S.; Hoornaert, G. J.; Compernolle, F. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 1715. (j) Jiricek, J.; Blechert, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3534.

(5) (a) Xiao, Y.-C.; Zhou, Q.-Q.; Dong, L.; Liu, T.-Y.; Chen, Y.-C. Org. Lett. **2012**, *14*, 5940. (b) Wang, X.-F.; Chen, J.-R.; Cao, Y.-J.; Cheng, H.-G.; Xiao, W.-J. Org. Lett. **2010**, *12*, 1140. (c) Liu, Y.; Nappi, M.; Arceo, E.; Vera, S.; Melchiorre, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, *133*, 15212. (d) Tan, F.; Li, F.; Zhang, X.-X.; Wang, X.-F.; Cheng, H.-G.; Chen, J.-R.; Xiao,

W.-J. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 446. (e) Gioia, C.; Bernardi, L.; Ricci, A. Synthesis 2010, 1, 161. (f) Cao, Y.-J.; Cheng, H.-G.; Lu, L.-Q.; Zhang, J.-J.; Cheng, Y.; Chen, J.-R.; Xiao, W.-J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 617. (6) Grover, H. K.; Lebold, T. P.; Kerr, M. A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 220. (7) For reviews, see: (a) Verhé, R.; de Kimpe, N. In Synthesis and Reactivity of Electrophilic Cyclopropanes; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: New York, 1987. (b) von Angerer, S. In Carbocyclic Three- and Four-Membered Ring Compounds: Methods of Organic Chemistry (Houben-Weyl); de Meijere, A., Eds.; Verlag: New York, 1997; Vol. E17c, pp 2121-2153. (c) Reissig, H.-U.; Zimmer, R. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1151. (d) Mel'nikov, M. Y.; Budynina, E. M.; Ivanova, O. A.; Trushkov, I. V. Mendeleev Commun. 2011, 21, 293. (e) Tang, P.; Qin, Y. Synthesis 2012, 2969. (f) Cavitt, M. A.; Phun, L. H.; France, S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 804. (g) Yu, M.; Pagenkopf, B. L. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 321. (h) Lebold, T. P.; Kerr, M. Pure Appl. Chem. 2010, 82, 1797. (i) Wang, Z. Synlett 2012, 2311. (j) Carson, C. A.; Kerr, M. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3051. (k) Schneider, T. F.; Kaschel, J.; Werz, D. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.

2014, 53, 5504. (8) For some representative examples of DA-cyclopropane chemistry, see: (a) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Johnson, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16014. (b) Sibi, M. P.; Ma, Z.; Jasperse, C. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5764. (c) Young, I. S.; Kerr, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1465. (d) Perreault, C.; Goudreau, S.; Zimmer, L.; Charette, A. B. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 689. (e) Parsons, A. T.; Smith, A. G.; Neel, A. J.; Johnson, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 9688. (f) Goldberg, A. F. G.; Stoltz, B. M. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4474. (g) Trost, B. M.; Morris, P. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6167. (h) Rivero, A. R.; Fernández, I.; Sierra, M. A. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4928. (i) Gorbacheva, E. O.; Tabolin, A. A.; Novikov, R. A.; Khomutova, Y. A.; Nelyubina, Y. V.; Tomilov, Y. V.; Ioffe, S. L. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 350. (j) Truong, P. M.; Mandler, M. D.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Doyle, M. P. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3278. (k) Fernández-García, J. M.; García-García, P.; Fernández-Rodríguez, M. A.; Pérez-Anesa, A.; Aguilar, E. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 11185. (1) Kaschel, J.; Schmidt, C. D.; Mumby, M.; Kratzert, D.; Stalke, D.; Werz, D. B. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4403 and references therein. (m) Beyzavi, M. H.; Lentz, D.; Reissig, H.-U.; Wiehe, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 269. (n) Satyanarayana, J.; Rao, M. V. B.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 3565.

(9) (a) Ghorai, M. K.; Talukdar, R.; Tiwari, D. P. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, 49, 8205. (b) Ghorai, M. K.; Talukdar, R.; Tiwari, D. P. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, 16, 2204.

(10) For **2a**: Harley-Mason, J.; Pavel, E. H. J. Chem. Soc. **1963**, 2565. For **2b**: Smith, A. B., III; Liu, Z. Org. Lett. **2008**, 10, 4363.

(11) (a) Maity, S.; Ghosh, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 3355.
(b) Srikrishna, A.; Khan, I. A.; Babu, R. R.; Sajjanshetty, A. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 12616.
(c) Nangia, A.; Prasuna, G.; Rao, P. B. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 1450.
(d) Linghu, X.; Kennedy-Smith, J. J.; Toste, F. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7671.