AP The Journal of Chemical Physics

Femtosecond realtime observation of wave packet oscillations (resonance) in dissociation reactions

Todd S. Rose, Mark J. Rosker, and Ahmed H. Zewail

Citation: J. Chem. Phys. **88**, 6672 (1988); doi: 10.1063/1.454408 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.454408 View Table of Contents: http://jcp.aip.org/resource/1/JCPSA6/v88/i10 Published by the American Institute of Physics.

Additional information on J. Chem. Phys.

Journal Homepage: http://jcp.aip.org/ Journal Information: http://jcp.aip.org/about/about_the_journal Top downloads: http://jcp.aip.org/features/most_downloaded Information for Authors: http://jcp.aip.org/authors

ADVERTISEMENT

Instruments for advanced science





SIMS end point detection in ion beam etch elemental imaging - surface mapping



blasma source characterization atch and deposition process reaction kinetic studies analysis of neutral and radical process



 partial pressure measurement and control of process gases
 reactive sputter process control
 vacuum diagnostics
 vacuum coating process monitoring contact Hiden Analytical for further details



www.HidenAnalytical.com

Femtosecond real-time observation of wave packet oscillations (resonance) in dissociation reactions

Todd S. Rose, Mark J. Rosker, and Ahmed H. Zewail^{a)} Arthur Amos Noyes Laboratory of Chemical Physics,^{b)} California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

(Received 22 February 1988; accepted 9 March 1988)

Femtosecond "transition state" spectra (FTS) of the elementary chemical reaction $ICN^* \rightarrow [I \cdots CN]^{\neq *}$ \rightarrow I + CN have previously been reported.¹ For these types of reactions on a repulsive surface the molecules are in the transition state (or more accurately in the states of the transition region) for only 100 fs or so. This has been evidenced by the rise and decay observed in the ICN experiments, 1(a),1(b) and deduced by simple theory.^{1(c)} If, however, in the process of falling apart, more than one degree of freedom is involved (vibrational or electronic), the system might exhibit a quasibound state (or resonance).² Manifestations of such a resonance in the real-time probing of fragment separation should be: (1) a delay in the appearance of free fragments, and possibly (2) the appearance of oscillations (reflecting the resonance frequency) of the wave packet of the dissociating molecules. An insightful wave packet approach to molecular photodissociation has been developed by Heller,³ and highlighted by the Kinsey experiments.⁴

With these ideas in mind, we attempted to obtain FTS of the reactions of alkali halides, where we expected such temporal features. The work by Berry and others⁵ has provided the foundation for the description of the surfaces. Because of the crossing between the *ionic* ground state and the *covalent* excited state, at fragment separation R_x , there are two channels for the reaction (Fig. 1). En route to products, the $[M \cdots X]^{\neq}$ transition state molecules decide between these covalent and ionic channels. There are two limiting possibilities: either the packet is trapped on the *adiabatic* surface without crossing (resonance), or it crosses on the *diabatic* surface, as was the case for ICN. These two limits give rise to entirely different temporal behavior. If a resonance exists, the observed oscillation will give the detailed nature of the surface and coupling.

In this Communication, we report the real-time observation of strong wave packet oscillations (resonance) in a dissociation reaction. A strong resonance in the reaction of NaI, and a much weaker resonance in the reaction of NaBr, were seen. These observations were made by exciting the salt to the covalent state(s) along the M-X coordinate using a femtosecond pump pulse. A fs probe pulse was used at different delay times and wavelengths $(t \text{ and } \lambda \text{ spectra})^{1}$ to detect the free product (on resonance) atoms. As discussed later, these results are, in general, consistent with the spectroscopy.

Figure 2 depicts the results for the two reactions using our femtosecond laser apparatus,¹ which utilized an amplified CPM laser⁶; continuum generation was used for the probe. For NaI, Fig. 2(I), the average oscillation period is 1.25 ps, which translates to 27 cm⁻¹. [Detecting on resonance with the D lines, Fig. 2(II), the oscillations are integrated to a rise, just like the ICN experiment.] For NaBr, Fig. 2(III), this spacing is similar, but we observed severe damping. It is concluded that the packet for NaI is in an adiabatic well, and the crossing is inefficient. In contrast, for NaBr the crossing is much more effective and is less supportive of a bound state. The oscillations give a vibrational frequency of 0.8×10^{12} s⁻¹. Since the oscillations for NaI are damped in ~ 10 ps, the average probability of crossing on the outward phase per oscillation is 0.1.7 A more complete Landau-Zener calculation^{8,9} allows for the characterization of the quasibound vibrational/rotational states as was done by Schaefer et al.¹⁰ in their thorough application of Child's formalism¹¹ to NaI [crossing of $X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ with $A(O^{+})$]. Our results are consistent with the theory of Grice and Herschbach.12

The spectroscopy of NaI has been studied extensively⁵ in the Franck–Condon range (short R). Information at long R is obtained ¹² from collision experiments like those done on



FIG. 1. A display of the potential energy surfaces involved, and the method of FTS. The times t_0, t_*, t_R , and t_∞ refer to the time of evolution of packet as it moves along the coordinate R and spreads. At the top of the figure, the different transition configurations are given. The fs pump pulse was at 310 nm, and the probe was generated from a continuum ($\lambda = 560$ nm to $\lambda = 630$ nm). The Na + I product states correlate with the states ($\Omega = 0^+$ and 1) of NaI and are depicted by the covalent surface in this figure. In our full report later we will discuss details of the dynamics on these surfaces.

0021-9606/88/106672-02\$02.10

© 1988 American Institute of Physics



FIG. 2. Experimental results for the two reactions of NaI and NaBr. For the NaI reaction, we provide both the on-resonance and off-resonance Na atom detection (LIF), indicated by the underlining of the relevant species. Results, not shown, were also obtained at a number of other wavelengths, and will be detailed later. The modulation depth depends on the probe wavelength. The two salts (Aldrich, purity 99.9%) were degassed under vacuum for over 8 h (400 °C), and heated to ~600 °C. The experiments were also repeated at lower temperatures to check for dimerization. The signal is (essentially) linearly dependent on the probe and pump intensities.

(Na)K + I.¹³ A key feature in the broad spectrum of the excited state is the apparent interval of 36 cm^{-1.5,14} The jet excitation spectrum,¹⁵ which shows rich progressions, has been used to deduce the shape of the well. Schaefer *et al.*¹⁰ have now provided a complete analysis of the spectrum of NaI in a bulb and also in a jet; they applied Child's predissociation formalism¹¹ and deduced the important molecular parameters. The spacings in their spectrum give $Y_{10} = 27.28$ cm^{-1 10} and for states in the range of our excitation, the spacing is calculated to be 29 cm⁻¹, consistent with our results.

In our transients, there is damping and apparent increase in width of the oscillations with time, indicating some "dephasing" of the packet. Here, the lifetimes of the different levels depend on their involvement in the crossing (short-lived) or in the fluorescence (long-lived). An interesting question is how does the dephasing influence the observed dissociation rate. We are planning further work on modeling packet shape and dephasing, and the theoretical work by several authors will be of great help.¹⁶⁻²³ We also plan stimulated gain experiments from the upper surface to compare with Polanyi's wing emission experiments.²⁵

In conclusion, FTS¹ of systems with more than one degree of freedom promises to provide a real-time view of wave packet decay and resonances in reactions. Since the recoil velocity is typically 1 km s⁻¹, our fs time window gives a view of these dynamics with < 0.5 Å resolution for fragment separation.

This work was supported by the AFOSR (Grant No. 87-0071).

*) John Simon Guggenheim Foundation Fellow.

- ^{b)} Contribution No. 7737.
- ¹(a) M. Dantus, M. J. Rosker, and A. H. Zewail, J. Chem. Phys. 87, 2395 (1987); (b) M. J. Rosker, M. Dantus, and A. H. Zewail (in preparation). (c) R. Bersohn and A. H. Zewail, Ber. Bunseges. Phys. Chem. (in press).
 ²(a) See the recent monograph, *Resonances*, edited by D. Truhlar, ACS Symp. Ser. (American Chemical Society, Washington, D. C., 1984), Vol. 263; A. Kuppermann, in *Potential Energy Surfaces and Dynamics Calculations*, edited by D. G. Truhlar (Plenum, New York, 1981); R. D. Levine and S.-F. Wu, Chem. Phys. Lett. 11, 557 (1971); (b) D. M. Neumark, A. M. Wadtke, G. N. Robinson, C. C. Hyden, K. Shobatake, R. K. Sparks, T. P. Shafer, and Y. T. Lee, J. Chem. Phys. 82, 3067 (1985); (c) J.-C. Nieh and J. J. Valentini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 519 (1988).
- ³E. J. Heller, Acc. Chem. Res. 14, 368 (1981).
- ⁴D. Imre, J. L. Kinsey, A. Sinha, and J. Krenos, J. Phys. Chem. **88**, 3956 (1984).
- ⁵R. S. Berry in, *Alkali Halide Vapors*, edited by P. Davidovits and D. L. McFadden (Academic, New York, 1979), and references therein.
- ⁶See, C. V. Shank, Science **233**, 1276 (1986); R. L. Fork, C. F. Shank, and R. T. Yen, App. Phys. Lett. **41**, 223 (1982).
- ⁷van Veen *et al.* [Chem. Phys. **56**, 81 (1981)] computed a value of 5×10^{-6} using the methodology of Ref. 8. We could not reproduce this value; instead we obtained ~0.05 (see also Ref. 24). (Note also, that even if true, they might have been more sensitive to long-lived states.) We are now making an in-depth analysis of the L-Z problem.
- ⁸M. B. Faist and R. D. Levine, J. Chem. Phys. 64, 2953 (1976).
- ⁹R. B. Bernstein, Chemical Dynamics via Molecular Beam and Laser Techniques (Oxford University, Oxford, 1982), p. 168.
- ¹⁰S. H. Schaefer, D. Bender, and E. Tiemann, Chem. Phys. 89, 65 (1984).
 ¹¹M. S. Child in, Specialists Periodical Reports, Vol. 2 of Molecular Spectroscopy (Chemical Society London, 1974); Atom-Molecule Collision Theory, edited by R. B. Bernstein (Plenum, New York, 1979), p. 427.
- ¹²R. Grice and D. R. Herschbach, Mol. Phys. 27, 159 (1974); R. N. Zare and D. R. Herschbach, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 15, 462 (1965).
- ¹³K. J. Kaufmann, J. L. Kinsey, H. B. Palmer, and A. Tewarson, J. Chem. Phys. **60**, 4023 (1974); A. M. Moutinho, J. A. Aten, and J. Los, Physica **53**, 471 (1971).
- ¹⁴R. A. Berg and G. W. Skewes, J. Chem. Phys. 51, 5430 (1969).
- ¹⁵A. S. Ragone, D. H. Levy, and R. S. Berry, J. Chem. Phys. 77, 3784 (1982); S. H. Schaefer, D. Bender, and E. Tiemann, *ibid.* 78, 6341 (1983); R. S. Berry and D. H. Levy, *ibid.* 78, 6342 (1983).
- ¹⁶K. C. Kulander and E. J. Heller, J. Chem. Phys. 69, 2439 (1978).
- ¹⁷R. D. Coalson and M. Karplus, J. Chem. Phys. 79, 6150 (1983).
- ¹⁸S.-I. Sawada, R. Heather, B. Jackson, and H. Metiu, J. Chem. Phys. 83, 3009 (1985); 84, 227 (1986).
- ¹⁹J. Grad, Y. J. Yan, A. Hague, and S. Mukamel, J. Chem. Phys. 86, 3441 (1987).
- ²⁰D. J. Tannor and S. A. Rice, *Advances in Chemical Physics*, edited by I. Prigogine and S. A. Rice (Wiley, New York, 1988), Vol. 70, p. 441.
- ²¹J. Jiang and J. S. Hutchinson, J. Chem. Phys. 87, 6973 (1987).
- ²²M. Shapiro and R. Bersohn, J. Chem. Phys. 73, 3810 (1980).
- ²³S. J. Singer, K. F. Freed, and Y. B. Band, Adv. Chem. Phys. 61, 1 (1985).
- ²⁴W. R. Anderson, B. M. Wilson, and T. L. Rose, Chem. Phys. Lett. 48, 284 (1977).
- ²⁵H.-J. Foth, H. R. Mayne, R. A. Poirier, J. C. Polanyi, and H. H. Telle, in *Photochemistry and Photobiology*, edited by A. H. Zewail (Harwood Academic, New York, 1983), p. 229.