This article was downloaded by: [North Dakota State University] On: 21 February 2015, At: 21:53 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gpss20</u>

The Reaction of Cyclopropanols with Burgess Reagent: A Reinvestigation and Correction

Klaus Banert ^a , Monika Ries ^b & Ernst Schaumann ^b

^a Technische Universität Chemnitz , Chemnitz, Germany

^b Technische Universität Clausthal , Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany Published online: 19 Feb 2011.

To cite this article: Klaus Banert , Monika Ries & Ernst Schaumann (2011) The Reaction of Cyclopropanols with Burgess Reagent: A Reinvestigation and Correction, Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements, 186:2, 205-212, DOI: <u>10.1080/10426507.2010.531334</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10426507.2010.531334</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions



Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon, 186:205–212, 2011 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 1042-6507 print / 1563-5325 online DOI: 10.1080/10426507.2010.531334

COMMUNICATION

THE REACTION OF CYCLOPROPANOLS WITH BURGESS REAGENT: A REINVESTIGATION AND CORRECTION

Klaus Banert,¹ Monika Ries,² and Ernst Schaumann²

¹Technische Universität Chemnitz, Chemnitz, Germany ²Technische Universität Clausthal, Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



Abstract The reaction of cyclopropanols with Burgess reagent yields the unusual sulfamates 8 and their N-methylated derivatives 9. Unlike a previous study, dicyclopropyl ether formation was not observed. Also, the mechanism of ether formation from a cyclopropanol precursor cannot follow an S_N 2 pathway with retention.

Keywords Alcohols; reaction mechanisms; small ring systems; sulfonamides

INTRODUCTION

Methyl *N*-(triethylammoniumsulfonyl)carbamate (**2**, or, Burgess reagent) opens up a convenient route for the dehydration of secondary or tertiary alcohols to give alkenes, while primary alcohols yield carbamates, but also a variety of other applications have been reported.^{1–5} So, when the Clausthal group was looking for a way to achieve dehydration of cyclopropanol **1** with a spiro-attached thioacetal unit, the Burgess reagent was an obvious choice.⁶ However, the only product that could be isolated from the complex reaction mixture was not a cyclopropene, but based mainly on the NMR and MS data was assigned ether structure **3**. Moreover, starting from optically active spiro-alcohol (*R*)-**1**, a product with two sets of ¹³C NMR signals was isolated and assigned the (*R*,*R*)-configuration (Scheme 1). This seemed to indicate an S_N process with retention of configuration, as had been previously discussed in cyclopropane substitution chemistry.^{7–9} Both the unusual reaction product and the reaction mechanism called for this, more detailed study of the reaction

Received 21 September 2010; accepted 8 October 2010.

Address correspondence to Ernst Schaumann, Institut für Organische Chemie, Leibnizstraße 6, TU Clausthal, 38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany. E-mail: ernst.schaumann@tu-clausthal.de



Scheme 1 Reported reaction of cyclopropanols 1 and with Burgess reagent.

of cyclopropanols with Burgess reagent **2**. Here it turned out that the interpretation of the original data cannot be sustained.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Among the various methods of cyclopropanol synthesis,^{10,11} we found the modified Simmons–Smith methylenation of silyl enol ethers with diiodomethane/diethylzinc to be most convenient.¹² Thus, aldehydes **4** are silylated using a conventional protocol¹³ to give enol ethers **5a–c** as mixtures of the geometrical isomers (E/Z in comparable amounts). Ethers **5** are then subjected to the joint action of diiodomethane and diethylzinc.¹² The resulting cyclopropyl silyl ethers **6a,b** are generated as *cis/trans* mixtures, while for **6c**, the presence of an additional stereogenic center gives rise to a complex mixture of four diastereomers. The silyl residue of **6** can easily be removed by methanolic potassium carbonate¹⁴ to give the desired cyclopropanols **7** (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2 Synthesis of cyclopropanols 7 and their reaction with Burgess reagent.

Burgess reagent 2 was applied as previously⁶ to the diastereomeric mixtures of cyclopropanols 7 (Scheme 2). Column chromatography allowed to isolate N-(cyclopropyloxysulfonyl)carbamates (N-(methoxycarbonyl)sulfamates) 8 as main products and the N-methylated derivatives 9 as major side products. Structure assignment for 8 and 9 is based on the spectroscopic data including HRMS. It appears that a product of type 8 has only rarely been observed in the chemistry of the Burgess reagent, especially when a sterically very hindered hydroxy group was reacted with 2,14-16 whereas diols readily give cyclic sulfamates with 2.17 Obviously, the reaction between alcohols 7 and the reagent 2 is initiated by the usual S_N attack of the alcoholic hydroxy group at the sulfur of reagent 2 to give sulfamide 10 (Scheme 3). From here, attack of the amide nitrogen on H-2 of the ring to give a cyclopropene, the "normal" Burgess product, is obviously disfavored because of the inherent buildup of ring strain. The alternative, an intramolecular S_N attack on C-1 of the ring to give carbamate 12,¹⁻⁵ is probably suppressed because this would involve a backside attack, which has been seen in cyclopropanes only under specific circumstances.^{8,18} In this situation, stabilization of intermediate **10**¹⁹ to product **8** occurs. A competing pathway is methylation of 10 by another molecule of 10 or by the already formed product 8, though the expected parallel product 11 could not be detected. Prolonged heating does not allow forcing of the formation of cyclopropenes or of carbamates 12. Rather, formation of methylation product 9 seems to be further encouraged.



Scheme 3 Suggested mechanism for formation of products 8 and 9.

Even careful chromatography of the product mixture as formed from 2 and 7 did not reveal the formation of dicyclopropyl ethers analogous to 3. Moreover, other than with 7, the reaction of cyclopropanol 1 with Burgess reagent 2 had produced a very complex mixture of, except for 3, unidentified products. This raises the suspicion that the spiro-attached thioacetal unit in 1 interacts with the Burgess reagent. In fact, a model reaction of thioacetal 13 with Burgess reagent 2 under the same reaction conditions as used earlier⁶ showed that only 47% of 13 survived this treatment. In the complex product mixture, the main component was dithiepin 14, while only traces of acetophenone were detected. Normally, the transition from a dithiane to a dithiepin of type 14 requires an exocyclic leaving group in the 2-position of the dithiane²⁰ or generation of an exocyclic carbene.²¹



While the origin of ether **3** remains mysterious, the claimed formation from **1** by an $S_N 2$ pathway with retention has to be revised in any case. Product **3** with (*R*,*R*) configuration would give only one set of NMR signals because of its C_2 axis of symmetry. However, the isolated product showed two sets of NMR signals with comparable intensity, indicating that the (*R*,*S*)-form of **3** is equally present. This speaks against any $S_N 2$ route with a single stereochemical outcome. A possible explanation would be the nonstereoselective addition of alcohol (*R*)-**1** to a cyclopropene intermediate in an EA mechanism.²² However, as the model reactions of cyclopropanols **7** with reagent **2** give no clue as to cyclopropene formation, this route to **3** remains speculative.

EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points are uncorrected. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance DPX 200 and Avance 400 instruments in CDCl₃ as solvent. Chemical shifts were measured in δ (ppm) and coupling constants J in Hz. TMS ($\delta = 0.00$) or the signal of the solvent (CDCl₃: $\delta = 7.26$ ppm) served as internal standard in ¹H NMR spectra. The solvent peak (CDCl₃ at $\delta = 77.0$ ppm) was used as reference for ¹³C spectra. For assignment of the number of substituents attached to the specified carbon, each carbon is described as + (primary or tertiary carbon), - (secondary carbon), or 0 (quaternary carbon), as determined by the DEPT-135 method. MS were recorded on a Varian instrument Saturn 2100T or Hewlett-Packard 5989B; high-resolution MS (HRMS) measurements were carried out at the "Institut für Organische Chemie, Leibniz Universität Hannover." LR-ESIMS spectra were recorded on a Hewlett Packard/Agilent instrument LC-MSD Serie 1100 at a dry gas temperature of 300°C, a capillary voltage of 3000 V, and a fragmentor voltage of 0 V. Samples were dissolved in HPLC grade methanol and sprayed directly from methanol. IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Vektor 22 FT-IR spectrometer. TLC was performed on Merck 60 F254 precoated silica plates, and spots were detected by UV fluorescence quenching or by spraying with a solution of anisaldehyde/sulfuric acid in methanol and subsequent heating. Flash chromatography was performed with silica gel 60 (Merck, 230–400 mesh). Ethyl acetate (EA) and petroleum ether (PE) with the boiling range 60–70°C were used in the separations. All solvents were distilled before use. Silvl ethers 5a (63%),¹² b (75%),²³ and \hat{c} (58%)²⁴ were obtained as *E/Z* mixtures by a general procedure¹³ and gave spectroscopic data in accordance with the literature.

Cyclopropanation of Alkenyl Silyl Ethers 5 to Cyclopropyl Silyl Ethers 6

A literature method¹² gave, after aqueous work-up, crude silyl ethers **6a**,**b**, which were desilylated in situ to cyclopropanols **7a**,**b** (vide infra) by the action of K_2CO_3 in MeOH.¹⁴ The desilylation step was not applied to the product from **5c**.

2-(1-Phenylethyl)cyclopropyl Trimethylsilyl Ether (6c)

6c was prepared from **5c** (5.5 g. 24.96 mmol) to give 2.59 g (44%) as a colorless oil. The compound is a mixture of four diastereomers. The cis/trans-forms could at least partially be separated by repeated column chromatography (PE/EA 1:50–> 1:100).

trans-**6c** (isolated major diastereomer): ¹H NMR (200 MHz): $\delta = 7.45-7.21$ (m, 5H, Ph), 3.21 (dt, J = 6.6, 2.8, 1 H, H-1), 2.07 (dq, J = 9.6, 7.1, 1H, PhC*H*), 1.35 (d, J = 7.1, 3H, Me), 1.32–1.11 (m, 1H, H-2), 0.85 (ddd, J = 9.7, 5.8, 2.8, 1H, H-3a), 0.53 (ddd, J = 6.6, 6.3, 5.8, 1H, H-3b), -0.00 (s, 9H, SiMe₃). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz) $\delta = 146.4$ (o, C-Ar), 128.4, 127.1, 126.1 (+, CH-Ar), 52.0 (+, C-1), 42.4 (+, CMe), 27.7 (+, C-2), 20.2 (+, CMe), 13.6 (-, C-3), -0.5 (+, SiMe₃).

trans-**6c** (isolated minor diastereomer): ¹H NMR (200 MHz): $\delta = 7.45-7.21$ (m, 5H, Ph), 3.27 (dt, J = 6.4, 2.8, 1 H, H-1), 2.12 (dq, J = 9.9, 7.0, 1 H, PhC*H*), 1.47 (d, J = 7.0, 3 H, Me), 1.32–1.10 (m, 1H, H-2), 0.73 (ddd, J = 9.7, 5.9, 2.8, 1 H, H-3a), 0.43 (ddd, J = 6.4, 6.1, 5.9, 1 H, H-3b), 0.25 (s, 9H, SiMe₃). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz,) $\delta = 146.1$ (o, C-Ar), 128.3, 127.0, 126.0 (+, CH-Ar), 53.0 (+, C-1), 42.4 (+, CMe), 26.9 (+, C-2), 21.9 (+, CMe), 13.6 (-, C-3), -0.1 (+, SiMe₃).

cis-**6c** (isolated major diastereomer): ¹H NMR (200 MHz): $\delta = 7.36-7.07$ (m, 5H, Ph), 3.48 (dt, J = 6.3, 3.4, 1 H, H-1), 2.53 (dq, J = 10.2, 7.0, 1 H, PhC*H*), 1.31 (d, J = 7.0, 3 H, Me), 0.94–0.72 (m, 1H, H-2), 0.69 (dt, J = 9.4, 6.3, 1 H, H-3a), 0.54 (dt, J = 9.4, 6.3, 1H, H-3b), 0.14 (s, 9H, SiMe₃). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz,) $\delta = 148.0$ (o, C-Ar), 128.2, 127.2, 125.7 (+, CH-Ar), 50.8 (+, C-1), 37.7 (+, CMe), 23.6 (+, C-2), 22.5 (+, CMe), 12.8 (-, C-3), -0.0 (+, SiMe₃).

cis-**6c** (isolated minor diastereomer): ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ = 7. 36–7.07 (m, 5H, Ph), 3.36 (dt, *J* = 6.6, 3.4, 1 H, H-1), 2.63 (dq, *J* = 7.0, 3.9, 1H, PhC*H*), 1.35 (d, *J* = 7.0, 3 H, Me), 0.94–0.72 (m, 1H, H-2), 0.25 (mc, 1 H, H-3a), 0.18–0.08 (m, overlapping, 1 H, H-3b), 0.00 (s, 9H, SiMe₃). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz,) δ = 147.7 (o, C-Ar), 128.1, 127.2, 125.6 (+, CH-Ar), 50.7 (+, C-1), 37.0 (+, CMe), 24.5 (+, C-2), 21.8 (+, CMe), 12.6 (-, C-3), -0.3 (+, SiMe₃).

Cyclopropanols 7

Alcohols **7a**,**b** were obtained by in-situ desilylation.¹⁴ The same procedure allowed conversion of **6c** into **7c**.

2-Propylcyclopropanol (7a). Yield 2.49 g (68%) over two steps from **5a** (5.76 g, 36.4 mmol) as a colorless oil. The spectroscopic data match the literature.²⁵

2-Benzylcyclopropanol (7b). Yield 2.19 g (90%) over two steps from **5b** (3.39 g, 16.4 mmol) as a colorless oil: *cis/trans* mixture. The spectroscopic data for *cis*-**7b** match the literature.²⁶ Data for the *trans* compound: ¹H NMR (200 MHz): $\delta = 7.35-7.15$ (m, 5H, Ph), 3.35 (dt, J = 6.0, 2.7, 1H, H-1), 2.53 (m, 2H, PhCH), 1.9 (broad s, 1H, OH), 1.39–1.15 (m, 1H, H-2), 0.80 (ddd, J = 9.7, 6.0, 2.7, 1H, H-3a), 0.50 ("q", J = 6.0, 1H, H-3b). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz,) $\delta = 141.0$ (o, C-Ar), 128.4, 128.3, 126.0 (+, CH-Ar), 52.6 (+, C-1), 37.3 (+, CPh), 21.5 (+, C-2), 14.6 (-, C-3). IR (NaCl): $\tilde{\nu} = 3421, 3062, 3028, 2926, 1716.$

2-(1-Phenylethyl)cyclopropanol (7c). Yield 463 mg (60%) from *cis*-6c (1.12 g, 4.79 mmol) as a colorless oil; diastereomeric mixture, the two *cis*-isomers could be obtained in relatively pure form by repeated column chromatography (PE/EA 8:1). Major *cis*-isomer: ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ = 7.38–7.12 (m, 5H, Ph), 3.67 (ddd, *J* = 6.5, 6.3, 3.3, 1H, H-1), 2.64 (dq, *J* = 10.3, 7.0, 1H, PhC*H*), 1.96 (broad s, 1H, OH), 1.38 (d, *J* = 7.0,

3 H, Me), 0.93 (dddd, J = 10.3, 9.3, 6.5, 6.3, 1H, H-2), 0.67 (dt, J = 9.3, 6.3, 1H, H-3a), 0.28 (dt, J = 6.3, 3.3, 1H, H-3b). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz,) $\delta = 147.4$ (o, C-Ar), 128.3, 127.1, 125.9 (+, CH-Ar), 50.7 (+, C-1), 37.5 (+, CMe), 20.1 (+, C-2), 22.9 (+, CMe), 13.1 (-, C-3).

Minor *cis*-isomer: ¹H NMR (200 MHz): $\delta = 7.37-7.12$ (m, 5H, Ph), 3.53 (ddd, J = 6.4, 6.1, 3.2, 1H, H-1), 2.64 (dq, J = 10.2, 7.1, 1H, PhCH), 1.65 (broad s, 1H, OH), 1.41 (d, J = 7.1 H, Me), 0.99 (dddd, J = 10.2, 9.2, 6.2, 6.1, 1H, H-2), 0.83 (ddd, J = 9.2, 6.4, 5.7, 1H, H-3a), 0.41 (ddd, J = 6.2, 5.7, 3.2, 1H, H-3b). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz,) $\delta = 147.5$ (o, C-Ar), 128.5, 126.7, 126.0 (+, CH-Ar), 50.4 (+, C-1), 38.1 (+, CMe), 25.1 (+, C-2), 22.7 (+, CMe), 13.0 (-, C-3).

Typical Procedure for the Reaction of Cyclopropanols 7 with Burgess Reagent 2: Formation of Sulfamates 8a, 9a

Cyclopropanol **7a** (3.8 mmol) and reagent **2** (918 mg, 3.85 mmol) in MeCN (2 mL) were heated to 80°C over 15 min and kept boiling for 1 h. After cooling, water (5 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and the organic products extracted by washing with ether ($3 \times 10 \text{ mL}$) and CH₂Cl₂ ($5 \times 10 \text{ mL}$). The combined organic phases were washed with sat. brine ($1 \times 10 \text{ mL}$) and dried (MgSO₄). After filtration, the solvents were carefully evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in MeOH (20 mL) and stirred with freshly activated Dowex 50 × 8 (1.0 g) at rt. After removal of the ion exchange resin, the concentrated filtrate was subjected to column chromatography (silica) using PE/EA (6:1 –> 1:1) and then CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (10:1).

Methyl *N***-(2-propylcyclopropyloxysulfonyl)carbamate (8a).** From **7a** (381 mg, 3.81 mmol) to give 239 mg (27%). Colorless oil. Cis/trans mixture. ¹H NMR (200 MHz): $\delta = 7.95$ (broad s, 2H, NH), 4.32 (dt, J = 6.6, 3.0, 1H, H-1, cis), 4.00 (dt, J = 6.6, 2.6, 1H, H-1, trans), 3.85 (s, 6H, OMe), 1.64–0.80 (m, 18H, H-2, H-3a or H-3b, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.72–0.53 (m, 2 H-3b or H-3a). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz): $\delta = 150.6$ (o, *cis*- and *trans*-C = O), 61.9 and 60.9 (+, C-1), 54.1 and 54.0 (+, OMe), 32.8 and 29.2 (-, *C*H₂C-1), 22.4 and 21.5 (-, *C*H₂C-2), 18.1 and 16.3 (+, C-2), 13.8 and 13.7 (+, *CMe*), 11.7 and 11.0 (-, C-3). IR (NaCl): $\tilde{\nu} = 3261, 2962, 2957, 2932, 2874, 1758, 1467, 1428, 1381, 1245, 1174.$

Methyl N-methyl-N-(2-propylcyclopropyloxysulfonyl)carbamate (9a). Also from **7a** (381 mg, 3.81 mmol) to give 72 mg (8%). Colorless oil. Cis/trans mixture. ¹H NMR (200 MHz): $\delta = 4.23$ (dt, J = 6.6, 3.0, 1H, H-1, *cis*), 3.91 (dt, J = 6.4, 2.4, 1H, H-1, *trans*), 3.87 (s, 6H, OMe), 3.36 (s, 6H, NMe), 1.60–0.84 (m, 18H, H-2, H-3a or H-3b, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.65–0.53 (m, 2H, H-3b or H-3a).¹³C NMR (50 MHz): $\delta = 152.8$ and 152.7 (o, C = O), 61.3 and 60.1 (+, C-1), 54.6 and 54.5 (+, OMe), 35.8 and 35.7 (+, NMe), 32.9 and 29.2 (-, CH₂C-1), 22.4 and 21.5 (-, CH₂Me), 18.1 and 16.3 (+, C-2), 13.8 and 13.7 (+, CMe), 11.6 and 10.8 (-, C-3). IR (NaCl): $\tilde{\nu} = 3008, 2961, 2957, 2933, 2874, 1746, 1447, 1398, 1293, 1180.$

Analogously were prepared:

Methyl *N***-(2-benzylcyclopropyloxysulfonyl)carbamate (8b).** From 7b (523 mg, 3.53 mmol) to give 405 mg (42%). Colorless oil. Cis/trans mixture.¹H NMR (400 MHz): $\delta = 7.35-7.19$ (m, 10H, Ph), 4.41 (dt, J = 6.7, 3.2, 1H, H-1, cis), 4.09 (m, 1 H, H-1, *trans*), 3.84 (s, 3H, OMe, *cis*), 3.79 (s, 3H, OMe, *trans*), 2.95 (dd, J = 15.0, 6.8, 1H, AB system, PhC*H*, *cis*), 2.71 (dd, J = 15.0, 7.8, 1H, AB system, PhC*H*, *cis*), 2.64 (dd, J = 14.9, 7.2, 1H, AB system, PhC*H*, *trans*), 2.58 (dd, J = 14.9, 7.6, 1H, AB system, PhC*H*, *trans*), 1.66–1.54 (m, 1H, H-2, *trans*), 1.40–1.20 (m, 2H, H-2 cis, H-3a or H-3b *trans*), 1.07 (dt, J = 9.5, 6.7, 1H, H-3a or H-3b, *cis*), 0.90 (dt, J = 7.3, 3.2, 1H, H-3b or H-3a, *cis*), 0.80

("q", J = 6.9, 1H, H-3b or H-3a, *trans*), $\delta_{\rm NH}$ not found.¹³C NMR (50 MHz, *cis*): $\delta = 151.0$ (o, C = O), 140.5 (o, C-Ar), 128.5, 128.3, 126.2 (+, CH-Ar), 60.4 (+, C-1), 54.1 (+, OMe), 33.0 (-, *C*H₂Ph), 17.5 (+, C-2), 11.5 (-, C-3). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, *trans*): $\delta = 150.7$ (o, C=O), 139.4 (o, C-Ar), 128.6, 128.4, 126.6 (+, CH-Ar), 61.1 (+, C-1), 54.0 (+, OMe), 36.3 (-, *C*H₂Ph), 19.4 (+, C-2), 11.7 (-, C-3). IR (NaCl): $\tilde{\nu} = 3254$, 3029, 2961, 1761, 1604, 1456, 1383, 1244, 1173, 897. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd. for C₁₂H₁₅NO₅S ([M—H]⁻) 284.0593, found 284.0595.

Methyl *N*-(2-benzylcyclopropyloxysulfonyl)-*N*-methylcarbamate (9b). Also from 7b (523 mg, 3.53 mmol) to give 51 mg (5%). Colorless oil. Cis/trans mixture ¹H (400 MHz): δ = 7.36–7.15 (m, 10H, Ph), 4.33 (dt, *J* = 6.6, 3.2, 1H, H-1, *cis*), 4.03 (dt, *J* = 6.6, 2.6, 1H, H-1, *trans*), 3.88 (s, 3H, OMe, *cis*), 3.85 (s, 3H, OMe, *trans*), 3.38 (s, 3H, NMe, *cis*), 3.23 (s, 3H, NMe, *trans*), 2.92 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 7.0, 1H, AB system, PhC*H*, *cis*), 2.72 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 7.6, 1H, AB system, PhC*H*, *cis*), 2.68 (dd, *J* = 14.8, 6.9, 1H, PhC*H*, *trans*), 2.57 (dd, *J* = 14.8, 7.3, 1H, PhC*H*, *trans*), 1.68–0.72 (m, 6H, H-2, H-3a,b). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, *cis*): δ = 152.8 (o, C=O), 140.4 (o, C-Ar), 128.5, 128.3, 126.3 (+, CH-Ar), 59.8 (+, C-1), 54.6 (+, OMe), 35.8 (+, NMe), 33.0 (-, *CH*₂Ph), 17.5 (+, C-2), 11.3 (-, C-3). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, *trans*): δ = 152.7 (o, C=O), 139.1 (o, C-Ar), 128.6, 128.4, 126.5 (+, CH-Ar), 60.7 (+, C-1), 54.5 (+, OMe), 36.3 (-, *CH*₂Ph), 35.6 (+, NMe), 18.9 (+, C-2), 11.7 (-, C-3). IR (NaCl): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3029, 2969, 1744, 1604, 1497, 1447, 1399, 1294, 1178. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd. for C₁₃H₁₇NO₅S ([M + Na]⁺) 322.0725, found 322.0721.

Methyl *N*-[2-(1-phenylethyl)cyclopropyloxysulfonyl]carbamate (8c). From *cis*-7c (175 mg, 1.08 mmol) to give 240 mg (74%). Colorless oil. Mixture of two diastereomers, one of which could be fully characterized. ¹H NMR (200 MHz): δ = 7.60 (broad s, 1H, NH), 7.36–7.17 (m, 5H, Ph), 4.45 (dt, *J* = 6.6, 3.2, 1H, H-1), 3.85 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.57 (dq, *J* = 10.5, 7.0, 1H, H-4), 1.40 (d, *J* = 7.0, 3H, CMe), 1.32–1.14 (m, 1H, H-2), 0.94 (dt, *J* = 9.5, 6.7, 1H, H-3a), 0.78 (dt, *J* = 7.5, 3.2, 1H, H-3b). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz): δ = 151.0 (o, C=O), 146.0 (o, C-Ar), 128.5, 126.9, 126.3 (+, CH-Ar), 60.9 (+, C-1), 54.1 (+, OMe), 38.2 (-, CH₂Ph), 23.1 and 22.2 (+, C-2 and CCH₃), 11.3 (-, C-3). HRMS (ESI): *m/z* calcd. for C₁₂H₁₅NO₅S ([M-H]⁻) 298.0749, found 298.0750.

Methyl *N*-methyl- *N*-[2-(1-phenylethyl)cyclopropyloxysulfonyl]-*N*-methylcarbamate (9c). Also from 7c (175 mg, 1.08 mmol) to give 12 mg (5%). Colorless oil. Mixture of two diastereomers, one of which could be fully characterized. ¹H NMR (200 MHz): $\delta = 7.37-7.17$ (m, 5H, Ph), 4.37 (dt, J = 6.4, 3.2, 1H, H-1), 3.91 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.39 (s, 3H, NMe), 2.55 (dq, J = 10.2, 6.9, 1H, PhC*H*), 1.40 (d, J = 6.9, 3H, CMe), 1.33–1.11 (m, 1H, H-2), 0.90 (ddd, J = 9.6, 7.3, 6.4, 1H, H-3a), 0.70 (dt, J = 7.3, 3.2, 1H, H-3b). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz): $\delta = 152.8$ (o, C=O), 145.9 (o, C-Ar), 128.5, 126.9, 126.4 (+, CH-Ar), 60.3 (+, C-1), 54.6 (+, OMe), 35.8 (+, NMe), 38.3 (-, CH₂Ph), 23.2 and 22.3 (+, C-2 and CCH₃), 11.1 (-, C-3). HRMS (ESI): *m*/z calcd. for C₁₂H₁₅NO₅S ([M + Na]⁺) 336.0882, found 336.083.

Reaction of Thioacetal 13 with Burgess Reagent 2

Thioacetal **13** (170 mg, 0.81 mmol) and **2** (192.5 mg, 0.81 mmol) were reacted as reported above for the formation of **8a**, **9a**. Column chromatography (silica) gave 80 mg (47%) of **13** and 26 mg (16%) of **14**.²⁰ Acetophenone was detected in the H NMR spectrum ($\delta_{Me} = 2.61$ in CDCl₃) and by addition of a weighed amount of authentic material determined to be formed in 1.2% yield.

K. BANERT ET AL.

REFERENCES

- 1. Burgess, E. M.; Penton Jr., H. R.; Taylor, E. A. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 26-31.
- 2. Lamberth, C. J. Prakt. Chem. 2000, 342, 518-522.
- 3. Khapli, S.; Dey, S.; Mal, D. J. Indian Inst. Sci. 2001, 81, 461-476.
- Holsworth, D. D. In Name Reactions of Functional Group Transformations (Eds.: J. J. Li, E. J. Corey); Wiley, Chichester, 2007, pp. 189–206.
- Taibi, P.; Mobashery, S. In *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis* (Ed.: L. A. Paquette); Wiley, Chichester, UK, 1995; Vol. 5, pp. 3345–3347; online update: Taibi, P.; Mobashery, S.; Hart, A. C. *Burgess Reagent*. DOI: 10.1002/047084289X.rm095m.pub2, http://mrw.interscience. wiley.com/eros/articles/rm095m/sect0.html, 2009.
- Schwarz, H.-G.; Dreeßen, S.; Tersakian, A.; Schaumann, E. Liebigs. Ann./Recueil 1997, 1447–1452.
- Yamaguchi, H.; Kawada, K.; Okamoto, T.; Egert, E.; Lindner, H. J.; Braun, M.; Dammann, R.; Liesner, M.; Neumann, H.; Seebach, D. *Chem. Ber.* 1976, *109*, 1589–1600.
- 8. Banert, K. Chem. Ber. 1985, 118, 1564-1574.
- 9. Vilsmaier, E.; Weber, S.; Weidner, J. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4921-4924.
- 10. Kulinkovich, O. G. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2597-2632.
- 11. Scott, P. J. H.; Steel, P. G. Sci. Synth. 2008, 36, 459-481.
- 12. Chibale, K.; Warren, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1996, 1935-1940.
- Hirabayashi, K.; Takahisa, E.; Nishihara, Y.; Mori, A.; Hiyama, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1998, 71, 2409–2417.
- 14. Juan, Z. Q.; Ishikawa, H.; Boger. D. L. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 741-745.
- Ishikawa, H.; Elliott, G. I.; Velcicky, J.; Choi, Y.; Boger, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 10596–10612.
- 16. Hediger, M. E. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 4995-5010.
- Nicolaou, K. C.; Snyder, S. A.; Longbottom, D. A.; Nalbandian, A. Z.; Huang, X. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2004, *10*, 5581–5606.
- 18. Wiberg, K. B.; Österle, C. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 7763-7767.
- Papagni, A.; Maiorana, S.; Licandro, E.; Manzotti, R.; Baldoli, C. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2001, 1149–1155.
- 20. Ong, C. W.; Yu, C. Y. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 9677-9682.
- 21. Bossenbroek, B.; Shechter, H. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 7112-7114.
- Alnasleh, B. K.; Sherrill, W. M.; Rubina, M.; Banning, J.; Rubin, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6906–6907.
- 23. Mukaiyama, T.; Bannol, K.; Narasaka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7503-7509.
- 24. Matsuzawa, S.; Horiguchi, Y.; Nakamura, E.; Kuwajima, I. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 349-362.
- 25. Sato, T.; Watanabe, M.; Watanabe, T.; Onoda, Y.; Murayama E., J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 1894–1899.
- Iwasawa, N.; Hayakawa, S.; Funahashi, M.; Isobe, K.; Narasaka, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1993, 66, 819–827.