Asymmetric, Organocatalytic, Three-Step Synthesis of γ -Hydroxy-(*E*)- α , β -Unsaturated Sulfones and Esters

ORGANIC

Kimberly S. Petersen and Gary H. Posner*

Department of Chemistry, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

ghp@jhu.edu

Received September 2, 2008



Efficient and enantiocontrolled synthesis of γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated sulfones and esters are reported through the reaction of enantioenriched α -selenyl aldehydes with EWG-stabilized carbanions and then a one-pot selenide oxidation, in situ epoxide formation, and final in situ epoxide opening.

While studying the metabolites of certain vitamin D_3 analogues, we became interested in the synthesis of γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated sulfones and esters. γ -Hydroxy- α , β ethylenic sulfones have previously been used as substrates in stereocontrolled processes such as conjugate additions and cycloaddition reactions,¹ as substrates for preparation of enatiomerically pure polypropionate chains or amino alcohol units,² and also as intermediates in alkaloid syntheses.³ γ -Hydroxy- α , β -enoates have been used as sources of α , β epoxyesters, which are highly versatile functionalities and can be converted into a number of compounds by opening the oxirane⁴ and as intermediates in natural product syntheses.⁵ Use of both of these γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated systems as substrates for stereoselective radical reactions has been explored.⁶ General methodologies for the asymmetric synthesis of these systems, however, are limited in scope.⁷ Based on the utility of such systems in highly stereocontrolled processes¹ and as intermediates in natural product syntheses,^{3,5} we set out to design a simple, asymmetric general strategy for their synthesis.

^{(1) (}a) Barton, D. L.; Conrad, P. C.; Fuchs, P. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 1811–1814. (b) Trost, B. M.; Seoane, P.; Mignani, S.; Acemoglu, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, *111*, 7487–7500.

^{(2) (}a) Carretero, J. C.; Dominguez, E. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1596–1600.
(b) de Blas, J.; Carretero, J. C.; Dominguez, E. Tetrahedron Lett.
1994, 35, 4603–4606. (c) Dominguez, E.; Carretero, J. C. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 7557–7566.

^{(3) (}a) Rojo, J.; Garcia, M.; Carretero, J. C. *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 9787–9800. (b) Carretero, J. C.; Arrayas, R. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 2993–3005. (c) de Vincent, J.; Arrayas, R. G.; Canada, J.; Carretero, J. C. Synlett **2000**, 53–56. (d) Iradier, F.; Arrayas, R. G.; Carretero, J. C. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 2957–2960. (e) Krafft, M. E.; Kyne, G. M.; Hirosawa, C.; Schmidt, P.; Abboud, K. A.; L'Helias, M. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 11782–11792.

^{(4) (}a) Rodriguez, S.; Vidal, A.; Monroig, J. J.; Gonzalez, F. V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 5359–5361. (b) Rodriguez, S.; Kneeteman, M.; Izquierodo, J.; Lopez, I.; Gonzalez, F. V.; Peris, G. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 11112–11123. (c) Lopez, I.; Rodriguez, S.; Izquierodo, J.; Gonzalez, F. V. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, *72*, 6614–6617.

^{(5) (}a) Jamieson, A. G.; Sutherland, A. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1609–1611.
(b) Kotkar, S. P.; Suryavanshi, G. S.; Sudalai, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2007, 18, 1795–1798. (c) Varseev, G. N.; Maier, M. E. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1461–1464. (d) Taber, D. F.; Reddy, P. G.; Arneson, K. O. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3467–3474.

^{(6) (}a) Morikawa, T.; Washio, Y.; Harada, S.; Hanai, R.; Kayashita, T.; Nemoto, H.; Shiro, M.; Taguchi, T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1995, 271–281.
(b) Charlton, M. A.; Green, J. R. Can. J. Chem. 1997, 75, 965–974.
(c) Ogura, K.; Kayano, A.; Akazome, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1997, 70, 3091–3101.

Table 1. Reaction Results from Scheme	1
--	---

compd (A, B)	R	EWG	A (yield, %)	B (yield, %)	ee (%)
5 14	Bn (1 a)	SO _a t-hutyl (4a)	84	70	99 ^a
6, 15	$\operatorname{Bn}(\mathbf{1a})$	$SO_2Ph (4b)$	79	90	95^{b}
7, 16	$\operatorname{Bn}(\mathbf{1a})$	COOEt (4c)	77	73	96^a
8.17	n-butvl (1b)	$SO_{2}t$ -butyl (4a)	86	91	95^b
9, 18	<i>n</i> -butyl (1b)	$SO_2Ph(4b)$	85	86	97^a
10, 19	n-butyl (1b)	COOEt (4c)	50	67	95^a
11, 20	t-butyl (1c)	SO_2t -butyl (4a)	82	63	96^a
12, 21	<i>t</i> -butyl (1c)	$SO_2Ph(\mathbf{4b})$	75	69	99^a
13. 22	<i>t</i> -butyl (1c)	COOEt (4c)	85	N/A	N/A

(S)-Mosher acid chloride.

Recent reports on the organocatalytic, asymmetric α -selenenylation of aldehydes in high yields (>85%) and high enantiomeric excess (>95%)⁸ gave us an entry point to control absolute stereochemistry in our γ -hydroxy- α , β unsaturated systems. Such α -selenylated aldehydes could easily undergo an aldol-type reaction with an electronwithdrawing group (EWG)-stabilized carbanion to give a diastereomeric pair of γ -selenyl- β -hydroxy sulfones or esters. Oxidation of the selenide and treatment with mild base could give a β , γ -epoxide which, upon further treatment with base could rearrange into the desired enantiomerically enriched γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated ester or sulfone with the overall inversion of stereochemistry (Scheme 1, Table 1).

In order to examine the scope of this reaction, we chose three aldehydes (3-phenylpropanal, hexanal, and 3,3-dimethylbutanal) and three EWG-stabilized methyl groups (*tert*-butyl methyl sulfone, phenyl methyl sulfone, and ethyl acetate). Using the methodology of Tiecco and Marini^{8b} to make α -selenyl aldehydes **3a**-**c**, we were able to react the crude α -selenyl aldehyde⁹ with lithiated EWG-stabilized methyl groups **4a**-**c** to give diastereomeric compounds **5**–**13** (Scheme 1, A) in 55–86% yields. γ -Selenyl- β -hydroxy sulfones and esters **5**–**13** underwent oxidation and spontaneous cyclization with *m*-CPBA and K₂CO₃ to give the transient β , γ -epoxide which immediately rearranged in situ to yield exclusively the γ -hydroxy-(*E*)- α , β -unsaturated sulfone or ester **14–22** (Scheme 1, B) in 63–90%

(8) (a) Sunden, H.; Rios, R.; Cordova, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 7865–7869. (b) Tiecco, M.; Carlone, A.; Sternativo, S.; Marini, F.; Bartoli, G.; Melchiorre, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2007**, *46*, 6882–6885.

(9) Column chromatography of the α -selenyl aldehydes, even using Florisil, led to erosion of enantiomeric purity.





yields and excellent ee's (\geq 95%). The (*E*)-geometry of the new carbon–carbon double bond in products **14–22** was confirmed by ¹H NMR spectoscopy ($J_{\alpha,\beta} = 14-16$ Hz). α -Selenyl aldehyde **3c** derived from 3,3-dimethylbutanal underwent reaction sequence A (Scheme 1) in high yield with all three EWG-stabilized carbanions (**4a–c**), but γ -selenyl- β -hydroxy ester **13** derived from reaction with ethyl aceate (**4c**) decomposed under the reaction conditions used in reaction sequence B (Scheme 1). Also, substituted EWG-stabilized methyl groups such as propionates were explored, but no substantial selectivity in *E/Z* double bond formation was seen.

Our success using α -selenenylated aldehydes led us to investigate other leaving groups alpha to the aldehyde and whether a one-pot 3-step procedure might be possible (Scheme 2). Given the precedent for the enantioselective organocatalytic α -chlorination of aldehydes¹⁰ we decided to explore the feasibility of such a system in the reaction

^{(7) (}a) Ogura, K.; Shibuya, N.; Iida, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 1519-1522. (b) Pyne, S. G.; Spellmeyer, D. C.; Chen, S.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5728-5740. (c) Fuchs, P. L.; Hutchinson, D. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6137-6138. (d) Ryu, I.; Kusumoto, N.; Ogawa, A.; Kambe, N.; Sonoda, N. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2279-2281. (e) Dominguez, E.; Carretero, J. C. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 7197-7206. (f) Burgess, K.; Cassidy, J.; Henderson, I. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2050-2058. (g) Trost, B. M.; Grese, T. A. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3189-3192. (h) Wnuk, S. F.; Dalley, N. K.; Robins, M. Can. J. Chem. 1991, 69, 2104-2111. (i) Barton, D. L.; Gero, S. D.; Quiclet-Sire, B.; Samadi, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1994, 5, 2123–2126. (j) Zoller, T.; Uguen, D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 154, 5-1550. (k) de la Rosa, V. G.; Ordonez, M.; Llera, J. M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 2000, 2991-3001. (1) Marcus, J.; Van Meurs, P. J.; Van den Nieuwendijk, M. C. H.; Porchet, M.; Brussee, J.; Van der Gen, A. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 2491-2495. (m) Pedersen, T. M.; Hansen, E. L.; Kane, J.; Rein, T.; Helquist, P.; Nourby, P. O.; Tanner, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9738-9742. (n) Rodriguez, S.; Vidal, A.; Monroig, J. J.; Gonzalez, F. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 5359-5361.

^{(10) (}a) Brochu, M. P.; Brown, S. P.; MacMillan, D. W. C J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126. (b) Halland, N.; Braunton, A.; Bachmann, S.; Marigo, M.; Jorgensen, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4790–4791.





sequence. Individually, each step in the reaction sequence with an α -chloro aldehyde appeared to work, but a one-pot procedure could not be achieved successfully. Ultimately, this strategy was not pursued due to the instability and volatility of the α -chlorinated aldehydes and the superior results with the α -selenyl systems.

Confirmation of absolute stereochemistry was first achieved by reducing α -selenyl aldehydes **3a**–**c** with NaBH₄ and comparing optical rotations with published values.^{8b} An X-ray crystal structure was also obtained for γ -hydroxy- α , β unsaturated sulfone **15**, which confirms the *R* configuration of the γ -hydroxy carbon (Figure 1).

Further proving the value of this synthetic method, scale up of the procedure to 1 g was readily accomplished with no erosion of ee (97%) and in 59% overall yield for γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated sulfone **14**.¹¹

 γ -Hydroxy ether- α , β -unsaturated ester **23** (Scheme 3) is a key intermediate in a recent synthesis of the biologically active alkaloid (+)- α -conhydrine (**24**).^{5a} Intermediate **23** was prepared in six steps and 32% overall yield from (*S*)glycidol.^{5a} Using the methodology described here, we have prepared intermediate ester **23** in only four steps and in 47% overall yield and 97% ee (Scheme 4).



Figure 1. Crystal structure of **15** (confirms *R* configuration at the γ -hydroxy carbon atom).

Scheme 3. Synthesis of (+)-α-Conhydrine (24) Using Key Intermediate γ -Hydroxy Ether-α, β -Unsaturated Ester 23^{5a}



Scheme 4. Formal Synthesis of (+)- α -Conhydrine (24)



In summary, we report an efficient and generalized procedure for the synthesis of γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated sulfones and esters of high enantiomeric purity that is easily scaled to amounts >1 g. This three-step process works on a variety of substrates with high overall yields (~50%) and excellent control of absolute stereochemistry (ee values \geq 95%). We have shown the application of this methodology to a formal synthesis of the natural product (+)- α -conhydrine. Undoubtedly, this methodology could be expanded to the preparation of other γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated compounds with other EWGs, such as nitro or cyano.

Acknowledgment. We thank the NIH (CA 93547) for financial support and the ACS (predoctoral fellowship to K.S.P.).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectra of γ -hydroxy- α , β -unsaturated sulfones and esters. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL8020513

⁽¹¹⁾ Procedure for the Synthesis of 14. A solution of 3-phenylpropanal, 1a (90%, 1.2 mL, 9.4 mmol), and catalyst 2 (0.7 g, 1.2 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was stirred at rt under Ar for 30 min. The reaction mixture was cooled to -20 °C, and N-(phenylseleno)phthalimide (3.4 g, 11.2 mmol) was added. After the mixture was stirred for 2 h, the contents of the flask were filtered and rinsed with hexanes, the solvent evaporated (avoid heat!), and the crude mixture (3a) dried under vacuum for 1 h to get rid of all toluene. To an ice-cooled solution of methyl *tert*-butyl sulfone, **4a** (4.0 g, 29.4 mmol), in anhydrous THF (30 mL) under Ar was added *n*-BuLi (1.5 M in hexanes, 18.8 mL, 28.1 mmol) dropwise and the mixture stirred for 30 min. The reaction mixture was cooled to -78 °C, and a solution of crude 3a (9.4 mmol) in 10 mL THF was added via cannula. After being stirred at -78 °C for 1 h, the mixture was quenched by addition to water (50 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (3 \times 30 mL). The organics were combined, rinsed with brine (30 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, concentrated, and chromatographed (25% EtOAc in hexanes) to give 5 (3.4 g, 84% yield), which was used directly in the next step. To a solution of 5 (2.5 g, 5.9 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was added K₂CO₃ (3.2 g, 23.5 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and m-CPBA (77%, 2.6 g, 11.8 mmol) was added. The solution was allowed to warm slowly to rt and stirred for a total of 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was then added to a saturated solution of NaHCO3 (25 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 30 mL). The organics were combined, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, concentrated, and chromatographed (30% EtOAc in hexanes) to give 14 (1.1 g, 70% yield) as a white solid: $[\alpha]^{24}$ _D - 1.9 (*c* 1.35, CHCl₃); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 3483 (brs), 3061 (w), 2980 (w), 2929 (w), 1630 (w), 1453 (w), 1297 (m), 1272 (m), 1200 (w), 1108 (m), 835 (w), 702 (w); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.27 (m, 5H), 6.95 (dd, 1H, J = 14.8, 3.6 Hz), 6.53 (dd, 1H, J = 14.8, 2.0 Hz), 4.65 (m, 1H), 2.99 (dd, 1H, J = 14.0, 5.2 Hz), 2.84 (dd, 1H, J = 13.6, 8.0 Hz), 2.09 (brs, 1H), 1.91 (s, 9H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 151.05, 136. 23, 129.42, 128.82, 127.14, 123.47, 71.26, 58.42, 42.85, 23.22; HRMS calcd for $C_{14}H_{20}O_3S$ [MH⁺] 269.1211, found 269.1211; mp = 81-83 °C.