Synthesis and Structure of Neutral and Cationic Gallium Complexes Incorporating Bis(oxazolinato) Ligands

Samuel Dagorne,*^[a] Stéphane Bellemin-Laponnaz,*^[b] Aline Maisse-François,^[b] Marie-Noëlle Rager,^[c] Lauriane Jugé,^[c] and Richard Welter^[d]

Keywords: Cations / Gallium / Lewis acids / N ligands

The bis(oxazolinato)dimethylgallium complexes [{BOX-Me₂}GaMe₂] (**2a**) and [{BOX-(*S*)-*i*Pr}GaMe₂] (**2b**) are easily obtained in nearly quantitative yield by a methane elimination reaction between GaMe₃ and the corresponding bis(oxazoline) ligands {BOX-Me₂}H (**1a**) and {BOX-(*S*)-*i*Pr}H (**1b**). Compound **2a** was also synthesized by a salt metathesis involving the formation of the dichloro complex [{BOX-Me₂}GaCl₂] by reaction of [(BOX-Me₂)Li] with GaCl₃, followed by its in situ methylation with MeMgBr. The neutral complexes **2a** and **2b** are rapidly ionized by B(C₆F₅)₃ to cations **3a**⁺ and **3b**⁺, respectively, which are either three-coordinate methylgallium cations or four-coordinate Ga solvent adducts, as their MeB(C₆F₅)₃⁻ salts. These cationic species exhibit a limited stability and decompose in solution within several hours at room temperature. However, stable four-coordinate four-coordinate four-coordinate four-coordinate four-coordinate four-coordinate four-coordinate stability and decompose in solution within several hours at room temperature.

Introduction

Cationic group 13 complexes have been the subject of several studies over the past few years since the enhanced Lewis acidity at the metal center, which is a result of its cationic charge, renders these species potentially interesting as Lewis acid catalysts.^[1] As such, they have already found applications in isobutene,^[2] ethylene,^[3] alkene oxide,^[4] and D,L-lactide^[5] polymerization catalysis. In this regard, threeand four-coordinate cationic group 13 complexes of the general type $[(LX)MR]^+$ and $[(LX)M(R)(L)]^+$ (L labile), readily accessible by reaction of neutral precursors $[(LX)MR_2]$ with strong Lewis acids such as $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ and $B(C_6F_5)_3$, have appeared as appealing targets because they incorporate a cationic and low-coordinate metal center; acdinate Ga-NMe₂Ph cations (**4a**⁺ and **4b**⁺) are isolated in good yield when these ionization reactions are performed in the presence of a Lewis base such as NMe₂Ph. Unlike that of B(C₆F₅)₃, the reaction of [{BOX-Me₂}GaMe₂] with [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄] quantitatively affords an unusual bis(imine)dimethylgallium cation {H₂C=C(OX-Me₂)₂}GaMe₂⁺ (**5a**⁺) in a reaction that proceeds via a hydride abstraction occurring at the Me group located at the back of the bis(oxazolinato) ligand chelated to the Ga center in **2a**. Overall, the reactivity and structural trends observed for the Ga derivatives are closely related to those of the Al analogs, with the Ga compounds being more stable.

(© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2005)

cordingly, these cations behave like potent Lewis acids.^[6,7] Thus far, most of the investigations in this area have concerned aluminum derivatives, where it has been found that the structure and the stability of the formed Al cations are greatly dependent upon the steric properties of the ancillary LX⁻ bidentate ligand and the nature of the counterion.^[7] More specifically, the presence of an extremely bulky LX⁻ ligand and the use of an inert counterion [B(C₆F₅)₄⁻ or MeB(C₆F₅)₃⁻] are required to obtain, in some cases, reasonably stable Al cations. However, the frequent poor stability of these highly reactive alkylaluminum species may limit the scope of their applications in catalysis.

Although much less studied than their Al counterparts, low-coordinate cationic alkylgallium complexes are expected to exhibit an increased stability as a result of the less polar character of the Ga–C vs. Al–C bond,^[8] while still remaining reactive due to the presence of an electron-deficient metal center. Thus, cationic Ga derivatives may well represent a reasonable balance of reactivity and stability, which is a required feature for catalysis involving polar substrates and/or media.

We are interested in the design and synthesis of stable low-coordinate cationic group 13 complexes of the type $[(LX)MR]^+$ and $[(LX)M(R)(L)]^+$ for applications in catalysis. To this purpose, we have recently focused our attention toward the synthesis of $[(LX)AlR]^+$ and $[(LX)Al(R)(L)]^+$

 [[]a] Laboratoire de Chimie Organométallique, UMR CNRS 7576, Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Paris, 11 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France Fax: +33-1-43260061 E-mail: samuel-dagorne@enscp.fr

 [[]b] Laboratoire de Chimie Organométallique et de Catalyse, UMR 7513, Institut Le Bel, Université Louis Pasteur, 4 rue Blaise Pascal, 67000 Strasbourg, France E-mail: bellemin@chimie.u-strasbg.fr
 [b] NMR Sargios Facle Nutionale Supérioura de Chimie de Paris

[[]c] NMR Service, Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Paris, 11 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

 [[]d] Laboratoire DECMET, UMR 7513, Institut Le Bel, Université Louis Pasteur,
 4 rue Blaise Pascal, 67000 Strasbourg, France

cations bearing either an *N*,O-aminophenolate or an *N*,*N*bis(oxazolinato) bidentate ligand (**A** and **B**, Scheme 1).^[9,10] In particular, the use of a chiral C_2 -symmetric *N*,*N*-bis(oxazolinato) ligand has provided access to chiral cationic alkylaluminum complexes, which are of potential interest in asymmetric catalysis.^[10] However, the instability of the Al cations incorporating **B**, especially in catalytic conditions, prompted us toward the synthesis of the Ga analogs, which are expected to be more stable. Thus, as part of our continuous studies of cationic and chiral group 13 alkyl complexes, we here report the synthesis and structure of neutral and cationic bis(oxazolinato)methylgallium complexes.





2) GaCl₃ 3) 2 MeMgBr



1a



2a

Results and Discussion

Bis(oxazolinato)dimethylgallium compounds were synthesized by the classical alkane elimination route involving a reaction between the appropriate bis(oxazoline) ligand and $GaMe_3$. This pathway was found to be the most straightforward and efficient method to access such compounds.

Thus, the reaction of the bis(oxazoline) ligands (BOX- Me_2)H (1a) and {BOX-(S)-*i*Pr}H (1b; Scheme 2) with an equimolar amount of GaMe₃ in pentane at -35 °C yields the bis(oxazolinato)dimethylgallium compounds [(BOX- Me_2)GaMe₂] (2a) and [{BOX-(S)-*i*Pr}GaMe₂] (2b; Scheme 2), respectively, which were isolated in nearly quantitative yields (>95% yield) as air-stable colorless solids. Alternatively, the achiral Ga derivative 2a was also obtained by a salt metathesis pathway, although this approach was less efficient. Thus, the reaction of the bis(oxazolinato)lithium salt [(BOX-Me₂)Li], generated by deprotonation of bisoxazoline 1a with tBuLi at -78 °C, with an equimolar amount of GaCl₃ (18 h, room temp.) yields [(BOX-Me₂)-GaCl₂], as observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The in situ methylation of the dichlorogallium derivative with two equivalents of MeMgBr affords [(BOX-Me₂)GaMe₂] (2a) in moderate yield (48%).

The molecular structures of the Ga complexes **2a** and **2b** were determined by X-ray crystallography analysis, thus establishing their monomeric nature as well as the effective chelation of one bis(oxazolinato) ligand (Figures 1 and 2 and Table 1). As expected, both Ga species exhibit very similar structural features in the solid state and these will only discussed for the chiral derivative **2b**.

Figure 1. Molecular structure of complex 2a. The H atoms have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 2. Molecular structure of chiral complex **2b**. The H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected torsion angles [°]: N(1)-C(8)-C(9)-C(11) = 5.8(15), Ga-N(2)-C(11)-C(10) = 3.2(4), Ga-N(2)-C(11)-C(9) = 2.5(11).

The Ga metal center in **2b** adopts a slightly distorted tetrahedral geometry with a chelate bite angle $[N(1)-Ga-N(2) = 91.1(2)^{\circ}]$ similar to that in the related β -diketimina-todimethylgallium complex $[HC\{C(Me)N(C_6H_3-2,6-iPr_2)\}$ -GaMe₂] [93.92(7)°], which also contains a six-membered C_3N_2Ga metallacycle.^[11] The Ga–N and Ga–C bond lengths in **2b** [1.966(9) Å and 1.98(1) Å average, respectively] are similar to those observed in related {LX}GaMe₂

FULL PAPER

Table 1. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for 2a and 2b.

2a		2b	
Ga–N	1.9677(17)	Ga–N(1)	1.967(6)
Ga-C(1)	1.970(3)	Ga-N(2)	1.966(6)
Ga–C(2)	1.970(3)	Ga-C(1)	1.963(9)
N-C(7)	1.320(3)	Ga-C(2)	2.004(10)
C(7)–C(8)	1.395(3)	N(1)-C(8)	1.333(8)
		N(2)-C(11)	1.306(9)
		C(8) - C(9)	1.384(11)
		C(9)–C(11)	1.386(10)
N–Ga–N	91.33(10)	N(1)–Ga– $N(2)$	91.1(2)
N-Ga-C(2)	109.38(8)	C(1)– Ga – $N(2)$	111.8(4)
N-Ga-C(1)	111.85(8)	C(1)– Ga – $N(1)$	113.5(3)
C(1)–Ga–C(2)	119.47(14)	C(1)-Ga-C(2)	119.9(4)

species such as $[HC{C(Me)N(C_6H_3-2,6-iPr_2)}GaMe_2],^{[11]}$ $[{PhC(NPh)_2}GaMe_2],^{[12]}$ and $[{tBuC(NCy)_2}GaMe_2].^{[13]}$

The six-membered C_3N_2Ga metallacycle in [{BOX-(S)iPr{GaMe₂] (**2b**) is nearly planar (C–C–Ga–N < 6°) with the carbon and nitrogen atoms adopting a trigonal-planar geometry (sum of angles ca. 360°). The planarity of the sixmembered C₃N₂Ga backbone in **2b** contrasts with the folding of the C_3N_2Ga ring observed in [HC{C(Me)N(C_6H_3- $2,6-iPr_2$ GaMe₂, in which the Ga metal center lies significantly out of the C_3N_2 plane (0.76 Å). This structural difference presumably results from much weaker steric interactions between the Ga metal center and the chelating ligand **2b** compared to $[HC{C(Me)N(C_6H_3-2,6-iPr_2)}]$ in GaMe₂].^[11] The C–C bond lengths within the C₃N₂ moiety [1.38(1) Å average] in **2b** are close to the C–C bond length in aromatic systems (1.395 Å), while the C–N bond lengths [1.32(1) Å average] lie between the C=N double-bond length in imines (1.28 Å) and the $C(sp^2)$ -N single-bond length (1.47 Å). Altogether, these structural data are consistent with significant π -delocalization of the bis(oxazolinato) ligand backbone, resulting in a nearly C_2 symmetry for **2b** in the solid state. Similar structural features have been observed for the Al analogs.^[10]

The NMR spectroscopic data for the Ga complexes **2a** and **2b** at room temperature are consistent with these species adopting overall $C_{2\nu}$ and C_2 symmetry, respectively, in solution. These NMR spectroscopic data are therefore in agreement with the solid-state structures of **2a** and **2b** being retained in solution under the conditions studied here. For example, the ¹H NMR spectrum of **2b** (C₆D₆, room temp.) exhibits one Ga Me_2 resonance, one N-CH resonance and two Me-*i*Pr resonances, which is in agreement with a C_2 -symmetric complex.

Reaction of Bis(oxazolinato)dimethylgallium Complexes 2a and 2b with $B(C_6F_5)_3$

Cationic alkylgallium complexes could be obtained by reaction of the neutral dimethylgallium derivatives with the strong Lewis acid $B(C_6F_5)_3$, which is known to readily abstract a methyl anion from the metal center of group 13 [{LX}MMe_2] species. Thus, the reaction of [{BOX}GaMe_2] (**2a,b**) with one equivalent of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (C_6D_5Br , room

temp., 10 min) results in the nearly quantitative formation of the cations [{BOX-Me₂}GaMe]⁺ (**3a**⁺) and [BOX-(*S*)*i*Pr}GaMe]⁺ (**3b**⁺), respectively, as their MeB(C₆F₅)₃⁻ salts, as observed by ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 3). As expected, the Ga cations **3a**,**b**⁺ are more stable than their Al counterparts; however, they slowly decompose at room temp. in C₆D₅Br ($t_{1/2} \approx 10$ h) to yield unidentified species, which precluded their isolation in a pure form. Initial attempts (by ¹H NMR) to observe cations **3a**,**b**⁺ by carrying out the reaction on an NMR-tube scale in CD₂Cl₂ were unsuccessful, presumably due to fast decomposition of the desired cations in CD₂Cl₂.





The ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopic data for the [3a,b][MeB(C₆F₅)₃] salt species are consistent with weak interactions between the cation and the anion down to -30 °C in C₆D₅Br solution. In particular, the $MeB(C_6F_5)_3^-$ resonance ($\delta = 0.97$ ppm) in the ¹H NMR spectra of [**3a**,**b**]- $[MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$ is characteristic of a free $MeB(C_6F_5)_3^-$ anion in solution at room temp. (Figure 3).^[14] The NMR spectroscopic data for the Ga cations $3a^+$ and $3b^+$ are essentially unchanged between -30 °C and room temp. and agree with effective $C_{2\nu}$ and C_2 -symmetric species for $3a^+$ and $3b^+$, respectively, under these conditions. For instance, as illustrated in Figure 3, the ¹H NMR spectrum of $[3a][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$ only exhibits four resonances for cation $3a^+$ (GaMe⁺, CMe₂, O-CH₂, and CMe), which is in agreement with a $C_{2\nu}$ -symmetric complex. In addition, the $GaMe^+$ resonance in $3a^+$ ($\delta = 0.40$ ppm) is shifted dramatically downfield relative to the $GaMe_2$ resonance of the neutral precursor 2a ($\delta = -0.17$ ppm) as a result of the cationic charge on Ga. Overall, on the basis of NMR spectroscopic data, the Ga cations $3a_{,b}^{+}$ are most likely either three-coordinate "base-free" cationic species or four-coordinate cationic Ga solvent adducts (i.e. C₆D₅Br adducts) with a fast coordination/decoordination process on the NMR scale down to -30 °C. The latter proposal is based on the fact that a solid-state structure of a four-coordinate cationic Ga-ClPh adduct has been reported recently.^[15]

The Lewis acidic Ga cation $3a^+$ reacts rapidly with an excess of propylene oxide (PO; 200 equiv., -20 °C, 5 min) to yield atactic oligomers with a broad polydispersity (40% conversion, $M_n = 448$, $M_w/M_n = 2.38$). A longer reaction time did not yield higher conversion, which strongly suggests a fast decomposition of cation $3a^+$ (within 5 min at -20 °C) to inactive species in the presence of excess PO.



Figure 3. ¹H NMR spectrum of [**3a**][MeB(C₆F₅)₃] (C₆D₅Br, room temp.): $\delta = 0.40$ (**1**, Ga*Me*), 0.97 (**2**, *Me*B), 1.05 (**3**, C*Me*₂), 1.70 (**4**, *Me*CCN), 3.71 (**5**, OC*H*₂) ppm.

Synthesis and Structure of Four-Coordinate Methylgallium Cations (4a,b⁺)

The lack of stability of cations **3a**,**b**⁺ prompted us to generate them in the presence of a Lewis base such as NMe₂Ph, which is expected to trap the Ga cations to yield stable fourcoordinate Ga-NMe₂Ph cationic adducts. Thus, the reaction of the bis(oxazolinato)dimethylgallium complexes (2a,b) with one equivalent of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in the presence of one equivalent of NMe₂Ph (CH₂Cl₂, room temp., 10 min) yields the quantitative formation of the four-coordinate cations $[\{BOX\text{-}Me_2\}Ga(Me)(NMe_2Ph)]^+$ $(4a^+)$ and $[\{BOX\text{-}$ (S)-iPr $Ga(Me)(NMe_2Ph)$ [+ $(4b^+)$, respectively, as their $MeB(C_6F_5)_3^-$ salts (Scheme 4). The salt compounds [4a,b]- $[MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$ are stable for days at room temperature in CH₂Cl₂ and could thus be isolated in a pure form as colorless solids in good yields. To the best of our knowledge, cation $4b^+$ in $[4b][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$ is the first example of a chiral cationic alkylgallium complex.



Scheme 4.

Compounds $[4a,b][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$ are dissociated species down to -30 °C in CD₂Cl₂ with weakly interacting $4a,b^+$ cations and MeB(C₆F₅)₃⁻. The ¹H NMR spectra of the cationic NMe₂Ph adducts $4a,b^+$ both contain a GaMe⁺ resonance that is significantly downfield shifted as compared to the GaMe₂ resonances in the neutral precursors 2a,b, which is indicative of a cationic Ga center. Likewise, the ¹H and ¹³C NMR resonances of $4a,b^+$ assigned to the coordinated NMe₂Ph are strongly downfield shifted relative to those in free NMe₂Ph, which is consistent with an effective coordination of NMe₂Ph to the Ga center. Overall, the NMR spectroscopic data at room temp. agree with effective $C_{2\nu}$ and C_2 -symmetric solution structures for cations 4a and $4b^+$, respectively, thereby indicating a fast face-exchange of NMe₂Ph on the NMR timescale. To probe this issue further, low temperature ¹H and ¹³C NMR experiments were carried out to reach a slow NMe₂Ph exchange process. Thus, the NMR spectroscopic data for cations $4a^+$ and $4b^+$ recorded at -25 °C and -35 °C in CD₂Cl₂, respectively, exhibit an overall C_s symmetry for $4a^+$ and a C_1 symmetry for chiral 4b⁺. These data are consistent with a slow face-exchange of NMe₂Ph on the NMR timescale for both cations (see Exp. Sect.). For comparison, the labile coordination of NMe₂Ph to Ga observed here for 4a,b⁺ at room temperature contrasts with the nonlabile coordination of this amine to Al in the cationic Al analogs under identical conditions, which may reflect a more Lewis acidic cationic Al vs. Ga center.^[10]

The Lewis acid character of the Ga–NMe₂Ph adduct **4a**⁺ was also tested with PO. Like **3a**⁺, cation **4a**⁺ oligomerizes PO (200 equiv. of PO, room temp., 15 min) to afford low molecular weight oligomers in high conversion (85% conversion, $M_n = 339$, $M_w/M_n = 1.25$). The oligomerization process is clearly multimodal, as deducted from size exclusion chromatographic data, thus suggesting the presence of several active species.

Solid-State Structure of the Cationic Adduct 4a⁺

While a few examples of X-ray characterized low-coordinate Al cations are known, solid-state structures of Ga analogs are rather scarce,^[1,7a,16] and, to date, none of the type $[\{LX\}Ga(R)(L)]^+$ have been reported.

The molecular structure of the salt species $[4a][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$ was confirmed by X-ray crystallography analysis (Tables 2 and 3). It crystallizes as discrete 4a⁺ cations and $MeB(C_6F_5)_3^-$ anions, with no cation-anion interaction. As shown in Figure 4, cation $4a^+$ is an NMe₂Phstabilized four-coordinate methylgallium cation in which the Ga center adopts a distorted tetrahedral structure with a chelate bite angle N(1)-Ga-N(2) [96.98(7)°] similar to that in the neutral precursor 2a. Unlike the nearly planar six-membered C_3N_2Ga metallacycle in complexes **2a**,**b**, that in $4a^+$ is significantly distorted from planarity, with the Ga center being displaced by 0.33(2) Å from the N(2)–C(6)– N(1)-C(9) average plane toward the coordinated NMe₂Ph. This distortion may well be to minimize steric interactions between the Ga-NMe₂Ph moiety and the bis(oxazolinato) ligand. The Ga-N bond lengths within the chelate-Ga entity [1.9018(19) and 1.9100(16) Å] are rather short as compared to those in 2a [1.966(9) Å], due to the stronger ionic character of these bonds in 4a⁺ vs. 2a, a result of the cationic charge, whereas the Ga-N_{amine} bond length [Ga-N(3) = 2.124(3) Å] is considerably longer and is comparable to those observed in neutral bulky gallium amine adducts such

FULL PAPER

as $[Me_3Ga(tBuNH_2)]$ [2.12(1) Å].^[17] Due to the enhanced Lewis acidity of Ga in **4a**⁺ vs. $[Me_3Ga(tBuNH_2)]$, a shorter Ga–N(3) bond length in **4a**⁺ vs. Me₃Ga(tBuNH₂) would be expected from an electronic point of view; this rather long Ga–N distance for **4a**⁺ may be to minimize steric interactions between the aniline and the chelating ligand.

Table 2. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for $[4a][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$ and $[5a][B(C_6F_5)_4]$.

4a ⁺		5a ⁺	
Ga–N(1)	1.9018(19)	Ga-C(2)	1.953(2)
Ga-N(2)	1.9100(16)	Ga-C(1)	1.956(2)
Ga-C(1)	1.944(3)	Ga-N(1)	2.0171(17)
Ga-N(3)	2.124(2)	Ga-N(2)	2.0191(17)
N(1) - C(9)	1.331(2)	N(2) - C(10)	1.282(3)
N(2) - C(6)	1.339(3)	N(1) - C(3)	1.285(3)
C(7) - C(9)	1.395(3)	C(8) - C(9)	1.329(3)
C(7) - C(6)	1.383(3)	C(8) - C(3)	1.466(3)
		C(8) - C(10)	1.470(3)
N(1)–Ga– $N(2)$	96.98(7)	C(1)–Ga– $C(2)$	122.76(11)
N(1)–Ga– $N(3)$	103.06(8)	N(1)–Ga– $N(2)$	90.18(8)
C(1)-Ga-N(3)	108.60(11)	C(1)– Ga – $N(1)$	107.28(10)
N(2)–Ga–C(1)	123.12(12)	C(1)-Ga-N(2)	110.12(10)



Figure 4. Molecular structure of the Ga cation $4a^+$. The H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected torsion angles [°]: N(2)–Ga–N(1)–C(9) = 13.54(14),; N(1)–Ga–N(2)–C(6) = 15.36(15), N(1)–C(9)–C(7)–C(6) = 13.0(3).

Reaction of [{BOX-Me₂}GaMe₂] (2a) with [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄]

As stated in the introduction, the trityl salt $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ is the other landmark reagent, along with $B(C_6F_5)_3$, that is used to generate group-13 alkyl cations of the type $[\{LX\}MMe]^+$ from $[\{LX\}MMe_2]$ by a Me⁻ abstraction at the metal center. We thus decided to study the reaction between $[\{BOX-Me_2\}GaMe_2]$ (2a) and $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$.

The reaction of [{BOX-Me₂}GaMe₂] (**2a**) with one equivalent of [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄] (CH₂Cl₂, room temp., 10 min) yields the quantitative formation of the bis(imine)-dimethylgallium cation [{H₂C=C(OX-Me₂)₂}GaMe₂]⁺ (**5a**⁺) as a B(C₆F₅)₄⁻ salt, along with one equivalent of Ph₃CH (Scheme 5). Thus, instead of abstracting a Me⁻ from

the Ga center of **2a**, Ph₃C⁺ abstracts a hydride from the Me group located at the back of the bis(oxazolinato) ligand in compound **2a** to afford cation **5a**⁺. The outcome of this reaction confirms a reactivity already observed with the Al analog [{BOX-Me₂}AlMe₂], which has been found to yield a similar bis-imine cation when treated with [Ph₃C][B-(C₆F₅)₄].^[10] Nevertheless, the observed reactivity, i.e. a hydride abstraction at a group rather far away from the metal center, contrasts with the usual reactivity of Ph₃C⁺ with alkyl metal complexes. Typically, hydride abstractions by Ph₃C⁺ at such dialkyl species occur either at the C_a of the metal-bonded alkyl group or at the C_β, both of which, in any case, are in the vicinity of the metal center.^[18]



Scheme 5.

The salt compound [**5a**][B(C₆F₅)₄], which is stable for days at room temp. in CH₂Cl₂, was obtained as an analytically pure colorless solid in good yield (see Exp. Sect.). Its molecular structure, as determined by X-ray crystallography, shows that [**5a**][B(C₆F₅)₄] crystallizes as **5a**⁺ cations and B(C₆F₅)₄⁻ anions with no cation–anion interaction in the solid state. The cationic species **5a**⁺can be seen as a dimethylgallium cation in which the GaMe₂⁺ moiety is chelated by the neutral bisoxaline H₂C=C(OX-Me₂)₂ (Figure 5). Accordingly, the bonding parameters within the C₃N₂ ligand backbone in **5a**⁺ are consistent with a π -localized structure: the C(3)–N(1) and C(10)–N(2) bond lengths [1.285(3) and 1.282(3) Å] compare with the typical value for C(sp²)=N double bonds (1.30 Å) while the C(8)–C(9) bond length [1.329(3) Å] is consistent with that of a C=C double



Figure 5. Molecular structure of the Ga cation $5a^+$. The H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected torsion angles [°]: N(1)–Ga–N(2)–C(10) = 12.53(19), N(2)–C(10)–C(8)–C(3) = 3.7(3), N(2)–C(10)–C(8)–C(9) = 3.0(3).

bond (1.337 Å). In addition, the Ga–N bond lengths in $5a^+$ [2.018(1) Å average] are comparable to those observed in neutral Ga imine complexes, e.g. in dimethyl-(*N*-methylsal-icylaldiminato)gallium, Ga–N = 2.019 Å,^[19] as expected for a bis-imine gallium complex such as $5a^+$. All other structural parameters are very similar to those in the neutral precursor **2a**.

As for the solution structure of $5a^+$, the NMR spectroscopic data agree with the solid-state structure being retained in solution and with no association between $5a^+$ and its counterion in CD₂Cl₂ solution at room temp. In particular, the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of $5a^+$ both contain one characteristic resonance at $\delta = 7.30$ and 143.7 ppm, respectively, which can be assigned to the $H_2C=C$ group of the chelating bis(oxazoline).

Summary and Conclusions

Bis(oxazolinato)dimethylgallium complexes of the type [{BOX}GaMe₂] (2a,b) can be obtained in high yield by reaction of GaMe₃ with the desired neutral bis(oxazoline) via methane elimination. These neutral dimethylgallium precursors react rapidly with B(C₆F₅)₃, via a Me⁻ abstraction, to yield the Ga cations $3a,b^+$, which are either three-coordinate methylgallium cations of the type $[{BOX}GaMe]^+$ or four-coordinate cationic Ga-solvent adducts. Although more stable than their Al counterparts, cations $3a_{,b}^{+}$ decompose over the course of several hours to give unidentified species. The lack of stability of the [{BOX}GaMe]⁺ methyl cations reported here contrasts with the stability of the three-coordinate Al cation $[HC{C(Me)N(C_6H_3-2,6$ *i*Pr₂)}AlMe]⁺, which also incorporates a six-membered Al metallacycle. The greater steric crowding provided at the metal center by HC{C(Me)N(C₆H₃-2,6-*i*Pr₂)}⁻ vs. {BOX- Me_2 ⁻ or {BOX-(S)-*i*Pr}⁻ may be responsible for this difference of stability.

When the ionization of [{BOX}GaMe₂] (**2a**,**b**) with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ is performed in the presence of an external Lewis base such as NMe₂Ph, the corresponding four-coordinate cationic Ga adducts [{BOX}Ga(Me)(NMe₂Ph)]⁺ (**4a**,**b**⁺) are isolated in good yield, the cation **4b**⁺ being of particular interest as a chiral cationic derivative. Finally, [{BOX-Me₂}GaMe₂] (**2a**) reacts with [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄] to yield an unusual cationic bis(imino)gallium adduct [{H₂C=C(OX-Me₂)₂}GaMe₂]⁺ (**5a**⁺) via a hydride abstraction at the back of the bis(oxazolinato) ligand.

Cations $3a^+$ and $4a^+$ were found to rapidly olygomerize PO at low temperature, thereby illustrating the Lewis acid character of these species. However, the broad polydispersity of the obtained oligomers suggests a poor stability of these species in catalytic conditions.

Further studies will focus on the synthesis and use of bulkier and chiral LX^- ligands for coordination to group 13 metals.

Experimental Section

General Procedures: All experiments were carried out under N_2 using standard Schlenk techniques or in an Mbraun Unilab

glovebox. Toluene, pentane, and THF were distilled from Na/ benzophenone and stored over activated molecular sieves (4 Å) in a glovebox prior to use. CH₂Cl₂ and CD₂Cl₂, were distilled from CaH_2 and stored over activated molecular sieves (4 Å) in a glovebox prior to use. C₆D₆ was degassed under an N₂ flow and stored over activated molecular sieves (4 Å) in a glovebox prior to use. B(C₆F₅)₃ was purchased from Strem Chemicals and was extracted with dry pentane prior to use. [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄] was purchased from Asahi Glass Europe and used as received. CD₂Cl₂, C₆D₆, and C₆D₅Br were purchased from Eurisotop. All other chemicals were purchased from Aldrich and were used as received. The bisoxazoline ligands 1a,b were synthesized according to a literature procedure.[10] All NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature (unless otherwise indicated) on a Bruker Avance 300 MHz or 400 MHz spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts are reported relative to SiMe₄ and were determined by reference to the residual ¹H and ¹³C solvent peaks. ¹¹B and ¹⁹F chemical shifts are reported relative to BF₃·Et₂O in CD₂Cl₂ and CFCl₃/CDCl₃, respectively. SEC analysis was carried out on a system equipped with 4 PL Gel columns (250 mm length × 7.5 mm inner diameter) in series and with THF as solvent. A Shimadzu SPD10Avp UV detector coupled with a Shimadzu RID6A refractometer was employed. The apparatus was calibrated using a POE standard from Polymer Laboratories. Elemental analyses for $[4a,b][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$ and $[5a][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ were performed by the Mikroanalytisches Labor Pascher, Remagen-Bandorf, Germany, while those for compounds 2a,b were performed by the microanalysis laboratory of the Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France.

For the compounds listed below, the assignment of all 1 H and ${}^{13}C{}^{1}$ H} NMR resonances was possible using DEPT experiments combined, when necessary, with HMQC experiments.

[{BOX-Me₂}GaMe₂] (2a) by Methane Elimination: In a glovebox, a pentane solution (3 mL) of GaMe₃ (285 mg, 2.48 mmol), previously cooled to -35 °C in a freezer, was slowly added with a Pasteur pipette to a pentane solution (7 mL) of bisoxazoline 1a (557 mg, 2.48 mmol), also precooled to -35 °C. The resulting colorless solution was then allowed to warm to room temperature. Upon warming to room temperature, a slight bubbling was observed, as expected for methane formation. After stirring the solution for 2 h at room temperature, it was then evaporated to dryness under vacuum to yield pure 2a as a colorless solid in nearly quantitative yield (785 mg, 98% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6): $\delta = 0.03$ (s, 6 H, GaMe₂), 1.02 (s, 12 H, CMe₂), 2.26 (s, 3 H, MeCCN), 3.41 (s, 4 H, OCH₂) ppm. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = -0.38$ (s, 6 H, GaMe₂), 1.29 (s, 12 H, CMe₂), 1.70 (s, 3 H, MeCCN), 3.95 (s, 4 H, OCH₂) ppm. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₅Br): $\delta = -0.17$ (s, 6 H, GaMe₂), 1.11 (s, 12 H, CMe₂), 1.99 (s, 3 H, MeCCN), 3.64 (s, 4 H, OCH₂) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆): $\delta = -2.8$ (GaMe₂), 10.3 (MeCCN), 27.7 (CMe₂), 62.3 (MeCCN), 63.7 (CMe₂), 78.7 (OCH₂), 170.2 (OCN) ppm. C₁₄H₂₅GaN₂O₂ (323.08): calcd. C 52.05, H 7.80; found C 52.14, H 7.72.

[{BOX-Me₂}GaMe₂] (2a) by Salt Metathesis: On a nitrogen-filled vacuum line, *t*BuLi (0.550 mL of a 1.7 M pentane solution, 0.934 mmol) was added dropwise, from a syringe, to a THF solution (10 mL) of bisoxazoline 1a (209 mg, 0.934 mmol) that had been cooled to -78 °C in an acetone/dry ice bath. Upon addition of *t*BuLi, the initial colorless solution turned bright yellow. After the addition, the resulting yellow solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 3 h. Evaporation of the volatiles under reduced pressure yielded a yellow sticky residue which was washed twice with cold pentane (2×10 mL cooled to -35 °C) to afford a colorless solid. In a glovebox, this solid was dissolved

in toluene (10 mL) in a small Schlenk flask and cooled to -35 °C in a freezer for 1 h. After this time, the cold colorless solution was taken out of the freezer and stirred vigorously. A toluene solution (5 mL) of GaCl₃ (164 mg, 0.934 mmol), also precooled to -35 °C, was then quickly added to this solution and the resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. Upon warming to room temperature, the initial solution turned into a colorless suspension (indicating the formation of LiCl), which was left stirring overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then filtered through a glass frit and the resulting filtrate evaporated under vacuum to yield crude **2a** as a sticky colorless solid. Recrystallization of this crude product from a 1:1 Et₂O/pentane solution cooled to -35 °C afforded pure **2a** as colorless crystals (145 mg, 48% yield).

[{BOX-(S)-iPr}GaMe2] (2b): The chiral dimethylgallium compound 2b was synthesized by methane elimination using the same procedure as for 2a, using an equimolar amount of {BOX-(S)-iPr}H (300 mg, 1.19 mmol) and GaMe₃ (136 mg, 1.19 mmol) to afford pure 2b as a colorless solid in high yield (401 mg, 96% yield). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6): $\delta = 0.00$ (s, 6 H, $GaMe_2$), 0.49 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.0 Hz, 6 H, Me-*i*Pr), 0.74 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 6.8 Hz, 6 H, Me-*i*Pr), 1.91 (d of septet, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ doublet = 3.3, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ septet = 6.9 Hz, 2 H, CH-*i*Pr), 2.21 (s, 3 H, MeCCN), 3.56-3.66 (m, 4 H, OCH₂), 3.68-3.75 (m, 2 H, CHN) ppm. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = -0.45$ (s, 6 H, Ga Me_2), 0.80 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 6.8 Hz, 6 H, Me-*i*Pr), 0.89 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.0 Hz, 6 H, Me-iPr), 1.68 (s, 3 H, MeCCN), 1.96 (d of septet, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H,H doublet}} = 3.4, {}^{3}J_{\text{H,H septet}} = 6.9 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, CH-iPr), 3.96-4.01 (m, 2 \text{ H}, CHN), 4.06-4.11 (dd, {}^{2}J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.4, {}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, OCH_{2}), 4.17-4.23 (dd, {}^{2}J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.4, {}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}} = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, OCH_{2})$ ppm. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₅Br): $\delta = -0.19$ (s, 6 H, GaMe₂), 0.66 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.0 Hz, 6 H, *Me-i*Pr), 0.73 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 6.8 Hz, 6 H, *Me-i*Pr), 1.90 (d of septet, ${}^{3}J_{H,H \text{ doublet}} = 3.3$, ${}^{3}J_{H,H \text{ septet}} = 6.9$ Hz, 2 H, CH-iPr), 1.96 (s, 3 H, MeCCN), 3.84-3.92 (m, 6 H, OCH2 and CHN) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆): $\delta = -6.4$ (GaMe₂), 10.4 (MeCCN), 14.5 (Me-iPr), 18.9 (Me-iPr), 30.0 (CH-iPr), 61.4 (MeCCN), 66.5 (CHN), 66.9 (OCH₂), 171.1 (OCN) ppm. C16H29GaN2O2 (351.14): calcd. C 54.73, H 8.32; found C 54.42, H 8.17.

[{BOX-Me₂}GaMe][MeB(C₆F₅)₃] $([3a][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]):$ In а glovebox, an equimolar amount of 2a (30.0 mg, 0.093 mmol) and B(C₆F₅)₃ (47.5 mg, 0.093 mmol) were charged in a J-Young NMR tube and 0.75 mL of C₆D₅Br was added. The NMR tube was vigorously shaken to yield a colorless solution and a ¹H NMR spectrum was immediately recorded, showing the nearly quantitative formation of $[3a][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$ as a fully dissociated salt species, along with minor impurities. Due to the relatively poor stability of [3a][MeB(C₆F₅)₃], the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum was recorded at -25 °C to ensure good data. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_5Br): $\delta =$ 0.40 (s,3 H, GaMe), 0.97 (br. s, 3 H, MeB), 1.05 (s, 12 H, CMe2), 1.70 (s, 3 H, MeCCN), 3.71 (s, 4 H, OCH₂) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C_6D_5Br , 248 K): $\delta = -4.6$ (GaMe), 9.3 (MeCCN), 11.7 (br., MeB), 28.2 (CMe2), 64.4 (CMe2), 71.3 (MeCCN), 79.4 (OCH_2) , 137.2 (dm, ${}^2J_{CF}$ = 256 Hz, o- or m-C₆F₅), 137.9 (dm, ${}^2J_{CF}$ = 243 Hz, $p-C_6F_5$), 148.8 (dm, ${}^{2}J_{C,F}$ = 237 Hz, o- or m- C_6F_5), 171.0 (OCN) ppm.

[{**BOX-(***S*)*-i***P**}**GaMe**][**Me**(**BC**₆**F**₅)₃] ([3b][**MeB**(**C**₆**F**₅)₃]): The chiral salt compound [3b][MeB(**C**₆**F**₅)₃] was generated on an NMR scale using the same procedure as that for [3a][MeB(**C**₆**F**₅)₃], with an equimolar amount of **2b** (15 mg, 0.043 mmol) and B(**C**₆**F**₅)₃ (21.9 mg, 0.043 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, **C**₆**D**₅**B**r, 298 K): $\delta = 0.36$ (s,3 H, Ga*Me*), 0.57 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 6.8 Hz, 6 H, Me*·i***P**r), 0.63 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 6.9 Hz, 6 H, Me*·i***P**r), 0.97 (br. s, 3 H, *MeB*), 1.57 (d of septet, ³*J*_{H,H doublet} = 3.1, ³*J*_{H,H septet} = 6.6 Hz, 2 H, *CH-i***P**r), 1.74

(s, 3 H, *Me*CCN), 3.77–3.83 (m, 4 H, OC*H*₂), 3.90–3.95 (m, 2 H, NC*H*) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₅Br, 248 K): δ = –4.1 (Ga*Me*), 9.9 (*Me*CCN), 11.7 (br., *Me*B), 15.2 (*Me-i*Pr), 18.7 (*Me-i*Pr), 33.1 (*C*H-*i*Pr), 65.9 (*C*HN), 69.7 (*C*H₂O), 71.9 (Me*C*CN), 137.2 (dm, ²*J*_{C,F} = 256 Hz, *o*- or *m*-*C*₆F₅), 137.9 (dm, ²*J*_{C,F} = 243 Hz, *p*-*C*₆F₅), 148.8 (dm, ²*J*_{C,F} = 237 Hz, *o*- or *m*-*C*₆F₅), 172.3 (O*C*N) ppm.

 $[{BOX-Me_2}Ga(Me)(NMe_2Ph)][MeB(C_6F_5)_3] ([4a][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]):$ In a glovebox, the dimethylgallium derivative 2a (200 mg, 0.619 mmol) was charged in a Schlenk flask and dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). NMe₂Ph (78.5 µL, 0.620 mmol) was then first added from a syringe to the colorless solution, followed by the addition of B(C₆F₅)₃ (317 mg, 0.619 mmol) all at once. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature and, after this time, evaporated to dryness under vacuum to yield a colorless foamy residue. Trituration of this residue with cold pentane (precooled to -35 °C) caused the precipitation of a colorless solid, which, after passing the solution through a glass frit nd further drying in vacuo, was found to be the salt species $[4a][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$ in a pure form (479 mg, 81% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 0.39$ (s, 3 H, GaMe), 0.48 (MeB), 1.13 (br., 12 H, CMe₂), 1.73 (s, 3 H, MeCCN), 2.96 (s, 6 H, NMe2Ph), 4.05 (br., 4 H, OCH2), 7.00-7.41 (br., 5 H, NMe₂*Ph*) ppm. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 253 K): δ = 0.36 (s, 3 H, GaMe), 0.42 (MeB), 0.92 (s, 6 H, CMe₂), 1.11 (s, 6 H, CMe₂), 1.67 (s, 3 H, MeCCN), 2.93 (s, 6 H, NMe₂Ph), 3.81 (br. d, ${}^{2}J_{H,H}$ = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, OCH₂), 4.16 (br. d, ${}^{2}J_{H,H}$ = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, OCH_2), 7.20 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 2 H, NMe_2Ph), 7.33 (br., 1 H, NMe₂*Ph*), 7.44 (br., 2 H, NMe₂*Ph*) ppm. ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = -10.2$ (GaMe), 8.3 (MeCCN), 9.1 (br., MeB), 26.8 (br., CMe2), 45.2 (br., NMe2Ph), 63.6 (CMe2), 67.4 (MeCCN), 78.4 (OCH₂), 118.5 (br., NMe₂Ph), 129.0 (br., NMe₂*Ph*), 129.5 (NMe₂*Ph*), 136.7 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,F} = 233$ Hz, *m*-C₆F₅), 137.9 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,F}$ = 238 Hz, p- $C_{6}F_{5}$), 148.6 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,F}$ = 233 Hz, o- C_6F_5), 171.7 (OCN) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 263 K): $\delta = -10.7$ (GaMe), 8.1 (MeCCN), 9.4 (br., MeB), 23.8 (CMe2), 27.6 (CMe2), 45.8 (br., NMe2Ph), 63.2 (CMe2), 69.0 (MeCCN), 77.9 (OCH₂), 119.6 (o-PhNMe₂), 127.2 (p-PhNMe₂), 129.4 (*m-Ph*NMe₂), 136.7 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,F}$ = 233 Hz, *m-C*₆F₅), 137.9 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,F} = 238 \text{ Hz}, p-C_{6}F_{5}$, 145.1 (C_{ipso} -PhNMe₂), 148.6 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,F} =$ 233 Hz, o-C₆F₅), 171.2 (OCN) ppm. C₄₀H₃₆BF₁₅GaN₃O₂ (956.24): calcd. C 50.24, H 3.79; found C 49.91, H 3.47.

 $[BOX-(S)-iPr]Ga(Me)(NMe_2Ph)][MeB(C_6F_5)_3] ([4b][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]):$ The chiral salt species $[4b][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$ was generated following the same procedure as that for $[4a][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$, using equimolar amounts of compound 2b (72.0 mg, 0.205 mmol), NMe₂Ph (26 µL, 0.205 mmol), and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (105 mg, 0.205 mmol). It was isolated as an analytically pure colorless solid in a similar yield (151 mg, 75% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 0.37$ (s,3 H, GaMe), 0.48 (br. s, 3 H, MeB), 0.60-0.98 (br., 12 H, Me-iPr), 1.65 (br., 2 H), 1.72 (s, 3 H, MeCCN), 1.78 (br., 1 H), 3.00 (s, 3 H, NMe₂Ph), 3.80–4.40 (6 H, CH_2O and CHN), 7.24 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, o-*Ph*NMe₂), 7.31 (br., 1 H, *p*-*Ph*NMe₂), 7.51 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, *m-Ph*NMe₂) ppm. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 243 K): $\delta = 0.33$ (s,3 H, GaMe), 0.40 (br. s, 3 H, MeB), 0.44 (br. d, 3 H, Me-iPr), 0.46 (br. d, 3 H, Me-iPr), 0.99 (br. d, 3 H, Me-iPr), 1.02 (br. d, 3 H, Me-iPr), 1.29 (br., 1 H, CH-iPr), 1.65 (s, 3 H, MeCCN), 1.70 (br., 1 H, CH-iPr), 1.81 (br., 1 H, CHN), 2.88 (s, 3 H, NMe₂Ph), 3.00 (s, 3 H, NMe₂Ph), 3.81 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 8.7 Hz, 1 H, CH₂O), 4.02 (br., 1 H, CHN), 4.12 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 8.6 Hz, 1 H, CH₂O), 4.30 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 8.7 Hz, 1 H, CH_2O), 4.41 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 8.6 Hz, 1 H, CH_2O), 7.30 (br., 2 H, o-PhNMe₂), 7.42 (br., 1 H, p-PhNMe₂), 7.52 (br., 2 H, *m-Ph*NMe₂) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 243 K): δ = -11.3 (GaMe), 8.6 (MeCCN), 9.5 (br., MeB), 12.8 (Me-iPr), 14.5

	2a	2b	$[4a][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$	$[5a][B(C_6F_5)_4]$
Formula	$C_{14}H_{25}GaN_2O_2$	$C_{16}H_{29}GaN_2O_2$	$C_{21}H_{33}GaN_3O_2,$ $C_{10}H_3BF_{15}$	$C_{14}H_{24}GaN_2O_2, C_{24}BF_{20}$
Formula mass	323.08	351.13	956.25	1001.12
Crystal system	orthorhombic	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic
Space group	Pnma	$P2_1$	PĪ	$P2_{1}/c$
a [Å]	11.7380(10)	9.3270(4)	10.397(5)	12.843(2)
b [Å]	11.0420(10)	11.5310(6)	13.441(5)	19.380(3)
c [Å]	12.249(2)	9.5600(7)	15.762(5)	15.829(2)
	90.00	90.00	73.66(5)	90.00
β[°]	90.00	116.8500(19)	80.66(5)	97.85(5)
γ [°]	90.00	90.00	73.90(5)	90.00
V[Å ³]	1587.6(3)	917.33(9)	2022.3(14)	3902.9(10)
Z	4	2	2	4
Density [g cm ⁻³]	1.352	1.271	1.570	1.704
μ (Mo- K_a) [mm ⁻¹]	1.734	1.506	0.790	0.840
<i>F</i> (000)	680	372	968	1992
Data collection				
Temperature [K]	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)
θ min./max.	2.40/30.03	2.39/30.00	1.35/30.01	1.60/30.04
Data set $[h,k,l]$	-16/14, -15/14, -16/17	-13/11, 0/16, 0/13	-14/14, -17/18, 0/22	-18/17, 0/27, 0/22
Total, unique data, <i>R</i> (int)	2445, 1898, 0.0615	2780, 1699, 0.0000	11773, 8935, 0.0000	11395, 7624, 0.0000
Observed data	$I > 2\sigma(I)$	$I > 2\sigma(I)$	$I > 2\sigma(I)$	$I > 2\sigma(I)$
Refinement				
No. of reflections, parameters	2445, 94	2780, 190	11773, 559	11395, 577
R_2 , R_1 , w R_2 , w R_1 , Goof	0.0715, 0.0456, 0.0856,	0.0961, 0.0457, 0.1525,	0.0617, 0.0417, 0.1184,	0.0728, 0.0404, 0.1188,
	0.0800, 1.074	0.1026, 0.951	0.1089, 1.063	0.1000, 0.929
Flack x	_	0.04(3)	_	-
Min., max. residual electron density $[eA^{-3}]$	-0.477, 0.378	-0.855, 0.691	-0.607, 0.365	0.002, 0.000

Table 3. Crystal data and refinements details for	compounds 2a, 2b	b , $[4a][MeB(C_6F_5)_3]$,	and $[5a][B(C_6F_5)_4]$
---	------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------------

(*Me-i*Pr), 17.3 (*Me-i*Pr), 19.7 (*Me-i*Pr), 31.0 (*C*H-*i*Pr), 31.2 (*C*H-*i*Pr), 43.1 (N*Me*₂Ph), 47.0 (N*Me*₂Ph), 64.2 (N*C*H), 65.2 (N*C*H), 65.9 (MeCCN), 67.0 (*C*H₂O), 67.5 (*C*H₂O), 119.2 (*o*-*Ph*NMe₂), 127.3 (*p*-*Ph*NMe₂), 129.8 (*m*-*Ph*NMe₂), 136.7 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,F}$ = 233 Hz, *m*-C₆F₅), 137.9 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,F}$ = 238 Hz, *p*-C₆F₅), 145.3 (*C*_{*ipso*}-*Ph*NMe₂), 148.6 (d, ${}^{1}J_{C,F}$ = 233 Hz, *o*-C₆F₅), 170.9 (OCN), 172.0 (OCN) ppm. C₄₂H₄₀BF₁₅GaN₃O₂ (984.29): calcd. C 51.25, H 4.10; found C 51.03, H 3.88.

 $[{H_2C=C(OX-Me_2)_2}GaMe_2][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ ([5a][B(C_6F_5)_4]): In a glovebox, stoichiometric amounts of [{BOX-Me₂}GaMe₂] (50 mg, 0.155 mmol) and $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (143 mg, 0.155 mmol) were charged in a 25-mL round-bottomed flask and dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). While under vigorous stirring at room temperature, the initial bright-red solution turned pale yellow within seconds, indicating the total consumption of the trityl salt. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, after which it was evaporated to dryness under vacuum. Addition of cold pentane (precooled to -35 °C) provoked the precipitation of a colorless solid. The mixture was then filtered through a glass frit and the obtained colorless residue washed three times with cold pentane to remove any remaining Ph₃CH. Further drying of the residue in vacuo afforded the salt species [5a][B(C₆F₅)₄] as an analytically pure colorless solid (136 mg, 88% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = -0.01$ (s, 6 H, GaMe₂), 1.53 (s, 12 H, CMe₂), 4.44 (s, 4 H, OCH₂), 7.30 (s, 2 H, CH₂=C) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = -4.3$ (GaMe₂), 26.8 (CMe₂), 69.3 (CMe₂), 80.6 (OCH₂), 118.4 (H₂C=C), 136.7 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C,F}$ = 243 Hz, $C_{6}F_{5}$), 138.6 (dm, ${}^{1}J_{C,F}$ = 243 Hz, C_6F_5), 143.7 (H₂C=C), 148.5 (dm, ${}^1J_{C,F}$ = 239 Hz, C_6F_5), 162.6 (OC=N) ppm. C₃₈H₂₄BF₂₀GaN₂O₂ (1001.11): calcd. C 45.59, H 2.42; found C 45.95, H 2.37.

X-ray Structure Analysis of Complexes 2a,b, [4a][MeB(C₆F₅)₃] and [5a][B(C₆F₅)₄]: Single crystal of 2a, 2b, [4a][MeB(C₆F₅)₃], and [5a][B(C₆F₅)₄] were mounted on a Nonius Kappa-CCD area detector diffractometer (Mo- K_{α} radiation; $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The complete conditions of data collection (Denzo software)^[20] and structure refinements are given in Table 3. The cell parameters were determined from reflections taken from one set of 10 frames (1.0° steps in phi angle), each at 20 s exposure. The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS97) and refined against F^2 using the SHELXL97 software.^[21] The absorption was not corrected. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were generated according to stereochemistry and refined using a riding model in SHELXL97.

CCDC-273080 (for **2a**), -273081 (for **2b**), -273082 (for **[4a]**[MeB(C_6F_5)₃]), and -273083 (for **[5a]**[MeB(C_6F_5)₃]) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Acknowledgments

We thank the French Ministry of Research for financial support through an ACI grant (no. JC4045). We also thank Dr. A. De Cian for the X-ray diffraction studies.

www.eurjic.org

^[1] D. A. Atwood, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 176, 407-430.

^[2] M. Bochmann, D. M. Dawson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 2226–2228.

FULL PAPER

- [3] a) M. P. Coles, R. F. Jordan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 8125–8126; b) M. Bruce, V. C. Gibson, C. Redshaw, G. A. Solan, A. J. P. White, Chem. Commun. 1998, 2523–2524.
- [4] a) D. A. Atwood, J. A. Jegier, D. Rutherford, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 1995, 117, 6779–67780; b) J. A. Jegier, D. A. Atwood, Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 2034–2039.
- [5] N. Emig, H. Nguyen, H. Krautscheid, R. Réau, J.-B. Cazaux, G. Bertrand, *Organometallics* 1998, 17, 3599–3608.
- [6] For two structurally characterized "base-free" three-coordinate group 13 alkyls, see: a) C. E. Radzewich, M. P. Coles, R. F. Jordan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 8673–8674; b) A. H. Cowley, Z. Lu, J. N. Jones, J. A. More, J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 2562–2564.
- [7] a) S. Dagorne, I. A. Guzei, M. P. Coles, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 274–289; b) A. V. Korolev, E. Ihara, I. A. Guzei, V. G. Young, Jr., R. F. Jordan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8291–8309; c) P. A. Cameron, V. C. Gibson, C. Redshaw, J. A. Segal, G. A. Solan, A. J. P. White, D. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2001, 1472–1476; d) P. A. Cameron, V. C. Gibson, C. Redshaw, J. A. Segal, A. J. P. White, D. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 415–422; e) D. Pappalardo, C. Tedesco, C. Pellechia, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 621–628; f) J. A. R. Schmidt, J. Arnold, Organometallics 2002, 21, 2306–2313; g) D. Pappalardo, M. Mazzeo, P. Montefusco, C. Tedesco, C. Pellechia, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 1292–1298.
- [8] Several cationic methylgallium complexes are stable in water due to the high stability of the GaMe²⁺ moiety. For examples, see: a) D. F. Shriver, R. W. Parry, *Inorg. Chem.* **1962**, *1*, 835–838; b) R. S. Tobias, M. J. Sprague, G. E. Glass, *Inorg. Chem.* **1968**, *7*, 1714–1721.
- [9] a) S. Dagorne, L. Lavanant, R. Welter, C. Chassenieux, P. Haquette, G. Jaouen, *Organometallics* 2003, 22, 3732–3741; b)
 S. Dagorne, I. Janowska, R. Welter, J. Zakrzewski, G. Jaouen, *Organometallics* 2004, 23, 4706–4710.

- [10] S. Dagorne, S. Bellemin-Laponnaz, R. Welter, Organometallics 2004, 23, 3053–3061.
- [11] M. Stender, B. E. Eichler, N. J. Hardman, P. P. Power, J. Prust, M. Noltemeyer, H. W. Roesky, *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, 40, 2794– 2799.
- [12] J. Barker, N. C. Blacker, P. R. Phillips, N. W. Alcock, W. Errington, M. G. H. Wallbridge, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 431–437.
- [13] S. Dagorne, R. F. Jordan, V. J. Young, Organometallics 1999, 18, 4619–4623.
- [14] The ¹H NMR resonance of the MeB(C₆F₅)₃⁻ anion ($\delta = 0.97$ ppm) at room temp. in C₆D₅Br is close to that of the ammonium salt [NBu₃(CH₂Ph)][MeB(C₆F₅)₃] ($\delta = 1.01$ ppm).
- [15] A. V. Korolev, F. Delpech, S. Dagorne, I. A. Guzei, R. F. Jordan, *Organometallics* 2001, 20, 3367–3369.
- [16] D. A. Atwood, R. A. Jones, A. H. Cowley, S. G. Bott, J. L. Atwood, J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 425, C1–C3.
- [17] D. A. Atwood, R. A. Jones, A. H. Cowley, S. G. Bott, J. L. Atwood, J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 434, 143–150.
- [18] For a H⁻ abstraction by Ph₃C⁺ at a C_a metal-bonded alkyl group, see, for example: P. Jernakoff, N. J. Cooper, *Organome*tallics **1986**, *5*, 747–751. For a recent example of an abstraction at a C_β metal-bonded alkyl group, see: P. Mehrkhodavanti, R. R. Schrock, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 10746–10747.
- [19] V. I. Bregadze, N. G. Furmanova, L. M. Golubiskaya, O. Y. Kompan, Y. T. Struchkov, J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 192, 1– 15.
- [20] Kappa CCD Operation Manual; Nonius B. V.: Delft, The Netherlands, 1997.
- [21] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL97, Program for the refinement of crystal structures, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997. Received: May 26, 2005
 Published Online: September 8, 2005