View Article Online View Journal



# Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

#### Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: G. Munagala, K. R. Yempalla, S. Singh, S. Sharma, N. P. Kalia, V. S. Rajput, S. Kumar, S. D. Sawant, I. A. Khan, R. A.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/obc

Page 1 of 47

# Synthesis of new generation triazolyl and isoxazolyl containing 6-nitro-2,3dihydroimidazooxazoles as anti-TB agents: In vitro, Structure-activity relationship, pharmacokinetics and *in vivo* evaluation

Gurunadham Munagala,<sup>1,2,⊥</sup> Kushalava Reddy Yempalla,<sup>1,2,⊥</sup> Samsher Singh,<sup>2,3,⊥</sup> Sumit

Sharma,<sup>1,2</sup> Nitin Pal Kalia,<sup>3</sup> Vikrant Singh Rajput,<sup>2,3</sup> Sunil Kumar,<sup>2,3</sup> Sanghapal D. Sawant,<sup>1,2</sup>

Inshad Ali Khan,<sup>2,3,\*</sup> Ram A. Vishwakarma<sup>1,2,\*</sup> and Parvinder Pal Singh,<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Medicinal Chemistry Division,

<sup>2</sup>Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research,

<sup>3</sup>Clinical Microbiology,

CSIR-Indian Institute of Integrative Medicine, Canal Road, Jammu 180 001, India

rganic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

#### Abstract:

Nitroimidazole scaffold developed a great interest in the last decade which ultimately led to the discovery of successful drug delamanid for multi-drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB). Here, we report medicinal chemistry on 6-nitro-2,3-dihydroimidazooxazole (NHIO) scaffold with SAR on the novel series of triazolyl and isoxazolyl based NHIO compounds. In the present study, 41 novel triazolyl and isoxazolyl based NHIO compounds were synthesized and evaluated against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MTB) H<sub>37</sub>Rv. The active compounds with MIC of 0.57-0.13 µM were further screened against dormant as well as resistant strains of MTB. Based on the overall *in vitro* profile, five compounds were studied for *in vivo* oral pharmacokinetics, wherein two compounds **1g** and **2e** have shown good PK profile. In *in vivo* efficacy studies in intra-nasal model of acute infection, **1g** has shown 1.8 and 1 log CFU reduction with respect to the untreated and early control respectively. The lead compound **1g** had also shown additive to synergistic effect in combination studies with first line-TB drugs and no CYP inhibition. From the present studies, the compound **1g** represents another alternative lead candidate in this class and need further detail investigation.

#### Introduction:

Tuberculosis (TB) is still one of the major health problem which has taken the lives of 1.3 million people worldwide in 2012.<sup>1</sup> Recent WHO report says globally 8.6 million people were infected with TB and among them 2.3 million were only in India.<sup>2</sup> The existing TB treatment is exceedingly lengthy therapy and emergence of multidrug resistant-TB (MDR-TB) and extensively drug resistant-TB (XDR-TB) has further complicated the world situation.<sup>3</sup> The WHO has estimated that if the present conditions remain unchanged, more than 30 million lives will be claimed by TB between 2000 and 2020.<sup>2,3</sup> Therefore, the current situations necessitates the discovery and development of novel, potent, efficacious and less toxic anti-tuberculosis agents which in addition also should have capability of shortening the duration of therapy.

In the last decade, nitroimidazole scaffold has developed a great interest among the researchers of academic and industrial fields because of its promising potency against replicating and non-replicating phase of tuberculosis.<sup>4</sup> Anti-tubercular potential of nitroimidazole scaffold was initially identified by researchers of Ciba-Geigy-India in 1989, wherein bicyclic nitroimidazooxazole compound, CGI-17341 (**A**, Fig 1) was identified as lead compound but unfortunately its mutagenic nature halted its clinical development.<sup>5</sup> Later, PathoGenesis Corporation and Otsuka pharmaceutical companies had overcome the mutagenicity and developed two drug candidates namely PA-824<sup>6</sup> (**B**, a nitroimidazopyran derivative, currently in Phase-II clinical trial) and OPC-67683<sup>7</sup> (**C**, delamanid, 6-nitro-2,3-dihydroimidazooxazole derivative, recently approved by European union for the treatment of MDR-TB<sup>8</sup>). Both the drug candidates are liphophilic in nature, which in fact might help in entry through the highly lipophilic cell wall of MTB and responsible for high potency.<sup>9,10</sup> Our literature survey revealed that

3

rganic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript

several groups worked on the nitroimidazooxazine scaffold (PA-824) and synthesized their newer generation analogs,<sup>11</sup> however on the other hand, despite of having comparatively better anti-TB profile of delamanid, no further medicinal chemistry efforts were made on nitrodihydroimidazooxazole scaffold.

Keeping in view the importance of nitrodihydroimidazooxazole scaffold (particularly delamanid shown in Fig 1), we have initiated a medicinal chemistry program wherein we replaced the substituted phenoxypiperidyl (ring D and E) of delamanid with heterocyclic moieties (triazole and isoxazole) and studied the effect of modifications on anti-TB activity. Interestingly, the present work led to the discovery of new lead compound which has shown potent activity against sensitive MTB (*M. tuberculosis*  $H_{37}Rv$ ), non-replicative MTB (*M. tuberculosis* 18b) and resistant-strain of MTB along with good safety index and *in vivo* efficacy.

rganic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript



Fig 1: Structure of nitroimidazole based anti-TB agents

#### **Chemistry:**

The synthesis of target compounds *i.e.*, triazolyl and isoxazolyl containing 6-nitro-2,3dihydroimidazooxazole (NHIO) compounds **1** and **2** requires two key intermediates, i) first (*R*)-2-chloro-1-{(2-methyloxiran-2-yl)methyl}-4-nitro-1*H*-imidazole **3** and ii) second is either of following such as triazolyl or isoxazolyl containing phenols **4-7** (Fig 2). The first key intermediate (*R*)-2-chloro-1-{(2-methyloxiran-2-yl)methyl}-4-nitro-1*H*-imidazole **3** was synthesized form commercially available starting material 4-nitroimidazole following reported method (details given in Supporting information).<sup>7,12</sup> rganic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscri



Fig 2: Strategy for the synthesis of target compounds

The other partners were synthesized by following the synthetic routes given in Scheme 1-4. Firstly, the triazolyl containing intermediates **4** were taken up and synthesized in two steps from substituted phenol **9**. In the first step, substituted phenol **9** was converted into *O*propargylated intermediate **10**, which in second step underwent 2+3 cyclo-addition with azidophenols **12** (which in turn were synthesized from aminophenols **11**) under standard click condition afforded triazolyl containing key intermediates **4** in good yields (Scheme 1).<sup>13</sup> Similarly, the another triazolyl containing intermediates **5** were synthesized from azido phenols **12** on 2+3 cycloaddition with substituted phenylacetylenes **13** (Scheme 2). Finally, the triazolyl containing intermediates **4** and **5** were coupled with intermediate **3** in the presence of sodium hydride afforded 6-nitro-2,3-dihydroimidazooxazole (NHIO) compounds **1a-m** and **1n-s** respectively (Scheme 1 & 2).





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: a) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, ACN, rt, 12 h, 85-90%; b) NaNO<sub>2</sub>, HCl, 0-5 <sup>o</sup>C, 2h, NaN<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, 2h, 85%; c) CuSO<sub>4</sub>, <sup>t</sup>BuOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, Sodium ascorbate, rt, 12 h, 80-90%; d) NaH, DMF, 0 to 50 <sup>o</sup>C, 12 h, 20-40%.

Scheme 2: Synthesis of compounds 1n-s<sup>a</sup>



 aReagents and Conditions: a) CuSO<sub>4</sub>, <sup>4</sup>BuOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, sodium ascorbate, rt, 12 h, 80-90%; b)

 NaH,
 DMF, 0 to 50 °C, 12 h, 20-40%.

Next, the synthesis of isoxazolyl containing intermediates **6** were taken up which were synthesized from 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde **15** in three steps; i) first conversion of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde **15** to aldoxime **16**; ii) then to chlorooxime **17** and iii) which further underwent dipolar cyclo-addition with substituted phenoxymethyl acetylenes **14** under standard condition afforded expected isoxazolyl containing intermediates **6** in good yields (Scheme 3).<sup>14</sup> Similarly, another isoxazole intermediates **7** were synthesized from chlorooxime **17** on dipolar cycloaddition with substituted phenyl acetylenes **18** (Scheme 4). Finally, the isoxazolyl containing intermediates **6** and **7** were coupled with intermediate **3** n the presence of sodium hydride which ultimately afforded isoxazolyl containing NHIO compounds **2a-1** and **2m-v** (Scheme 3 & 4).





<sup>a</sup>**Reagents and Conditions**: a) NH<sub>2</sub>OH.HCI, EtOH, NaOH soln, rt, 2 h, 80%; b) NCS, DMF, rt, 2 h, 90%; c) CuSO<sub>4</sub>, <sup>*t*</sup>BuOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, sodium ascorbate, KHCO<sub>3</sub>, rt, 12 h, 80-85%; d) NaH, DMF, 0 to 50 °C, 12 h, 20-40%.





<sup>a</sup>Reagents and Conditions: a) CuSO<sub>4</sub>, <sup>t</sup>BuOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, sodium ascorbate, KHCO<sub>3</sub>, rt, 12 h, 80-85%; b) NaH, DMF, 0 to 50 °C, 12 h, 20-40%.

#### **Biological evaluation and Discussion:**

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

In total forty-one new triazolyl and isoxazolyl based NHIO compounds 1a-s and 2a-v respectively were synthesized having variations on the ring D and E and evaluated for *in vitro* activity against *M. tuberculosis* H<sub>37</sub>Rv (ATCC 27294 strain) using micro-broth dilution method. The MIC of all the synthesized compounds is summarized in Table 1. Triazolyl based NHIO compounds **1a-i** wherein 4-[substitutedphenoxymethyl]triazolyl group present at 4<sup>th</sup> position of ring-C have shown MIC in the range of 1.02 to 0.23  $\mu$ M. To evaluate the effect of position of triazolyl on activity, triazolyl based NHIO compounds 1j-k having 4-[substitutedphenoxymethyl]triazolyl group at 3<sup>rd</sup> position of ring-C were also synthesized, which have shown MIC in the range of 1.07 to 0.23 µM. On the other hand, triazolyl based NHIO compound **11-m** having 4-[substitutedphenoxymethyl]triazolyl group on the 2<sup>nd</sup> position of ring-C showed comparatively lower activity. Further, the role of methyleneoxy group between

ring-D and ring-E were studied by synthesizing NHIO analogs **1n-s**, wherein the ring-E was directly attached to ring-D. Six compounds have been synthesized and among them, two compounds **1n** and **1o** showed the MIC of 0.57 & 0.56  $\mu$ M. The nature and position of substituents on ring E also affect the potency wherein the *para*-substitution was more preferable and presence of  $-OCF_3$  on ring E shown the most potent activity. In case of isoxazolyl based NHIO compounds 2a-l, wherein 5-[substituted-phenoxymethyl]isoxazolyl group attached to 4<sup>th</sup> position of ring-C have shown the MIC in the range of 1.0 to 0.13  $\mu$ M except 2j and 2k which have shown MIC of 4.33 & 4.15  $\mu$ M. However, isoxazolyl based NHIO compounds **2m-v**, wherein ring-E is directly attached to ring-D have shown MIC in the range of 2.31 to 0.14  $\mu$ M. In case of isoxazolyl based NHIO compounds, the presence, nature and position of substituents on ring E greatly influence the potency. Among the isoxazolyl based NHIO series wherein rings D and E are attached through methyleneoxy group, the compounds having -F and  $-OCF_3$  have shown most potent activity whereas isoxazolyl based NHIO series wherein rings D and E are directly attached, the un-substituted phenyl and -CF3 group bearing compounds have shown the most potent activity. Overall screening results suggested that the replacement of piperidyl ring i.e., ring D of delamanid with triazolyl and isoxazolyl is acceptable and further potency depends on the presence of substitution on ring-E. In most of the cases, the substitutions particularly -F, and  $-OCF_3$  at ring-E were more favorable and have shown comparatively better activity (Fig 3).

ganic

**Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript** 

Compound code	$\frac{\text{MIC }(\text{H}_{37}\text{R}_{\text{v}})^{\text{a}}}{\mu\text{M}}$	Compound code	$\frac{\text{MIC (H}_{37}\text{R}_{\text{v}})}{\mu\text{M}}$	Compound code	$\frac{\text{MIC (H}_{37}\text{R}_{v})}{\mu\text{M}}$
1a	0.54	1р	1.03	21	0.99
1b	0.53	1q	8.23	2m	0.14
1c	1.02	1r	2.06	2n	2.31
1d	0.48	1s	1.00	20	2.29
1e	0.54	2a	1.08	2р	1.12
1f	0.48	2b	0.51	2q	2.06
1g	0.23	2c	0.48	2r	1.03
1h	0.54	2d	1.05	2s	0.25
1i	0.52	2e	0.23	2t	0.51
1j	0.23	2f	0.13	2u	0.57
1k	1.07	2g	0.95	2v	1.1
11	1.88	2h	0.26	delamanid (C)	0.01
1m	8.58	2i	1.07	Difampicin	0.07
1n	0.57	2: 2i	1.07	Manpion	0.07
10	0.56	2j 2k	4.15		

Table 1: In vitro	activity of <b>1a-s</b> and	<b>2a-v</b> against MTB	H <sub>37</sub> Rv
	2	0	57

<sup>a</sup>Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) against H<sub>37</sub>Rv MTB

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

Page 13 of 47

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.



Fig 3: Structure-activity relationship of triazolyl/isoxazolyl NHIO compounds against H<sub>37</sub>Rv MTB

In the treatment of *M. tuberculosis*, the issue of dormant (non-replicating) as well as drug-resistant has further complicated the problem and the new chemical entities having efficacy against these will have advantages to addresses this unmet need. The use of streptomycin starved *M. tuberculosis* 18b as model for non-replicated cells has been validated and widely used to test the drugs that target latent tuberculosis <sup>16</sup> Among all the tested NHIO compounds, eleven triazolyl based NHIO compounds **1a**. **1b**, **1d-j**, **1n** and **1o** and nine isoxazolyl based NHIO compounds **2b**, **2c**, **2e**, **2f**, **2h**, **2m** and **2s-u** having potent MIC in the range of 0.57 to 0.13  $\mu$ M were selected and further screened against non-replicating as well as rifampicin (Rif<sup>R</sup>) and multi-drug resistant (MDR) strain of MTB under *in vitro* condition. The screening results are summarized in Table 2. Among the twenty compounds, interestingly several compounds have shown single digit or less than single digit MIC against dormant strain of MTB. Against Rif<sup>R</sup> and MDR strains of MTB, all the compounds have shown MIC in the range of 2.15 to 0.23  $\mu$ M except **1o** (35.7  $\mu$ M).

Compound	$\frac{\text{NRP}^{a}}{\mu M} = \frac{\text{MIC}(\text{Rif}^{R})}{\mu M}$		MIC (MDR)	$\frac{CC_{50}^{b}}{(\mu M)}$	SI <sup>c</sup>
		μνι	μΨ	(µ)	cestime
18	8.66	0.54	0.54	na	nd
1b	16.8	0.53	0.53	nd	nd
1d	>30.4	0.48	0.48	nd	nd
1e	8.6	2.15	2.15	nd	nd
1f	7.76	1.94	0.48	nd	nd
1g	7.52	0.23	0.11	>75	>326
1h	4.29	0.54	1.08	nd	nd
1i	8.3	0.52	0.52	nd	nd
1j	7.52	0.23	0.23	>75	>326
1n	4.59	0.57	1.14	nd	nd
10	>35	35.7	8.925	nd	nd
2b	2.04	0.51	0.51	nd	nd
2c	7.75	0.48	0.96	nd	nd
2e	0.94	0.94	0.23	>75	>326
2f	2.15	0.26	0.26	>85	>600
2h	34.32	1.07	0.13	nd	nd
2m	9.57	1.2	0.14	>95	>600
2s	2.06	0.25	0.25	>82	>300
2t	>32	0.51	1.02	nd	nd
2u	>36	1.15	2.3	nd	nd
delamanid (C)	0.7 <sup>d</sup>	0.01 <sup>e</sup>	0.05 <sup>f</sup>	201.3 <sup>g</sup>	10,710 <sup>g</sup>
Rifampicin	2.43	311	155.5		
GATI	2.66	2.66	1.33		

Table 2: In vitro activity against non-replicating and resistant strain of MTB as well as cytotoxicity studies

<sup>a</sup> Non-Replicating Phase of *M.tb*, <sup>b</sup>Cytotoxicity (concentration causing death of 50% of cells; CC50) to HepG2 cells.<sup>c</sup> Selectivity index (CC<sub>50</sub>/MIC), <sup>d</sup> NRP Values using low oxygen recovery assay reported in reference 17, <sup>e</sup> reported in reference 7, <sup>f</sup> reported in reference 18, <sup>g</sup>Cytotoxicity against Vero epithelial cells using MTT assay reported in reference 19.

The cytotoxic effect of potent compounds have also been determined on HepG2 cell line (Table 2), wherein none of the compounds had shown any cytotoxicity upto 40 µg/ml and have acceptable safety index. In order to evaluate the *in vivo* exposure of new generation triazolyl/isoxazolyl based NHIO compounds, five potent compounds **1g**, **1j**, **2e**, **2f** and **2m** were taken up for oral *in vivo* pharmacokinetics studies in mice at the dose of 5 mg/kg and compared with recently approved drug in this class delamanid. All the results are summarized in Table 3 and Fig 4. Among five tested compounds, two compounds **1g** and **2e** were shown good PK profile with C<sub>max</sub> of 0.54 µg/ml and 1.34 µg/ml respectively and AUC<sub>0-t</sub> of 7.42 µg/ml\* h and 17.92 µg/ml\* h respectively. As shown in Table 3, the compound **1g** has shown 1.5-times higher C<sub>max</sub> and AUC<sub>0-t</sub> and compound **2e** has shown 3.5- times higher C<sub>max</sub> and AUC<sub>0-t</sub> than delamanid (**C**).

	MIC (µg/ml)	Concentration (ng/ml) <sup>b</sup>					C	AUCe 24				
Compound <sup>a</sup>		0.16 h	0.5 h	1 h	2 h	4 h	6 h	8 h	24 h	(µg/ml)	$(\mu g/ml*h)$ T	T <sub>max</sub> (h)
1g	0.12	183.03 ±105.62	364.3 ±142.53	491.57 ±242.33	544.85 ±153.81	451.55 ±70.29	318.43 ±67.11	298.92 ±77.88	226.74 ±118.41	0.54	7.428	2.00
1j	0.12	67.99 ±17.21	126.0 ±18.92	177.39 ±28.62	316.55 ±30.97	148.10 ±62.01	162.25 ±140.62	89.39 ±73.30	0±0	0.32	1.38	2.00
2e	0.12	202.53 ±72.90	614.5 ±158.06	981.92 ±248.97	1348.29 ±297.61	1044.88 ±134.41	857.08 ±55.48	765.45 ±138.98	520.08 ±144.33	1.34	17.92	2.00
2f	0.06	64.77 ±10.58	98.13 ±7.50	123.05 ±9.06	164.38 ±14.74	67.55 ±17.62	30.13 ±4.88	12.79 ±3.37	2.23 ±2.01	0.16	0.724	2.00
2m	0.06	8.32 ±2.72	16.00 ±4.47	22.32 ±4.39	40.80 ±6.93	28.57 ±4.18	24.76 ±7.53	16.68 ±1.71	13.99 ±3.31	0.04	0.45	2.00
C (delamanid)	0.007	40.82 ±11.85	141.4 ±33.79	221.86 ±63.81	356.83 ±96.01	246.62 ±97.42	240.1 ±76.61	198.35 ±44.91	181.21 ±88.33	0.36	4.97	2.00

 Table 3: In vivo pharmacokinetic values in mice

<sup>a</sup> p.o. at 5 mg/kg, <sup>b</sup> each value represents mean ± SD (n=3) # Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated by WINNONLIN software



Fig 4: In vivo oral pharmacokinetic profile of selected compounds at 5 mg/kg.

Further, based on the *in vitro* activity and *in vivo* pharmacokinetic profile, both the compounds **1g** and **2e** were evaluated for their *in vivo* efficacy in intranasal mice model of acute infection in Balb/c mice. After one week of post MTB infection, the compounds were orally administered at 100 mg/kg once daily for 28 days. Compound **1g** has shown the significant 1.8 log CFU (colony forming unit) reduction compared to the untreated control (late control, group run parallel without drug treatment) and 1 log CFU reductions compared to the early control (group at the start of treatment). On the other hand, **2e** did not show any efficacy with respect to early and late control (Fig 5). The group treated with compound **1g** at the dose of 100 mg/Kg daily for 28 days did not show any adverse effect and considered as safe. At the dose of 100 mg/Kg, compound **1g** has shown comparable *in vivo* activity with respect to delamanid as reported recently by Upton *et al.*<sup>17</sup> (activity has been performed in acute aerosol infection model). The compound **1g** has shown comparatively lesser activity than the rifampicin tested along in the regimen. However, the group treated with compound **2e** has shown mortality in 15

#### **Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry**

some animals during the treatment and those survived also shown some toxic effect. Though this compound did not show cytotoxicity in the MTT assay (table 2), the apparent reason for mortality could be high accumulation of compound in the gut due to its poor solubility. Based on the *in vivo* efficacy results, compound **1g** was taken up for further studies.



**Fig 5:** *In vivo* activity of **1g** and **2e** in intranasal model of acute infection Balb/c mice. Mice were orally dosed once daily for 28 days (n = 6) starting on the day after intravenous infection with 10<sup>5</sup> CFU of MTB; \*the log10 CFU/ml value for delamanid taken from literature reported in 17.

In addition to this, *in vivo* efficacious lead **1g** was also studied for combination studies with three first line anti-TB drugs *viz.*, rifampicin (Rif), isoniazid (INH) and ethambutol (ETM) using checker board method (Table 4).The lead **1g** has shown additive effect with rifampicin, synergistic effect with isoniazid and additive effect with ethambutol. These results suggested that

newly generated lead 1g is suitable for combination with first-line anti-TB drugs. The lead **1g** was also investigated for CYP inhibition by testing for their effect on five major CYP isoforms *viz.*, 3A4, 2D6, 2C9, 1A2 and 2C19 at different concentrations using fluorescent based method (Table 5). The results indicated that the lead compound 1g did not show any CYP inhibition at all the tested concentrations.

Combinations	MIC µg/ml	FIC <sup>a</sup>	FIC Index <sup>b</sup>	Observation	
Rif Rif-1g	0.12 0.03	FIC A=0.25	0.75		
1g 1g-Rif	0.12 0.06	FIC B=0.5	0.75	Additive	
INH INH-1g	0.25 0.06	FIC A=0.25			
1g 1g-INH	0.12 0.03	FIC B=0.25	0.50	Synergistic	
ETM ETM-1g	1 0.25	FIC A=0.25			
1g 1g-ETM	0.12 0.06	FIC B=0.5	0.75	Additive	

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

Table 4: In vitro combination studies of lead 1g with first line drugs

<sup>a</sup>MIC of combination / MIC of alone, <sup>b</sup>FIC A+FIC B; FIC index;  $\leq 0.5$  is synergistic, 0.75 to 1 is additive, 1 to 4 is indifference and >4.0 is antagonism.

Table 5: Effect of lead 1g on Cyp isoforms

CYP Isoforms	Test compound	% of Inhibition				
	or Inhibitor	Concentration (µM)				
		100	30	10		
CYP 1A2	<b>1g</b> Alpha Napthaflavone	14.74	6.95	0.29 95.25		
CYP 2C9	<b>1g</b> Sulphaphenazole	0.70	3.56	4.42 66.78		
CYP 2C19	<b>1g</b> Ticlopidine	14.74	6.95	0.29 71.09		
CYP 2D6	<b>1g</b> Paraxotine	0.69	1.24	6.62 63.37		
CYP 3A4	<b>1g</b> Ketoconazole	3.39	10.36	5.27 95.86		

The substrate concentration used for each assay were; 5 μM 3-cyano-7-ethoxycoumarin (CYP1A2), 25 μM 3-cyano-7-ethoxycoumarin (CYP2C9 & 2C19), 50 μM 3-cyano-7-ethoxycoumarin (CYP2D6), 50 μM 7-benzyloxy-4-(trifluoromethyl)-coumarin (CYP3A4).

#### **Conclusion:**

In summary, forty-one new triazolyl and isoxazolyl based NHIO compounds have been synthesized and evaluated for their detail anti-TB potential and preliminary SAR for this scaffold has been also established. The present study suggested that the replacement of ring D and E of delamanid with other heteroaryl and aryl groups are acceptable. Potent compounds were evaluated for oral *in vivo* pharmacokinetics studies wherein two compounds **1g** and **2e** had shown comparable PK profile to the best in class drug delamnid. Further, compound **1g** has shown *in vivo* efficacy in intranasal mice model of acute infection. The lead compound **1g** has also shown synergistic to additive effect with current first-line anti-tubercular drugs and without any CYP liabilities. The interesting and promising profile of compound **1g** as well as the *in vivo* combination studies with first-line anti-TB drugs is presently undergoing in our laboratory and will be published in due course.

*Chemistry*: All chemicals for this study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, INDIA. <sup>1</sup>HNMR recorded on 200 MHz or 400 MHz or 500 MHz and <sup>13</sup>CNMR recorded on 101 MHz or 126 MHz Bruker-Avance DPX FT-NMR instruments. Chemical data for protons are reported in parts per million (ppm, scale) downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the residual proton in the NMR solvent (CDCl<sub>3</sub>:  $\delta$  7.26, Acetone- $d_6$ :  $\delta$  2.1, DMSO- $d_6$ :  $\delta$  2.5 or other solvents as mentioned). All the NMR spectra were processed in either MestReNova or Bruker software. Mass spectras were recorded with HRMS and LC-MS instrument. Melting points were recorded on digital melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Purity of all final compounds (used for biological screening) was determined by using HPLC-Agilent Technologies 1260 infinity series system using one of the two methods. Method A: Coloumn RP 18e (Chromolith, 5µm, 4.6x100mm) and the gradient mixture of water /methanol was used as a Mobile phase over 50 minutes with a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. Method B: Coloumn RP 18e (E-Merck, 5µm, 4.6x250mm) and the gradient mixture of water /methanol was used as a Mobile phase over 50 minutes with a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min. UV recorded at 254 nm.

#### General procedure for the preparation of triazolyl based NHIO compounds 1a-s:

To a mixture of **3** (0.586 mmol) and **4 or 5** (0.468 mmol) in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (3 ml) was added 60% sodium hydride (0.936 mmol) at 0 °C portion wise. After the mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 12 h under a nitrogen atmosphere, the reaction mixture was cooled in an ice bath and quenched with ethyl acetate (2.3 ml) and ice water (0.5 mL). The thus-obtained mixture was poured into water (30 ml) and extracted with ethylacetate twice, washed with brine solution and dried under *vaccuo*. This crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a dichloromethane and ethyl acetate mixture as solvent to obtain the compounds **1a-s**.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(4-Methylphenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1a (IIIM/MCD-023):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.25$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 200-202 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.97 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.26 (s, 2H), 4.52 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.82 (s, 3H);  $[\alpha]_D$  -9.04° (c 0.42, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 485.1550, found 485.1551; HPLC-purity (Method A) 98.8%.

### (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(4-Ethylphenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1b (IIIM/MCD-24):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.25$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 181-183 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.98 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.27 (s, 2H), 4.53 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.82 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H);  $[\alpha]_D$  -8.4° (c 0.41, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 477.1886, found 477.1889; HPLC-purity (Method A) 99.05%. (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(4-*iso*-Propylylphenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1c (IIIM/MCD-26):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.28$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 183-185 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.98 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.99 – 6.94 (m, 4H), 5.27 (s, 2H), 4.53 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 2.90 – 2.83 (m, 1H), 1.82 (s, 3H), 1.23 (d, J = 6.9 Hz,

6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 159.29, 157.65, 157.20 156.90, 145.51, 142.13, 132.31, 128.07, 122.82, 122.74, 116.62, 115.51, 114.99, 94.41, 73.11, 62.40, 52.09, 34.01, 24.51, 22.67;  $[\alpha]_D$  -10.21° (*c* 0.46, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 513.1863, found 513.1871; HPLC-purity (Method A) 97.8%.

#### (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(4-*sec*-Butylphenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1d (IIIM/MCD-27):

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.30$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 180-182 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.98 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.27 (s, 2H), 4.53 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 2.61 – 2.50 (m, 1H), 1.82 (s, 3H), 1.58 – 1.51 (m, 3H), 1.21 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 0.81 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  159.29, 157.71, 156.91, 146.50, 145.51, 140.80, 132.30, 128.71, 122.83, 122.77, 116.62, 115.46, 115.01, 94.42, 73.10, 62.37, 52.09, 41.60, 31.94, 22.68, 22.44, 12.52 ; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> – 10.9° (*c* 0.33, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 527.2019, found 527.2027; HPLC-purity (Method A) 99.4%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(4-Fluorophenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1e (IIIM/MCD-28):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.25$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 187-189 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.98 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.02 – 6.94 (m, 6H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 4.53 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.82 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  159.33, 158.25 (d, J = 236.7 Hz), 156.90, 155.78 (d, J = 1.9 Hz), 145.13, 144.74, 132.27, 122.90, 122.84, 117.01 (d, J = 8.1 Hz),

116.63, 116.57 (d, J = 23.2 Hz), 114.99, 94.42, 73.12, 62.96, 52.09, 22.67;  $[\alpha]_D$  -9.58° (*c* 0.48, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 489.1299, found 489.1312; HPLC-purity (Method A) 95.05%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(4-Trifluoromethylphenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxy methyl}-2,3dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1f (IIIM/MCD-25):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.30$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 192-194 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.99 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.58 – 7.56 (m, 3H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 5.33 (s, 2H), 4.52 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.82 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetoned<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  162.22, 159.37, 156.91, 147.76, 144.59, 132.22, 127.80 (q, J = 3.8 Hz), 126.97, 123.16, 122.89, 116.65, 116.10, 115.00, 94.43, 73.13, 62.61, 52.10, 22.67; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -10° (*c* 0.4, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 539.1267, found 539.1277; HPLC-purity (Method A) 99.7%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(4-Trifluoromethoxyphenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxy methyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1g (IIIM/MCD-19):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.35$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 188-190 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.60 (s, 1H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.23 – 7.12 (m, 4H), 5.30 (s, 2H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  159.35, 158.30, 156.91, 147.76, 144.86, 143.64, 132.24, 123.36, 123.05, 122.87, 116.86, 116.64, 115.02, 94.45, 73.13, 62.81, 52.10, 22.67. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -9.6° (c 0.5, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 555.1216, found 555.1232; HPLC-purity (Method A) 99.7%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(2-Fluorophenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1h (IIIM/MCD-31):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.3$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 180-182 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.03 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.16 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.13 – 7.06 (m, 2H), 6.99 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.96 – 6.92 (m, 1H), 5.36 (s, 2H), 4.53 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 1.82 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  159.32, 156.91, 154.47, 153.49 (d, J = 244.4 Hz), 147.37 (d, J = 10.5 Hz), 144.76, 132.21, 125.48 (d, J = 4.1 Hz), 123.18, 122.87, 122.45 (d, J = 5.1 Hz), 116.89 (d, J = 18.3 Hz), 116.60, 116.53, 115.07 (d, J = 5.3 Hz), 94.44, 73.08, 63.32, 52.22, 22.67; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -7.39° (*c* 0.46, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 489.1299, found 489.1298; HPLC-purity (Method B) 99.6%.

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(3-Chlorophenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1i (IIIM/MCD-30):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.25$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 167-169 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.98 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.23 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.08 – 6.86 (m, 5H), 5.27 (s, 2H), 4.53 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (dd, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.82 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  160.38, 159.33, 156.91, 147.73, 144.78, 135.29, 132.24, 131.60, 123.09, 122.86, 121.88, 116.63, 115.95, 115.02, 114.51, 94.42, 73.11, 62.61, 52.09, 22.67; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -10.68° (c 0.44, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>ClN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 505.1003, found 505.0997; HPLC-purity (Method B) 95.6%.

(*R*)-2-{3-[4-(4-Trifluoromethoxyphenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxy methyl}2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1j (IIIM/MCD-47):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.35$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 156-158 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.05 (s, 1H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.44 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (dd, J = 17.3, 5.4 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (s, 2H), 4.51 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  160.19, 158.25, 156.88, 147.70, 145.03, 143.60, 139.09, 131.80, 123.43, 123.17, 116.82, 116.09, 115.14, 113.99, 107.66, 94.45, 73.04, 62.71, 52.07, 22.70; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -8.75° (*c* 0.4, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 533.13963, found 533.1391; HPLC-purity (Method A) 95.22%.

### (*R*)-2-{3-[4-(4-Fluorophenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxy methyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1k (IIIM/MCD-48):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.25$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 154-156°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.04 (s, 1H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd, J = 17.9, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.03 – 6.90 (m, 5H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 4.51 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  160.18, 158.25 (d, J = 236.7 Hz), 156.88, 155.71 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 147.70, 145.30, 139.10, 131.80, 123.04, 116.99 (d, J = 8.0 Hz), 116.61 (d, J = 23.2 Hz), 116.10, 115.15, 113.96, 107.65, 94.46, 73.04, 62.86, 52.07, 22.71; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -9.52° (c 0.42, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>467.1479, found 467.1471; HPLC-purity (Method A) 95.4%.

(*R*)-2-{2-[4-(4-Trifluoromethoxyphenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxy methyl}2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 11 (IIIM/MCD-52):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.20$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 160-162 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.73 (s, 1H), 7.51 – 7.47 (m, 2H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.20 – 7.16 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 3H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 5.16 (q, J = 12.1 Hz, 2H), 4.38 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.67 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  158.32, 156.61, 151.58, 147.67, 143.69, 143.59, 131.58, 127.50, 127.14, 126.33, 123.34, 122.82, 116.77, 115.15, 114.85, 94.16, 73.35, 62.49, 51.82, 22.91; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -4.7° (*c* 0.34, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 533.13963, found 533.1387; HPLC-purity (Method A) 96.4%.

### (*R*)-2-{2-[4-(4-Fluorophenoxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxy methyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1m (IIIM/MCD-63):

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.20$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 162-164 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.72 (s, 1H), 7.50 – 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.17 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.03 – 6.95 (m, 4H), 5.13 (q, J = 12.1 Hz, 2H), 4.37 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 1.67 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  158.20 (d, J = 236.5 Hz), 156.61, 155.76 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 151.56, 147.56, 143.91, 131.63, 127.42, 127.16, 126.28, 122.80, 116.87 (d, J = 8.0 Hz), 116.57 (d, J = 23.1 Hz), 115.29, 114.79, 94.23, 73.32, 62.50, 51.79, 22.93; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -3.15° (c 0.38, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 467.1479, found 467.1479; HPLC-purity (Method B) 96.7%.

### (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1n (IIIM/MCD-65):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.20$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 254-256 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.90 (s, 1H), 8.03 (dd, J = 6.1, 2.7 Hz, 3H), 7.87 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 4.68 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 2H), 4.48 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 2H), 4.38 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.86 (s, 3H); [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -9.4° (c 0.43, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>FN<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 459.1193, found 459.1193; HPLC-purity (Method B) 95.5%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl -6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 10 (IIIM/MCD-66):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.15$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 277-279 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.77 (s, 1H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 2H), 7.86 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 4.68 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 1.85 (s, 3H);  $[\alpha]_D$  -9.5° (*c* 0.45, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 449.1573, found 449.1567; HPLC-purity (Method B) 98.9%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(4-Trifluoromethylphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1p (IIIM/MCD-67):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.20$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 258-260 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.08 (s, 1H), 8.21 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 7.93 – 7.86 (m, 2H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.69 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.86 (s, 3H);  $[\alpha]_D$  -9.5° (c 0.41, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{17}F_3N_6O_4$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 487.1341, found 487.1340; HPLC-purity (Method B) 95.9%.

### (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(3-Trifluoromethylphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1q (IIIM/MCD-178):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.30$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 219-220 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.11 (s, 1H), 8.29 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.93 (s, 1H), 7.96 – 7.87 (m, 3H), 7.73 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.69 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.86 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  158.55, 156.02, 146.86, 146.29, 132.08, 131.29, 130.73 (q, J = 32.1 Hz), 129.93, 129.05, 124.52 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 121.95 (q, J = 3.6 Hz), 121.87, 119.71, 115.81, 114.16, 93.56, 72.25, 51.21, 21.79; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -8.03° (*c* 0.51, Acetone); LC-MS (ESI+): m\z 509.18 [M + Na]; HPLC-purity (Method B) 96.15%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(2-Trifluoromethylphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1r (IIIM/MCD-51):

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.25$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 217-219 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.12 (s, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 7.70 – 7.65 (m, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 4.54 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 1.83 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  159.44, 156.91, 145.71, 133.26, 132.98, 132.10, 130.68, 129.73, 127.19, 127.14, 126.57, 122.97, 122.33, 116.69, 115.02, 94.43, 73.11, 52.10, 22.68; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -11.52° (c 0.46, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>17</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 487.1341, found 487.1334; HPLC-purity (Method A) 96.22%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[4-(4-Trifluoromethoxyphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 1s (IIIM/MCD-68):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.25$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 266-268 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.96 (s, 1H), 8.11 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 7.92 – 7.86 (m, 2H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 4.68 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  159.37, 156.91, 149.56, 147.67, 147.31, 132.19, 131.12, 128.08, 122.74, 122.47, 120.19, 116.66, 115.15, 94.50, 73.10, 52.08, 22.68;  $[\alpha]_D$  -9.63° (*c* 0.41, Acetone); HRMS (ESITOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>17</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 503.1291, found 503.1283; HPLC-purity (Method B) 97.7%.

#### General procedure for the preparation of isoxazolyl based NHIO compounds 2a-v:

Reaction of intermediate **3** and isoxazole intermediate **6 or 7** under the same procedure as mentioned for triazole NHIO compounds, followed by silica gel column chromatography using a dichloromethane and ethyl acetate mixture as eluents to obtain the isoxazole NHIO compounds **2a-v**.

### (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(4-Methylphenoxymethyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2a (IIIM/MCD-118):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.3$ ; Light yellow solid; 185-187 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.76 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.95 – 6.89 (m, 4H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 5.19 (s, 2H), 4.53 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.83 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.78, 162.59, 160.67, 156.97, 156.91, 131.52, 130.83, 130.50, 129.10, 123.29, 116.10, 115.60, 115.01, 102.29, 94.43, 72.79, 61.79, 52.09, 22.69, 20.46; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -8.56° (*c* 0.52, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 485.1437, found 485.1389; HPLC-purity (Method B) 95.594%.

#### (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(4-*iso*-propylphenoxymethyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2b (IIIM/MCD-116):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.45$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 191-193 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.75 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 4.53 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 2.93 – 2.86 (m, 1H), 1.82 (s, 3H), 1.25 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.80, 162.61, 160.66, 157.15, 156.89, 147.68, 142.73, 129.11, 128.21, 123.24, 116.08, 115.52, 115.12, 102.28, 94.47, 72.77, 61.72, 52.08, 34.02, 24.50, 22.69; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -7.77° (c 0.36, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>491.193, found 491.194; HPLC-purity (Method B) 95.807%.

#### (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(4-Trifluoromethylphenoxymethyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2c (IIIM/MCD-115):

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.35$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 200-202 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.75 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 5.24 (s, 2H), 4.51 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  168.81, 162.71, 161.72, 160.73, 156.92, 147.73, 129.13, 127.94 (q, J = 3.9 Hz), 124.03, 123.13, 116.11, 116.10, 115.05, 102.78, 94.39, 72.79, 61.81, 52.09, 22.69;  $[\alpha]_D$  - 9.05° (*c* 0.53, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>19</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 517.1335, found 517.1333; HPLC-purity (Method B) 97.278%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(4-Methoxyphenoxymethyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2d (IIIM/MCD-128):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.35$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 198-200 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.75 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 6.94 – 6.91 (m, 4H), 6.85 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 5.14 (s, 2H), 4.51 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 1.81 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.87, 162.60, 160.67, 156.92, 155.57, 153.01, 130.51, 129.11, 123.24, 116.91, 116.11, 115.52, 115.14, 102.32, 94.52, 72.79, 62.41, 55.87, 52.09, 22.67; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -10.6° (c 0.33, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 479.1566, found 479.1559; HPLC-purity (Method B) 95.891%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(4-Trifluoromethoxyphenoxymethyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2e (IIIM/MCD-69):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.25$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 195-197 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.74 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 4.51 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.10, 162.67, 160.72, 157.81, 156.91, 144.02, 144.01, 129.11, 123.48, 123.20, 116.94, 116.12, 114.99, 102.61, 94.42, 72.80, 62.12, 52.10, 22.69; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -7.77° (c 0.54, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>19</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 533.1284, found 533.1277; HPLC-purity (Method B) 99.75%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(4-Fluorophenoxymethyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2f (IIIM/MCD-114):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.25$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 175-177 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.74 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.03 – 6.99 (m, 2H), 6.95 – 6.90 (m, 4H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 5.16 (s, 2H), 4.51 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 10.1

Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.40, 162.63, 160.71, 158.8 (d, J = 237.4 Hz), 156.91, 155.32 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 147.78, 129.10, 123.27, 117.22 (d, J = 8.1 Hz),116.71 (d, J = 23.4 Hz), 116.12, 114.94, 102.44, 94.40, 72.82, 62.38, 52.10, 22.69; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -7.0° (*c* 0.1, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>FN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 467.1367, found 467.1364; HPLC-purity (Method A) 97.964%.

#### (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(4-Bromophenoxymethyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2g (IIIM/MCD-127):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.45$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 208-210 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.92 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.09 – 7.06 (m, 4H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 5.35 (s, 2H), 4.67 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, *J* = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  169.12, 162.65, 160.71, 158.31, 156.91, 147.73, 133.28, 129.12, 123.17, 117.89, 116.10, 115.05, 114.15, 102.61, 94.43, 72.78, 61.88, 52.09, 22.69; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -8.68° (*c* 0.38, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>BrN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 527.0566, found 527.0559; HPLC-purity (Method B) 95.24%.

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(3-Fluorophenoxymethyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2-methyl-6-nitro-2,3dihydroimidazo[2,1-b]oxazole 2h (IIIM/MCD-175):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.3$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 164-166 °C;<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.92 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (dd, J = 15.3, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 6.94 – 6.88 (m, 2H), 6.80 – 6.76 (m, 1H), 5.35 (s, 2H), 4.66 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 2H), 4.42 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 2H), 4.36 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.84 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.04, 164.45 (d, J = 243.8 Hz), 162.67, 160.43 (d, J = 10.9 Hz), 160.38, 156.91, 147.74, 131.66 (d, J = 10.1 Hz), 129.12, 123.19, 116.11,

115.03, 111.79 (d, J = 2.9 Hz), 108.98 (d, J = 21.4 Hz), 103.32 (d, J = 25.3 Hz), 102.64, 94.44, 72.80, 61.92, 52.10, 22.69; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -9.42° (*c* 0.35, Acetone); LC-MS (ESI+): m\z 467.14 [M + H]; HPLC-purity (Method B) 95.52%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(2-Fluorophenoxymethyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2i (IIIM/MCD-117):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.25$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 174-176 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.75 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.15 – 7.10 (m, 1H), 7.09 – 7.02 (m, 2H), 7.01 – 6.96 (m, 1H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 5.26 (s, 2H), 4.51 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, J = 10.2Hz, 1H), 1.81 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.04, 162.67, 160.71, 156.91, 152.39, 146.88, 130.57, 129.12, 125.57 (d, J = 3.8 Hz), 123.28, 123.20 (d, J = 2.7 Hz), 117.14 (d, J = 18.2 Hz), 116.90, 116.10, 115.02, 102.75, 94.42, 72.79, 62.78, 52.09, 22;  $[\alpha]_D$  -8.0° (*c* 0.4, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>FN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 467.1367, found 467.1367; HPLC-purity (Method B) 98.817%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(2-Methylphenoxymethyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2j (IIIM/MCD-177):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.32$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 187-188 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.92 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (m, 2H), 7.08 (m, 3H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 6.90 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (s, 2H), 4.66 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 1.84 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.87, 162.61, 160.67, 157.08, 156.91, 131.64, 129.12, 127.85, 127.56, 123.25, 122.18, 116.07, 116.01, 115.09, 112.60, 102.18, 94.45, 72.76, 61.93, 52.08, 22.69,

16.34;  $[\alpha]_D$  -9.51° (*c* 0.41, Acetone); LC-MS (ESI+): m\z 463.10 [M + H]; HPLC-purity (Method B) 98.31%.

### (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(3-Chlorophenoxymethyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2k (IIIM/MCD-138):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.3$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 162-164 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.75 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (t, J = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.90 – 6.85 (m, 1H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 4.52 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.02, 162.68, 160.71, 159.88, 156.92, 147.73, 135.39, 131.73, 130.50, 129.13, 123.16, 122.46, 116.11, 115.07, 114.50, 102.66, 94.45, 72.78, 61.90, 52.09, 22.70; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -8.37° (*c* 0.43, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>ClN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>483.1071, found 483.1072; HPLC-purity (Method B) 96.93%.

#### (R)-2-{4-[5-(3-Methyl,4-iso-propylphenoxymethyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-

#### dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-b]oxazole 2l (IIIM/MCD-139):

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.5$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 176-178 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.75 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.82 – 6.75 (m, 2H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 5.16 (s, 2H), 4.51 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 3.11 – 3.04 (m, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.81 (s, 3H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.92, 162.59, 160.67, 156.91, 156.78, 147.75, 140.75, 137.17, 129.10, 126.54, 123.31, 117.48, 116.10, 114.96, 113.10, 102.20, 94.43, 72.80, 61.68, 52.10, 29.27, 23.70, 22.69, 19.50; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -10.93° (*c* 0.58, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 505.2087, found 505.2101; HPLC-purity (Method B) 98.4614%.

Page 35 of 47

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[5-Phenylisoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6nitroimidazo [2,1*b*]oxazole 2m (IIIM/MCD-50):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.35$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 233-235 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.85– 7.81 (m, 4H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.51 –7.46 (m, 3H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.82 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Pyridine- $d_5$ )  $\delta$  169.33, 161.75, 158.73, 155.27, 146.54, 129.39, 128.31, 127.61, 126.77, 124.93, 114.52, 113.71, 113.62, 97.29, 92.59, 70.93, 50.23, 21.30; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> - 4.49° (*c* 0.51, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 419.1355, found 419.1348; HPLC-purity (Method A) 97.42%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(4-Methylphenyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2n (IIIM/MCD-72):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.5$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 256-258 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.90 (s, 1H), 7.88 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (s, 1H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 4.66 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.84 (s, 3H);  $[\alpha]_D$  -3.59° (*c* 0.30, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 433.1521, found 433.1513; HPLC-purity (Method B) 98.77%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(4-Fluorophenyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 20 (IIIM/MCD-119):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.4$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 248-250 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.99 (dd, J = 9.0, 5.3 Hz, 2H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H);  $[\alpha]_D$  -2.66° (c

0.30, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>17</sub>FN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 437.1261, found 437.1258; HPLC-purity (Method B) 98.86%.

#### (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2p (IIIM/MCD-71):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.35$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 252-254 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.92 (s, 1H), 7.89 – 7.84 (m, 4H), 7.17 (s, 1H), 7.11 – 7.08 (m, 4H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H) 4.37 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 1.85 (s, 3H);  $[\alpha]_D$  -2.5° (c 0.32, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 449.1461, found 449.1463; HPLC-purity (Method B) 99.69%.

### (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(4-Trifluoromethylphenyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2q (IIIM/MCD-73):

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.15$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 258-260 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.16 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 7.93 – 7.90 (m, 4H), 7.53 (s, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H);  $[\alpha]_D$  -3.45° (c 0.33, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{17}F_3N_4O_5$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 487.1229, found 487.1229; HPLC-purity (Method B) 98.76%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(4-Trifluoromethoxyphenyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2r (IIIM/MCD-74):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.3$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 254-256 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.07 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.93 – 7.86 (m, 3H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ 

169.51, 163.43, 160.75, 156.92, 150.98, 129.10, 128.58, 127.53, 123.23, 122.62, 120.32, 116.13, 115.14, 99.42, 94.49, 72.84, 52.13, 22.73;  $[\alpha]_D$  -5.8° (*c* 0.5, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>17</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 503.1178, found 503.1175; HPLC-purity (Method B) 95.94%.

(*R*)-2-{4-[5-(3-Trifluoromethylphenyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2s (IIIM/MCD-125):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.3$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 233-235 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.25 (s, 1H), 8.23 (m, 1H), 7.99 – 7.78 (m, 5H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.69 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.86 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.24, 163.50, 160.80, 156.93, 132.04, 131.78, 131.28, 130.11, 129.37, 129.12, 127.58 (q, J = 7.4 Hz), 123.17 (q, J = 3.8 Hz), 116.77, 116.15, 115.08, 100.06, 94.46, 72.81, 52.10, 22.70; [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -5.63° (*c* 0.55, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>17</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 487.1229, found 487.1223; HPLC-purity (Method B) 99.8446%.

### (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(2-Trifluoromethylphenyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6-nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2t (IIIM/MCD-176):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 2:8):  $R_f = 0.20$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 221-223 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.98 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.95 – 7.78 (m, 6H), 7.16 (s, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  168.76, 162.93, 160.78, 156.92, 133.61, 132.38, 131.77, 129.17, 128.60 (q, J = 31.4 Hz), 127.73 (q, J = 5.5 Hz), 127.17 (q, J = 4.1 Hz), 126.08, 123.14, 116.17, 115.04, 103.14 (q, J = 2.2 Hz), 94.45, 72.81, 52.11, 22.70;  $[\alpha]_D$  -10.25° (*c* 0.40, Acetone); LC-MS (ESI+): m\z 487.0 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; HPLC-purity (Method B) 96.76%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(2-Fluorophenyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2u (IIIM/MCD-126):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.20$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 235-237 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.03 – 7.99 (m, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.64 – 7.57 (m, 1H), 7.46 – 7.35 (m, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 4.67 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H);  $[\alpha]_D$  -3.44° (*c* 0.44, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>17</sub>FN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 437.1261, found 437.1262; HPLC-purity (Method B) 95.7503%.

# (*R*)-2-{4-[5-(2,4-Difluorophenyl)isoxazol-3-yl]phenoxymethyl}-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-6nitroimidazo[2,1-*b*]oxazole 2v (IIIM/MCD-70):

TLC (EtOAc:DCM 1:9):  $R_f = 0.25$ ; Light yellow solid; mp 269-271 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.05 – 7.99 (m, 1H), 7.88 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.29 – 7.18 (m, 2H), 7.17 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 4.62 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 1.80 (s, 3H); [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> -2.47 (c 0.42, Acetone); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>16</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 455.1167, found 455.1166; HPLC-purity (Method B) 99.436%.

#### **Biological Evaluation:**

In vitro activity against M. tuberculosis H<sub>37</sub>Rv and clinical isolate M. tuberculosis MDR:

MIC determination: MIC was determined by broth dilution method against *M*. *tuberculosis*  $H_{37}$ Rv (ATCC 27294; American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA), *M*. *tuberculosis* MDR (resistant to isoniazid and rifampicin) and one of laboratory generated mutant *M. tuberculosis* Rif<sup>R 15</sup> (resistant to rifampicin) using micro-broth dilution method. The bacterial

strains were grown for 10 to 15 days in Middlebrook 7H9 broth (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.) supplemented with 0.5% (v/v) glycerol, 0.25% (v/v) Tween 80 (Himedia, Mumbai India), and 10% ADC (albumin dextrose catalase, Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD) under shaking conditions at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> to facilitate exponential-phase growth of the organism. Bacterial suspension was prepared by suspending *M. tuberculosis* growth in normal saline containing 0.5% tween 80 and turbidity was adjusted to 1 McFarland (McF) standard which is equivalent to 1.0 x  $10^7$  CFU/ml. The 2-fold serial dilutions of compounds were prepared in Middle brook 7H9 (Difco laboratories) for *M. tuberculosis* in 100  $\mu$ l per well in 96-well U bottom microtitre plates (Tarson, Mumbai, India). The above-mentioned bacterial suspension was further diluted 1:10 in the growth media and 100 µl volume of this diluted inoculum was added to each well of the plate resulting in the final inoculum of  $1.0 \times 10^6$  CFU/ml in the well and the final concentrations of compounds ranged from 0.015 to 32 µg/ml. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for seven days in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. For evaluation of results (Resaurin Microtitre Assay) REMA method was used. After incubation, 15 µl of 0.04% resazurin and 12.5 µl of 20% tween 80 was added in each well of plate including media and growth controls. After 48 h incubation, plates were read visually and the minimum concentration of the compound showing no change of colour was recorded as MIC.

#### In vitro activity against Non-Replicating MTB:

Streptomycin starved *M tuberculosis* 18b (ss18b) in non replicating phase (NRP) of growth was grown according to method described earlier<sup>16</sup> using Middlebrook 7H9 media. In brief, ss18b was grown to mid-log phase in Middlebrook 7H9 supplemented with 10% ADC and 50 $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin. Streptomycin was removed from the media by washing the bacteria with phosphate buffer saline (pH 7.4) wash three times and then incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in streptomycin free 7H9 media for a period for 14-16 days until it stopped growing and the optical

38

density of culture become nearly constant. A total of 0.2 O.D was used as inoculum for setting up MIC. Other conditions were same as used for MIC determination and evaluation by REMA method.

#### **Evaluation of cytotoxicity in HepG2 cells:**

Cytotoxicity of the compounds was evaluated using the MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay <sup>20</sup> Human HepG2 cell line was maintained in the *Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium* (Gibco Life Technology, NY). Cells were plated at a density of 10,000 cell /well in 96 microwell flat bottom plate and incubated for 24 h (37°C; 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). Cells monolayer was exposed to the single concentration of  $40\mu$ g/ml of the tested compounds and incubated for 24 h (37°C; 5% CO<sub>2</sub>). MTT dye was added at concentration of 2.5mg/ml dissolved in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and cell viability was determined by measuring the absorbance of the reduced formazan at 570 nm in a plate reader. The percent cytotoxicity achieved by the compounds was calculated according to standard methods using tamoxifen as a negative control and healthy cells as positive control. Cytotoxicity is reported as CC<sub>50</sub>, the concentration that causes a 50% reduction in cell viability.

#### In vivo pharmacokinetics:

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

Compounds were administered orally to female Balb/c mice (3 mice in each group) at a dose of 5 mg/kg as a suspension in 0.5% CMC and Tween 80. Samples derived from plasma at different time points 0.16 h, 0.5 h, 1 h, 2 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h and 24 h, which were then analyzed by LC-MS/MS to generate the required pharmacokinetic parameters.

#### In vivo efficacy determination:

For intranasal instillation purpose, actively growing culture of MTB  $H_{37}Rv$  was used. In brief, freeze thawed vial was activated by incubating the culture in Middlebrook 7H9 Middle

brook broth containing 10% OADC for 48 h under shaking at 37°C, keeping the final density of cells to 1 McF. A total of five groups of Balb/c female mice containing six numbers and have average body weight of 20-22 g were taken for experiment. The animal were kept in cages in a Biosafetety laboratory for seven days prior to start of experiment for acclimation with levels 1g 100 mg/kg, 2e 100 mg/kg, Drug control (Rifampicin 20mg/kg) and placebo, no drug control. After sonicating *M. tuberculosis* suspension to make uniform suspension, 20 µl of it was given through nasal route to anesthetized mice.CFU determination was done after 48 h of postinfection to enumerate the bacterial load in lung of early control. Dosing was started after 48 h of infection (six days a week) and continued for 28 days. Bacterial load was determined in left lung of each infected mice (six in each group). Serial tenfold dilutions made from homogenized lungs in normal saline solution were applied on Middlebrook 7H10 Agar supplemented with 10% OADC plates. Results were determined as CFU/ml.

#### **Dose preparation and administration**

For preparing the dose compound or drug was dissolved in minimum amount of DMSO and then mixed in alcohol so as to make final volume upto 5% ethanol and 95% PEG 400 (v/v) mixed. Compounds were dissolved to make final concentration of 100 mg/kg while Rifampicin was prepared at a concentration of 20 mg/kg. A total of 200 µl volume of respective dose was administered orally (oral gavage) in a biosafety cabinet to each group. Same volume of mixture i.e. 5% ethanol and 95% PEG 400 (v/v) was given to placebo group. A group of mice was kept without dosing which served as control.

#### **Combination studies assay:**

The efficacy of compound **1g** (conc range 0.25  $\mu$ g/ml to 0.007  $\mu$ g/ml) in combination with currently used anti-TB drugs such as rifampicin, isoniazid and ethambutol (each drug tested

**Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript** 

rganic &

at conc range  $4 \mu g/ml$  to 0.007  $\mu g/ml$ ), was determined *in vitro* using checkerboard method. The checkerboard procedure was performed based on the MIC values obtained from broth microdilution method. The checkerboard method was performed in 96 well U bottom microtitre plates. Hundred microliters of 4X of required concentration of drug was added to first column of the plate and fiflty microliters of plain media was added to remaining columns. Fifty microliters from first column was transferred to second column and was serially diluted in horizontal manner upto column 10 of the plate. Seven two fold serial dilutions of 4X of required concentration of compound were prepared in microcentrifuge tubes and fifty microliters of each concentration was added vertically starting from eleventh column of row eight to row second of the plate. Fiflty microliters of plain media was added to first row of the plate which served as drug control. Hundred microliters of 1:10 diluted of 1 Mc Farland inoculum was added to each well of the plate. Plates were then incubated at 37°C for 14 days. MIC of drug alone and in presence of compound and vice versa was observed visually. The level of synergy was determined by calculating the fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) index based on the following formula: FIC of drug A = MIC of drug A in combination / MIC of drug A alone; FIC of drug B = MIC of drug B in combination / MIC of drug B alone; and FIC index = FIC of drug A + FIC of drug B. Results of FIC index were interpreted as follows:  $\leq 0.5$ : synergy, > 0.5 to 0.75: partial synergy, > 0.75 to 1.0: additive effect, > 1.0 to 4.0: indifference, and > 4.0: antagonism. We calculated the FIC index value for each concentration of two-drug combination and the minimum value was adopted.

#### CYP enzymes assay (Fluorescent based method):

Recombinant cytochrome P450 was aliquoted as per the total concentration required to conduct the study and stored in -80  $^{\circ}$ C until use. Total assay volume was adjusted to 200  $\mu$ l and 41

consists of three components: cofactors, inhibitor/vehicle, and enzyme substrate (ES) mix. Assay was conducted in black fluorogenic 96 well plates. Fifty microlitres of working concentration of cofactor was dispensed to all the specified wells followed by the addition of 50  $\mu$ l of the diluted working concentrations of **1g** to the specified wells from 1 to 8 in duplicates. Wells from 9,10 were control without inhibitor and 11 ,12 are blank wells. Enzyme substrate mix was prepared as per the required volume. Reaction plate with cofactor and test item and enzyme substrate mix prepared separately were pre incubated in 37 °C shaking incubator for 10 minutes. After the pre incubation, 100  $\mu$ l of enzyme substrate mix was added to the required reaction wells in reaction plate and were incubation at 37 °C shaking incubator for 45 min. Incubation was terminated by dispensing 75 $\mu$ l of 100% acetonitrile after the incubation time. Fluorescence per well was measured using an excitation and emission wavelengths in Fluorescence Reader/Fluorescence Detector.<sup>21</sup>

#### **Associated Content:**

#### \*S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures for intermediates along with characterization data, copies of NMR and Mass spectras of final compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at

#### **Author Information:**

Corresponding Author

\*Tel.: +91-191-2569111, Fax: +91-191-2569333,

E-mail: ppsingh@iiim.ac.in, ram@iiim.ac.in, iakhan@iiim.ac.in

#### **Author Contributions**

 $^{\perp}$  GM, KRY and SSingh have equally contributed to this work.

#### Acknowledgements:

This work was supported by Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR)-New Delhi with research grant #BSC0205 and # HCP 0001. GM, KRY, SSingh, SSharma, NPK, VR, and SK thanks CSIR and UGC for their Fellowship.

#### **References:**

Published on 20 January 2015. Downloaded by University of California - San Francisco on 01/02/2015 05:57:33.

- World Health Organization. Global Tuberculosis Report 2012; Technical Report from the World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2012.
- a) Loewenberg, S. India reports cases of totally drug-resistant tuberculosis. *Lancet.* 2012, *379*, 205; b) Boccia, D.; Evans, C. A. A new era for global tuberculosis control. *Lancet.* 2011, *378*, 1293; c) Lonnroth, K.; Jaramillo, E.; Williams, B.; Dye, C.; Raviglione, M. Tuberculosis: The Role of Risk Factors and Social Determinants; Blas, E., Kurup, A. S., Eds.; World Health Organization, WHO Press: Switzerland, 2010, pp220.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Treatment of tuberculosis: Guidelines for national programmes, 4th ed.; WHO Press: Geneva, Switzerland, 2009.
- a) Barry, C. E.; Boshoff, H. I.; Dowd, C. S. Prospects for clinical introduction of nitroimidazole antibiotics for the treatment of tuberculosis. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2004, 10, 3239. b) Wayne, L. G.; Sramek, H. A. Metronidazole is bactericidal to dormant cells of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 1994, 38, 2054.

- 5.a) Nagarajan, K.; Shankar, R. G.; Rajappa, S.; Shenoy, S. J.; Costa-Pereira, R. Nitroimidazole XXI 2,3-dihtdro-6-nitroimidazo [2,1-b] oxazoles with antitubercular activity. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 1989, *24*, 631. b) Ashtekar, D. R.; Costa-Perira, R.; Nagrajan, K.; Vishvanathan, N.; Bhatt, A. D.; Rittel, W. In vitro and *in vivo* activities of the nitroimidazole CGI 17341 against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 1993, *37*, 183.
- 6. a) Stover, C. K.; Warrener, P.; VanDevanter, D. R.; Sherman, D. R.; Arain, T. M.; Langhorne, M. H.; Anderson, S. W.; Towell, J. A.; Yuan, Y.; McMurray, D. N.; Kreiswirth, B. N.; Barry, C. E.; Baker, W. R. A small-molecule nitroimidazopyran drug candidate for the treatment of tuberculosis. *Nature* 2000, *405*, 962; b) Denny, W. A.; Palmer, B. D. The nitroimidazooxazines (PA-824 and analogs): structure-activity relationship and mechanistic studies. *Future Med Chem.* 2010, *2*, 1295.
- 7. a) Sasaki, H.; Haraguchi, Y.; Itotani, M.; Kuroda, H.; Hashizume, H.; Tomishige, T.; Kawasaki, M.; Matsumoto, M.; Komatsu, M.; Tsubouchi, H. Synthesis and antituberculosis activity of a novel series of optically active 6-nitro-2,3-dihydroimidazo[2,1-b]oxazoles. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 7854; b) Matsumoto, M.; Hashizume, H.; Tomishige, T.; Kawasaki, M.; Tsubouchi, H.; Sasaki, H.; Shimokawa, Y.; Komatsu, M. OPC-67683, a nitro-dihydro-imidazooxazole derivative with promising action against tuberculosis in vitro and in mice, *PLoS Med.* 2006, *3*, 466.
- 8. a) Ryan, N. J.; Lo, J. H. Delamanid: first global approval. *Drugs*. 2014, 74, 1041; b) Drug Discovery & Development. EMA Recommends Two New Tuberculosis treatments. November 22, 2013.

- Barry, C. E.; Crick, D. C.; McNeil, M. R. Targeting the formation of the cell wall core of M. tuberculosis. *Infect. Disord.;Drug Targets.* 2007, 7, 182.
- a) Rivers, E. C.; Mancera, R. L. New anti-tuberculosis drugs with novel mechanisms of action. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2008, *15*, 1956. b) Kmentova, I.; Sutherland, H. S.; Palmer, B. D.; Blaser, A.; Franzblau, S. G.; Wan, B.; Wang, Y.; Ma, Z.; Denny, W. A.; Thompson, A. M. Synthesis and Structure-Activity Relationships of Aza- and Diazabiphenyl Analogues of the Antitubercular Drug (6S)-2-Nitro-6-{[4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyl]oxy}-6, 7-dihydro-5H-imidazo[2,1-b][1,3]oxazine (PA-824). *J. Med. Chem.* 2010, *53*, 8421.

11. a) Thompson, A. M.; Sutherland, H. S.; Palmer, B. D.; Kmentova, I.; Blaser, A.; Franzblau, S. G.; Wan, B.; Wang, Y.; Ma, Z.; Denny, W. A. Synthesis and Structure Activity Relationships of Varied Ether Linker Analogues of the Antitubercular Drug (6S)-2-Nitro-6-{[4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyl]oxy}-6,7-dihydro-5H-imidazo- [2,1-b][1,3]oxazine (PA-824). J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 6563; b) Palmer, B. D.; Thompson, A. M.; Sutherland, H. S.; Blaser, A.; Kmentova, I.; Franzblau, S. G.; Wan, B.; Wang, Y.; Ma, Z.; Denny, W. A. Synthesis and structure activity studies of biphenyl analogues of the tuberculosis drug (6S)-2-nitro-6-{[4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyl]oxy}- 6,7-dihydro-5H-imidazo[2, 1-b][1,3]oxazine (PA-824). J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 282; c) Sutherland, H. S.; Blaser, A.; Kmentova, I.; Franzblau, S. G.; Wan, B.; Wang, Y.; Ma, Z.; Palmer, B. D.; Denny, W. A.; Thompson, A. Synthesis and Structure-activity Relationships of Antitubercular 2-Nitroimidazooxazines Bearing Heterocyclic Side Chains. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 855; d) Kim, P.; Zhang, L.; Manjunatha, U. H.; Singh, R.; Patel, S.; Jiricek, J.; Keller, T. H.; Boshoff, H. I.; Barry, C. E., III; Dowd, C. S. Structure-activity relationships of antitubercular nitroimidazoles. 1. Structural features

associated with aerobic and anaerobic activities of 4- and 5-nitroimidazoles. *J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *52*, 1317; e) Kim, P.; Kang, S.; Boshoff, H. I.; Jiricek, J.; Collins, M.; Singh, R.; Manjunatha, U. H.; Niyomrattanakit, P.; Zhang, L.; Goodwin, M.; Dick, T.; Keller, T. H.; Dowd, C. S.; Barry, C. E., III. Structure activity relationships of antitubercular nitroimidazoles. 2. Determinants of aerobic activity and quantitative structure-activity relationships. *J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *52*, 1329.

- Sudarsanam, V.; Nagarajan, K.; George, T.; Shenoy, S. J.; Iyer, V. V.; Kaulgud, A. P. Nitroimidazoles: Part XI-Some Halonitro & Dinitroimidazoles. *Indian J. Chem.* 1982, *B21*, 1022.
- Boechat, N.; Ferreira, V. F.; Ferreira, S. B.; de Lourdes, G. F. M.; de C da Silva, F.; Bastos, M. M.; Costa, M. D. S.; Lourenco, M. C.; Pinto, A. C.; Krettli, A. U.; Aguiar, A. C.; Teixeira, B. M.; da Silva, N. V.; Martins, P. R.; Bezerra, F. A.; Camilo, A. L.; da Silva, G. P.; Costa, C. C. Novel 1,2,3-Triazole Derivatives for Use against Mycobacterium tuberculosis H<sub>37</sub>Rv (ATCC 27294) Strain. *J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *54*, 5988.
- Himo, F.; Lovell, T.; Hilgraf, R.; Rostovtsev, V. V.; Noodleman, L.; Sharpless, K. B.; Fokin, V. V. Copper(I)-Catalyzed Synthesis of Azoles. DFT Study Predicts Unprecedented Reactivity and Intermediates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, *127*, 210.
- Sharma, S.; Kumar, M.; Sharma, S.; Nargotra, A.; Koul, S.; Khan, I. A. Piperine as an inhibitor of Rv1258c, a putative multidrug efflux pump of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010. 65, 1694.

- 16. a) Sala, C.; Dhar, N.; Hartkoorn, R.C.; Zhang, M.; Ha, Y.H.; Schneider, P.; Cole, S.T. Simple model for testing drugs against nonreplicating *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob*. *Agents Chemother*. 2010, *54*, 4150; b) Zhang, M.; Sala, C.; Hartkoorn, R.C.; Dhar, N.; Losana.A.F.; and Cole, S.T. Streptomycin –starved Mycobacterium tuberculosis 18b, a drug discovery tool for latent tuberculosis. *Antimicrob*. *Agents Chemother*. 2012, *56*, 4150.
- Upton, A. M.; Cho, S.; Yang, T. J.; Kim, Y.; Wang, Y.; Lu, Y.; Wang, B.; Xu, J.; Mdluli, K.;
   Ma, Z.; Franzblau, S. G. In vitro and in vivo activity against *M. tuberculosis* of the nitroimidazole TBA-354. doi:10.1128/AAC.03823-14.
- Doi, N.; Disratthakit, A.; Characteristic Anti-Mycobacterial Spectra of the Novel Anti-TB Drug Candidates OPC-67683 and PA-824. Poster F1-1377a, 46<sup>th</sup> ICAAC, 2006.

- Rakesh, B. D.; Bruhn, D. F.; Scherman, M. S.; Woolhiser, L. K.; Madhura, D. B.; Maddox, M.; Singh, A. P.; Lee, R. B.; Hurdle, J. G.; McNeil, M. R.; Lenaerts, A. J.; Meibohm, B.; Lee, R. E. Pentacyclic Nitrofurans withIn Vivo Efficacy and Activity against Non replicating *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. PLoS One.* 2014, 9, 1.
- Dorsey, W. C.; Tchounwou, P. B.; Sutton, D. Mitogenic and cytotoxic effects of pentachlorophenol to AML 12 mouse hepatocytes. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2004, *1*, 100.
- Crespi, C. L; Miller, V. P.; Penman, B. W. Microplate plate assays for inhibition of human drug metabolizing cytochrome P450. *Anal. Biochem.* 1997, 248, 188.