Asymmetric Conjugate Addition of Malonates to Enones Using Perfluorobutanesulfonamide Organocatalyst

Yuji Kamito,¹ Akira Masuda,¹ Hiroki Yuasa,¹ Norihiro Tada,¹ Akichika Itoh,¹ Yuji Koseki,² and Tsuyoshi Miura^{*2} ¹Gifu Pharmaceutical University, 1-25-4 Daigaku-nishi, Gifu 501-1196 ²Tokyo University of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, 1432-1 Horinouchi, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0392

yo Oniversity of Thurmacy and Life Sciences, 1452-1 Hormouchi, Hachiofi, 10kyo 192-05.

(Received June 20, 2013; CL-130575; E-mail: tmiura@toyaku.ac.jp)

Perfluorobutanesulfonamide organocatalyst **4** efficiently promotes asymmetric conjugate additions of malonates to α , β -unsaturated ketones to afford the corresponding adducts with excellent enantioselectivities (up to 99% ee).

The catalytic enantioselective Michael addition of carbon nucleophiles to α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds is one of the most fundamental and important carbon-carbon bondforming methods to synthesize useful chiral building blocks.^{1,2} In particular, metal-free asymmetric Michael additions using organocatalysts have been intensively investigated over the past decade from the perspective of green chemistry.² Malonates are good Michael donors in organocatalysis because they can be easily converted to enolates under mild conditions by the two electron-withdrawing esters. Moreover, versatile products obtained by the reactions of malonates with α,β -unsaturated ketones can be readily converted to the corresponding δ ketoesters as useful synthetic building blocks after decarboxylation.^{3,4} Successful conjugate additions of malonates to α , β unsaturated ketones using organocatalysts have been reported;^{4,5} however, the development of organocatalysts that can catalyze the Michael addition of malonates to α,β -unsaturated enones more effectively is desirable. Recently, Du and co-workers reported the Michael addition of malonates to α,β -unsaturated enones using *p*-toluenesulfonamide organocatalyst;⁶ however, their method requires 0.2 equiv of catalyst loading and long reaction times (72 h) to obtain adduct 7aa from dibenzyl malonate (6a) and (E)-4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one (5a).

On the other hand, we have reported that perfluoroalkanesulfonamide organocatalysts such as 1 and 2 (Figure 1), which are easily prepared from L-phenylalanine, are excellent organocatalysts for a direct asymmetric aldol reaction.⁷ In addition, we have recently reported the stereoselective construction of allcarbon quaternary centers by asymmetric conjugate additions of branched aldehydes to vinyl sulfone using organocatalysts 3 and 4 derived from L-valine.⁸ To further demonstrate the effectiveness of perfluoroalkanesulfonamide organocatalysts derived from L-phenylalanine or L-valine, we attempted additional applications for other types of asymmetric conjugate additions using organocatalysts 1–4. Herein, we would like to report the





examined the amount of catalyst loading necessary for optimal

Ľ	able	1.	Selection	of	organocatalysts
---	------	----	-----------	----	-----------------

efficient conjugate additions of malonates to α , β -unsaturated ketones using a perfluorobutanesulfonamide organocatalyst **4**.

conjugate additions to vinyl sulfone,⁸ as shown in Table 1. With the exception of 1 among these, excellent enantioselectivities

were obtained (Entries 1-4). When using perfluorobutanesulfon-

amide 4, the highest enantioselectivity was obtained. Therefore,

we considered that 4 is the most suitable catalyst for the

metric conjugate addition using 4 is shown in Table 2. The

conjugate addition reactions were conducted with **6a** and **5a** as

test reactants in the presence of a catalytic amount of 4 at room

temperature. Among the reaction solvents examined, cyclohex-

ane was the most suitable (Entries 1-7). Furthermore, we

A study of the optimal solvent conditions for the asym-

conjugate addition of 6a to 5a.

We examined β -aminosulfonamide organocatalysts 1–4, which we previously reported for direct aldol reactions⁷ and

o A	BnO ₂ C CO ₂ Bn	catalyst (0.1 equiv)	BnO ₂ C
Ph' 📎 🔪 5a	+ 6a (2.0 equiv)	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , r.t., 24 h	Ph Ö 7aa
Entry	Catalyst	Yield ^a /9	% %ee ^b
1	1	8	49
2	2	43	96
3	3	53	97
4	4	38	98

^aIsolated yield. ^bDetermined by HPLC analysis.

Table 2. O	ptimization	of reaction	conditions
------------	-------------	-------------	------------

Ph	0 ↓ BnO₂C 5a + 6a (2 equ	CO ₂ Bn	4	BnO ₂ C Ph 7aa			
Entry	Solvent	4/equiv	Time/h	Yield ^a /%	%ee ^b		
1	MeOH	0.1	24	64	76		
2	MeCN	0.1	24	43	91		
3	EtOAc	0.1	24	34	88		
4	Et_2O	0.1	24	74	98		
5	CH_2Cl_2	0.1	24	38	98		
6	hexane	0.1	24	89	97		
7	cyclohexane	0.1	24	96	98		
8	cyclohexane	0.05	72	88	97		
9	cyclohexane	0.01	136	35	98		

^aIsolated yield. ^bDetermined by HPLC analysis.

	0			HSO ₂ C ₄ F ₉		
	R R'	Malonate 6 (2 equiv)	cyclohex	ane, r.t. 7		
Entry	Enone	Malonate	Time/h	Product	Yield ^a /%	%ee ^b
1	o 5a	BnO ₂ CCO ₂ Bn 6a	24	BnO ₂ C CO ₂ Bn	96	98
2	Br 5b	BnO ₂ C CO ₂ Bn 6a	48	BnO ₂ C CO ₂ Bn	85	98
3	0 ₂ N 5c	BnO ₂ C CO ₂ Bn 6a	96	BnO ₂ C O ₂ N 7ca	88	94
4	Me 5d	BnO ₂ CCO ₂ Bn 6a	48	BnO ₂ C CO ₂ Bn	91	97
5	MeO 5e	BnO ₂ C CO ₂ Bn 6a	120	BnO ₂ C CO ₂ Bn	94	99
6	o Sf	BnO ₂ C CO ₂ Bn 6a	88	BNO ₂ C CO ₂ Bn	77	94
7	0 5g	BnO ₂ C CO ₂ Bn 6a	144	BnO ₂ C CO ₂ Bn	14	99
8	Sa O Sa	MeO ₂ C CO ₂ Me 6b	72	MeO ₂ C CO ₂ Me	55	90
9	O 5a	EtO ₂ C CO ₂ Et	94	EtO ₂ C O 7ac	60	96
10	o 5a	iPrO ₂ C CO ₂ iPr 6d	144	iPrO ₂ C CO ₂ iPr	34	99

 Table 3. Conjugate additions using organocatalyst 4

1152

^aIsolated yield. ^bDetermined by HPLC analysis.

conditions. High enantioselectivities were retained, although a prolonged reaction time and lower yield were observed when the catalyst loading was lowered to 0.05 and 0.01 equiv (Entries 8 and 9). Therefore, the optimal conditions were determined to be 0.1 equiv of **4** in cyclohexane at room temperature (Entry 7).

With these optimal conditions in hand, the scope and limitations of the conjugate addition of malonate 6 to α,β unsaturated ketones 5 were examined (Table 3).9 We selected bromo and nitro substituents as representative electron-withdrawing groups on the benzene ring and methyl and methoxy substituents as the electron-donating groups. The reactions of substituted enones 5b-5e with 6a smoothly proceeded to give the corresponding adducts in high yields with excellent enantioselectivities (Entries 2-5). Moreover, we examined the reaction of **6a** with enone **5f** possessing a naphthalene skeleton; the corresponding adduct 7fa was obtained with 94% ee (Entry 6). The reaction of chalcone 5g as an inactive substrate⁶ provided the addition product 7ga in only 14% yield, although excellent stereoselectivity was obtained (Entry 7). Other malonates such as dimethyl malonate 6b, diethyl malonate 6c, and diisopropyl malonate 6d also reacted with 5a to afford the corresponding adducts (7ab, 7ac, and 7ad, respectively) with high enantioselectivities (90-99% ee), although the yields were only low to good (Entries 8-10). The stereochemistry of the addition products 7 obtained using 4 was determined by comparing them with the reported chiral-phase HPLC retention times and optical rotation data.6

We propose that the conjugate addition of malonates to enones using 4 proceeds via the following mechanism. The primary amino group of 4 condenses with 5 to generate iminium intermediates. Then, the acidic protons of the sulfonamide group successfully interact with the oxygen of 6 to direct the approach of malonates to the iminium intermediates. This ultimately affords the corresponding addition products with high stereoselectivity. We speculate that the acidity of the N–H groups is enhanced by the strong electron-withdrawing effect of the perfluorobutyl group, enabling them to strongly coordinate to the malonates and stabilize the rigid transition states during the conjugate addition.

In conclusion, sulfonamide organocatalyst 4, which is a simple structure, efficiently catalyzes the conjugate addition of dibenzyl malonate (6a) to α,β -unsaturated ketones 5 at room temperature to afford the corresponding addition products 7 in high yields with excellent enantioselectivities. Furthermore, application of this catalyst in the synthesis of bioactive compounds is currently being investigated in our laboratory.

References and Notes

 For selected reviews, see: a) O. M. Berner, L. Tedeschi, D. Enders, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2002, 1877. b) D. Du, W. Hua, *Chin. J. Org. Chem.* 2002, 22, 164. c) J. Christoffers, G. Koripelly, A. Rosiak, M. Rössle, *Synthesis* 2007, 1279. d) C. Hawner, A. Alexakis, *Chem. Commun.* 2010, 46, 7295. e) M. Thirumalaikumar, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* 2011, 43, 67.

- 2 For selected reviews, see: a) S. Sulzer-Mossé, A. Alexakis, *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 3123. b) D. Almaşi, D. A. Alonso, C. Nájera, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2007, 18, 299. c) S. B. Tsogoeva, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2007, 1701. d) J. L. Vicario, D. Badía, L. Carrillo, *Synthesis* 2007, 2065. e) D. Enders, C. Wang, J. X. Liebich, *Chem.—Eur. J.* 2009, 15, 11058. f) D. Roca-Lopez, D. Sadaba, I. Delso, R. P. Herrera, T. Tejero, P. Merino, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2010, 21, 2561.
- 3 a) A. P. Krapcho, *Synthesis* 1982, 805. b) A. P. Krapcho, *Synthesis* 1982, 893.
- A a) N. Halland, P. S. Aburel, K. A. Jørgensen, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.* 2003, 42, 661. b) V. Wascholowski, K. R. Knudsen, C. E. T. Mitchell, S. V. Ley, *Chem.—Eur. J.* 2008, 14, 6155.
- 5 a) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12672. b) K. R. Knudsen, C. E. T. Mitchell, S. V. Ley, Chem. Commun. 2006, 66. c) J. Wang, H. Li, L. Zu, W. Jiang, H. Xie, W. Duan, W. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12652. d) Z. Jiang, W. Ye, Y. Yang, C.-H. Tan, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 2345. e) Y.-Q. Yang, G. Zhao, Chem.—Eur. J. 2008, 14, 10888. f) Z. Mao, Y. Jia, W. Li, R. Wang, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7428. g) P. Li, S. Wen, F. Yu, Q. Liu, W. Li, Y. Wang, X. Liang, J. Ye, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 753.
- 6 C. Luo, Y. Jin, D.-M. Du, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 4116.
- 7 a) T. Miura, Y. Yasaku, N. Koyata, Y. Murakami, N. Imai, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, 50, 2632. b) T. Miura, K. Imai, M. Ina, N. Tada, N. Imai, A. Itoh, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1620. c) T. Miura, M. Ina, K. Imai, K. Nakashima, A. Masuda, N. Tada, N. Imai, A. Itoh, Synlett 2011, 410. d) T. Miura, M. Ina, K. Imai, K. Nakashima, Y. Yasaku, N. Koyata, Y. Murakami, N. Imai, N. Tada, A. Itoh, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2011, 22, 1028. e) T. Miura, K. Imai, H. Kasuga, M. Ina, N. Tada, N. Imai, A. Itoh, *Tetrahedron* 2011, 67, 6340. f) T. Miura, H. Kasuga, K. Imai, M. Ina, N. Tada, N. Imai, A. Itoh, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 2209.
- 8 T. Miura, H. Yuasa, M. Murahashi, M. Ina, K. Nakashima, N. Tada, A. Itoh, *Synlett* **2012**, *23*, 2385.
- 9 A typical procedure for the conjugate additions using **4** is as follows: Dibenzyl malonate (6a, 102 µL, 0.410 mmol) was added to a solution of 5a (30.0 mg, 0.205 mmol) and organocatalyst 4 (8.1 mg, 0.021 mmol) in 1.0 mL of cyclohexane at room temperature. After stirring at room temperature for 24 h, the reaction mixture was directly purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel with a 4:1 mixture of hexane and AcOEt to afford the pure 7aa (86.7 mg, 96%) as a colorless powder. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.95 (s, 3H), 2.88 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (dt, J = 9.7, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (s, 2H), 5.11 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 7.04– 7.07 (m, 2H), 7.16–7.33 (m, 13H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 30.15, 40.44, 47.05, 57.30, 67.06, 67.24, 127.21, 128.05, 128.11, 128.18, 128.22, 128.36, 128.39, 128.52, 135.01, 135.13, 140.24, 167.33, 167.81, 205.79.