JBC Papers in Press. Published on January 22, 2020 as Manuscript RA119.011277 The latest version is at http://www.jbc.org/cgi/doi/10.1074/jbc.RA119.011277

The architecture of the diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase active site provides mechanistic insight into the biosynthesis of the chemical chaperone ectoine

Alexandra A. Richter^{1,2}, Stefanie Kobus³, Laura Czech^{1,2}, Astrid Hoeppner^{3,}, Jan Zarzycki⁴, Tobias J. Erb^{2,4}, Lukas Lauterbach⁵, Jeroen S. Dickschat⁵, Erhard Bremer^{1,2,*} and Sander H.J. Smits^{3,6,*}

 ¹Department of Biology, Laboratory for Microbiology, Philipps-University Marburg, D-35043 Marburg, Germany
 ²SYNMIKRO Research Center, Philipps-University Marburg, D-35043 Marburg, Germany
 ³Center for Structural Studies, Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany
 ⁴Max-Planck-Insitute for Terrestrial Microbiology, Department of Biochemistry and Synthetic Metabolism, Marburg, Germany
 ⁵Kekulé-Institute for Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Friedrich-Wilhelms-University Bonn, D-53121 Bonn, Germany
 ⁶Institute of Biochemistry, Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

*co-corresponding authors

Running title: Crystal structure of L-2,4-diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase

To whom correspondence should be addressed: Sander H.J. Smits, Institute of Biochemistry, Heinrich-Heine-University Düsseldorf, Universitätsstrasse 1, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany. Phone: (+49)-2118-112647. Fax: (+49)-211-8115310. E-mail: sander.smits@hhu.de

To whom correspondence should be addressed: Erhard Bremer, Department of Biology, Laboratory for Microbiology, Philipps-University Marburg, Karl-von-Frisch Strasse 8, D-35043 Marburg, Germany. Phone: (+49)-6421-2821529. Fax: (+49)-6421-2828979. E-mail: <u>bremer@staff.uni-marburg.de</u>

Keywords: microbial enzyme, acetyl-coenzyme A, acetylation, crystal structure, structure-function, osmotic stress response, chemical chaperone, L-2,4-diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase (EctA), structural biology, reaction mechanism

Please direct all correspondence concerning this manuscript **during the reviewing, editorial and printing process to Dr. Erhard Bremer.** Philipps-University Marburg, Dept. of Biology, Laboratory for Microbiology, Karlvon-Frisch-Str. 8, D-35032 Marburg, Germany. Phone: (+49)-6421-2821529. Fax: (+49)-6421-2828979. E-Mail: <u>bremer@staff.uni-marburg.de</u>

Abstract

Ectoine is a solute compatible with the physiologies of both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells and is widely synthesized by bacteria as an osmotic stress protectant. Because it preserves functional attributes of proteins and macromolecular complexes, it is considered a chemical chaperone and has numerous found practical applications. However, the mechanism of its biosynthesis is incompletely understood. The second step in ectoine biosynthesis is catalyzed by L-2,4diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase (EctA; EC 2.3.1.178), which transfers the acetyl group from acetyl CoA to EctB-formed L-2,4diaminobutyrate (DAB), yielding N-γ-acetyl-L-2,4-diaminobutyrate $(N-\gamma-ADABA),$ the substrate of ectoine synthase (EctC). Here, we report the biochemical and structural characterization of the EctA enzyme from the thermotolerant bacterium Paenibacillus lautus (PI). We found that (PI)EctA forms a homodimer whose enzyme activity is highly regiospecific by producing N-γ-ADABA but not the ectoine catabolic intermediate $N-\alpha$ -ADABA. High-resolution crystal structures of (PI)EctA (at 1.2-2.2 Å resolution) for (i) its apo form, (ii) in complex with CoA, (iii) in complex with DAB, (iv) in complex with both with CoA and DAB, and (v) in the presence of the product *N*-γ-ADABA were obtained. To pinpoint residues involved in DAB binding, we probed the structure-function relationship of (PI)EctA bv site-directed mutagenesis. Phylogenomics shows that EctA-type proteins from both Bacteria and Archaea are evolutionarily highly conserved, including catalytically important residues. Collectively, our biochemical and structural findings yielded detailed insights into the catalytic core of the EctA enzyme that laid the foundation for unraveling its reaction mechanism.

Introduction

Compatible solutes are a distinct of highly water-soluble group organic osmolytes that are compliant with the biochemistry and physiology of both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells (1-3). The function-preserving attributes of these solutes for proteins and other cellular components (4-9) led to their description as chemical chaperones (10-12). Building on the special physico-chemical characteristics of these compounds, many members of the Bacteria, Archaea, and Eukarya use compatible solutes as cytoprotectants against different types of environmental and cellular challenges (1-3,13-15).

Compatible solutes have been widely adopted by microorganisms as osmotic stress protectants (3,16-18). Their amassing, either through synthesis or uptake (13), increases the osmotic potential of the cytoplasm and prevents a long-lasting increase in the ionic strength of this cell compartment (19,20) under osmotically unfavorable conditions. As an immediate result of compatible solute accumulation, high-osmolarity-triggered water efflux from the cell is counteracted. This in turn prevents drop of vital turgor to physiologically non-sustainable values, and averts an undue increase in molecular crowding of the cytoplasm (19-22).

Ectoine [(*S*)-2-methyl-1,4,5,6tetrahydropyrimidine-4-carboxylic acid] (23) and its derivative 5-hydroxyectoine [(4*S*,5*S*)-5hydroxy-2-methyl-1,4,5,6-

tetrahydropyrimidine-4-carboxylic acid] (24) are prominent members of type of compatible solutes used by microorganisms (3). They are widely synthesized by *Bacteria* (25,26), by a restricted number of *Archaea* (27), and notably, also by a few halophilic protist (28-30), and some microalgae (31). Synthesis of ectoine starts from L-aspartate- β semialdehyde, a central hub in bacterial amino acid and cell wall synthesis (32-36). Ectoine is formed by sequential reactions of L- 2,4-diaminobutyrate transaminase (EctB; EC 2.6.1.76), L-2,4-diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase (EctA; EC 2.3.1.178), and ectoine synthase (EctC; EC 4.2.1.108) (34,36). A substantial sub-group of ectoine producers can modify ectoine to yield 5-hydroxyectoine, a biotransformation catalyzed by the ectoine hydroxylase (EctD; EC 1.14.11.55) (37-39). In comparison with ectoine, 5-hydroxyectoine often possesses enhanced, or additional, protective attributes against various types of cellular and environmental constraints (26, 40, 41).Reflecting the osmostress protective role of ectoines in microbial physiology, their enhanced production is typically triggered when microbial cells are exposed to high osmolarity surroundings. This process is largely caused by a strong upregulation in the transcription of the ectABC(D) biosynthetic genes (26,40-44).

Ectoines can also protect microorganisms against the detrimental effects of extremes in either high or low growth temperatures (39,45-47). They can preserve the functionality of proteins against various types of challenges (8,9,48-51), ameliorate desiccation stress (52,53), influence membrane fluidity and stabilize lipid bilayers (54,55), protect DNA from damage by ionizing radiation (56,57), enhance structural changes in DNA (58,59), provide oxidative stress resistance (60), and possess hydroxyl radical scavenging activities (61). Building on the function-preserving and anti-inflammatory properties of ectoines, various types of medical applications of ectoines are also increasingly pursued (62-65).

Reflecting their function as chemical chaperones, ectoines have already found a considerable number of commercial applications (26,40,66). То satisfy the increased demand for ectoines, an industrial scale biotechnological production process has been developed that uses the highly salttolerant bacterium Halomonas elongata as a natural and engineered cell factory (67); it is able to deliver ectoines on the scale of tons annually (40). Hence, both from the perspective of basic science and the biotechnological production of ectoines, a deeper understanding of the properties of the ectoine/5-hydroxyectoine biosynthetic enzymes is desirable (34,36,68). Substantial advances in this context have recently been made though detailed biochemical and structural studies of EctB (69), EctC (25,70), and EctD (71-73). In contrast, the L-2,4diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase (EctA), the focus of this study, is far less well understood.

EctA catalyzes the second step in ectoine biosynthesis (34,36,41) and belongs to the superfamily of GCN5-related Nacetyltransferases (GNAT) (74,75). These types of enzymes catalyze the transfer of an acetyl group from acetyl-coenzyme A (CoA)¹ to an amino group of a range of acceptor molecules (74,75). In the case of EctA, L-2,4diaminobutyrate (DAB), the reaction product of the EctB enzyme (69), is acetylated to yield *N*-γ-acetyl-L-2,4-diaminobutyrate (*N*-γ-ADABA) (34,68) (Figure 1A). This intermediate is the substrate of the ectoine synthase EctC, which forms the cyclic ectoine molecule through a water elimination reaction (25,34,36).

Basic biochemical properties of EctA enzymes from *H. elongata* and several methylotrophs have been reported (34,35,68,76). However, а thorough understanding of EctA is still lacking, and in particular, crystal structures in complex with its substrates and/or its reaction product are missing. To fill this gap, we report here biochemical and structural characteristics of EctA from the thermotolerant bacterium Paenibacillus lautus (Pl) (77) in its apo, substrate and co-substrate bound forms, and a crystal structure trapping the reaction product. Collectively, this crystallographic combined with site-directed analysis, mutagenesis experiments, illuminate the architecture of the active site of the EctA L-2,4-diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase and

allow a proposal for its enzyme reaction mechanism.

Results and discussion Overproduction and purification of (PI)EctA

Ectoine/5-hydroxyectoine-producing microorganisms can populate ecological niches with rather different biological and physico-chemical characteristics (26,40,41). One of these ectoine-producing microorganisms is the P. lautus (Pl) strain Y4.12MC10, a Gram-positive spore-forming intestinal bacterium that was originally isolated from the effluent of the Obsidian hot spring in the Yellowstone National Park (USA) (77). We explored the suitability of the (PI)EctA protein for biochemical and crystallographic analysis.

For the heterologous production of (PI)EctA in Escherichia coli, we constructed expression vectors using a codon optimized version of the (PI)ectA gene (GenBank accession number MF327591.1). These constructs yielded (PI)EctA proteins with either a N-terminal (NH₂-WSHPQFEK-SG) or a C-terminal (SA-WSHPQFEK-COOH) Strep-Tag II peptide for their purification by affinity chromatography. The corresponding synthetic (PI)ectA constructs were expressed under the control of the plasmid-based TetR-regulated tet promoter. Both the Strep-Tag II-(PI)EctA and the (PI)EctA-Strep-Tag II proteins could be purified to apparent homogeneity (Figure 1B). Both versions of the (PI)EctA protein show a dimeric state in solution, as assessed by size exclusion chromatography coupled to multiangle light scattering (SEC-MALS) (78). This is documented for the (PI)EctA-Strep-Tag II protein in Figure 1C and revealed a molecular mass of 39.73 ± 0.04 kDa. The calculated theoretical molecular mass of the recombinant monomers are 20.68 kDa [Strep-Tag II-(PI)EctA] and 20.25kDa [(PI)EctA-Strep-Tag II], respectively. Thus, the SEC-MALS data suggest that the (PI)EctA protein is a stable dimer in solution, in line with previous reports that the EctA proteins from *H. elongata* and from the methylotrophs *Methylomicrobium alcaliphilum*, *Methylophaga alcalica*, and *Methylophaga thalassica* are also dimers in solution (34,68). Collectively, these findings suggest that dimer-formation is probably a general feature of EctA-type L-2,4diaminobutyrate acetyltransferases.

Analysis of the purified Strep-Tag II-(PI)EctA and (PI)EctA-Strep-Tag II proteins by SDS-PAGE revealed a significant difference in their migration behavior (Figure 1B) that was not expected from their almost identical calculated theoretical molecular masses. We performed therefore an electronsprayionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) analysis of both recombinant proteins. The molecular masses determined by this technique revealed values of 20.55 kDa for the Strep-Tag II-(PI)EctA protein and 20.12 kDa for the (PI)EctA-Strep-Tag II protein, respectively. Hence, in both cases, the experimentally determined masses correlate with the calculated theoretical masses of the recombinant proteins minus 0.13 kDa. This could possibly finding indicate а posttranslational elimination of the N-terminal methionine residues during heterologous production and purification of the two Nterminal and C-terminal Strep-Tag II marked (PI)EctA proteins. The reason for the notable difference in their migration behavior on 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gels is not apparent.

Kinetic parameters of (PI)EctA

In the ectoine biosynthesis route, N- γ -ADABA is the expected product of the EctAcatalyzed enzyme reaction (34,36,41). In contrast, its isomer N- α -ADABA is formed as an intermediate during the catabolism of ectoine when microorganisms use this nitrogen-rich compound as a nutrient (67,79). Notably, N- α -ADABA, but not N- γ -ADABA, serves as the inducer for the MocR/GabR-type EnuR regulatory protein (80) controlling the expression of many ectoine catabolic gene clusters (41,81). To ascertain that the (PI)EctA enzyme exclusively synthesizes N-y-ADABA from L-2,4-diaminobutyrate and acetyl-CoA (34,36) (Figure 1A), we performed an enzyme assay in which we subsequently benchmarked the formed reaction product(s) against chemically synthesized and purified N-y-ADABA and N- α -ADABA reference samples. We used for this experiment an HPLC analysis protocol allowing the separation of the two ADABA isomers (70,82). Our analysis showed that the (PI)EctA enzyme indeed exclusively synthesizes N-γ-ADABA under in vitro assay conditions (Figure 2A). Therefore, we conclude that the EctA L-2,4-diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase transfers the acetyl group from the co-substrate to the substrate in a highly position-specific manner.

For the initial enzyme assays, we determined basic biochemical characteristics of the recombinant (PI)EctA-Strep-Tag II protein, including its pH-optimum, its salt tolerance, and its optimal temperature. The (PI)EctA enzyme showed a broad pH-optimum under alkaline conditions (pH 8.5-9.5), maintaining 75% of its activity at pH 10 (Supporting information FigureA). The enzyme against was sensitive extreme acidic conditions, as less then 10% of enzyme activity was retained at pH 6.0. Based upon these data, we performed all subsequent enzyme assays at pH 7.5 (75 % EctA activity) to prevent spontaneous hydrolysis of acetyl-CoA under alkaline conditions.

The tolerance of the (*PI*)EctA enzyme against increased concentrations of NaCl was rather modest: a content of 100 mM NaCl in the assay solution already lead to a notable decrease of the enzyme activity (down to 75 %), and only about 12 % of the enzyme activity remained when the NaCl content of the reaction buffer was increased to 1.5 M (Supporting information Figure S1B). The saltsensitivity of the (*PI*)EctA enzyme contrasts sharply with the enzymatic characteristics of the EctB L-2,4-diaminobutyrate transaminase (69) and of the EctC ectoine synthase (25) from *P. lautus* Y4.12MC10 as these are highly salt-tolerant enzymes. Differences with respect to the behavior of EctA-type enzymes from various microorganisms towards NaCl have already been reported. Moderate concentrations of NaCl (0.2-0.4 M) activate the corresponding enzymes from *H. elongata* and *M. alcaliphilum*. However, increased NaCl concentrations inhibit those of *M. thalassica* and *M. alcalica* (34,68), a feature shared by the *P. lautus* EctA enzyme (Supporting information Figure S1B).

Keeping the thermo-tolerant nature of the *P. lautus* Y4.12MC10 donor strain in mind (77), a substantial degree of thermoresistance of the (*PI*)EctA enzyme activity was expected. Predictably, the activity of the (*PI*)EctA enzyme increased with increasing temperature (Supporting information Figure 1C). However, the purified protein was not very thermostable, as the enzyme was only active for very short times at temperatures higher than 40 °C. For instance, at 50° C, the (*PI*)EctA enzyme was highly active but only for a few seconds, whereupon the activity dropped precipitously.

Considering the results of these basic enzyme assays, and taking the spontaneous hydrolysis of acetyl-CoA under alkaline conditions and the instability of the (*PI*)EctA protein at high temperatures into account, we devised an enzyme assay for the (*PI*)EctA protein. It employed the following conditions: 100 mM TES buffer (pH 7.5), 4 mM acetyl-CoA or 5 mM DAB, respectively, and a temperature of 30 °C. The following apparent kinetic parameters for the (*PI*)EctA were determined: (i) a K_m of 0.13 ± 0.03 mM and a V_{max} of 44.87 ± 2.66 U mg⁻¹ for the substrate DAB, and (ii) K_m of 2.79 ± 0.73 mM and a V_{max} of 58.27 ± 6.56 U mg⁻¹ for acetyl-CoA (Figure 2B,C).

Crystal structure of apo-form of EctA reveals a homodimer

In order to gain insights into the molecular mechanisms of the EctA enzyme, we determined crystal structures of the apoform of (*PI*)EctA, in the presence of the two substrates, or its reaction product (Figure 1A). First, we determined the crystal structure of the apo-(*PI*)EctA protein; the obtained structure had a resolution of 2.2 Å (Table 1). The (*PI*)EctA protein adopts the classical GNAT fold (74,75) with a mixed parallel/antiparallel, twisted β -sheet in its center, flanked by four α -helices. A short 3₁₀-helix (α 5) is present on the extended outward-facing loop connecting β -strand 6 and β 7 (Figure 3A, B).

To identify the structurally closest homologs of the (PI)EctA protein, we performed a DALI search (83) which revealed a variety of acetyltransferases among the top hits. The structurally closest relative of (PI)EctA is the L-2,4 diaminobutyric acid acyltransferease (EctA) from the pathogen Bordetella parapertussis (Bp) in complex with the substrate DAB (PDB entry 3D3S: r.m.s.d. of 1.3 Å over 158 C α atoms). No detailed description of this crystal structure, or a publication describing the salient features of the (Bp)EctA enzyme is currently available. We discuss the position of the DAB ligand in the 3D3S crystal structure below, as it differs substantially from that which we found in the (PI)EctA crystal structure.

Further inspection of the crystal packing, and in line with our SEC-MALS analysis (Figure 1C), revealed the presence of an (*PI*)EctA homodimer within the asymmetric unit (AS). Two (*PI*)EctA monomers are packed against each other mainly through helices α 1, α 2, the short 3₁₀-helix α 5 and strand β 5 and their corresponding loop regions. Both monomers are rotated against each other by about 180° (Figure 4A, B). The interface area, as calculated with the PDBePISA online server (https://ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/pisa/), is 1505.3 Å² and comprises 27 hydrogen bonds or salt

bridges (Supporting information Table S1). Notably, the dimeric assembly of (*PI*)EctA also revealed that the side chain of Tyr38 from one monomer penetrates into the other and *vice versa* (Figure 4B). This residue is part of the amino acid sequence ³⁶SP<u>Y</u>CYMLLGD⁴⁵ that forms helix α 2 (Figure 3A). The aromatic sidechain of Tyr38 points towards the binding site of the DAB substrate (Figure 4B and see below).

The crystal structures of (PI)EctA in complex with the co-substrate reveals an evolutionarily conserved CoA binding site

Next, we determined the (*PI*)EctA:CoA structure by molecular replacement using the apo-(*PI*)EctA structure as search model. This crystal structure has a resolution of 1.5 Å (Table 1). The CoA molecule could be unambiguously placed in the crystal structure and refined into a well-defined density present in the active site of (*PI*)EctA (Figure 5). A comparison of the apo- and CoA-bound structures of (*PI*)EctA revealed no major overall differences, as indicated by a r.m.s.d. of only 0.4 Å over 150 C α atoms.

In the (PI)EctA:CoA complex, the CoA molecule (Figure 5A,B) is bound in a deep cleft formed by the loops connecting $\alpha 1 - \alpha 2$, $\beta 4 - \alpha 3$, β 5- α 4 and helices α 3 and α 4 (Figure 3), creating а mainly positively charged surrounding for the co-substrate (Figure 5A, C). The 3'-phosphate group of the adenosine moiety interacts with the side chains of Lys94 (at a distance of 2.9 Å) and Arg125 (at a distance of 3.0 Å), while the side chain of Arg89 acts as a clamp that, together with the helix $\alpha 4$, sandwiches the adenine moiety. As characteristic for GNAT superfamily enzymes, the "P-loop", is the signature motif for the CoA-pyrophosphate binding site (74,75,84) and possesses the following consensus Gln/Arg-x-x-Gly-x-Gly/Ala. sequence: The amino acid sequence of this region present in the (PI)EctA protein corresponds to residues Arg88-Arg89-Gln90-Gly91-Ile92-Ala93. The

oxygen atoms of the pyrophosphate are involved in hydrogen bonding with the backbone nitrogen atoms of Arg89 (at a distance of 2.8 Å), Gln90 (at a distance of 3.3 Å), Gly91 (at a distance of 2.9 Å), Ala 93 (at a distance of 2.9 Å) and Lys94 (at a distance of 2.9 Å). The pantothenate unit is hydrogen bonded by its amide O atom to the backbone of Val83 (at a distance of 2.8 Å). The β -alanine carbonyl oxygen interacts with the side chain of Asn120 (2.8 Å) and the cysteamine nitrogen with the backbone hydroxyl group of Val81 (at a distance of 2.7 Å) (Figure 5C). Although the overall fold of (PI)EctA does not significantly differ upon substrate and/or cofactor binding, it is worth mentioning that the N-terminal part of the loop connecting helices $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ (i.e. amino acids 29-32) folds slightly closer towards α2 in the (PI)EctA:CoA crystal structure, thereby enabling the tail of the cofactor to orient towards the substrate binding site.

CoA-binding sites are evolutionarily highly conserved (75,84). We therefore assessed whether this was also true for the corresponding binding site in the (PI)EctA:CoA crystal structure. Supporting information Figure S2A-C represents overlays of the (PI)EctA:CoA structure with that of the Ard1 acetyltransferase from the archaeon Sulfolobus sulfataricus P2 (PDB entry 2X7B), an acetyltransferase from the bacterium Agrobacterium tumefaciens (PDB entry 2GE3), and the human acetyltransferase NAA50 (PDB entry 4X5K). This comparisons highlights that the CoA binding site in the (PI)EctA:CoA crystal structure corresponds closely the architecture(s) of CoA-binding sites (75,84).

The (PI)EctA homodimer forms the binding sites for the DAB substrate

To further understand the catalytic mechanism of (*PI*)EctA, we determined its crystal structure bound to its substrate DAB. This structure has a resolution of 1.5 Å (Table 1) and was solved by molecular replacement

using the apo-(PI)EctA structure as search model. Our structural analysis shows that the DAB substrate is located in a narrow grove that is buried inside the enzyme (Figure 4A). Contrary to the CoA-binding site, parts of both monomers of (PI)EctA build up the DAB binding site. From monomer A, the loop connecting $\alpha 1-\alpha 2$, $\beta 4$, the C-terminus of $\beta 5$ and C-terminal part of the loop to $\beta7$ (Figure 3), contributes to the binding pocket. In addition, helix $\alpha 2$ (particularly residue Tyr38) from monomer B complete the mainly negatively charged substrate-binding pocket (Figure 4B and Figure 6A). DAB interacts via both O atoms of the carboxy group with the side chain of GIn80 and Trp79 (at distances of 3.0 Å and 3.2 Å) and the side and main chain atoms of Asp33 (at distances of 3.2 Å and 2.8 Å). The side chain of Asp33 in turn is coordinated via the side chain of His155 (at a distance of 2.8 Å). The DAB nitrogen (N) is hydrogen bonded by Asp33 and Glu158 (at distances of 3.2 Å and 2.7 Å), whereas the distal N atom (ND) interacts with the backbone of Trp79 (at a distance of 2.9 Å) (Figure 6A).

То consolidate our structural assessments of the DAB-binding site, we probed the importance of seven DABcontacting residues for (PI)EctA enzyme activity via site-directed mutagenesis. We replaced each of these DAB-contacting residues separately with an Ala residue (Table 2). In an alignment of 432 bona fide EctA proteins (41), these seven residues are either strictly conserved or conservatively replaced by amino acid residues with similar properties. This is documented in an abbreviated alignment of 15 EctA-type proteins when (PI)EctA was used as the search query (Supporting information Figure S3). For all constructed (PI)EctA variants, we observed no differences in the their purification in comparison with the wild-type protein. All mutants exhibited a significantly reduced enzyme activity. While the His155/Ala,

Asp33/Ala, and Trp79/Ala (PI)EctA variants showed strongly reduced enzyme activity (remaining activities were 29.8 %, 8.7 % and 9.9 %, respectively), the other four (PI)EctA variants even exhibited only residual enzyme activity (<2 %) (Table 2). Because Tyr38 of monomer B protrudes into the DAB-bindingsite of monomer A (and vice versa) (Figure 4B), and is apparently crucial for (PI)EctA enzyme activity (Table 2), we asked if the Tyr38/Ala substitution would fundamentally disturbe the dimer assembly of (PI)EctA. We therefore carried out a SEC-MALS analysis of the (PI)EctA-Tyr38/Ala variant and found that it still forms a stable dimer in solution (Supporting information Figure S4). Collectively, our mutant studies support the position and salient characteristics of the DABbinding site that we have captured in the (PI)EctA:DAB crystal complex (Figure 6A).

Among the top hits from the DALI search with the (PI)EctA crystal structure as the query, only the crystal structure of the L-2,4-diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase (EctA) from B. parapertussis (PDB entry 3D3S) contains DAB. The (PI)EctA and (Bp)EctA crystal structures possess an r.m.s.d. of 1.3 Å over 156 C α atoms when the structures were overlaid with each other (Supporting information Figure S5). However, in the (Bp)EctA crystal structure, the DAB-binding site is located at the interface of the two monomers (Supporting information Figure S5), whereas it is deeply buried in the two monomers of the (PI)EctA crystal structure that we present here (Figure 4A). DAB needs to react with acetyl-CoA for the EctAmediated transfer of the acetyl group to form the reaction products N-\gamma-ADABA and CoA (Figure 1A). Hence, one would expect that the two substrates be positioned in close proximity in the active site. In the crystal structures of the (PI)EctA protein, this is indeed the case (Figure 4A) (see below). However, in the (*Bp*)EctA:DAB crystal structure, the predicted position of the CoA molecule would be located at a large distance DAB from the molecule. Since the crystallization condition and a detailed description of the (Bp)EctA crystal structure have not yet been published, we cannot distinguish whether this is due to crystallization procedures, or whether this protein contains an active site whose architecture is substantially different from that of (PI)EctA. This latter possibility seems unlikely to us because the amino acid sequences of bona fide EctA-type proteins are highly conserved (41) [note: the (PI)EctA and (Bp)EctA proteins possess an amino acid sequence identity of 36.4% and amino acid sequence similarity of 49.7 %].

The (PI)EctA crystal structure in complex with CoA and DAB

We succeeded in crystallizing (PI)EctA in complex with both the CoA cofactor and the DAB substrate at a resolution of 1.2 Å (Table 1). While (PI)EctA:CoA contains only one monomer in the ASU, the ternary complex [(PI)EctA:CoA:DAB] crystallized as a dimer in the ASU. This is the functional dimer, which was observed in all crystal structures when we inspected the symmetry-related molecules. In the (PI)EctA:CoA and (PI)EctA:CoA:DAB crystal structures, the overall fold of the protein and the binding of CoA was very similar, as evidenced by the low r.m.s.d. of 0.41 Å over 131 Cα atoms between both crystal The largest difference was structures. observed for the tail of the CoA molecule and the loop between helix $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$. The β alanine-cysteamine tail of CoA is oriented slightly outwards thereby introducing an additional hydrogen bond that is formed by the oxygen of the Ser31 side-chain with the N4P atom in monomer A (at a distance of 2.7 Å) (Figure 7). Through this stable interaction, the loop between helices $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ is shifted towards the CoA cofactor. In chain B of the (PI)EctA:CoA:DAB structure, the CoA tail is bent more outwards, such that the interactions with Asn120 and Ser31 is weakened by an increased distance to 3.7 Å. In the (*PI*)EctA:CoA:DAB structure, the DAB substrate is located at the same position as found in the (*PI*)EctA:DAB structure. Actually, the DAB molecule is bound by via the same set of interactions in both crystal structures (Figure 6A). Hence, the (*PI*)EctA:CoA:DAB crystal structure probably represents the transition state of the (*PI*)EctA enzyme.

The N- γ -ADABA binding site of the (PI)EctA enzyme

One of the crystal structures that we obtained (PDB entry 6SJY) contained the reaction product of the EctA enzymes, N-y-ADABA (Figure 1A). This crystal structure had a resolution of 2.2 Å (Table 1). Three monomers of the (PI)EctA protein are present in the ASU, and only in monomer C was the electron density in the substrate-binding pocket sufficiently defined to evaluate the correct orientation of the N-y-ADABA molecule within the active site (Figure 6B). N-\gamma-ADABA forms hydrogen bonds with Asp33, Glu158, Gln80 and Trp79 (at distances of 3.2 Å, 2.7 Å, 3.3 Å and 3.5 Å, respectively), whereas the carbonyl oxygen of the acetyl group transferred from acetyl CoA onto DAB to form N-y-ADABA makes a H-bond to the backbone nitrogen of Val81 (at a distance of 3.1 Å) (Figure 6B). Notably, the enzyme reaction product N-y-ADABA occupies essentially the same position and orientation as the substrate DAB within the active site of (PI)EctA protein (compare Figure 6A with 6B).

Structures of the apo-, secondary-, and ternacy-complex of (PI)EctA represent different steps of the catalytic cycle

By comparing all obtained (*Pl*)EctA crystal structures, crucial steps in the catalytic cycle of the L-2,4-diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase can be visualized (Figure 8 and Supporting information video S1). In the apo-form of the enzyme, the binding sites for

the substrate DAB and the co-substrate acetyl-CoA are present in an "open" conformation (Figure 8A). In this structure, there is a surface-exposed extended tunnel in which acetyl-CoA will bind and a deep cavity is present in which DAB will be bound (and Supplementary video 1). We do not know in which sequence of events the (PI)EctA protein recognizes its two substrates acetyl-CoA and DAB. However, the kinetic parameters of the (PI)EctA enzyme (Figure 2B,C) suggest that DAB might bind prior to acetyl-CoA to the protein. In the two secondary complexes that we obtained [(PI)EctA:CoA and (PI)EctA:DAB], the chemical groups of the two substrates involved in the acetylation reaction point towards each other (Figure 8B,C). In the next crystal structure, the reaction product of EctA, N-γ-ADABA, is captured (Figure 8D and Supplementary video 1).

In the crystal structure of the (PI)EctA:DAB:CoA ternary complex (Figure 8E), the CoA molecule is somewhat differently orientated from the position of the CoA molecule observed in the secondary (PI)EctA:CoA complex. In particular, the SHgroup of CoA points in a different direction in these two complexes (compare Figure 8B with Figure 8E). While keeping in mind that crystal structures only provide a snapshot of the various states a protein can adopt, the superimposable positions of the substrate DAB and the reaction product N-y-ADABA in the (PI)EctA active site (compare Figure 8C with Figure 8D) suggest that the protein backbone and the amino acid side chains do not move substantially during enzyme catalysis. An overlay of the (PI)EctA:DAB and (PI)EctA:CoA crystal structures revealed a distance of <3.0 Å between the sulfur atom of the CoA molecule and the reactive nitrogen in the γ -position of DAB (Figure 8F). This structural comparison suggests that these two secondary complexes might represent stages of the L-2,4diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase prior to catalysis.

Since acetyl-CoA is highly reactive, we were not able to obtain crystal structures of (PI)EctA:acetyl-CoA, the (PI)EctA:acetyl-CoA:DAB, or of the (PI)EctA:acetyl-CoA:N-\gamma-ADABA complex. Instead, in our crystal structures, the non-reactive CoA is always present (as it was added to the crystallization solutions). To visualize a possible tertiary complex in which the actual co-substrate of EctA L-2,4-diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase, acetyl-CoA, is captured [(PI)EctA:acetyl-CoA:DAB], we substituted in silico the thiol hydrogen (-SH) of CoA with an acetyl group [-C(O)CH₃] (Figure 8G). This in silico model visualizes how close the reactive groups of acetyl-CoA and DAB are juxtapositioned just before the transfer of the acetyl group to DAB occurs (Supporting information video S1). Release of the (PI)EctA reaction products CoA and N-y-ADABA from the active site would then restore the apo-form of the EctA enzyme (Figure 8A).

Conclusions

The five crystal structures of the (PI)EctA that we present here allow to trace and visualize the steps of the L-2,4diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase prior and subsequent to enzyme catalysis (Figure 8; Supporting information video S1). Both monomers of the (PI)EctA dimer are crucial for jointly building the complete architecture of the two active sites of the dimeric enzyme (Figure 4B). Bona fide L-2,4-diaminobutyrate acetyltransferases are closely related proteins as evidenced by the considerable degree of amino acid sequence identity (Supporting information Figure S3). Using the (PI)EctA protein as the search query, it ranges between 94 % for Paenibacillus glutanolyticus DSM5162 and 25 % for Oceanobacillus iheyensis HTE831 among 432 inspected EctA proteins retrieved from a dataset of a recent comprehensive phylogenomic analysis of ectABC gene clusters (41). Despite that these 432 EctA proteins originate from ten major bacterial and two archaeal phyla (41), the amino acid residues involved in the binding of the substrate DAB and the reaction product $N-\gamma$ -ADABA are highly conserved (Supporting information Figure S3). Furthermore, the architecture of the acetyl-CoA-binding site of (PI)EctA corresponds to an evolutionarily wellconserved fold found in microbial and acetyltransferases eukaryotic (75,84). Collectively, these data suggest that the crystal structures of the EctA enzyme that we present here from P. lautus (77), can serve as the blueprint for a structural and mechanistic understanding of L-2,4-diaminobutyrate acetyltransferases in general, enzymes catalyzing the second step of ectoine biosynthesis (36,41,68).

From the four enzymes required for production (EctABC) 5ectoine and hydroxyectoine (EctD) biosynthesis (26,34,40,41,45), the crystal structure of the L-2,4-diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase (EctA) now complements those of the already reported structures of ectoine synthase EctC (25) and ectoine hydroxylase EctD (71). Furthermore, an in silico model of the L-2,4diaminobutyrate transaminase (EctB) has also been recently established and probed by sitedirected mutagenesis (69). The seminal discovery of ectoine by Galinski et al. (23) in the extreme halophile Ectothiorhodospira halochloris, and of 5-hydroxyectoine by Inbar and Lapidot (24) in Streptomyces parvulus occurred over 30 years ago. Now, a structurebased view of the entire biosynthetic route of these remarkable stress protectants is finally at hand (Figure 9; Supporting information video S1). Collectively, this should aid now structure-guided attempts to improve the catalytic efficiency or stability of individual enzymes of the ectoine/5-hydroxyectoine biosynthetic route to increase industrial-scale biotechnological production of these commercially valuable chemical chaperones.

Experimental procedures Chemicals

Ectoine was a kind gift from the bitop AG (Witten, Germany). Anhydrotetracycline hydrochloride (AHT), desthiobiotin, and Strep-Tactin Superflow chromatography material for the purification of proteins fused to a Streptag II affinity peptide were purchased from IBA GmbH (Göttingen, Germany). The reaction L-2,4-diaminobutyrate product of the acetyltransferase (EctA), N-γ-acetyl-L-2,4diaminobutyric acid $(N-\gamma-ADABA),$ was synthesized through alkaline hydrolysis of ectoine (82). It was purified from the byproduct N- α -acetyl-L-2,4-diaminobutyric acid (N- α -ADABA) by repeated chromatography on a silica gel column (Merck silica gel 60) using a gradient of ethanol/25% ammonia/water 50:1:2 - 10:1:2 as eluent (70). The identity and purity of N-y-ADABA was monitored by thinlayer chromatography and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR) on a Bruker AVIII-400 or DRX-500 NMR spectrometer as described previously (36,70,82). All chemicals used to purify N-\gamma-ADABA were purchased either from Sigma Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany) or Acros (Geel, Belgium). Coenzyme A (CoA) tri-lithium salt was purchased from Roche Diagnostics (Mannheim, Germany). Other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany) and Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany).

Acetyl-CoA synthesis and purification

Acetyl-CoA was synthesized from acetic anhydride (85) using a slightly modified protocol. CoA (320 mg) was dissolved in 0.5 M (8 ml) sodium bicarbonate - HCl buffer (pH 7.4). The solution was cooled down to 4 °C and acetic anhydride (80 µl) was added drop wise under stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min and the completion of the reaction was confirmed by use of dithionitrobenzoic acid (DTNB) detecting the remaining free thiol groups. The reaction mixture was then acidified with formic acid until a pH of 3.0 was reached. The solution was subsequently degassed and directly applied to preparative HPLC for purification, using a preparative 1260 Infinity system (Agilent Technologies, Walsbronn, Germany). Acetyl-CoA, CoA, and other contaminants were separated using a 100 × 21 mm Gemini[®] 10 µM NX-C18 110 Å column (Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany) and a mobile phase system comprised of 25 mM ammonium formate (pH 4.2) and methanol. Separation was achieved using a gradient of 5 to 22 % of methanol over 7.5 min at a flow rate of 25 ml min⁻¹. Acetyl-CoA was detected using a 1260 infinity diode array detector (at 260 nm) and a 6130 Quadrupole MS system (Agilent Technologies, Walsbronn, Germany). Fractions containing acetyl-CoA were pooled and lyophilized for 48 hours. The dry powder of acetyl-CoA was freshly disolved in water before use in enzymatic assays with the purified (PI)EctA enzyme. The concentration of acetyl-CoA stock solutions were calculated from a molar extinction coefficient (ε_{260nm}) for saturated acyl-CoA thioesters of 16400 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (86).

Bacterial strains, media, and growth conditions

The *E coli* strain TOP10 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used for the propagation of plasmids carrying *ectA* genes. Cultures of the plasmid-carrying *E. coli* strain were grown at 37 °C in Luria-Bertani (LB) liquid medium (87) containing ampicillin (100 μ g ml⁻¹). Heterologous overproduction of plasmid-encoded *P. lautus* EctA proteins [(*Pl*)EctA] carrying a *Strep*-tag II affinity peptide either at the N- or C-terminus was carried out in the *E. coli* B strain BL21 in modified minimal medium A (MMA) (87) containing 0.5% (w/v) glucose as the carbon source and 0.5% (w/v) casamino acids, 1 mM MgSO₄, and 3 mM thiamine as supplements.

Recombinant DNA procedures and construction of plasmids

The DNA sequence of the ectA gene was retrieved from the genome sequence of P. lautus strain Y412MC10 (accession number: NC 013406.1) (77) and was used as a template for the synthesis of a codonoptimized version of the gene for its heterologous expression in E. coli. Synthesis of the (PI)ectA gene was conducted by Invitrogen GeneArt (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA), and its DNA sequence was deposited in the GenBank database under accession MF327591.1. number То allow the overproduction and affinity purification of the recombinant (PI)EctA protein in E. coli, we genetically constructed C- and N-terminal fusions of the ectA coding region to DNA segments encoding a Strep-tag II affinity peptide. For this purpose, the ectA gene was amplified from the plasmid (pLC46) provided by the supplier of the synthetic (PI)ectA gene constructs using custom-synthesized primers (Supporting information Table S2). The resulting PCR fragment was inserted into a pENTRY vector (IBA Göttingen, Germany), resulting in plasmid pLC48. By applying Stargate combinatorial cloning technology, the ectA-coding region was then inserted into the expression plasmids pASG-IBA3 and pASG-IBA5 (IBA, Göttingen, Germany), respectively; the resulting EctA overproduction plasmids were pLC50 (EctA with N-terminal Strep-tag II; NH₂-WSHPQFEK-SG) and pLC51 (EctA with Cterminal Strep-tag II; SA-WSHPQFEK-COOH). In these plasmids, expression of the recombinant (PI)ectA gene is mediated by the tet promoter whose transcriptional activity is regulated through TetR, an AHT-responsive repressor protein (IBA GmbH, Göttingen, Germany).

Site-directed mutagenesis of the (PI)ectA gene

Mutant derivatives of the codon optimized (*PI*)*ectA* gene present on plasmid pLC51 were constructed by targeted mutagenesis using the Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (New England BioLabs GmbH, Frankfurt a. M., Germany) with custom synthesized DNA primers purchased from Microsynth AG (Lindau, Germany). The DNA sequence of the entire coding region of each mutant (PI)ectA gene was determined by Eurofins MWG (Ebersberg, Germany) to ensure the presence of the desired mutation and the absence of unwanted alterations. The following (PI)ectA variants were constructed: pAR9 (GAT/GCG; Asp33/Ala), pAR10 (TAT/GCG; Tyr38/Ala), pAR11 (TGG/GCG; Trp79/Ala), pAR12 (CAG/GCG; Gln80/Ala), (ACC/GCG; pAR13 Thr115/Ala), pAR14 (CAT/GCG; His155/Ala), and pAR15 (GAA/GCG; Glu158/Ala).

Overproduction, purification, and determination of the quaternary assembly of EctA proteins

Cells of the E. coli B strain BL21 harboring an (PI)ectA expression plasmid (either pLC50 or pLC51) were inoculated into modified MMA containing 100 μg ml⁻¹ ampicillin (1 L medium in a 2 L Erlenmeyer flask) to an OD₅₇₈ of 0.1 from an overnight preculture prepared in LB medium. The cells were grown on an aerial shaker (set to 180 rpm) at 37 °C until the cultures reached an OD₅₇₈ of 0.5. At this time point the synthetic inducer AHT of the TetR repressor was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mg ml⁻¹ to trigger enhanced transcriptional activity of the tet promoter and thereby boost the expression of the plasmid-encoded (Pl)ectA gene. After 2 h of further growth of the culture, the E. coli B strain BL21 cells were harvested by centrifugation (2 360 x g, at 4° C for 15 min), re-suspended in 10 ml of purification buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl], and disrupted by passing them through a French Pressure cell (16 000 PSI). A cleared cell lysate of the disrupted cell was prepared by centrifugation (31 870 x g, at 4 °C for 45 min). The cleared cell extracts of the (PI)EctA overproducing cultures was used to purify the recombinant Strep-tag II-marked proteins by affinity chromatography on Strep-Tactin affinity resin as detailed previously (88,89). The concentration of the (PI)EctA protein in the individual fractions eluted from the Strep-Superflow affinity column Tactin was measured with а Nanodrop Photospectrometer ND1000 (Peqlab, Erlangen, Germany) (25 440 M^{-1} cm⁻¹). The purity and apparent molecular mass of the (PI)EctA protein was assessed by SDS-PAGE (15% polyacrylamide); the PageRuler Prestained Protein Ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used as a reference to monitor the electrophoretic mobility of the (PI)EctA protein. Purified (PI)EctA protein preparations were concentrated to approximately 10 mg ml⁻¹ with Vivaspin 6 columns (Sartorius Stedim Biotech, Göttingen, Germany) with a 10-kDa molecular-weight cutoff value prior to crystallization trials.

The molecular mass of (PI)EctA proteins carrying a Strep-tag II affinity protein attached either to its N- or C-terminus was determined my Mass-spec analysis. 1-10 µl of a 25 mM protein solution (in purification buffer), were prepared by desalting the protein solution with a MassPrep column (Waters; Milford, USA) in a Waters ACQUITY H-Class HPLC-system. Protein elution into the ESI source of а Synapt G2Si mass spectrometer (Waters) was performed at 60 °C with a flow rate of 0.1 ml min⁻¹ using isocratic elution with 5 % A (water/0.05 % formic acid) for two minutes, followed by a linear gradient to 95 % B (acetonitrile/0.045% formic acid) within eight minutes and a final holding of 95% B for four minutes. The range of detected positive ions was 500-5000 m/z. For automatic drift correction Glu-Fibrinopeptide B was measured every 45s. Deconvolution of averaged spectra was performed after baseline subtraction and smoothing using MassLynx instrument software with MaxEnt1 extension.

To analyze the quaternary assembly of the (PI)EctA protein, we used size exclusion chromatography coupled to multi-angle light scattering detection (SEC-MALS). For these experiments, an Agilent Technologies system connected to a triple-angle light scattering (miniDAWN detector TREOS, Wyatt Technology Europe GmbH, Dernbach, Germany) followed by a differential refractive index detection system (Wyatt Technology) was used. Typically, 200 µl of purified (PI)EctA protein (2 mg ml⁻¹) was loaded onto the Bio SEC-5 HPLC column and the obtained data were analyzed with the ASTRA software package (Wyatt Technology).

EctA enzyme activity assays

To determine the precise reaction product of the (PI)EctA enzyme, in other words whether N- γ -ADABA, or N- α -ADABA (or both) are synthesized, we carried out enzyme assays in 20 µl 100 mM TES - HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 2 mM acetyl-CoA, 2 mM DAB and 1 µg purified enzyme at a temperature of 30 °C. The reaction was stopped after 5 minutes by the addition of $20 \,\mu$ l acetonitrile. The enzyme reaction product(s) were then derivatized with Fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl chloride (FMOC-Cl) using a procedure based on a previously published method (82). In brief: 2 µl of the (Pl)EctA enzyme reaction sample was mixed with 3 µl of an FMOC solution (25 mg ml⁻¹ FMOC in acetonitrile) in a thermomixer (1 min, 900 rpm, at 20 °C). Subsequently, the FMOC reagent that had not chemically reacted was quenched by adding 6 µl 1-aminoadamantane (ADAM) solution $(7.6 \text{ mg ml}^{-1} \text{ ADAM and } 50\% \text{ acetone in } 0.5 \text{ M})$ sodium borate buffer, pH 7.7) and mixed (1 min, 900 rpm, 20 °C). The entire solution was then diluted with $988 \mu I H_2O$, and centrifuged (20 800 x g, at room temperature for 10 min) to remove the denatured (PI)EctA enzyme. 37.5 µl of the supernatant was injected into an HPLC system (1260 Infinity; Agilent Technologies, Walsbronn, Germany) equipped with a 150 × 4.6 mm Gemini[®] 5µM Å C18 110 column (Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany) and a fluorescence detecting module (Agilent Technologies, Walsbronn, Germany). The fluorescencedetecting module was set to an excitation wavelength of 266 nm and an emission wavelength of 305 nm. The mobile phase consisted of solvent A: 20 % acetonitrile and 0.5 % tetrahydrofuran in 50 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.2) and solvent B: 80 % acetonitrile in sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.2). To allow the separation of the FMOC-modified $N-\gamma$ -ADABA or $N-\alpha$ -ADABA isomers, the flow rate was set to 1 ml min⁻¹ at 40 °C using a gradient of solvent A and B similar to the procedure described by Kunte et al. (82).

To determine the basic parameters of the (PI)EctA enzyme, activity was measured at 30° C using a continuous spectrophotometric assay. In this assay, formation of free CoA was followed using DTNB. An extinction coefficient (ϵ_{412nm}) for DTNB of 14,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ was used to determine the amount of CoA released during the enzyme reaction. The reaction mixture (300 µl) contained 100 mM TES - HCl buffer (pH 7.5), 1 mM DTNB, 1.2 mM acetyl-CoA, 5 mM DAB, and 0.1 µg purified (PI)EctA enzyme. The enzyme reaction was started by the addition of DAB to the reaction vessel and was run for 1.5 min, in which the absorption was determined. All (PI)EctA assays were performed using two independently produced and purified protein preparations and each protein sample was assayed twice. During the screening for the temperature profile of the (PI)EctA enzyme, the reaction was started by the addition of the enzyme and was run, for high temperatures (45 - 60 °C), only for 0.3 min, due to reduced enzyme stability. The screening for pH-optimum was performed in a 50 µl reaction volume containing a buffer mixture [MES (pH 5.5), PIPES (pH 6.5), TES (pH 7.5), CHES (pH 7.9), HEPES (pH 8.5), and CAPS (pH 10.0)] with 50 mM each, 1.2 mM acetyl-CoA, 1.7 mM DAB, and 0.1 µg purified (PI)EctA protein. The pH-values of these buffer solutions and the resulting mixtures were adjusted with 37 % HCl or 5 M NaOH at 30 °C. The enzyme reaction of the (PI)EctA protein, was started by the addition of acetyl-CoA, was run for 100 sec and was then stopped by the addition of 50 µl 80 % acetonitrile. To remove denatured (PI)EctA protein, the samples were centrifuged (20 800 x g, at 4 °C for 5 minutes). For the reconstitution of a neutral pH value for the DTNB reaction, 50 µl of the supernatant was added to 150 µl DTNBsolution (0.2 M TES (pH 7.5), 2 mM DTNB) and the DTNB absorption was measured using a Tecan plate reader (Tecan Group Ltd, Männedorf, Switzerland) at 30 °C.

The kinetic parameters of the (PI)EctA were determined enzyme using the continuous spectrophotometric assay described above with either 5 mM DAB and varied concentrations of acetyl-CoA (0.05 -8 mM), or with 4 mM acetyl-CoA and varied concentrations of DAB (0.05 - 1.6 mM). The enzyme activity of the various (PI)EctA mutants was monitored with the same continuous assay in a reaction containing 100 mM TES - HCl buffer (pH 7.5), 1 mM DTNB, 2 mM acetyl-CoA, 5 mM DAB, and 0.1 µg purified (Pl)EctA enzyme. The enzyme activities of the (PI)EctA variants were benchmarked against the wild-type protein whose activity was set to 100%. Under these conditions the wild-type (PI)EctA enzyme had an activity of 29.08 +/- 4.08 U mg⁻¹ protein. One unit is defined as the enzymatic conversion of one uM acetyl-CoA to one uM free CoA min⁻¹ correlating with the same amount of DAB converted by the (PI)EctA enzyme.

In silico analysis of EctA-type proteins

In a recent phylogenomic analysis of the distribution of *ect* biosynthetic gene clusters present in *Bacteria* and *Archaea*, a curated non-redundant data-set comprising ectoine biosynthetic genes from 437 microbial species/strains was generated (41). We relied on this dataset to retrieve EctA-type proteins and compared their amino acid sequences with the MAFFT multiple amino acid sequence alignment tool (http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/) (90) using the (*PI*)EctA protein sequence (accession number: AWH98098) as the template for a BLAST search (91).

Crystallization of the (PI)EctA protein

Several crystals were found for the apo-(PI)EctA protein and the ligand-bound forms using commercial screens (Nextal, Hilden, Germany; Qiagen, Molecular Dimensions, Suffolk, UK) in 96-well sitting drop plates (MRC3, Swissci) at 12 °C. Both the C-terminal and N-terminal Strep-tag II-marked forms of the (PI)EctA protein were used in these crystallization trials. Crystals of the apo-(PI)EctA protein were obtained using commercial screens and by slightly optimizing the composition of the crystallization solution. 0.1 µl (PI)EctA protein solution (10 to 15 mg protein ml⁻¹) and 0.1 μ l reservoir solution was mixed and equilibrated against 40 µl reservoir solution. For the apo-form of (PI)EctA, the first crystal appeared after 12 hours. The most promising condition was found with a solution containing 0.2 M lithium sulfate, 0.2 M sodium acetate, 0.1 M HEPES (pH 7.5) and 25 % (w/v) PEG 4000 from the Nextal PEG II suite (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) after 8 days. Best diffracting crystals were grown in a solution consisting of 0.2-0.3 M lithium sulfate, 0.2 M sodium acetate, 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5 and 22-28 % (w/v) PEG 4000. A second condition containing 0.25 M sodium sulfate, 0.1 M Bis Tris propane (pH 8.5), 25 % PEG 3350 was also optimized by grid screening. 1 µl (Pl)EctA protein solution was mixed with 1 µl reservoir solution and equilibrated against 300 µl reservoir solution. Crystals reached their maximum dimensions of about 100 × 200 × 50 μ m³ within 5 - 13 days.

Apart from the apo-form different ligand-bound complex crystals were obtained by adding either 5 mM CoA (Coenzym A, Sigma Aldrich), 20 mΜ DAB (2,4diaminobutyrate, Sigma Aldrich), 20 mM N-y-ADABA (N-γ-acetyl-2,4-diaminobutyrate (25,82)), or the combination of CoA and DAB. The different substrates were pre-incubated with the protein for at least 30 min on ice. If two substrates were used, the first one was incubated for 5 minutes before the second one was added. For cryoprotection, all crystalcontaining drops were overlaid with mineral oil before the crystals were harvested and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Data collection, processing and structure determination

For the crystallographic analysis of apo-(PI)EctA: crystals of the ligand-free form of (PI)EctA diffracted to a maximum of 2.2 Å. The dataset was collected at ID30B (ESRF, Grenoble, France) at 100 K, processed with XDS (92,93) and phased using the automated AUTORICKSHAW pipeline (http://www.emblhamburg.de/Auto-Rickshaw/) with only the (PI)EctA protein sequence as input. The resulting initial model was subsequently autothe ARPWARP built using webservice (https://arpwarp.embl-hamburg.de). After several rounds of model building using COOT (94) and subsequent refinement using refmac5 (95) from the ccp4 suite (96), the structure of the full-length apo(PI)EctA protein was modeled into the electron density.

The following procedures were used for the crystallographic analysis of the various forms of the (PI)EctA protein. For the crystallographic analysis of (PI)EctA:CoA: a high resolution data set up to 1.5 Å was collected at ID29 (ESRF, Grenoble, France) at 100 K, processed with XDS, and phased via molecular replacement using the apo-(PI)EctA search model. structure as For the crystallographic analysis of (PI)EctA:DAB: A high resolution data set up to 1.5 Å was collected at ID30A-3 (ESRF, Grenoble, France) at 100 K, processed with XDS, and phased via molecular replacement using the (PI)EctA:CoA structure as search model. For the crystallographic analysis of (PI)EctA:CoA:DAB: a high resolution data set up to 1.2 Å was collected at ID29 (ESRF, Grenoble, France) at 100 K, processed with XDS, and phased via molecular replacement using the (PI)EctA:CoA as search model. structure For the crystallographic analysis of (PI)EctA:N-\gamma-ADABA: a data set up to 2.2 Å was collected at ID23-1 (ESRF, Grenoble, France) at 100 K, processed with XDS, and phased via molecular replacement using the (PI)EctA:CoA structure as search model. Refinements of all complex structures were performed as described above.

Adding the substrate or the ortholog of acetyl-CoA, CoA, prior to the crystallization improved the crystal quality significantly, as reflected by the higher resolution of the obtained data sets. The (PI)EctA:CoA crystal structure was solved at 1.5 Å (Rwork and Rfree values were 14.7% and 18.3%, respectively), the (PI)EctA:DAB crystal structure at 1.5 Å (Rwork and Rfree values were 17.8% and 12.2%, respectively), the (PI)EctA:CoA::DAB crystal structure at 1.2 Å (R_{work} and R_{free} values were 13.3% and 15.0%, respectively), and finally, the (PI)EctA:ADABA crystal structure at 2.2 Å (R_{work} and R_{free} values were 16.7% and 20.1%, respectively). A summary of the data collection statistics, refinement details, and

model content of these different (PI)EctA crystal structures is given in Table 1. The crystal parameters, especially the unit cell dimensions and space group, differ between the crystals of apo-(PI)EctA and the crystal with the substrates (Table 1), which implies that a different number of (PI)EctA proteins are present in the asymmetric unit. In the asymmetric unit of apo-(*PI*)EctA and (PI)EctA:ADABA three copies of EctA were found, whereas in (PI)EctA:CoA and (PI)EctA:DAB only one monomer of the EctA protein was present, and in the crystals of (PI)EctA:CoA:DAB and (PI)EctA:ADABA two EctA monomers were found.

PDB accession codes

The crystallographic data of the five (PI)EctA structures reported here have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank (https://www.rcsb.org/) under accession 6SLK [apo-(PI)EctA], number 6SK1 [(*PI*)EctA:CoA], 6SL8 [(*PI*)EctA:DAB], 6SJY [(*PI*)EctA: $N-\gamma$ -ADABA], 6SLL and [(*PI*)EctA:CoA:DAB], respectively.

Figure preparation of crystal structures

Figures of the crystal structures of the (*PI*)EctA protein were prepared using the PyMol software suite (www.pymol.org) (97) and Chimera (98).

Acknowledgements - We cordially acknowledge the staff of beamline P13 (DESY, EMBL, Hamburg, Germany) for their kind and helpful support during crystal screening and we are equally thankful to the staff of the ID23-1, ID29, ID30B, and ID30A-3 beamlines of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (Grenoble, France) for expertly providing synchrotron radiation facilities. We greatly appreciate the generous gift of ectoine by the bitop AG (Witten, Germany) for our study. We thank Jochen Sohn for his excellent technical assistance during EctA protein overproduction and purification. We are thankful to Dr. Uwe Linne (Dept. of Chemistry, Philipps-University Marburg) for performing the mass-spec analysis of recombinant (PI)EctA proteins; access to the Mass-spec facilities of the Dept. of Chemistry of the Philipps-University Marburg is gratefully acknowledged. We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for co-financing the SynaptG2Si mass spectrometer (grant: INST 160/621-1 FUGG) to U. Linne and G. Bange). We appreciate the kind support of Lutz Schmitt (Institute of Biochemistry, University Düsseldorf) for this project. We are very grateful to Gert Bange for critical reading of the manuscript and many inspiring discussions. We particular acknowledge and thank Alexander Lepak (SYNMIKRO Research Center; Philipps-University) for producing the video visualizing the predicted enzyme reaction mechanism of the L-2,4diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase EctA.

This work was financially supported in part by grants from the DFG through the SFB 987 (Philipps-University Marburg; to E.B. and T.J.E.) and the DFG-funded Transregio SFB TR41 (Braunschweig/Oldenburg/Bonn/Göttingen; to J.S.D.). The Center for Structural studies is funded by the DFG as well (grant number 417919780, INST 208/740-1 FUGG). The work of L.C. was supported by a Ph.D. fellowship from the International Max Planck Research School for Environmental, Cellular and Molecular Microbiology (IMPRS-Mic, Marburg).

Conflict of interest - The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interests with the content of this article.

Author contributions - E.B. and S.H.J.S. conceived and coordinated the study and evaluated all data. A.A.R. performed overexpression, purification, functional characterization of the EctA enzyme, and analyzed biochemical and structural data. J.Z. and T.J.E. supported A.A.R. in designing the EctA enzyme assay. J.Z. synthesized and purified acetyl-coenzyme A. L.C. constructed the *ectA* overexpression plasmid and performed bioinformatics analysis of the phylogenetic distribution of EctA and EctC-type proteins. S.K., A.H., and S.H.J.S. designed and performed crystallization experiments, solved the crystal structures of EctA proteins and analyzed the data. L.L. and J.S.D. synthesized *N*-γ-ADABA and analyzed its identity and purity. A.A.R., S.H.J.S, and E.B. wrote the manuscript with input from other authors.

References

- 1. Yancey, P. H. (2005) Organic osmolytes as compatible, metabolic and counteracting cytoprotectants in high osmolarity and other stresses. *J Exp Biol* **208**, 2819-2830
- 2. Burg, M. B., and Ferraris, J. D. (2008) Intracellular organic osmolytes: function and regulation. *J Biol Chem* **283**, 7309-7313
- 3. da Costa, M. S., Santos, H., and Galinski, E. A. (1998) An overview of the role and diversity of compatible solutes in *Bacteria* and *Archaea*. *Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol* **61**, 117-153
- 4. Bourot, S., Sire, O., Trautwetter, A., Touze, T., Wu, L. F., Blanco, C., and Bernard, T. (2000) Glycine betaine-assisted protein folding in a *lysA* mutant of *Escherichia coli*. *J Biol Chem* **275**, 1050-1056
- 5. Ignatova, Z., and Gierasch, L. M. (2006) Inhibition of protein aggregation *in vitro* and *in vivo* by a natural osmoprotectant. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 13357-13361
- 6. Stadmiller, S. S., Gorensek-Benitez, A. H., Guseman, A. J., and Pielak, G. J. (2017) Osmotic shock induced protein destabilization in living cells and its reversal by glycine betaine. *J Mol Biol* **429**, 1155-1161
- 7. Street, T. O., Bolen, D. W., and Rose, G. D. (2006) A molecular mechanism for osmolyteinduced protein stability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 13997-14002
- 8. Barth, S., Huhn, M., Matthey, B., Klimka, A., Galinski, E. A., and Engert, A. (2000) Compatiblesolute-supported periplasmic expression of functional recombinant proteins under stress conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **66**, 1572-1579
- 9. Lippert, K., and Galinski, E. A. (1992) Enzyme stabilization by ectoine-type compatible solutes: protection against heating, freezing and drying. *Appl Microbial Biotechnol* **37**, 61-65
- 10. Brown, C. R., Hong-Brown, L. Q., Biwersi, J., Verkman, A. S., and Welch, W. J. (1996) Chemical chaperones correct the mutant phenotype of the delta F508 cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator protein. *Cell Stress & Chaperones* **1**, 117-125
- Chattopadhyay, M. K., Kern, R., Mistou, M. Y., Dandekar, A. M., Uratsu, S. L., and Richarme, G. (2004) The chemical chaperone proline relieves the thermosensitivity of a *dnaK* deletion mutant at 42 degrees C. *J Bacterio* 186, 8149-8152
- 12. Diamant, S., Eliahu, N., Rosenthal, D., and Goloubinoff, P. (2001) Chemical chaperones regulate molecular chaperones *in vitro* and in cells under combined salt and heat stresses. *J Biol Chem* **276**, 39586-39591
- 13. Kempf, B., and Bremer, E. (1998) Uptake and synthesis of compatible solutes as microbial stress responses to high osmolality environments. *Arch Microbiol* **170**, 319-330.
- 14. Roeßler, M., and Müller, V. (2001) Osmoadaptation in bacteria and archaea: common principles and differences. *Env Microbiol Rep* **3**, 743-754
- 15. Sleator, R. D., and Hill, C. (2002) Bacterial osmoadaptation: the role of osmolytes in bacterial stress and virulence. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **26**, 49-71
- Wood, J. M., Bremer, E., Csonka, L. N., Krämer, R., Poolman, B., van der Heide, T., and Smith,
 L. T. (2001) Osmosensing and osmoregulatory compatible solute accumulation by bacteria.
 Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 130, 437-460
- Gunde-Cimerman, N., Plemenitas, A., and Oren, A. (2018) Strategies of adaptation of microorganisms of the three domains of life to high salt concentrations. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 42, 353-375
- 18. Csonka, L. N. (1989) Physiological and genetic responses of bacteria to osmotic stress. *Microbiol Rev* **53**, 121-147
- 19. Bremer, E., and Krämer, R. (2019) Responses of microorganisms to osmotic stress. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **73**, 313-314
- 20. Wood, J. M. (2011) Bacterial osmoregulation: a paradigm for the study of cellular homeostasis. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **65**, 215-238
- 21. van den Berg, J., Boersma, A. J., and Poolman, B. (2017) Microorganisms maintain crowding homeostasis. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **15**, 309-318

- 22. Booth, I. R. (2014) Bacterial mechanosensitive channels: progress towards an understanding of their roles in cell physiology. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **18**, 16-22
- 23. Galinski, E. A., Pfeiffer, H. P., and Trüper, H. G. (1985) 1,4,5,6-Tetrahydro-2-methyl-4pyrimidinecarboxylic acid. A novel cyclic amino acid from halophilic phototrophic bacteria of the genus *Ectothiorhodospira*. *Eur J Biochem* **149**, 135-139
- 24. Inbar, L., and Lapidot, A. (1988) The structure and biosynthesis of new tetrahydropyrimidine derivatives in actinomycin D producer *Streptomyces parvulus*. Use of ¹³C- and ¹⁵N-labeled L-glutamate and ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR spectroscopy. *J Biol Chem* **263**, 16014-16022
- 25. Czech, L., Höppner, A., Kobus, S., Seubert, A., Riclea, R., Dickschat, J. S., Heider, J., Smits, S. H. J., and Bremer, E. (2019) Illuminating the catalytic core of ectoine synthase through structural and biochemical analysis. *Sci Rep* **9**, 364
- Pastor, J. M., Salvador, M., Argandona, M., Bernal, V., Reina-Bueno, M., Csonka, L. N., Iborra, J. L., Vargas, C., Nieto, J. J., and Canovas, M. (2010) Ectoines in cell stress protection: uses and biotechnological production. *Biotechnol Advanc* 28, 782-801
- 27. Widderich, N., Czech, L., Elling, F. J., Könneke, M., Stöveken, N., Pittelkow, M., Riclea, R., Dickschat, J. S., Heider, J., and Bremer, E. (2016) Strangers in the archaeal world: osmostress-responsive biosynthesis of ectoine and hydroxyectoine by the marine thaumarchaeon *Nitrosopumilus maritimus. Env Microbiol* **18**, 1227-1248
- Weinisch, L., Kuhner, S., Roth, R., Grimm, M., Roth, T., Netz, D. J. A., Pierik, A. J., and Filker, S. (2018) Identification of osmoadaptive strategies in the halophile, heterotrophic ciliate *Schmidingerothrix salinarum*. *PLoS Biol* **16**, e2003892
- Harding, T., Brown, M. W., Simpson, A. G., and Roger, A. J. (2016) Osmoadaptative strategy and its molecular signature in obligately halophilic heterotrophic protists. *Genome Biol Evol* 8, 2241-2258
- 30. Czech, L., and Bremer, E. (2018) With a pinch of extra salt Did predatory protists steal genes from their food? *PLoS Biol* **16**, e2005163
- 31. Fenizia, S., Thume, K., Wirgenings, M., and Phonert, G. (2020) Ectoine from bacterial and algal origin is a compatible solute in microalgae. *Marine Drugs* **18**, 42
- 32. Lo, C. C., Bonner, C. A., Xie, G., D'Souza, M., and Jensen, R. A. (2009) Cohesion group approach for evolutionary analysis of aspartokinase, an enzyme that feeds a branched network of many biochemical pathways. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **73**, 594-651
- 33. Stöveken, N., Pittelkow, M., Sinner, T., Jensen, R. A., Heider, J., and Bremer, E. (2011) A specialized aspartokinase enhances the biosynthesis of the osmoprotectants ectoine and hydroxyectoine in *Pseudomonas stutzeri* A1501. *J Bacteriol* **193**, 4456-4468
- 34. Ono, H., Sawada, K., Khunajakr, N., Tao, T., Yamamoto, M., Hiramoto, M., Shinmyo, A., Takano, M., and Murooka, Y. (1999) Characterization of biosynthetic enzymes for ectoine as a compatible solute in a moderately halophilic eubacterium, *Halomonas elongata*. *J Bacteriol* **181**, 91-99
- 35. Reshetnikov, A. S., Khmelenina, V. N., and Trotsenko, Y. A. (2006) Characterization of the ectoine biosynthesis genes of haloalkalotolerant obligate methanotroph "*Methylomicrobium alcaliphilum* 20Z". *Arch Microbiol* **184**, 286-297
- 36. Peters, P., Galinski, E. A., and Trüper, H. G. (1990) The biosyntheis of ectoine *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **71**, 157-162
- 37. Bursy, J., Pierik, A. J., Pica, N., and Bremer, E. (2007) Osmotically induced synthesis of the compatible solute hydroxyectoine is mediated by an evolutionarily conserved ectoine hydroxylase. *J Biol Chem* **282**, 31147-31155
- 38. Prabhu, J., Schauwecker, F., Grammel, N., Keller, U., and Bernhard, M. (2004) Functional expression of the ectoine hydroxylase gene (*thpD*) from *Streptomyces chrysomallus* in *Halomonas elongata*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**, 3130-3132
- 39. Garcia-Estepa, R., Argandona, M., Reina-Bueno, M., Capote, N., Iglesias-Guerra, F., Nieto, J. J., and Vargas, C. (2006) The *ectD* gene, which is involved in the synthesis of the compatible solute hydroxyectoine, is essential for thermoprotection of the halophilic bacterium *Chromohalobacter salexigens. J Bacteriol* **188**, 3774-3784

- 40. Kunte, H. J., Lentzen, G., and Galinski, E. (2014) Industrial production of the cell protectant ectoine: protection, mechanisms, processes, and products. *Cur Biotechnol* **3**, 10-25
- Czech, L., Hermann, L., Stöveken, N., Richter, A. A., Höppner, A., Smits, S. H. J., Heider, J., and Bremer, E. (2018) Role of the extremolytes ectoine and hydroxyectoine as stress protectants and nutrients: genetics, phylogenomics, biochemistry, and structural analysis. *Genes (Basel)* 9, 177
- 42. Czech, L., Poehl, S., Hub, P., Stoeveken, N., and Bremer, E. (2018) Tinkering with osmotically controlled transcription allows enhanced production and excretion of ectoine and hydroxyectoine from a microbial cell factory. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **84**, e01772-01717
- 43. Stiller, L. M., Galinski, E. A., and Witt, E. (2018) Engineering the salt-inducible ectoine promoter region of *Halomonas elongata* for protein expression in a unique stabilizing environment. *Genes (Basel)* **9**, 184
- Calderon, M. I., Vargas, C., Rojo, F., Iglesias-Guerra, F., Csonka, L. N., Ventosa, A., and Nieto, J. J. (2004) Complex regulation of the synthesis of the compatible solute ectoine in the halophilic bacterium *Chromohalobacter salexigens* DSM 3043^T. *Microbiology* 150, 3051-3063
- Bursy, J., Kuhlmann, A. U., Pittelkow, M., Hartmann, H., Jebbar, M., Pierik, A. J., and Bremer, E. (2008) Synthesis and uptake of the compatible solutes ectoine and 5-hydroxyectoine by *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2) in response to salt and heat stresses. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74, 7286-7296
- 46. Kuhlmann, A. U., Bursy, J., Gimpel, S., Hoffmann, T., and Bremer, E. (2008) Synthesis of the compatible solute ectoine in *Virgibacillus pantothenticus* is triggered by high salinity and low growth temperature. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**, 4560-4563
- 47. Salvador, M., Argandona, M., Naranjo, E., Piubeli, F., Nieto, J. J., Csonka, L. N., and Vargas, C.
 (2018) Quantitative RNA-seq analysis unveils osmotic and thermal adaptation mechanisms relevant for ectoine production in *Chromohalobacter salexigens*. *Front Microbiol* 9, 1845
- 48. Knapp, S., Ladenstein, R., and Galinski, E. A. (1999) Extrinsic protein stabilization by the naturally occurring osmolytes beta-hydroxyectoine and betaine. *Extremophiles* **3**, 191-198
- 49. Kolp, S., Pietsch, M., Galinski, E. A., and Gutschow, M. (2006) Compatible solutes as protectants for zymogens against proteolysis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1764**, 1234-1242
- 50. Zaccai, G., Bagyan, I., Combet, J., Cuello, G. J., Deme, B., Fichou, Y., Gallat, F. X., Galvan Josa, V. M., von Gronau, S., Haertlein, M., Martel, A., Moulin, M., Neumann, M., Weik, M., and Oesterhelt, D. (2016) Neutrons describe ectoine effects on water H-bonding and hydration around a soluble protein and a cell membrane. *Sci Rep* **6**, 31434
- Yanykin, D. V., Malferrari, M., Rapino, S., Venturoli, G., Semenov, A. Y., and Mamedov, M. D. (2019) Hydroxyectoine protects Mn-depleted photosystem II against photoinhibition acting as a source of electrons. *Photosynth Res* 141, 165-179
- 52. Manzanera, M., Vilchez, S., and Tunnacliffe, A. (2004) High survival and stability rates of *Escherichia coli* dried in hydroxyectoine. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **233**, 347-352
- 53. Tanne, C., Golovina, E. A., Hoekstra, F. A., Meffert, A., and Galinski, E. A. (2014) Glass-forming property of hydroxyectoine is the cause of its superior function as a dessication protectant. *Front Microbiol* **5**, 150
- 54. Harishchandra, R. K., Wulff, S., Lentzen, G., Neuhaus, T., and Galla, H. J. (2010) The effect of compatible solute ectoines on the structural organization of lipid monolayer and bilayer membranes. *Biophys Chem* **150**, 37-46
- 55. Herzog, M., Dwivedi, M., Kumar Harishchandra, R., Bilstein, A., Galla, H. J., and Winter, R. (2019) Effect of ectoine, hydroxyectoine and beta-hydroxybutyrate on the temperature and pressure stability of phospholipid bilayer membranes of different complexity. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces* **178**, 404-411
- 56. Hahn, M. B., Meyer, S., Schroter, M. A., Kunte, H. J., Solomun, T., and Sturm, H. (2017) DNA protection by ectoine from ionizing radiation: molecular mechanisms. *Physical Chem Chem Physics* **19**, 25717-25722
- 57. Schröter, M. A., Meyer, S., Hahn, M. B., Solomun, T., Sturm, H., and Kunte, H. J. (2017) Ectoine protects DNA from damage by ionizing radiation. *Scientific reports* **7**, 15272

- 58. Meyer, S., Schröter, M. A., Hahn, M. B., Solomun, T., Sturm, H., and Kunte, H. J. (2017) Ectoine can enhance structural changes in DNA in vitro. *Sci Rep* **7**, 7170
- 59. Kurz, M. (2008) Compatible solute influence on nucleic acids: many questions but few answers. *Saline Systems* **4**, 6
- Argandona, M., Nieto, J. J., Iglesias-Guerra, F., Calderon, M. I., Garcia-Estepa, R., and Vargas,
 C. (2010) Interplay between iron homeostasis and the osmotic stress response in the halophilic bacterium *Chromohalobacter salexigens*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**, 3575-3589
- 61. Brands, S., Schein, P., Castro-Ochoa, K. F., and Galinski, E. A. (2019) Hydroxyl radical scavenging of the compatible solute ectoine generates two N-acetimides. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **674**, 108097
- 62. Bownik, A., and Stepniewska, Z. (2016) Ectoine as a promising protective agent in humans and animals. *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol* **67**, 260-265
- 63. Bünger, J., Degwert, J., and Driller, H. (2001) The protective function of compatible solute ectoine on skin cells and its biomolecules with respect to UV-radiation, immunosuppression and membrane damage. *IFSCC Magazine* **4**, 1-6
- 64. Sun, H., Glasmacher, B., and Hofmann, N. (2012) Compatible solutes improve cryopreservation of human endothelial cells. *Cryo Lett* **33**, 485-493
- 65. Wedeking, A., Hagen-Euteneuer, N., Gurgui, M., Broere, R., Lentzen, G., Tolba, R. H., Galinski, E., and van Echten-Deckert, G. (2014) A lipid anchor improves the protective effect of ectoine in inflammation. *Curr Med Chem* **21**, 2565-2572
- 66. Graf, R., Anzali, S., Buenger, J., Pfluecker, F., and Driller, H. (2008) The multifunctional role of ectoine as a natural cell protectant. *Clinics Dermatol* **26**, 326-333
- Schwibbert, K., Marin-Sanguino, A., Bagyan, I., Heidrich, G., Lentzen, G., Seitz, H., Rampp, M., Schuster, S. C., Klenk, H. P., Pfeiffer, F., Oesterhelt, D., and Kunte, H. J. (2011) A blueprint of ectoine metabolism from the genome of the industrial producer *Halomonas elongata* DSM 2581 T. *Environ Microbiol* 13, 1973-1994
- 68. Reshetnikov, A. S., Khmelenina, V. N., Mustakhimov, II, and Trotsenko, Y. A. (2011) Genes and enzymes of ectoine biosynthesis in halotolerant methanotrophs. *Methods Enzymol* **495**, 15-30
- 69. Richter, A. A., Mais, C.-N., Czech, L., Geyer, K., Hoeppner, A., Smits, A. H. J., Erb, T. J., and Bremer, E. (2019) Biosynthesis of the stress-protectant and chemical chaperone ectoine: biochemistry of the transaminase EctB. *Front Microbiol* **10**, 2811
- Widderich, N., Kobus, S., Höppner, A., Ricela, R., Seubert, A., Dickschat, J. S., Heider, J., Smits,
 S. H. J., and Bremer, E. (2016) Biochemistry and crystal structure of the ectoine synthase: a metal-containing member of the cupin superfamily. *PLoS One* 11, e0151285
- 71. Höppner, A., Widderich, N., Lenders, M., Bremer, E., and Smits, S. H. J. (2014) Crystal structure of the ectoine hydroxylase, a snapshot of the active site. *J Biol Chem* **289**, 29570-29583
- 72. Widderich, N., Höppner, A., Pittelkow, M., Heider, J., Smits, S. H., and Bremer, E. (2014) Biochemical properties of ectoine hydroxylases from extremophiles and their wider taxonomic distribution among microorganisms. *PLoS One* **9**, e93809
- 73. Widderich, N., Pittelkow, M., Hoppner, A., Mulnaes, D., Buckel, W., Gohlke, H., Smits, S. H., and Bremer, E. (2014) Molecular dynamics simulations and structure-guided mutagenesis provide insight into the architecture of the catalytic core of the ectoine hydroxylase. *J Mol Biol* **426**, 586-600
- 74. Salah Ud-Din, A. I., Tikhomirova, A., and Roujeinikova, A. (2016) Structure and functional diversity of GCN5-related N-acetyltransferases (GNAT). *Int J Mol Sci* **17**, 1018
- 75. Vetting, M. W., LP, S. d. C., Yu, M., Hegde, S. S., Magnet, S., Roderick, S. L., and Blanchard, J.
 S. (2005) Structure and functions of the GNAT superfamily of acetyltransferases. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 433, 212-226
- 76. Reshetnikov, A. S., Khmelenina, V. N., Mustakhimov, II, Kalyuzhnaya, M., Lidstrom, M., and Trotsenko, Y. A. (2011) Diversity and phylogeny of the ectoine biosynthesis genes in aerobic, moderately halophilic methylotrophic bacteria. *Extremophiles* **15**, 653-663

- 77. Mead, D. A., Lucas, S., Copeland, A., Lapidus, A., Cheng, J. F., Bruce, D. C., Goodwin, L. A., Pitluck, S., Chertkov, O., Zhang, X., Detter, J. C., Han, C. S., Tapia, R., Land, M., Hauser, L. J., Chang, Y. J., Kyrpides, N. C., Ivanova, N. N., Ovchinnikova, G., Woyke, T., Brumm, C., Hochstein, R., Schoenfeld, T., and Brumm, P. (2012) Complete genome sequence of *Paenibacillus* strain Y4.12MC10, a novel *Paenibacillus lautus* strain isolated from Obsidian Hot Spring in Yellowstone National Park. *Stand Genom Sci* **6**, 381-400
- 78. Sahin, E., and Roberts, C. J. (2012) Size-exclusion chromatography with multi-angle light scattering for elucidating protein aggregation mechanisms. *Methods Mol Biol* **899**, 403-423
- 79. Schulz, A., Stöveken, N., Binzen, I. M., Hoffmann, T., Heider, J., and Bremer, E. (2017) Feeding on compatible solutes: a substrate-induced pathway for uptake and catabolism of ectoines and its genetic control by EnuR. *Environ Microbiol* **19**, 926-946
- 80. Tramonti, A., Nardella, C., di Salvo, M. L., Pascarella, S., and Contestabile, R. (2018) The MocR-like transcription factors: pyridoxal 5'-phosphate-dependent regulators of bacterial metabolism. *FEBS J* **285**, 3925-3944
- 81. Schulz, A., Hermann, L., Freibert, S.-A., Bönig, T., Hoffmann, T., Riclea, R., Dickschat, J. S., Heider, J., and Bremer, E. (2017) Transcriptional regulation of ectoine catabolism in response to multiple metabolic and environmnetal cues. *Env Microbiol* **19**, 4599-4619
- 82. Kunte, H. J., Galinski, E. A., Trüper, and G, H. (1993) A modified FMOC-method for the detection of amino acid-type osmolytes and tetrahydropyrimidines (ectoines). *J Microbiol Meth* **17**, 129-136
- 83. Holm, L., and Laakso, L. M. (2016) Dali server update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44, W351-355
- 84. Wolf, E., Vassilev, A., Makino, Y., Sali, A., Nakatani, Y., and Burley, S. K. (1998) Crystal structure of a GCN5-related N-acetyltransferase: *Serratia marcescens* aminoglycoside 3-N-acetyltransferase. *Cell* **94**, 439-449
- 85. Peter, D. M., Vogeli, B., Cortina, N. S., and Erb, T. J. (2016) A chemo-enzymatic road map to the synthesis of CoA esters. *Molecules* **21**, 517
- 86. Dawson, R. M. C., Elliot, D. C., Elliot, W. H., and Jones, K. M. (1986) *Data for biochemical research*, , 3 rd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford
- 87. Miller, J. H. (1972) *Experiments in molecular genetics.*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor New York
- 88. Kobus, S., Widderich, N., Hoeppner, A., Bremer, E., and Smits, S. H. J. (2015) Overproduction, crystallization and X-ray diffraction data analysis of ectoine synthase from the cold-adapted marine bacterium *Sphingopyxis alaskensis*. *Acta Cryst* **F71**, 1027-1032
- 89. Hoeppner, A., Widderich, N., Bremer, E., and Smits, S. H. J. (2014) Overexpression, crystallization and preliminary X-ray crystallographic analysis of the ectoine hydroxylase from *Sphingopyxis alaskensis. Acta Cryst* **F70**, 493-496
- 90. Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J., and Yamada, K. D. (2017) MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief Bioinform*, 1-7
- 91. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* **215**, 403-410
- 92. Kabsch, W. (2010) XDS. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66, 125-132
- 93. Kabsch, W. (2010) Integration, scaling, space-group assignment and post-refinement. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 133-144
- 94. Emsley, P., and Cowtan, K. (2004) Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **60**, 2126-2132
- 95. Murshudov, G. N., Skubak, P., Lebedev, A. A., Pannu, N. S., Steiner, R. A., Nicholls, R. A., Winn, M. D., Long, F., and Vagin, A. A. (2011) REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 67, 355-367
- 96. Collaborative Computational Project, N. (1994) The CCP4 suite: programs for protein crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **50**, 760-763
- 97. Delano, W. L. (2002) *The PyMol molecular graphics system*, Delano Scientific, San Carlos, CA, USA

- 98. Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., and Ferrin, T. E. (2004) UCSF Chimera a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605-1612
- 99. Bruce, H., Nguyen Tuan, A., Mangas Sanchez, J., Leese, C., Hopwood, J., Hyde, R., Hart, S., Turkenburg, J. P., and Grogan, G. (2012) Structures of a gamma-aminobutyrate (GABA) transaminase from the s-triazine-degrading organism *Arthrobacter aurescens* TC1 in complex with PLP and with its external aldimine PLP-GABA adduct. *Acta Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Cryst Commun* **68**, 1175-1180

¹Footnotes

The abbreviations used are: CoA, coenzyme A; DAB, L-2,4-diaminobutyrate; *N*- γ -ADABA, *N*- γ -acetyl-L-2,4-diaminobutyrate; *N*- α -ADABA, *N*- α -acetyl-L-2,4-diaminobutyrate; ESI-MS, electronsprayionization mass spectrometry; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; ASU, asymmetric unit; AHT, anhydrotetracycline; MMA, minimal medium A; LB, Luria-Betrani medium; FMOC, fluoenylmethyloxycarbonyl; ADAM: aminoadamate; DTNB, dithionitrobenzoic acid.

	Substitute Doull	Ano-Ect ^A	CoA-EctA	DAB-CoA-FctA	DAR-FctA	N-v-Adaha
Darameters X-ray source D30B, ESRF, Grenoble ID29, ESRF, Grenoble ID29, ESRF, Grenoble ID30A-3, Grenoble ID23-1, ESRF, Grenoble Detector Pilatus3_6M Pilatus_6M,F Pilatus_6M,F EIGER_4M Pilatus_6M,F Detector Pilatus1_2 P 43 21 2 R 3 P 43 21 2 P 41 21 2 Jone A 176.01 176.01 68.27, 68.27, 61.78 151.21, 151.21, 79.99, 57.98, 57.98, 57.98, 174.22, 174.22, 134.46 60.99 a, b, c (Å) 176.01 176.01 68.27, 68.27, 124.5 - 1.95 151.21, 151.21, 124.5 - 1.95 51.89 - 113 75.53 - 1.05 53.24 - 1.51 123.2 - 2.02 and processing III.10 - 1.05 (1.60 - 1.51) (2.15 - 2.02) (1.11 - 1.05) (1.60 - 1.51) (2.15 - 2.02) Unique 70540 70942 (12931) 182408 36817 61414 (9533) reflections (10980) (2.7168) (5756) 61414 (9533) Completness (%) 99.2 (95.5) 99.9 (99.8) 99.2 (96.3) 99.9 (99.2) 99.5 (97.2) Redundancy L24.5 2.00 1.043 (1.59) <td>Crystal</td> <td>npo-leta</td> <td></td> <td>DAD-CUA-ECIA</td> <td>DAD-LUA</td> <td>11-y-Auaba</td>	Crystal	npo-leta		DAD-CUA-ECIA	DAD-LUA	11-y-Auaba
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	narameters					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	X-ray source	ID30B, ESRF.	ID29, ESRF.	ID29, ESRF.	ID30A-3.	ID23-1, ESRF.
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Grenoble	Grenoble	Grenoble	ESRF.	Grenoble
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		dienobie	dienobie	dienobie	Grenoble	dienobie
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Detector	Pilatus3_6M	Pilatus_6M_F	Pilatus_6M_F	EIGER_4M	Pilatus_6M_F
	Space group	P 41 21 2	P 43 21 2	R 3	P 43 21 2	P 41 21 2
$\begin{array}{ c $	Unit cell					
a, b, c (Å)176.01 176.0168.27, 68.27, 79.79151.21, 151.21, 46.2257.98, 57.98, 134.46174.22, 174.22, 60.99 α, β, γ (°)90, 90, 9090, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90,	parameters					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	a, b, c (Å)	176.01 176.01	68.27, 68.27,	151.21, 151.21,	57.98, 57.98,	174.22, 174.22,
a, β, γ(°)90, 90, 9090, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90, 90,		61.78	79.79	46.22	134.46	60.99
Part colspace Sesolution (Å) 124.5 - 1.95 (1.89 - 1.13) (75.53 - 1.05) (1.60 - 1.51) (2.15 - 2.02) Resolution (Å) 124.5 - 1.95 (1.21 - 1.13) (1.11 - 1.05) (1.60 - 1.51) (2.15 - 2.02) Unique 70540 70942 (12931) 182408 36817 61414 (9533) reflections (10980) (27168) (5756) 99.9 (99.9) 99.5 (97.2) Redundancy 12.9 (13.1) 8.3 (7.8) 3.59 (3.19) 8.8 (8.8) 13.1 (12.4) 1/σ 10.65 (1.08) 13.46 (1.23) 10.43 (1.59) 16.64 (1.32) 17.44 (2.45) Rym 0.161 (2.588) 0.067 (1.343) 0.048 (0.608) 0.058 (1.453) 0.092 (1.105) Rym 0.161 (2.58) 0.067 (1.343) 0.048 (0.608) 0.058 (1.453) 0.092 (1.105) Rym 0.161 (2.58) 0.067 (1.343) 0.148 (0.608) 0.058 (1.453) 0.022 (1.05) Rym 0.1695 0.1468 (0.143) 0.1218 0.1755 0.1674 (0.2370) (0.2560) (0.3100)	α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 120	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Data collection					
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	and processing					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Resolution (Å)	124.5 - 1.95	51.89- 1.13	75.53- 1.05	53.24- 1.51	123.2 - 2.02
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		(2.07 - 1.95)	(1.21- 1.13)	(1.11- 1.05)	(1.60- 1.51)	(2.15 - 2.02)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Unique	70540	70942 (12931)	182408	36817	61414 (9533)
Completness (%) 99.2 (95.5) 99.9 (99.8) 99.2 (96.3) 99.9 (99.9) 99.5 (97.2) Redundancy 12.9 (13.1) 8.3 (7.8) 3.59 (3.19) 8.8 (8.8) 13.1 (12.4) $1/\sigma$ 10.65 (1.08) 13.46 (1.23) 10.43 (1.59) 16.64 (1.32) 17.44 (2.45) Rsym 0.161 (2.588) 0.067 (1.343) 0.048 (0.608) 0.058 (1.453) 0.092 (1.105) Refinement statistics 123.2 - 2.20 51.883 - 1.50 75.53 - 1.20 53.40 - 1.53 123.2 - 2.20 Rwork (%) 0.1695 0.1468 (0.143) 0.1218 0.1775 0.1674 (0.2370) (0.2560) (0.3100) (0.2250) Rfnee (%) 0.2050 0.1834 (0.185) 0.1496 0.2140 0.2070 (0.2690) (0.2680) (0.3220) (0.2700) (0.2700) r.m.s.d. from ideal 32.00 44.0 Bond lengths (Å) 0.020 0.028 0.039 0.024 0.021 Bond angles (°) 1.983 2.597 3.024 2.345 2.145 Average B- <td>reflections</td> <td>(10980)</td> <td></td> <td>(27168)</td> <td>(5756)</td> <td>5111 (7000)</td>	reflections	(10980)		(27168)	(5756)	5111 (7000)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Completness (%)	99.2 (95.5)	99,9 (99.8)	99,2 (96.3)	99.9 (99.9)	99.5 (97.2)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Redundancy	12.9 (13.1)	8.3 (7.8)	3.59 (3.19)	8.8 (8.8)	13.1 (12.4)
R_{sym} 0.161 (2.588)0.067 (1.343)0.048 (0.608)0.058 (1.453)0.092 (1.105)Refinement statisticsResolution (Å)124.5 - 2.2051.883 - 1.5075.53 - 1.2053.40 - 1.53123.2 - 2.20Rwork (%)0.16950.1468 (0.143)0.12180.17750.1674(0.2370)(0.2560)(0.3100)(0.2250)Rfree (%)0.20500.1834 (0.185)0.14960.21400.2070(0.2690)(0.2680)(0.3220)(0.2700)r.m.s.d. from ideal	Ι/σ	10.65 (1.08)	13.46 (1.23)	10.43 (1.59)	16.64 (1.32)	17.44 (2.45)
Refinement statisticsResolution (Å) $124.5 - 2.20$ $51.883 - 1.50$ $75.53 - 1.20$ $53.40 - 1.53$ $123.2 - 2.20$ R_{work} (%) 0.1695 0.1468 (0.143) 0.1218 0.1775 0.1674 (0.2370) (0.2560) (0.3100) (0.2250) R_{free} (%) 0.2050 (0.2690) 0.1834 (0.185) 0.1496 0.2140 0.2070 $r.m.s.d.$ from ideal (0.2680) (0.3220) (0.2700) (0.2700) $r.m.s.d.$ from ideal 0.020 0.028 0.039 0.024 0.021 Bond lengths (Å) 0.020 0.028 0.039 0.024 0.021 Bond angles (°) 1.983 2.597 3.024 2.345 2.145 Average B- factors (Å2) 43.0 15.0 18.0 32.00 44.0 Ramachandran Plot -15.0 18.0 32.00 44.0 Most favoured (%) 97.4 99.3 97.9 97.4 97.8 $(\%)$ -168 $-169, 6-171$ 2.6 2.0 Disallowed (%) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 -7168 Monomers/ASU 3 1 2 1 3 Protein residues 7.168 -167 $6-169, 6-171$ $8-168$ $4.170, 2-176, 7-168$ Ligands $ COA$ COA, DAB DAB $ADABA$ Water molecules 281 209 543 146 220 PDB code $6SLK$ $6SK1$	R _{svm}	0.161 (2.588)	0.067 (1.343)	0.048 (0.608)	0.058 (1.453)	0.092 (1.105)
Refinement statisticsResolution (Å) $124.5 \cdot 2.20$ $51.883 \cdot 1.50$ $75.53 \cdot 1.20$ $53.40 \cdot 1.53$ $123.2 \cdot 2.20$ R_{work} (%) 0.1695 0.1468 (0.143) 0.1218 0.1775 0.1674 (0.2370) (0.2560) (0.3100) (0.2250) R_{free} (%) 0.2050 0.1834 (0.185) 0.1496 0.2140 0.2070 (0.2680) (0.3220) (0.2700) (0.2680) (0.3220) (0.2700) r.m.s.d. from ideal						
statistics Resolution (Å) 124.5 - 2.20 51.883 - 1.50 75.53 - 1.20 53.40 - 1.53 123.2 - 2.20 Rwork (%) 0.1695 0.1468 (0.143) 0.1218 0.1775 0.1674 (0.2370) (0.2560) (0.3100) (0.2250) Riree (%) 0.2050 0.1834 (0.185) 0.1496 0.2140 0.2070 (0.2690) (0.2680) (0.3220) (0.2700) r.m.s.d. from	Refinement					
Resolution (Å) $124.5 - 2.20$ $51.883 - 1.50$ $75.53 - 1.20$ $53.40 - 1.53$ $123.2 - 2.20$ R_{work} (%) 0.1695 0.1468 (0.143) 0.1218 0.1775 0.1674 (0.2370) (0.2560) (0.3100) (0.2250) R_{free} (%) 0.2050 0.1834 ($0.185)$ 0.1496 0.2140 0.2070 (0.2690) (0.2680) (0.3220) (0.2700) r.m.s.d. from	statistics					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Resolution (Å)	124.5 - 2.20	51.883 - 1.50	75.53 - 1.20	53.40 - 1.53	123.2 - 2.20
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline (0.2370) & (0.2370) & (0.2370) & (0.2250) \\ \hline (0.2050 & 0.1834 (0.185) & 0.1496 & 0.2140 & 0.2070 \\ \hline (0.2680) & (0.3220) & (0.2700) \\ \hline (0.2690) & (0.2680) & 0.0220 & 0.0270 \\ \hline (0.2680) & 0.0220 & 0.0270 \\ \hline (0.2680) & 0.0220 & 0.028 & 0.039 & 0.024 & 0.021 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 0.000 & 0.039 & 0.024 & 0.021 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 0.000 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 15.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 15.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 32.00 & 44.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ \hline (0.000 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ \hline (0.000 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.2 \\ \hline (0.000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 18.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.0000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 16.0 \\ \hline (0.000 & 16.0 & 16.0 & 1$	R _{work} (%)	0.1695	0.1468 (0.143)	0.1218	0.1775	0.1674
R _{free} (%) 0.2050 (0.2690) 0.1834 (0.185) 0.1496 (0.2680) 0.2140 (0.3220) 0.2070 (0.2700) r.m.s.d. from ideal		(0.2370)		(0.2560)	(0.3100)	(0.2250)
(0.2690) (0.2680) (0.3220) (0.2700) r.m.s.d. from ideal	R_{free} (%)	0.2050	0.1834 (0.185)	0.1496	0.2140	0.2070
r.m.s.d. from ideal Bond lengths (Å) 0.020 0.028 0.039 0.024 0.021 Bond angles (°) 1.983 2.597 3.024 2.345 2.145 Average B- 43.0 15.0 18.0 32.00 44.0 factors (Ų)		(0.2690)		(0.2680)	(0.3220)	(0.2700)
ideal Bond lengths (Å) 0.020 0.028 0.039 0.024 0.021 Bond angles (°) 1.983 2.597 3.024 2.345 2.145 Average B- 43.0 15.0 18.0 32.00 44.0 factors (Ų) Ramachandran - - - - Ramachandran - - - - - - Most favoured 97.4 99.3 97.9 97.4 97.8 - (%) - - - - - - - Allowed (%) 2.6 0.7 2.1 2.6 2.0 0.2 Disallowed (%) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 - Monomers/ASU 3 1 2 1 3 - Protein residues 7-168, 2-176, 7-168 8-167 6-169, 6-171 8-168 4-170, 2-176, 7-168 - Ligands - COA COA, DAB DAB ADABA Water molecules 281 209 543	rmsd from					
Bond lengths (Å) 0.020 0.028 0.039 0.024 0.021 Bond angles (°) 1.983 2.597 3.024 2.345 2.145 Average B- 43.0 15.0 18.0 32.00 44.0 factors (Ų) - - - - - Ramachandran - - - - - - Plot - - - - - - - Most favoured 97.4 99.3 97.9 97.4 97.8 - (%) - - - - - - - Allowed (%) 2.6 0.7 2.1 2.6 2.0 -	ideal					
Bond angles (°) 1.983 2.597 3.024 2.345 2.145 Average B- 43.0 15.0 18.0 32.00 44.0 factors (Ų) 15.0 18.0 32.00 44.0 Ramachandran 1904 19.3 97.9 97.4 97.8 (%) 15.0 0.7 2.1 2.6 2.0 Most favoured 97.4 99.3 97.9 97.4 97.8 (%) 2.6 0.7 2.1 2.6 2.0 Disallowed (%) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 Model content 1 2 1 3 Protein residues 7-168, 2-176, 8-167 6-169, 6-171 8-168 4-170, 2-176, 7-168 Ligands - COA COA, DAB DAB ADABA Water molecules 281 209 543 146 220 PDB code 6SLK 6SK1 6SLL 6SLB 6SLS	Bond lengths (Å)	0.020	0.028	0.039	0.024	0.021
Average B- factors (Ų) 43.0 15.0 18.0 32.00 44.0 Ramachandran Plot Ramachandran 97.4 97.4 97.8 (%)	Bond angles (°)	1.983	2.597	3.024	2.345	2.145
factors (Ų) Ramachandran Plot 97.4 99.3 97.9 97.4 97.8 (%)	Average B-	43.0	15.0	18.0	32.00	44.0
Ramachandran Plot Most favoured 97.4 99.3 97.9 97.4 97.8 (%)	factors (Å ²)					-
Plot Most favoured 97.4 99.3 97.9 97.4 97.8 (%)	Ramachandran					
Most favoured 97.4 99.3 97.9 97.4 97.8 (%) Allowed (%) 2.6 0.7 2.1 2.6 2.0 Disallowed (%) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 Model content Monomers/ASU 3 1 2 1 3 Protein residues 7-168, 2-176, 7-168 8-167 6-169, 6-171 8-168 4-170, 2-176, 7-168 Ligands - COA COA, DAB DAB ADABA Water molecules 281 209 543 146 220 PDB code 6SLK 6SK1 6SLL 6SL8 6SJY	Plot					
(%) Allowed (%) 2.6 0.7 2.1 2.6 2.0 Disallowed (%) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 Model content - <	Most favoured	97.4	99.3	97.9	97.4	97.8
Allowed (%) 2.6 0.7 2.1 2.6 2.0 Disallowed (%) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 Model content -	_(%)					
Disallowed (%) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 Model content	Allowed (%)	2.6	0.7	2.1	2.6	2.0
Model content 2 1 3 Monomers/ASU 3 1 2 1 3 Protein residues 7-168, 2-176, 7-168 8-167 6-169, 6-171 8-168 4-170, 2-176, 7-168 Ligands - COA COA, DAB DAB ADABA Water molecules 281 209 543 146 220 PDB code 6SLK 6SK1 6SLL 6SL8 6SJY	Disallowed (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Monomers/ASU 3 1 2 1 3 Protein residues 7-168, 2-176, 7-168 8-167 6-169, 6-171 8-168 4-170, 2-176, 7-168 Ligands - COA COA, DAB DAB ADABA Water molecules 281 209 543 146 220 PDB code 6SLK 6SK1 6SLL 6SL8 6SJY	Model content					
Protein residues 7-168, 2-176, 7-168 8-167 6-169, 6-171 8-168 4-170, 2-176, 7-168 Ligands - COA COA, DAB DAB ADABA Water molecules 281 209 543 146 220 PDB code 6SLK 6SK1 6SLL 6SL8 6SJY	Monomers/ASU	3	1	2	1	3
7-168 7-168 Ligands - COA COA, DAB DAB ADABA Water molecules 281 209 543 146 220 PDB code 6SLK 6SK1 6SLL 6SL8 6SJY	Protein residues	7-168, 2-176,	8-167	6-169, 6-171	8-168	4-170, 2-176,
Ligands-COACOA, DABDABADABAWater molecules281209543146220PDB code6SLK6SK16SLL6SL86SJY		7-168				7-168
Water molecules 281 209 543 146 220 PDB code 6SLK 6SK1 6SLL 6SL8 6SJY	Ligands	-	COA	COA, DAB	DAB	ADABA
PDB code6SLK6SK16SLL6SL86SJY	Water molecules	281	209	543	146	220
	PDB code	6SLK	6SK1	6SLL	6SL8	6SJY

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics for the apo form of EctA and th	e
substrate bound forms. Values in parentheses are for the outer shell.	

EctA variant	relative enzyme activity (%)
EctA wild-type ^a	100
Asp33/Ala	8.7 ± 3.0
Tyr38/Ala	0.8 ± 1.0
Trp29/Ala	9.9 ± 1.5
GIn80/Ala	0.8 ± 1.3
Thr115/Ala	1.6 ± 1.9
His155/Ala	29.8 ± 6.4
Glu158/Ala	0 ± 0

Table 2 Enzyme activities of the EctA variants relative to the activity of the wild-type (*PI*)EctA enzyme.

^aThe enzyme activity of the (*PI*)EctA wild-type enzyme was 29.08 \pm 4.08 U mg⁻¹ and was set for comparative reasons to 100 %. Enzyme assays were conducted for the wild-type (*PI*)EctA, and each of its mutant derivatives, with two independently prepared protein batches, and in each case with two technical replicates.



Figure 1. (*PI*)EctA catalyzed enzyme reaction, purification, and quaternary assembly of the (*PI*)EctA protein. *A*, (*PI*)EctA enzyme catalyzes the transfer of the acetyl-moiety from acetyl-CoA onto the substrate L-2,4-diaminobutyrate resulting in the formation of *N*- γ -acetyl-L-2,4-diaminobutyrate and free CoA as reaction products. *B*, Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE of purified *Strep*-tag II-(*PI*)EctA (pLC50) protein and (*PI*)EctA-*Strep*-tag II protein (pLC51) (2 µg of each protein were loaded onto the SDS-gel). The size standard is given in kilo Dalton (kDa). (C) MALS-RI analysis shows that the (*PI*)EctA protein elutes with an absolute molecular mass of 39.73 ± 0.04 kDa consistent with the notion that it is homodimer in solution. The calculated theoretical molecular mass of the monomer of the *Strep*-tag II-(*PI*)EctA and of the (*PI*)EctA-*Strep*-tag II recombinant proteins is 20.68 kDa and 20.25 kDa, respectively.



Figure 2. (*PI*)EctA-dependent *N*- γ -acetyI-L-2,4-diaminobutyrate production and kinetic parameters of the (*PI*)EctA enzyme. *A*, HPLC traces showing the regio-selective acetylation of L-2,4diaminobutyrate (DAB) by (*PI*)EctA (i) with only *N*- γ -acetyI-L-2,4-diaminobutyrate (*N*- γ -ADABA), and not its isomer *N*- α -acetyI-L-2,4-diaminobutyrate (*N*- α -ADABA), as product. (ii) In the negative control sample (reaction mix, without enzyme) no ADABA can be detected. As references, chemical synthesized (iii) *N*- α --ADABA and (iv) *N*- γ -ADABA were used. The velocity of (*PI*)EctA at increasing concentrations of the substrates *B*, DAB, and *C*, acetyI-CoA, was determined. Error bars represent the standard deviation calculated from two biological and two technical replicas each.



Figure 3. Overall-fold of the (*PI*)**EctA monomeric subunit.** *A*, Cartoon representation of the (*PI*)**EctA** monomeric subunit including the nomenclature of the secondary structure elements and the tertiary structure. *B*, Schematic illustration of the secondary structure of (*PI*)EctA.



Figure 4. Dimer assembly of the (*PI***)EctA enzyme.** *A*, Surface presentation of the dimer assembly of the (*PI*)EctA protein displaying the binding site for the ligands CoA (red) and DAB (blue). *B*, Illustration of the dimeric interface, highlighting the protrusion of the side-chain of Tyr38 from monomer B into the DAB-binding site of monomer A (and vice versa). This graphical representation of the dimer assembly was rendered by using the (*PI*)EctA:CoA:DAB tertiary crystal structure (PDB entry 6SLL) as the template.



Figure 5. Architecture of the CoA binding site. *A*, Surface presentation of the monomeric subunit of the (*PI*)EctA protein, illustrating the binding tunnel for CoA. *B*, Electron density of the bound CoA ligand (contoured at 1 σ). *C*, Substrate binding-site for CoA, showing the amino acids involved in coordinating the CoA molecule within the (*PI*)EctA active site.



Figure 6. Architecture of the DAB substrate-binding site and coordination of the enzyme reaction **product** *N*-γ-ADABA. *A*, Hydrogen bonds are formed between the substrate DAB and residues Asp33,

Tyr38 (monomer B), Trp79, Gln80, His155, and Glu158 of the (*PI*)EctA protein. This figure was rendered using the (*PI*)EctA:DAB structure (PDB entry 6SL8) as the template. *B*, Hydrogen bonds are formed between the reaction product *N*- γ -ADABA and amino acid residues Asp33, Tyr38 (monomer B), Trp79, Gln80, and His155. This figure was rendered using the (*PI*)EctA: *N*- γ -ADABA structure (PDB entry 6SJY) as the template.



Figure 7. Conformational changes of CoA within the (*PI***)EctA active site.** *A*, Overlay of (*PI***)**EctA:CoA (gray) (PDB entry 6SK1) and (*PI***)**EctA:CoA:DAB monomer B (green) (PDB entry 6SLL), highlighting the conformational differences in the two loops involved in CoA binding (dashed rectangle). Zoom in *B*, (*PI*)EctA:CoA (gray), *C*, (*PI*)EctA:CoA:DAB monomer A (blue) and *D*, (*PI*)EctA:CoA:DAB monomer B (green). Illustrated are the slightly different conformations of CoA in case of being the only ligand (*B*) in the (*PI*)EctA enzyme (gray), and in case of being co-crystallized along with the substrate DAB (monomer A (blue) in *C*; monomer B (green) in *D*). Asn120 and Ser123 display two alternative side chain conformations in the (*PI*)EctA:CoA:DAB crystal structure.



Figure 8. (PI)EctA crystal structures represent different steps of the catalytic cycle. The various crystal structures of the (PI)EctA protein are displayed in surface representation. A, Apo-form of the (PI)EctA enzyme with empty binding sites for DAB and acetyl-CoA. B, (PI)EctA:CoA; only the ligand CoA (red) is bound in the binding tunnel. C, only the substrate DAB (blue) is bound in the active site of (PI)EctA. D, the reaction product ADABA (magenta) is bound in the active site of (PI)EctA. E, The substrate DAB (blue) is bound next to the ligand CoA (red) in the (PI)EctA:CoA:DAB crystal structure, displaying a slightly different conformation of the CoA molecule found in the (PI)EctA:CoA crystal structure (compare with Figure 8). F, Overlay of the (PI)EctA:CoA crystal structure with that of the ligand DAB found in the (PI)EctA:DAB crystal structure, thereby visualizing the distance (2.8 Å) between the sulfur atom from CoA and the y-nitrogen from DAB. G, Model of (PI)EctA where an

Ε

F

G

A

В

С

D

acetyl group is added *in silico* to the CoA sulfur, thereby mimicking the positions of the actual cosubstrate, acetyl-CoA and DAB, in the active site of the L-2,4-diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase.



Figure 9. A structural view on the ectoine/5-hydroxyectoine biosynthetic route. The L-2,4diaminobutyrate (DABA) aminotransferase EctB from *P. lautus* has been modeled by Richter *et al.* (2019) (69) on the crystal structure of *Arthrobacter aurescens* γ-aminobutyrate transaminase (PDB: 4ATP) (99). The crystal structure of L-2,4-diaminobutyrate (DABA) acetyltransferase EctA from *P. lautus* is reported in this publication (PDB: 6SLL). Those of the ectoine synthase EctC from *P. lautus* (PDB: 5ONM) were reported by Czech *et al.* (2019) (25), and that of the ectoine hydroxylase EctD from *Sphingopyxis alaskensis* (PDB: 4Q5O) was solved by Höppner *et al.* (71).

The architecture of the diaminobutyrate acetyltransferase active site provides mechanistic insight into the biosynthesis of the chemical chaperone ectoine

Alexandra A Richter, Stefanie Kobus, Laura Czech, Astrid Hoeppner, Jan Zarzycki, Tobias J. Erb, Lukas Lauterbach, Jeroen S Dickschat, Erhard Bremer and Sander H. J. Smits

J. Biol. Chem. published online January 22, 2020

Access the most updated version of this article at doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA119.011277

Alerts:

- When this article is cited
- When a correction for this article is posted

Click here to choose from all of JBC's e-mail alerts