

Ruthenium(II)-Catalyzed Regio- and Stereoselective Hydroarylation of Alkynes via Directed C–H Functionalization


Yuto Hashimoto,[†] Koji Hirano,[†] Tetsuya Satoh,^{*,†} Fumitoshi Kakiuchi,[‡] and Masahiro Miura^{*,†}

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan, and Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, 3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8522, Japan

satoh@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp; miura@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

Received March 6, 2012

ABSTRACT



The ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with benzamides proceeds regio- and stereoselectively through a directed C–H bond cleavage. Preliminary mechanistic investigations indicate that the reaction involves amide-directed *ortho*-metalation, carbometalation of alkyne, and protonolysis. Similarly, phenylazoles also add to alkynes regioselectively.

Catalytic aryl–vinyl and aryl–aryl coupling reactions have been recognized to be one of the most important tools for constructing π -conjugated molecules. Compared to

conventional cross-coupling reactions of halogenated or metalated aromatic reagents, the direct couplings of unactivated aromatic substrates through C–H bond cleavage have attracted significant attention as atom- and step-economical synthetic methods.¹ To activate the ubiquitously available C–H bond regioselectively and efficiently, a directing group is usually utilized. As pioneering work on such catalytic reactions, Murai and co-workers reported carbonyl-directed alkylation of aromatic ketones under ruthenium catalysis, involving *ortho* C–H bond activation and subsequent insertion of alkenes.² Related reactions with internal alkynes in place of alkenes have also been developed as synthetic tools for *ortho*-olefination,³ although products are usually obtained as regio- and

[†] Osaka University.[‡] Keio University.

(l) Selected recent reviews for C–H functionalization: (a) Colby, D. A.; Tsai, A. S.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* ASAP, DOI: 10.1021/ar200190g. (b) Engle, K. M.; Mei, T.-S.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. *Acc. Chem. Res.* ASAP, DOI: 10.1021/ar200185g. (c) Cho, S. H.; Kim, J. Y.; Kwak, J.; Chang, S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 5068. (d) Wencel-Delord, J.; Droge, T.; Liu, F.; Glorius, F. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 4740. (e) Kuninobu, Y.; Takai, K. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1938. (f) Liu, C.; Zhang, H.; Shi, W.; Lei, A. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1780. (g) Ackermann, L. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1315. (h) Lapointe, D.; Fagnou, K. *Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *39*, 1118. (i) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 1147. (j) Colby, D. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 624. (k) Sun, C.-L.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 677. (l) Satoh, T.; Miura, M. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 11212. (m) Chen, X.; Engle, K. M.; Wang, D.-H.; Yu, J.-Q. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5094. (n) Daugulis, O.; Do, H.-Q.; Shabashov, D. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, *42*, 1074. (o) Thansandote, P.; Lautens, M. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 5874. (p) McGlacken, G. P.; Bateman, L. M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 2447. (q) Li, C.-J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, *42*, 335. (r) Kakiuchi, F.; Kochi, T. *Synthesis* **2008**, 3013. (s) Ferreira, E. M.; Zhang, H.; Stoltz, B. M. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 5987. (t) Park, Y. J.; Park, J.-W.; Jun, C.-H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 222. (u) Beccalli, E. M.; Broggini, G.; Martinelli, M.; Sottocornola, S. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5318. (v) Alberico, D.; Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 174. (w) Godula, K.; Sames, D. *Science* **2006**, *312*, 67. (x) Kakiuchi, F.; Chatani, N. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2003**, *345*, 1077. (y) Dyker, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 1698.

(2) Murai, S.; Kakiuchi, F.; Sekine, S.; Tanaka, Y.; Kamatani, A.; Sonoda, M.; Chatani, N. *Nature* **1993**, *366*, 529.

(3) (a) Kakiuchi, F.; Uetsuhara, T.; Tanaka, Y.; Chatani, N.; Murai, S. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2002**, *182–183*, 511. (b) Kakiuchi, F.; Sato, T.; Tsujimoto, T.; Yamauchi, M.; Chatani, N.; Murai, S. *Chem. Lett.* **1998**, 1053. (c) Kakiuchi, F.; Yamamoto, Y.; Chatani, N.; Murai, S. *Chem. Lett.* **1995**, 681. (d) Trost, B. M.; Imi, K.; Davies, I. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 5371. (e) Cheng, K.; Yao, B.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 5309. The hydroarylation product was also formed as a byproduct in the Ru-catalyzed oxidative coupling of *N*-methylbenzamide with diphenylacetylene: (f) Ackermann, L.; Lygin, A. V.; Hofmann, N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 6379.

stereoisomeric mixtures. Recently, similar hydroarylation reactions of alkynes using rhodium,^{4,5} iridium,⁶ palladium,⁷ rhenium,⁸ nickel,⁹ and cobalt¹⁰ catalysts have also been reported,¹¹ but the substrate scope remains limited. Consequently, development of new catalyst systems with high selectivity and wide applicability is strongly desired. In the context of our study of ruthenium-catalyzed C–H olefination,^{3a–c,12} we have succeeded in finding that the regio- and stereoselective hydroarylation of various alkynes with benzamides involving amide-directed C–H bond cleavage can be realized by using a ruthenium/silver catalyst system.¹³ The catalyst was also found to be

effective for the hydroarylation with phenylazoles. These new findings are described herein.

In an initial attempt, the reaction of *N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (**1a**) (0.25 mmol) with diphenylacetylene (**2a**) (0.5 mmol) was conducted in the presence of [Ru(*p*-cymene)Cl₂]₂ (0.0125 mmol, 5 mol %) and AgSbF₆ (0.05 mmol) in dioxane at 100 °C for 5 h under N₂. As a result, the hydroarylation product **3a** was obtained in 43% yield (entry 1 in Table 1). The product yield was remarkably improved to 96% by addition of AcOH (1 mmol) (entry 2). Decreasing the amount of AcOH to 0.1 mmol reduced the yield (entry 3). Under conditions using H₂O or KOAc in place of AcOH, **3a** could not be obtained at all (entries 4 and 5). Even with a slight excess (0.3 mmol) of **2a**, **3a** was formed in 82% yield (entry 6).

(4) For early examples for catalytic hydroarylation, see: (a) Hong, P.; Cho, B. R.; Yamazaki, H. *Chem. Lett.* **1979**, 339. (b) Hong, P.; Cho, B. R.; Yamazaki, H. *Chem. Lett.* **1980**, 507. (c) Hong, P.; Yamazaki, H. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1983**, 21, 133.

(5) (a) Schipper, D. J.; Hutchinson, M.; Fagnou, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 6910. (b) Shibata, Y.; Otake, Y.; Hirano, M.; Tanaka, K. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, 11, 689. (c) Katagiri, T.; Mukai, T.; Satoh, T.; Hirano, K.; Miura, M. *Chem. Lett.* **2009**, 38, 118. (d) Parthasarathy, K.; Jeganmohan, M.; Cheng, C. H. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, 10, 325. (e) Colby, D. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 3645. (f) Lim, S. G.; Lee, J. H.; Moon, C. W.; Hong, J. B.; Jun, C. H. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, 5, 2759. (g) Lim, Y. G.; Lee, K. H.; Koo, B. T.; Kang, J. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, 42, 7609. (h) Dürr, U.; Kisch, H. *Synlett* **1997**, 1335. (i) Aulwurm, U. R.; Melchinger, J. U.; Kisch, H. *Organometallics* **1995**, 14, 3385.

(6) (a) Tsuchikama, K.; Kasagawa, M.; Hashimoto, Y. K.; Endo, K.; Shibata, T. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2008**, 693, 3939. (b) Satoh, T.; Nishinaka, Y.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. *Chem. Lett.* **1999**, 615.

(7) (a) Tsukada, N.; Murata, K.; Inoue, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, 46, 7515. (b) Tsukada, N.; Mitsuboshi, T.; Setoguchi, H.; Inoue, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 12102.

(8) (a) Kuninobu, Y.; Tokunaga, Y.; Kawata, A.; Takai, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128, 202. (b) Kuninobu, Y.; Kawata, A.; Takai, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 13498.

(9) (a) Kanyiva, K. S.; Kashiara, N.; Nakao, Y.; Hiyama, T.; Ohashi, M.; Ogoshi, S. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, 39, 10483. (b) Nakao, Y.; Idei, H.; Kanyiva, K. S.; Hiyama, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 15996. (c) Mukai, T.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 74, 6410. (d) Nakao, Y.; Kashiara, N.; Kanyiva, K. S.; Hiyama, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 16170. (e) Nakao, Y.; Kanyiva, K. S.; Hiyama, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 2448. (f) Nakao, Y.; Kanyiva, K. S.; Oda, S.; Hiyama, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128, 8146. See also a review: (g) Nakao, Y. *Chem. Rec.* **2010**, 11, 242.

(10) (a) Lee, P.-S.; Fujita, T.; Yoshikai, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 133, 17283. (b) Ding, Z.; Yoshikai, N. *Synthesis* **2011**, 2561. (c) Yoshikai, N. *Synlett* **2011**, 1047. (d) Gao, K.; Lee, P.-S.; Fujita, T.; Yoshikai, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 12249.

(11) Reviews: (a) Kitamura, T. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 1111. (b) Vasil'ev, A. V. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 45, 1. (c) Jia, C.; Kitamura, T.; Fujiwara, Y. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, 34, 633.

(12) (a) Ueyama, T.; Mochida, S.; Fukutani, T.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 706. After our report, related Ru-catalyzed oxidative coupling reactions were also disclosed: (b) Li, B.; Ma, J.; Wang, N.; Feng, H.; Xu, S.; Wang, B. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, 14, 736. (c) Ackermann, L.; Wang, L.; Lygin, A. V. *Chem. Sci* **2012**, 3, 177. (d) Li, B.; Feng, H.; Xu, S.; Wang, B. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **2011**, 17, 12573. (e) Ackermann, L.; Fenner, S. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 6548. (f) Ackermann, L.; Pospech, J. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 4153. (g) Ackermann, L.; Lygin, A. V.; Hofmann, N. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 3278. (h) Arockiam, P. B.; Fischmeister, C.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. *Green Chem.* **2011**, 13, 3075. (i) Hashimoto, Y.; Ueyama, T.; Fukutani, T.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. *Chem. Lett.* **2011**, 40, 1165.

(13) For reactions using Ru/Ag or related cationic Ru catalysts, see: (a) Hashimoto, Y.; Orloff, T.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Bolm, C.; Miura, M. *Chem. Lett.* **2012**, 41, 118. (b) Chinnagolla, R. K.; Jeganmohan, M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, 417. (c) Ackermann, L.; Pospech, J.; Graczyk, K.; Rauch, K. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, 14, 930. (d) Ackermann, L.; Lygin, A. V. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, 14, 764. (e) Ackermann, L.; Wang, L.; Wolfram, R.; Lygin, A. V. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, 14, 728. (f) Chinnagolla, R. K.; Jeganmohan, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, 48, 2030. (g) Kwon, K.-H.; Lee, D. W.; Yi, C. S. *Organometallics* **2012**, 31, 495. (h) Padala, K.; Jeganmohan, M. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 6144. (i) Kwon, K.-H.; Lee, D. W.; Yi, C. S. *Organometallics* **2010**, 29, 5748. (j) Youn, S. W.; Pastine, S. J.; Sames, D. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, 6, 581.

Table 1. Reaction of *N,N*-Dimethylbenzamide (**1a**) with Diphenylacetylene (**2a**)^a








entry	additive (mmol)	yield of 3a (%) ^b
1	–	43
2	AcOH (1)	96 (81)
3	AcOH (0.1)	55
4	H ₂ O (1)	0
5	KOAc (1)	0
6 ^c	AcOH (1)	82


^a Reaction conditions: [**1a**]/[**2a**]/[Ru(*p*-cymene)Cl₂]₂/[AgSbF₆] = 0.25:0.5:0.0125:0.05 (in mmol), in dioxane (3 mL) at 100 °C for 5 h under N₂. ^b GC yield based on the amount of **1a** used. Value in parentheses indicates yield after purification. ^c [**2a**] = 0.3 mmol.

Next, the hydroarylation of various alkynes with amides was examined under similar reaction conditions in the presence of AcOH. Unsymmetrical alkylphenylacetylenes, 1-phenylpropyne (**2b**) and -hexyne (**2c**), reacted with **1a** to smoothly produce **3b** and **3c**, respectively (entries 1 and 2 in Table 2). It should be noted that no regio- and stereoisomers could be detected at all. Bis(4-chlorophenyl)-acetylene (**2d**) coupled with **1a** to form **3d** (entry 3). The reaction of 1-phenyl-2-(trimethylsilyl)acetylene (**2e**) proceeded efficiently through hydroarylation and subsequent desilylation to produce a 1,1-diarylethene derivative **3e** in 63% yield, along with a minor amount of normal product **3e'** (entry 4). From a terminal alkyne, tris(isopropyl)silylacetylene (**2f**), the corresponding hydroarylation product **3f** was obtained, albeit with a low yield (entry 5). A series of *N,N*-disubstituted benzamides having a cyclic- or diphenylamino group, **1b–d**, reacted with **2a** to form products **3g–i**, respectively, in 47–79% yields (entries 6–8).

A plausible mechanism for the reaction of **1** with **2** is illustrated in Scheme 1. First, *ortho*-metalation of **1** takes



Table 2. Reaction of Benzamides **1** with Alkynes **2**^a

entry	1	2	3 , yield ^b
1			
2	1a	2c , R = Bu	3c , R = Bu (68%)
3	1a		
4	1a		
5	1a		
6	1b , R ₂ = (CH ₂) ₄	2a	3g , R ₂ = (CH ₂) ₄ (79%)
7	1c , R ₂ = (CH ₂) ₅		3h , R ₂ = (CH ₂) ₅ (56%)
8	1d , R = Ph		3i , R = Ph (47%)

^a Reaction conditions: [1]/[2]/[Ru(*p*-cymene)Cl₂]₂/[AgSbF₆]/[AcOH] =0.25:0.5:0.0125:0.05:1 (in mmol), in dioxane (3 mL) at 100 °C for 5 h under N₂.
^b Isolated yield based on the amount of **1** used. ^c A separable byproduct, *N,N*-dimethyl-2-(1-phenyl-2-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl)benzamide (**3e'**), was also formed in 17% yield.


place to give a five-membered ruthenacycle intermediate **A** accompanied by loss of a proton.¹⁴ Subsequently, alkyne insertion into the resulting Ru–C bond to form an intermediate **B** and protonolysis may occur to produce **3**.

(14) It was recently reported that AcOH promotes the C–H bond activation of 2-phenylpyridine: Flegeau, E. F.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H.; Jutand, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 10161 and references therein.

Scheme 1**Scheme 2**

For further mechanistic information, deuterated *N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (**1a-d₅**) was subjected to the reaction conditions (Scheme 2a). In the early stage, deuterium incorporation in the olefinic position of the product could not be detected by ¹H NMR. The fact excludes the possibility of a reaction pathway via oxidative addition of an *ortho* C–H bond, which should lead to deuterium incorporation at the position.^{10a,d} In addition, no significant deuterium loss at the *ortho* positions of recovered **1a-d₅** as well as at the 6-position of product **3a-d₄** was observed. This result indicates that the *ortho*-metalation step to form **A** is likely irreversible. Next, an intermolecular competition reaction of **1a-d₀**/**1a-d₅** with **2a** was conducted. As shown in Scheme 2b, a considerable primary isotope effect of 2.1 was observed, which suggests that the rate-determining step involves C–H(D) bond cleavage.

Scheme 3



The catalyst system was found to be applicable to the reactions of phenylazoles. Thus, 1-phenylpyrazole (**4**) underwent 2-fold coupling with **2a** via C–H bond cleavage

at the 2'- and 6'-positions to afford **5** in 85% yield (Scheme 3). In contrast, *N*-methyl-2-phenylimidazole (**6**) coupled with **2a** in a 1:1 manner to produce **7** selectively.

In summary, we have demonstrated that the ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with benzamides takes place efficiently. The procedure is also applicable to phenylazoles. The present catalyst system and related ones are expected to be applicable to other hydroarylation reactions. Work is underway toward further development of the catalysis.

Acknowledgment. This work was partly supported by Grants-in-Aid from MEXT and JSPS, Japan.

Supporting Information Available. Standard experimental procedure and characterization data of products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.