Enantioselective Organo-SOMO Catalysis: The α-Vinylation of Aldehydes

Hahn Kim and David W. C. MacMillan*

Merck Center for Catalysis at Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544

Received September 17, 2007; E-mail: dmacmill@princeton.edu

The catalytic union of nascent enolates with aryl or vinyl coupling partners has become a mainstay transformation in organic synthesis, primarily driven by advances in transition metal chemistry.[1] In particular, the seminal work of Buchwald and Hartwig has provided a number of enantioselective enolate α-arylations that enable quaternary carbon formation directly adjacent to both ketone and lactone moieties.[2] Surprisingly, however, the asymmetric α-vinylation of enolates has been slow to develop and thus far is restricted to the production of stereogenicity that cannot epimerize or be destroyed via olefin isomerization to an α,β-unsaturated product.[3] Recently, our laboratory introduced a new mode of organocatalytic activation, termed SOMO catalysis, that is founded upon the mechanistic hypothesis that one-electron oxidation of a transient enamine intermediate (derived from aldehydes and chiral amine catalyst **1**) will render a 3π-electron SOMO-activated species **2** that can readily participate in a range of unique asymmetric bond constructions (eq 1).[4] In this communication, we demonstrate that organo-SOMO catalysis has been successfully exploited to achieve the first asymmetric α-vinylation of aldehydes using vinyl trifluoroborate salts and a commercial amine catalyst. Notably, these mild catalytic conditions allow the production of α-formyl, α-vinyl, methine stereogenic centers without olefin transposition or subsequent erosion in enantiopurity.

SOMO Catalysis: A Novel Mode of Organocatalytic Activation (eq 1)

Enantioselective α-Vinylation of Aldehydes via SOMO Catalysis (eq 2)

Design Plan. In our previous reports,[4] we advocated that the aldehyde-derived radical cation DFT-**2**[5] should function as a generic platform of induction and reactivity for a variety of unprecedented transformations. Continuing this theme, we hypothesized that vinyl potassium trifluoroborate salts[6] should readily participate in enantio-

Table 1. Organocatalytic Vinylation: Scope of Aldehyde Substrate

entry	product[a,b]	yield,[c] ee[d]	entry	product[a,b]	yield,[c] ee[d]
1		72% yield / 94% ee	4		79% yield / 93% ee
2		78% yield / 95% ee	5		78% yield / 93% ee
3		82% yield / 96% ee	6		76% yield / 96% ee

[a] Stereochemistry assigned by chemical correlation or by analogy. [b] Only (*E*)-olefin isomer observed by ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz). [c] Isolated yield of the corresponding alcohols. [d] Enantiomeric excess determined by chiral SFC analysis.

and regioselective carbon−carbon bond formation with DFT-**2** to form a β-borato-stabilized radical **3** (eq 2), which in the presence of a suitable oxidant will undergo rapid electron transfer to render the β-cation **4**. Subsequent Peterson elimination[7,8] of the trifluoroborate group with *trans*-selectivity followed by iminium hydrolysis would then reveal an optically enriched α-(*E*)-vinyl aldehyde. Central to this design plan, we anticipated that our imidazolidinone catalyst would be inert to enamine formation with the α-vinyl aldehyde product, an essential criterion if we hoped to preclude product epimerization, olefin conjugation, and bisvinylation pathways. In terms of enantiocontrol, we presumed that the activated radical DFT-**2** would position the 3π-electron system away from the bulky *tert*-butyl group, while adopting an (*E*)-configuration to minimize nonbonding interactions. In this topography, the benzyl group on the imidazolidinone framework effectively shields the *Re* face leaving the *Si* face exposed toward asymmetric bond formation.

Our organocatalytic SOMO vinylation was first evaluated using potassium styryltrifluoroborate, imidazolidinone catalyst **1**, and a series of α-substituted aldehydes (Table 1, eq 3).[9] Initial optimization experiments revealed that high levels of enantiocontrol, *trans*-olefin selectivity, and reaction efficiency are possible when the reaction is performed in DME using 2.5 equiv of oxidant (ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN)), 4.0 equiv of H_2O, and 2.0 equiv of sodium bicarbonate ($NaHCO_3$). As summarized in Table 1, these mild oxidative conditions are tolerated by a wide range of functional groups including aromatic rings, olefins, benzyl ethers, and carbamates (entries 2, 4−6, 76−79% yield, 93−96% ee). Moreover, the steric demands of the aldehyde substrate have little influence

Table 2. Scope of the Vinyl Potassium Trifluoroborate Salt

entry	R	R₁	product[b,c]	% yield[d]	% ee[e]
1	C$_6$H$_5$	H		81	94
2	4-F-C$_6$H$_4$	H		63	93
3	4-Cl-C$_6$H$_4$	H		77	95
4	4-Me-C$_6$H$_4$	H		76	92
5	4-MeO-C$_6$H$_4$	H		61	95
6	C$_6$H$_5$	Me		93	94
7	C$_8$H$_{17}$	H		82	89
8	Bn	H		71	91
9	c-hex	H		84	90
10	c-hexene	H		73	93

[a] Solvent: entries 1–6 = DME; entries 7–10 = acetone. [b] Stereochemistry assigned by chemical correlation or by analogy. [c] Only the (E)-olefin isomer observed by ¹H NMR (400 MHz). [d] Isolated yields of the corresponding alcohols. [e] Enantiomeric excess determined by chiral SFC analysis.

on yield or enantiocontrol (X = c-hexyl, 4-piperidinyl, entries 3 and 6, 76–82% yield, 96% ee).

As revealed in Table 2, an extensive range of trifluoroborate coupling partners are suitable for this enantioselective vinylation protocol (eq 4).[9] For example, *para*-substituted styryl systems that incorporate electron-donating, -withdrawing, or -neutral groups undergo addition with near identical selectivities (entries 1–5, 61–81% yield, 92–95% ee). Furthermore, trisubstituted olefins can be successfully utilized with stereoselective formation of the *trans*-geometrical isomer (entry 6, 93% yield, 94% ee). Perhaps most important, this technology can produce γ-alkyl-substituted β,γ-unsaturated aldehydes without olefin isomerization to the α,β-conjugated adduct, a true testament to the mild reaction conditions that are operable in this organocatalytic process (entries 7–9, 71–84% yield, 89–91% ee).

A demonstration of the utility of this organocatalytic vinylation and the accompanying products is presented in the two-stage (three-

Scheme 1. Aldehyde-1,2-Bisvinylation Anionic Oxy-Cope Strategy

step) conversion of simple aldehydes to enantioenriched oxy-Cope products.[10] As highlighted in Scheme 1, exposure of octanal to our asymmetric olefin coupling followed by in situ vinyl Grignard addition provided the corresponding 1,5-dienyl alcohol in good yield but with no diastereocontrol (6, anti/syn 1:1). Subsequent exposure of this isomeric mixture to Evans' anionic oxy-Cope protocol, however, allows rapid and stereoconvergent [3,3]-rearrangement to provide the quaternary carbon-bearing aldehyde 7 with complete enantioretention (94% ee) and as a single diastereomer.[11] Given that oxy-Cope substrates are typically produced via the allylation of α,β-unsaturated aldehydes, we present this new operationally simple aldehyde 1,2-bisvinylation sequence as an alternative oxy-Cope retron.

Last, the sense of enantioinduction for all cases presented is in complete accord with our calculated model DFT-**2**. To our knowledge, this is (i) the first enantioselective catalytic α-vinylation of aldehydes and (ii) the first use of boron salts as coupling reagents for radical-based processes. Full details of this organo-SOMO catalysis technology will be forthcoming.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectral data are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

(1) For seminal reports, see: (a) Palucki, M.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 11108. (b) Hamann, B. C.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 12382.
(2) For recent examples, see: (a) Åhman, J.; Wolfe, J. P.; Troutman, M. V.; Palucki, M.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 1918. (b) Lee, S.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 3402. (c) Hamada, T.; Chieffi, A.; Åhman, J.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 1261. (d) Bella, M.; Kobbelgaard, S.; Jørgensen, K. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 3670. (e) Aleman, J.; Cabrera, S.; Maerten, E.; Overgaard, J.; Jørgensen, K. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 5520. (f) Aleman, J.; Richter, B.; Jørgensen, K. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 5515.
(3) (a) Poulsen, T. B.; Bernardi, L.; Bell, M.; Jørgensen, K. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 6551. (b) Chieffi, A.; Kamikawa, K.; Ahman, J.; Fox, J. M.; Buchwald, S. L. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 1897.
(4) (a) Beeson, T. D.; Mastracchio, A.; Hong, J. B.; Ashton, K.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *Science* **2007**, *316*, 582. (b) Jang, H.; Hong, J. B.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 7004.
(5) Performed using B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p)// B3LYP/6–31G(d). See ref 4a.
(6) For recent reviews of trifluoroborate salt derivatives in synthesis, see: (a) Molander, G. A.; Figueroa, R. *Aldrichimica Acta* **2005**, *38*, 49. (b) Stefani, H. A.; Cella, R.; Vieira, A. S. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 3623. (c) Darses, S.; Genêt, J.-P. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *22*, 4313.
(7) Elimination of boron via a β-radical intermediate (e.g., 3, eq 2) is a highly endothermic pathway and thereby presumed unlikely; see: Walton, J. C.; McCarroll, A. J.; Chen, Q.; Carboni, B.; Nziengui, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 5455.
(8) Kano, N.; Kawashima, T. In *Modern Carbonyl Olefination*; Takeda, T., Ed; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004; Chapter 2.
(9) All products were characterized as the corresponding alcohols after NaBH₄ reduction. See Supporting Information for details.
(10) Evans, D. A.; Golob, A. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 4765.
(11) Only the major diastereomer was observed by ¹H NMR (400 MHz).

JA077212H