DOI: 10.1002/ejic.201100958

Exploring $(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2E$ Ligand Space (E = O, S, PPh) in Rh^I Alkene Complexes as Potential Hydroacylation Catalysts

Sebastian D. Pike,^[a] Rebekah J. Pawley,^[a] Adrian B. Chaplin,^[a] Amber L. Thompson,^[a] Joel A. Hooper,^[a] Michael C. Willis,^[a] and Andrew S. Weller^{*[a]}

Keywords: Rhodium / NMR spectroscopy / Phosphanes / Decarbonylation / Alkenes

The ligands $(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2E$ (E = O, S, PPh) have been used to form a variety of $Rh^{\rm I}$ cations $[Rh\{(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2E\}$ -(alkene)]⁺ (alkene = methyl acrylate, trimethylvinylsilane). Variable-temperature NMR spectroscopy shows that the methyl acrylate ligands undergo a fluxional process on the metal, via a κ^1 -carbonyl intermediate, while the trimethylvin-

Introduction

The transition-metal-catalysed hydroacylation reaction is one that couples alkenes (or alkynes) with aldehydes, in an atom-efficient way, to produce ketones [Equation (1), Scheme 1].^[1–4] It has attracted significant attention in recent years, and a variety of, mainly rhodium-based, catalysts have been described. The mechanism proceeds through oxidative addition of aldehyde to a Rh^I centre to give an acylhydride, olefin binding, and migratory insertion followed by reductive elimination - which is accepted to be the turnover limiting step.^[1,5-7] A significant obstacle barring the way to the wider implementation of this potentially powerful methodology is the competitive reductive decarbonvlation reaction.^[8,9] which produces an inactive metal carbonyl. This occurs from a low-coordinate acyl-hydride intermediate by (reversible) migratory deinsertion of CO to a cis-vacant site, followed by irreversible reductive elimination of a saturated product (R-H). Both productive (olefin coordination, product reductive elimination^[10,11]) and unproductive (carbonyl deinsertion,^[12,13] reductive elimination of R-H) steps require a low-coordinate transition-metal complex, and a number of strategies have been employed to promote the hydroacylation reaction over decarbonylation. In view of this, chelation control from the substrate,^[2,3,14–16] by using, for example, β -S-substituted aldehydes such as A (Scheme 1).^[17] is one of the strategies used to deliver successful intermolecular hydroacylation. Combined with this, we have also reported the use of a hemilabile^[18] diphosphanylether ligand, DPEphos, to protect the vacant site on the metal centre towards decarbonylation, in which the cen-

 [a] Department of Chemistry, Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3QR, UK E-mail: andrew.weller@chem.ox.ac.uk ylsilane complexes cannot access this intermediate and do not undergo the same process. Their reactivity in hydroacylation reactions with 1-pentanal have been investigated, and these studies further suggest the important role that a chelating substituent next to the aldehyde might play in productive hydroacylation.

tral oxygen on the ligand can move away to allow for both olefin coordination and reductive elimination of the product.^[19,20] With a ligand that does not act in a hemilabile manner, such as Xantphos or $(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2O$, e.g. complex **B**, Scheme 1, β -S-substituted aldehydes undergo oxidative addition to give a six-coordinate complex that does not proceed to bind an olefin, as the central oxygen remains tightly bound. This stable six-coordinate configuration, however, does lead to complexes that are stable to decarbonylation.^[21]



Scheme 1.

Milstein and co-workers have shown the stability of fivecoordinate Rh^{III}–hydrido–acyl complexes towards decarbonylation can be controlled by the π -donor nature of the supporting ligands, which place the acyl group either *cis* or *trans* to a vacant site,^[13] depending on the geometry of the five-coordinate intermediate. Similar observations have been made by Nolan and Goldman,^[22] which also underscore computational work by Eisenstein on the relative geometries of d⁶ ML₅ species.^[23] We were thus particularly interested in exploring the reactivity of simple, non-chelating, aliphatic aldehydes with Rh^I complexes with tridentate ligands $(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2E$ (E = S, O, PPh), in which the central donor atom changes from being a potential π -donor (E = O, S) to one that is not (E = PPh) (Scheme 2). Such complexes would give five-coordinate acyl-hydride intermediates on oxidative addition of simple aldehydes. To do this, Rh^I precursors that are set up for oxidative addition reactions are required, and we report cationic, alkene adducts $[Rh{(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2E}(alkene)]^+$, as such complexes are also likely to be compatible with the overall catalytic cycle in which alkene is present in excess. We further describe the reactivity of these complexes with pentanal in the hydroacylation reaction with methyl acrylate. Related Rh^I complexes have been reported as aldehyde decarbonylation catalysts, albeit operating at elevated temperatures to facilitate turnover.[8,24,25]





Results and Discussion

Synthesis

Our preparative route to the target complexes starts from simple halide precursors (Scheme 3). [Rh{(κ^3 -Ph₂PCH₂CH₂)₂PPh}Cl]^[26] was prepared by slight modifications to a known preparation, while $[Rh{(\kappa^3-Ph_2PCH_2 CH_2$ ₂SCI] is a new complex prepared in good isolated yield by addition of free ligand^[27] to [Rh(cod)Cl]₂. Addition of the alkenes, methyl acrylate or vinyltrimethylsilane, to CH₂Cl₂ solutions of these complexes in the presence of Na[BArF₄], to abstract chloride, resulted in the rapid (30 min) and clean formation of the new complexes $[Rh{(\kappa^{3}-Ph_{2}PCH_{2}CH_{2})_{2}E}(\eta^{2}-H_{2}C=CHR)][BAr^{F}_{4}]$ {E = S 1, PPh 2; R = C(O)OMe a, SiMe₃ b; Ar^F = C₆H₃-3,5- $(CF_3)_2$. These were characterised by NMR spectroscopy, and for 2a also by single-crystal X-ray diffraction as the $[B(3,5-Cl_2C_6H_3)_4]^-$ salt.^[28] We have recently reported the situ formation of $[Rh{(\kappa^3-Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2O}{\eta^2-$



Scheme 3. ($[BArF_4]^-$ anions omitted for clarity).

 $H_2C=CHC(O)OMe\}][BArF_4]$ (3a) by a different route,^[21] but did not describe the solid-state structure. For comparison with 2a, we also report that here. We were unable to prepare the vinyltrimethylsilane complex analogue of 3a as in our hands, the complex [Rh{(κ^3 -Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2O}Cl] could not be prepared by a route similar to those for [Rh{(κ^3 -Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2Ph}Cl]or[Rh{(κ^3 -Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2-S}Cl], while alternative routes also did not work.^[21]

Solid-State Structures

The solid-state structures of 2a and 3a (Figures 1 and 2) are broadly similar, both have pseudo-square-planar environments around the rhodium atom with similar Rh-P distances and a η^2 -bound alkene. The structural refinement for 3a was not as high quality as that for 2a because of the nature of the crystals (see Experimental Section). For 3a, the alkene is disordered over two positions in a 70:30 ratio, related to each other by an approximate reflection, to afford an enantiomeric pair of cations. The major difference between 2a and 3a comes from structural metrics associated with the bound methyl acrylate, which is orientated approximately in plane with respect to the RhP₃ plane in 2a and upright for both components in 3a. The C-C distance in the alkene in 2a [1.381(3) Å] appears slightly shorter than those in **3a** [1.405(7) Å, major; 1.411(9) Å minor], although within error they are equivalent. These distances lie in the range found for later transition metals bound with methyl acrylate (≈1.3–1.43 Å).^[29–31] The corresponding Rh–C distances are, however, statistically longer for 2a than for 3a [viz. 2.221(2), 2.219(2) vs. 2.074(16)-2.148(17) Å, respectively], which might suggest more back-donation from the metal to the alkene in 3a. The orientation of alkene ligands in d⁸ ML₃ fragments has received much attention, and studies indicate that steric factors tend to outweigh electronic factors associated with the back-donation from a suitable metal orbital to the alkene π^* orbital,^[32] which leads to upright alkene orientations and a small barrier to rotation of the alkene around the metal-alkene-axis. In-plane orien-



Figure 1. Solid-state structure of **2a**. Hydrogen atoms and anions omitted. Thermal ellipsoids at the 30% probability level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: **2a**: Rh–P1 2.2942(6), Rh–P2 2.2328(6), Rh–P3 2.3300(6), C1–C2 1.381(3), Rh–C1 2.221(2), Rh–C2 2.219(2); \angle (torsion) C1C2/O1C3 –22.8(4); \angle (planes) P3Rh1P1P2/Rh1C1C2 28.78(11).



Figure 2. Solid-state structure of **3a** (minor component shown at bottom, ratio 70:30, respectively). Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: **3a**: Rh1–P2 2.2917(16), Rh1–P8 2.2982(16), Rh1–O5 22.100(3), C33–C34 1.405(7), Rh1–C33 2.074(16), Rh1–C34 2.144(7), C330–C340 1.411(9), Rh1–C330 2.11(4), Rh1–C340 2.148(17); \angle (torsion) C33/C34/C35/O36 12.4(11)°, C330/C340/C350/O360 –11(3)°; \angle (planes) O5Rh1P8P2/Rh1C33C34 76.9(5)°, O5Rh1P8P2/Rh1C33OC340 69.6(12)°.

tations of the alkene are also known where subtle steric effects favour this conformation.^[33] It would seem that these electronic and steric contributions are finely balanced in the systems here, with both orientations observed in the solid state (but likely share the same orientation in solution vide infra). However, it does appear that the upright orientation in **3a** allows for slightly better back-donation from the Rh^I centre to the alkene, which would be in line with a suitable high-lying, filled metal orbital that also has a contribution from the π -donor oxygen atom, similar to that calculated for analogous {Rh(PR₃)₂Cl} fragments.^[34] Surprisingly, there are only a handful of κ^3 -(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂)₂O^[21,35] or κ^3 -(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂)₂PPh^[8,26,36] structures reported with Rh, and none with (Ph₂PCH₂CH₂)₂S.

Solution NMR Spectroscopic Data

In solution at 298 K for complexes **1a** and **2a**, the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR spectroscopic data indicate one phosphorus environment for the two *trans*-related phosphanes (e.g. P_{1}/P_{3} in **2a**) that also show coupling to 103 Rh, and for **2a**, an additional environment is observed that mutually couples to the two other *cis* phosphanes (P₂). In the ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum, peaks assigned to the bound alkene are observed, which are similar to those reported for **3a**, ${}^{[21]}$ e.g. **2a** δ = 3.07 ppm (1 H), δ = 4.04 ppm (1 H + 1 H coincidence). COSY and ${}^{1}H/{}^{13}$ C HSQC experiments were used to fully

assign individual signals for 2a, and by analogy those for 1a. For 1a, only two methylene environments (4 H relative integral each) are observed in contrast to the four observed for 2a (and $3a^{[21]}$).

These data suggest fluxional processes are occurring in solution to give time-averaged $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry to the ${Rh(PEP)}^+$ fragment for 1a and C_s symmetry for 2a and 3a. A simple rotation of the alkene around the Rh–C1/C2 vector would make the phosphanes equivalent, and give time-averaged $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry, only if associated with a lowenergy inversion of stereochemistry at the central E group.^[37,38] The NMR spectroscopic data suggest that this occurs for 1a (E = S) but not for 2a or 3a, which show only C_s symmetry. For **2a**, inversion at the central PPh is likely to be a high-energy process, while inversion at O in 3a is also clearly not a low-energy process. Thus, in order to obtain the observed equivalence of the phosphanes in 2a and 3a, some other fluxional process must be occurring other than simple alkene rotation. The cooling of a sample of 1a from room temperature to 200 K (CD₂Cl₂) results in NMR spectra that are consistent with a static structure, i.e. a tightly coupled AB doublet with inequivalent phosphorus environments in the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum. The outer lines of the second-order multiplet are of very low intensity and could not be resolved. At this temperature, the methylene signals are broad and do not offer any additional structural information. For 2a, significant broadening is observed at 190 K in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum, which suggests that the low-temperature limit is being approached. As for 1a, the methylene signals are very broad in the ¹H NMR spectrum at low temperature and offer no extra information. For 3a, however, progressive cooling results in a ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum at 200 K that shows a clearly resolved AB doublet with a ³¹P-³¹P coupling constant of 384 Hz (Figure 3). In the ¹H NMR spectrum at this lowest temperature, the three alkene peaks all shift ca. 0.7 ppm to a lower frequency, and at least six broad environments are observed for the methylene protons of the POP ligand. These data are fully consistent with the static solid-state structure. The variable-temperature ³¹P NMR spectroscopic



Figure 3. Variable-temperature ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra of **3a**. The signal at $\delta \approx 42$ is an unidentified minor impurity.



data are of sufficient quality to allow a line–shape analysis and an Eyring plot, from which the activation parameters of $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = +48 \pm 2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = +37 \pm 9 \text{ JK}^{-1}\text{mol}^{-1}$ were calculated [$\Delta G^{\ddagger}(298) = +37 \pm 5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$]. Given that inversion of the phosphane ligand backbone is proposed to not be occurring in **3a**, these data indicate the barrier to the fluxional process of the alkene only. In the presence of excess alkene, no exchange was observed on the NMR timescale for **1a** or **2a**, with sharp peaks observed for both free and bound alkene. By contrast, **3a**, when prepared in situ, has been reported to show slow exchange with excess methyl acrylate.^[21] Its isolation in the pure form here shows that it displays sharp ¹H NMR resonances for the bound alkene in the absence of excess methyl acrylate.

To explore this fluxional process in more detail, we prepared the vinyltrimethylsilane complexes $[Rh\{(\kappa^3 Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2E$ $(\eta^2-H_2C=CHSiMe_3)$ [BAr^F₄] 1b and 2b by a similar route to that for 1a and 2a. We have not been able to prepare 3b. The incorporation of a SiMe₃ group has a significant effect on the fluxional process. At room temperature, the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR spectrum for 1b shows a very broad, almost featureless spectrum, and the ¹H NMR spectrum shows broad peaks for the methylene groups, but very sharp peaks for the coordinated vinyl trimethylsilane. No exchange is observed on addition of free alkene. On cooling (200 K), the alkene peaks do not change in position, but the methylene signals sharpen to give eight environments, and the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum shows a tightly coupled AB doublet [J(P,P) = 280 Hz]. The variable-temperature ³¹P NMR spectroscopic data are of sufficient quality to allow an Eyring plot, from which the activation parameters of $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = +58 \pm 2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and ΔS^{\ddagger} = $+10 \pm 5 \text{ JK}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1}$ were calculated $[\Delta G^{\ddagger}(298)]$ = $+55 \pm 4 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$]. This is the upper limit for alkene rotation/inversion at sulfur, and the measured activation parameters are consistent with those reported for other systems.^[38] In contrast, 2b shows sharp signals at room temperature, with eight methylene environments observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum. Three ³¹P environments are observed, which all couple to one another, with two showing characteristic *trans* ${}^{31}P{}^{-31}P$ coupling [J(P,P) = 331 Hz]. These data are consistent with a high barrier to inversion at phosphorus.

The observation of broad signals for the methylene groups in **1b** suggests inversion at S is a higher barrier process than that in **1a**; but perhaps, most importantly, the observation of three sharp environments for the phosphanes in **2b** shows that there is no fluxional process operating to make two of them equivalent, unlike for **2a**. Pulling all these data together, we suggest two fluxional processes are occurring: (i) the inversion at S, which is rapid in **1a** but slower in **1b**; while for **2a** and **2b**, no such inversion is possible; (ii) a process that effectively flips the alkene in **2a**, but not **2b**, which is probably also operating in **3a**. This second process must be mediated by the acrylate group, as the SiMe₃ group effectively halts the process in **2b**. Given the small, but positive, entropy of activation measured for the fluxional process in **1a** and **3a**, coupled with the fact that exchange with

free alkene is slow, at best, for **1a–3a**, we suggest an intramolecular mechanism that involves the alkene coordinating through the oxygen (intermediate **A**, Scheme 4), alkene flip around a nominal mirror plane, and re-coordination of the alkene. We discount alternative coordination modes of the alkene in the intermediate species **A**, such as η^2 -CO: η^2 -CC or a metallocyclic structure^[39,40] as this would neither account for the positive entropy of activation nor the observed inequivalence of the geminal alkene protons. The two η^2 -CC structures shown in Scheme 4 represent the two components of disorder observed in the solid state for **3a**.



Scheme 4. Suggested mechanism for the fluxional process in complexes 1a, 2a and 3a.

Reaction with Aldehydes

Having established the synthesis and structures of the Rh^I alkene precursors, we investigated the reactivity with pentanal. To our surprise, none of the complexes reacted with the aldehyde over an appreciable timeframe, with the unreacted alkene complex returned instead. Likewise, under catalytic conditions (10 mol-%), 1a, 2a and 3a did not turnover methyl acrylate and pentanal, with only the alkene complexes observed. This lack of reactivity is in contrast with that of β -S-substituted aldehyde A with 3a, which rapidly reacts by oxidative addition to give B (Scheme 1). Presumably, this reflects the requirement for an intermediate with a coordinated σ -aldehyde to form prior to oxidative addition,^[41] and the alkenes are too tightly bound to be easily displaced by pentanal, while the sulfur tether in A is able to. Similar comments have been made with regard to the role of alkene in the intramolecular hydroacylation of 4-pentenal.^[42] However, over extended time (2 d) solutions of 1a and 3a do react with pentanal and are converted smoothly to give the products of decarbonylation, 1c and 3c (Scheme 5).^[35] Interestingly, 2c was only observed as a minor product after a longer period of time, with 2a still present as the major component, which suggests an even slower reaction with the aldehvde. Compound 2c has previously been prepared as the $[SbF_6]^-$ salt by Crabtree.^[8] Compound 1c can be alternatively prepared in the absence of olefin by the rapid reaction of $[Rh{(\kappa^3-Ph_2PCH_2-$ CH₂)₂S}Cl] with Na[BAr^F₄] as a halide abstracting reagent in the presence of pentanal at room temperature. This demonstrates that under suitable conditions (i.e. no alkene) oxidative addition of the aldehdye, followed by rapid reductive decarbonylation, can occur. Pertinently, 2c is formed much more slowly by the analogous preparation from $[Rh\{(\kappa^3-Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2PPh\}Cl]$ (50% conversion after 11 d), with a hydride species initially formed: $\delta^1H = -19.70 \text{ ppm}$ [ddt, $J(P_2H) = 37$, $J(P_{1,3}H) = 12$, J(RhH) = 22 Hz]; $\delta^{31}P\{^1H\}$ 48.20 ppm [app. dt $J(HP_{1,3}) = 12$, $J(P_2P_{1,3}) = 10$, $J(RhP_{1,3})$ = 100 Hz, P_1 and P_3], 101.25 ppm [ddt $J(HP_2) = 36.5$, $J(P_{1,3}P_2) = 10 \text{ Hz } J(Rh) = 110 \text{ Hz}$, P_2]. These data suggest that oxidative addition of pentanal has occurred at the Rh^I centre. Unfortunately, this species has eluded definitive characterisation. It is tempting to speculate that whatever the underlying reasons behind the sluggish reactivity of **2a** with pentanal are, they are related to the formation of this stable hydride species.



Scheme 5. ($[BArF_4]^-$ anions omitted for clarity).

The carbonyl species 1c, 2c and 3c display CO stretches in their infrared spectra (CH₂Cl₂) characteristic of a single terminal CO ligand: 1c 2021 cm⁻¹, 2c 2029 cm⁻¹,^[8] 3c 1995 cm⁻¹. The lower energy stretch seen in 3c could be attributed to greater back-bonding to the CO π^* orbital, mediated by stronger π donation by the O in the ligand to the metal centre. This is consistent with the upright geometry and observed bond lengths in 3a in the solid state.

Reaction with Dichloromethane

 $[Rh{(\kappa^{3}-Ph_{2}PCH_{2}CH_{2})_{2}S}Cl]$ reacts with $CH_{2}Cl_{2}$ over 18 h to give $[Rh{(\kappa^3-Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2S}Cl_2CH_2Cl]$ (1d) (Scheme 6) in essentially quantitative yield, which was characterised by NMR spectroscopy and microanalysis. No intermediates were observed by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy. The ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of 1d shows a doublet with a Rh-P coupling constant of 102 Hz, consistent with a Rh^{III} species. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction with CD₂Cl₂ shows four methylene environments for the phosphane ligand, while the reaction with CH₂Cl₂ reveals an extra methylene environment (doublet of triplets) attributed to a CH₂Cl group. A *trans* chloride structure is proposed as the ¹H NMR spectrum shows only three phenyl proton environments, and a greater number of environments would be expected for isomers with lower symmetry (by assuming free rotation around the Rh-C bond). Oxidative addition of dichloromethane to Rh^I complexes is well documented.^[43] Interestingly the analogous reaction with $[Rh\{(\kappa^3-$ Ph₂PCH₂CH₂)₂PPh}Cl] and dichloromethane was not ob-



Scheme 6.

served at room temperature. This might be due to less available electron density on the metal centre [cf. v(CO) 1c 2021 cm⁻¹ vs. 2c 2029 cm⁻¹], although we currently only speculate upon this.

Conclusions

The Rh^I complexes $[Rh{(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2E}(alkene)]$ - $[BAr^{F_{4}}]$ (alkene = methyl acrylate and trimethylvinylsilane) have been synthesised with the intention of studying their reactivity with simple aldehydes. The methyl acrylate ligands undergo a fluxional process on the metal, suggested to be via a κ^1 -carbonyl intermediate, whereas the trimethylvinvlsilane complexes cannot access this intermediate. Surprisingly, these complexes do not undergo reaction with pentanal at a reasonable rate, which is in contrast to reactions with chelating aldehydes containing a sulfur tether such as A. Presumably, this reflects the requirement for an intermediate with a coordinated σ -aldehyde to form prior to oxidative addition, and the alkene methylacrylate is too tightly bound to be displaced by pentanal. Irrespective of whether or not pentanal could be induced to undergo oxidative addition given the appropriate precursor complex, under catalytic conditions, the excess alkene will bind strongly to the metal centre thus attenuating reactivity with the aldehyde. Such sluggish reactivity has to be designedout of future catalyst systems if non-chelating aldehydes are to be used in the hydroacylation reaction. We are currently exploring opportunities to do this.

Experimental Section

General: All procedures, unless otherwise stated, were carried out under an argon atmosphere, by using standard Schlenk-line and glove-box techniques. All glassware was dried at 130 °C overnight and flame-dried under vacuum before use. Dichloromethane, pentane and toluene were dried by using a Grubbs-type solvent purification system (MBraun SPS-800) and degassed by successive freeze-pump-thaw cycles before use.^[44] CD₂Cl₂, fluorobenzene and benzene were dried with CaH2, distilled under vacuum and stored over 3-Å molecular sieves. (Ph₂PCH₂CH₂)₂S,^[27] Na[BAr^F₄],^[45] [Rh(COD)Cl]₂^[46] and **3a**^[21] were prepared according to literature methods. Methyl acrylate was distilled before use and degassed. All other chemicals were used as received by the supplier. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AVC 500, Varian Mercury 300 or Varian Unity 500 spectrometers at room temperature unless otherwise stated. Chemical shifts are quoted in ppm and coupling constants (J) in Hz. Residual protio solvent (e.g. CD_2Cl_2 : $\delta = 5.32$ ppm) was used as a reference for ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ESI-MS was carried out on a Bruker microTOF-Q connected to a glovebox (60 °C, 4.5 kV).^[47] Infrared spectra were collected on a Nicolet Magna-I.R. 560 spectrometer. Elemental microanalysis was carried out by Stephen Boyer at London Metropolitan University. Elemental microanalysis was completed only on the crystalline samples of 2a {as the $[B(3,5-Cl_2C_6H_3)_4]$ salt} and 1d. The noncrystalline nature of the other samples inhibited accurate microanalysis. ESI mass spectrometry was attempted for all cationic complexes; however, in most cases a parent molecular ion was not observed.



X-ray crystallography data for 2a (Table 1) were collected on an Enraf Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer using graphite monochromated Mo- K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) and a low-temperature device [150(2) K];^[48] data were collected using COLLECT, reduction and cell refinement were performed with DENZO/SCALE-PACK.^[49] The structure was solved by direct methods with SIR2004^[50] and refined full-matrix least-squares on F^2 by using SHELXL-97.[51] All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Alkene protons were located in the Fourier difference map; their isotropic displacement parameters were fixed to ride on the parent atoms. All other hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions by using the riding model. Disorder of the solvent molecule was treated by modelling it over two sites and restraining its geometry. Restraints to thermal parameters were applied where necessary in order to maintain sensible values. Because of the size of the crystals, data for 3a (Table 1) were collected on I19 (EH1) at Diamond Light Source, Didcot. Data were collected at 150 K^[48] with CrystalClear^[52] and reduced using Crys-AlisPro.^[53] The structure was solved using SuperFlip^[54] and refined with CRYSTALS.^[55] On refinement, peaks were visible in the difference map close to the methyl acrylate, thus the occupancy was refined, and the peaks became more pronounced and clearly an alternative orientation was obtained with about 30% occupancy. Given the close proximity of the two components and their relative occupancies, free refinement was unstable, so "same-distance" restraints were used for the disordered components, and vibrational/ thermal similarity restraints were used throughout. One phenyl ring of the anion had extremely prolate ellipsoids and was similarly modelled as disordered. Hydrogen atoms were generally visible in the difference map and were treated in the usual fashion,^[56] positioned geometrically prior to refinement with soft restraints to give coordinates for use in a riding model with re-refinement as required. Torsion/dihedral angles were calculated with PLATON.[57] Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under CCDC-841412 (for 2a)

Table 1. Crystallographic data for 2a and 3a.

	$2a \cdot CH_2Cl_2$	3a
Formula	C ₆₃ H ₅₃ BCl ₁₀ O ₂ P ₃ Rh	C ₆₄ H ₄₆ BF ₂₄ O ₃ P ₂ Rh
$M_{\rm r}$	1403.18	1494.68
Crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic
Space group	ΡĪ	$P2_{1}/c$
T[K]	150(2)	150(2)
a [Å]	11.85470(10)	13.8681(5)
<i>b</i> [Å]	16.2792(2)	28.8045(9)
<i>c</i> [Å]	16.6793(2)	16.6233(6)
a [°]	105.5233(5)	90
β[°]	94.1208(4)	106.893(3)
γ [°]	94.5998(5)	90
V[Å ³]	3076.82(6)	6353.9(4)
Z	2	4
Density [g cm ⁻³]	1.515	1.562
$\mu [\mathrm{mm}^{-1}]$	0.834	0.435
θ range [°]	$5.15 \le \theta \le 27.88$	$1.49 \le \theta \le 32.15$
Reflections collected	24620	85103
R _{int}	0.0228	0.135
Completeness	99.2%	96.0%
No. of data/restraints/	14578/73/753	13243/1026/1578
parameters		
$R_1 \left[I > 2\sigma(I) \right]$	0.0357	0.0643
wR_2 [all data]	0.0868	0.1509
GoF	1.039	0.9308
Largest diff. peak and	0.652, -0.658	1.25, -1.76
hole [e Å ⁻³]		

and -841413 (for **3a**). These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

[Rh{(^{κ3}-Ph₂PCH₂CH₂)₂S}Cl]: [Rh(COD)Cl]₂ (50 mg, 0.101 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (6 mL) and heated to 60 °C in an oil bath. (Ph₂PCH₂CH₂)₂S (93 mg, 0.203 mmol) was dissolved (using an ultrasound bath) separately in toluene (6 mL) and then slowly added dropwise to the hot stirring [Rh(COD)Cl]₂ solution. The solution changed colour to bright yellow/orange, followed by product precipitation. The solution was then cooled to -18 °C, and the resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with pentane. The precipitate was dried under vacuum to yield a very bright orange/yellow powder in 74% yield which was insoluble in benzene, fluorobenzene and acetone, and cleanly reacted with dichloromethane to give the C-Cl activated products 1d (vide infra). NMR spectroscopic data were collected on a freshly prepared solution. ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz CD}_2\text{Cl}_2): \delta = 1.97 \text{ (td, } J = 14, J = 5 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, \text{CH}_2), 2.16$ $(t, J = 14 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, \text{ CH}_2), 3.00 \text{ (br. d}, J = 13 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, \text{ CH}_2), 3.42$ (br. t, J = 22 Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 7.28–7.45 (m, 12 H, Ph), 7.86–8.04 (m, 8 H, Ph) ppm. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (122 MHz CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 39.2$ [d, J(RhP) = 147 Hz, 2 P] ppm.

 $[Rh\{(\kappa^3-Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2PPh\}Cl]: The synthesis was adapted from that of Marder and co-workers, ^[26] by following an analogous procedure as for the preparation of <math display="inline">[Rh\{(\kappa^3-Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2S\}Cl]$ (above) to give a bright yellow micro-crystalline material (72% yield). The NMR spectroscopic data are in agreement with the published results.

 $[Rh{(\kappa^{3}-Ph_{2}PCH_{2}CH_{2})S}(\eta^{2}-H_{2}C=CHCOOCH_{3})][BAr^{F}_{4}]$ (1a): $[Rh{(\kappa^3-Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2S}Cl]$ (9 mg, 0.015 mmol) was added to Na[BArF₄] (13.4 mg, 0.015 mmol) in a Young's NMR tube. To this was added methyl acrylate (13.5 µL, 0.150 mmol, 10 equiv.) and then CD₂Cl₂ (0.45 mL). A bright orange solution formed, which was concentrated to dryness under vacuum and washed with pentane (with sonication) three times. This was then dried under vacuum to yield an oily solid, which was characterised in situ by NMR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR (500 MHz CD₂Cl₂, 293 K): δ = 2.87 (m, 4 H, CH₂), 2.97-3.13 [m, 5 H, alkene(1 H) and CH₂ (4 H)], 3.23 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.83 (m, 1 H, alkene), 4.21 (m, 1 H, alkene), 7.46-7.69 (m, 20 H, Ph), 7.56 (s, 4 H, BArF₄), 7.73 (s, 8 H, BArF₄) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz CD₂Cl₂, 293 K): δ = 56.68 [d, *J*(RhP) = 126 Hz, 2 P] ppm. $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (202 MHz CD_2Cl_2, 200 K): tightly coupled AB double doublet, outer lines of very low intensity and could not be resolved. Inner lines: $\delta = 59.34$ [d, J(RhP) = 129 Hz], 59.69 [d, *J*(RhP) = 129 Hz] ppm.

 $[Rh{(\kappa^{3}-Ph_{2}PCH_{2}CH_{2})S}{\eta^{2}-H_{2}C=CHSi(CH_{3})_{3}}][BAr^{F}_{4}]$ (1b): $[Rh{(\kappa^3-Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2S}Cl]$ (9 mg, 0.015 mmol) was added to Na[BArF₄] (13.4 mg, 0.015 mmol) in a small Schlenk flask with a stirrer bar. To this was added vinyltrimethylsilane (22 µL, 0.150 mmol, 10 equiv.) and then CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL). The solution stirred for half an hour. The solvent was removed by vacuum, and the remaining yellow/brown oily solid was washed with pentane (with sonication) three times. After drying under vacuum, the complex was characterised in situ by NMR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR (500 MHz CD₂Cl₂, 293 K): $\delta = -0.41$ (s, 9 H, SiMe₃), 1.85–3.75 (br., 8 H, CH₂), 3.10 [d, J(H,H)^{cis} = 12 Hz, 1 H, alkene(CH₂)], 3.88 [dd, $J(H,H)^{trans} = 15$ Hz, $J(H,H)^{cis} = 6$ Hz, 1 H, alkene(CHR)], 4.59 [m, 1 H, alkene (CH₂)], 7.33-7.61 (m, 20 H, Ph), 7.55 (s, 4 H, BAr_{4}^{F} , 7.73 (s, 8 H, BAr_{4}^{F}) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (122 MHz CD₂Cl₂, 293 K): tightly coupled AB double doublet, outer lines of very low intensity and could not be resolved. Inner lines: $\delta = 54.97$ [d, J(RhP) = 137 Hz], 57.72 [d, J(RhP) = 127 Hz] ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz CD₂Cl₂, 200 K): δ = 55.21 [dd, J(P,P) = 282 Hz,

FULL PAPER

J(RhP) = 132 Hz, 1 P] 61.40 [dd, J(P,P) = 282 Hz, J(RhP) = 136 Hz, 1 P] ppm.

[Rh{(κ³-Ph₂PCH₂CH₂)S}CO][BAr^F₄] (1c): [Rh{(κ³-Ph₂PCH₂-CH₂)₂S}CI] (9 mg, 0.015 mmol) was added to Na[BAr^F₄] (13.4 mg, 0.015 mmol) in a Young's NMR tube. To this was added pentanal (13.4 μL, 0.126 mmol) and then CD₂Cl₂ (0.45 mL). The tube was shaken to encourage mixing to form a bright yellow solution. The resulting solution was then concentrated to dryness under vacuum and washed with pentane (with sonication) three times. After drying under vacuum, the product was characterised in situ by NMR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR (500 MHz CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 2.58-3.79$ (br., 8 H, CH₂), 7.47–7.69 (m, 20 H, Ph), 7.56 (s, 4 H, BAr^F₄), 7.73 (s, 8 H, BAr^F₄) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (122 MHz CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 53.34$ [d, *J*(RhP) = 131 Hz, 2 P] ppm. IR (CH₂Cl₂): $\tilde{\nu} = 2021$ cm⁻¹. ESI-MS: calcd. for [M⁺] 589.04; found 589.04.

[Rh{(\kappa^3-Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)S}Cl_2CH_2CI] (1d): [Rh{(κ^3 -Ph_2PCH_2-CH_2)SCI] (15 mg) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and left for 18 h at 298 K. The complex was characterised in situ before the solution was layered with pentane to form a microcrystalline solid appropriate for microanalysis ¹H NMR (500 MHz CD₂Cl₂): δ = 2.85 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 3.27 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 3.40 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 3.82 (m, 4 H, CH₂ and CH₂Cl) 7.38–7.44 (m, 12 H, Ph), 7.74 (m, 4 H, Ph), 8.13 (m, 4 H, Ph) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (122 MHz CD₂Cl₂): δ = 33.85 [d, *J*(RhP) = 102 Hz, 2 P] ppm.C₂₉H₃₀Cl₃P₂SRh·¹/₃CH₂Cl₂ (710.14): calcd. C 49.61, H 4.35; found C 49.49, H 4.12.

 $[Rh\{(\kappa^{3}-Ph_{2}PCH_{2}CH_{2})_{2}PPh\}(\eta^{2}-H_{2}C=CHCOOCH_{3})][BAr^{F}_{4}] (2a):$ $[Rh{(\kappa^3-Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)_2PPh}Cl]$ (30 mg, 0.045 mmol) was added to Na[BArF₄] (39.6 mg, 0.045 mmol) in a small Schlenk flask. To this was added methyl acrylate (40 µL, 0.444 mmol, 10 equiv.) and then CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL). The solution was stirred for 1 h and filtered to remove NaCl. The resulting solution was concentrated to dryness under vacuum and washed with pentane (with sonication) three times before the resulting oil was dried under vacuum to form a yellow solid (yield = 52%). The solid-state structure was obtained by using the $[B(3,5-Cl_2C_6H_3)_4]$ salt, which was prepared by a similar method.^[28] ¹H NMR (500 MHz CD₂Cl₂): δ = 2.10 (br., 2 H, CH₂), 2.27 (br., 2 H, CH₂), 2.60 (br., 2 H, CH₂), 2.91 [double multiplet, $J(P,H) = 42 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CH}_2$, 3.07 [d, $J(H,H) = 6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ al$ kene(CH₂)], 3.13 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 4.04 [br., 2 H, alkene (coincident protons; CH₂ and CHCOOCH₃)], 7.3-7.65 (m, 25 H, Ph), 7.55 (s, 4 H, BAr^F₄), 7.73 (s, 8 H, BAr^F₄) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz CD_2Cl_2): $\delta = 27.48$ (br., 2 C, CH_2), 32.75 (br., 2 C, CH_2), 52.01 (s, 1 C, CH₃), 71.74 (s, 1 C, alkene CH2), 72.67 (s, 1 C, alkene CHR), 128-134.5 (phenyl region + [BAr^F₄] resonances {118.16-117.94 (m), 125.16 [q, ${}^{1}J(F,C) = 272$ Hz], 129.42 [qq, ${}^{2}J(F,C) = 31$ Hz, ${}^{4}J(BC) = 3 \text{ Hz}$, 135.36 (s), 162.31 [q, ${}^{1}J(BC) = 50 \text{ Hz}$]), 169.61 (s, 1 C, CO₂Me) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz CD₂Cl₂): δ = 66.49 [dd, J(P,P) = 38 Hz, J(RhP) = 132 Hz, 2 P], 123.29 [dt, J(P,P) =38 Hz, J(RhP) = 134 Hz, 1 P] ppm. $C_{63}H_{53}BCl_{10}O_2P_3Rh\cdot CH_2Cl_2$ (1403.26) {as the [B(3,5-Cl₂C₆H₃)₄]⁻ salt}: calcd. C 53.92, H 3.81; found C 54.45, H 3.96.

[Rh{(κ³-Ph₂PCH₂CH₂)₂PPh}{η²-H₂C=CHSi(CH₃)₃][BAr^F₄] (2b): To [Rh{(κ³-Ph₂PCH₂CH₂)₂PPh}Cl] (10 mg, 0.015 mmol) was added Na[BAr^F₄] (13.2 mg, 0.015 mmol) and vinyltrimethylsilane (21.8 μL, 0.149 mmol) in CD₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL). This was agitated in an ultrasound bath for 1 h before in situ characterisation by NMR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR (500 MHz CD₂Cl₂): δ = -0.54 (s, 9 H, SiMe₃), 1.77-3.10 (br., 8 H, CH₂), 3.54 [d, *J*(H,H) = 12 Hz, 1 H, alkene (CH₂)], 4.55 [dd, *J*(H,H)^{trans} = 16 Hz, *J*(H,H)^{cis} = 10 Hz, 1 H, alkene(CHR)], 5.04 [br., 1 H, alkene (CH₂)], 7.35-7.69 (m, 25 H, Ph), 7.56 (s, 4 H, BAr^F₄), 7.74 (s, 8 H, BAr^F₄) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz CD₂Cl₂): δ = 55.03 [ddd, *J*(RhP) = 138 Hz, $J(P,P)^{cis} = 34 \text{ Hz}, J(P,P)^{trans} = 240 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ P]}, 63.05 \text{ [ddd, } J(RhP) = 132 \text{ Hz}, J(P,P)^{cis} = 37 \text{ Hz}, J(P,P)^{trans} = 240 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ P]}, 115.18 \text{ [dt, } J(RhP) = 142 \text{ Hz}, J(P,P) = 35 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ P]} \text{ ppm.}$

[Rh{(\kappa^3-Ph_2PCH_2CH_2)O}CO][BAr^F₄] (3c): To the best of our knowledge, no NMR or IR data has been reported for this known species, hence we report it here.^[35] (NMR tube scale) Compound **3a** (10 mg, 0.015 mmol) was dissolved in [D₆]acetone and to this was added pentanal (7.1 µL, 0.067 mmol). The sealed tube was hydrogenated under H₂ (4 atm). After 48 h, the carbonyl species forms as the sole product and was characterised in situ by NMR and IR spectroscopy and ESI mass spectrometry. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (122 MHz [D₆]acetone): $\delta = 49.67$ [d, J(RhP) = 129 Hz, 2 P] ppm. IR ([D₆]acetone): $\tilde{\nu} = 1995$ cm⁻¹. ESI-MS: calcd. for [M⁺] 573.06; found 573.06.

Acknowledgments

The EPSRC and the University of Oxford are acknowledged for support.

- [1] D. P. Fairlie, B. Bosnich, Organometallics 1988, 7, 946-954.
- [2] J. W. Suggs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 640–641.
- [3] M. C. Willis, Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 725-748.
- [4] T. B. Marder, D. C. Roe, D. Milstein, Organometallics 1988, 7, 1451-1453.
- [5] Z. Shen, P. K. Dornan, H. A. Khan, T. K. Woo, V. M. Dong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 1077–1091.
- [6] A. H. Roy, C. P. Lenges, M. Brookhart, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 2082–2093.
- [7] I. F. D. Hyatt, H. K. Anderson, A. T. Morehead, A. L. Sargent, Organometallics 2008, 27, 135–147.
- [8] C. M. Beck, S. E. Rathmill, Y. J. Park, J. Chen, R. H. Crabtree, L. M. Liable-Sands, A. L. Rheingold, *Organometallics* 1999, 18, 5311–5317.
- [9] P. Fristrup, M. Kreis, A. Palmelund, P.-O. Norrby, R. Madsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5206–5215.
- [10] A. T. Luedtke, K. I. Goldberg, *Inorg. Chem.* 2007, 46, 8496–8498.
- [11] M. P. Lanci, M. S. Remy, D. B. Lao, M. S. Sanford, J. M. Mayer, *Organometallics* 2011, 30, 3704–3707.
- [12] D. Milstein, Acc. Chem. Res. 1984, 17, 221-226.
- [13] R. Goikhman, D. Milstein, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 1153; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1119–1122.
- [14] C. H. Jun, E. A. Jo, J. W. Park, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 1869– 1881.
- [15] K. Tanaka, Y. Shibata, T. Suda, Y. Hagiwara, M. Hirano, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1215–1218.
- [16] M. M. Coulter, K. G. M. Kou, B. Galligan, V. M. Dong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16330–16333.
- [17] M. C. Willis, S. J. McNally, P. J. Beswick, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 344; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 340–343.
- [18] J. C. Jeffrey, T. B. Rauchfuss, Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 2658– 2666.
- [19] G. L. Moxham, H. E. Randell-Sly, S. K. Brayshaw, R. L. Woodward, A. S. Weller, M. C. Willis, *Angew. Chem.* 2006, 118, 7780; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 7618–7622.
- [20] G. L. Moxham, H. Randell-Sly, S. K. Brayshaw, A. S. Weller, M. C. Willis, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 8383–8397.
- [21] R. J. Pawley, G. L. Moxham, R. Dallanegra, A. B. Chaplin, S. K. Brayshaw, A. S. Weller, M. C. Willis, *Organometallics* 2010, 29, 1717–1728.
- [22] K. Wang, T. J. Emge, A. S. Goldman, C. Li, S. P. Nolan, Organometallics 1995, 14, 4929–4936.
- [23] J. F. Riehl, Y. Jean, O. Eisenstein, M. Pelissier, Organometallics 1992, 11, 729–737.
- [24] M. Kreis, A. Palmelund, L. Bunch, R. Madsen, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 2148–2154.

- [25] M. A. Garralda, Dalton Trans. 2009, 3635–3645.
- [26] S. A. Westcott, G. Stringer, S. Anderson, N. J. Taylor, T. B. Marder, *Inorg. Chem.* 1994, 33, 4589–4594.
- [27] G. Degischer, G. Schwarzenbach, Helv. Chim. Acta 1966, 49, 1927–1931.
- [28] A. B. Chaplin, A. S. Weller, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 5124– 5128.
- [29] E. Hauptman, S. Sabo-Etienne, P. S. White, M. Brookhart, J. M. Garner, P. J. Fagan, J. C. Calabrese, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8038–8060.
- [30] R. Bender, C. Okio, R. Welter, P. Braunstein, *Dalton Trans.* 2009, 4901–4907.
- [31] A. J. Edwards, S. Elipe, M. A. Esteruelas, F. J. Lahoz, L. A. Oro, C. Valero, *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 3828–3836.
- [32] T. A. Albright, R. Hoffmann, J. C. Thibeault, D. L. Thorn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3801–3812.
- [33] M. Rubio, A. Suarez, D. del Río, A. Galindo, E. Ålvarez, A. Pizzano, Organometallics 2009, 28, 547–560.
- [34] C. Daniel, N. Koga, J. Han, X. Y. Fu, K. Morokuma, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3773–3787.
- [35] N. W. Alcock, J. M. Brown, J. C. Jeffery, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1976, 583–588.
- [36] U. Helmstedt, P. Lönnecke, E. Hey-Hawkins, *Inorg. Chem.* 2006, 45, 10300–10308.
- [37] X. Shan, J. H. Espenson, Organometallics 2003, 22, 1250-1254.
- [38] E. W. Abel, M. Booth, K. G. Orrell, G. M. Pring, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1981, 1944–1950.
- [39] R. Sustmann, B. Patzke, R. Boese, J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 470, 191–197.
- [40] D. Kwon, M. D. Curtis, Organometallics 1990, 9, 1-5.

- [41] B. K. Corkey, F. L. Taw, R. G. Bergman, M. Brookhart, *Polyhedron* 2004, 23, 2943–2954.
- [42] D. P. Fairlie, B. Bosnich, Organometallics 1988, 7, 936-945.
- [43] A. J. Vetter, R. D. Rieth, W. W. Brennessel, W. D. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10742–10752 and references therin.
- [44] A. B. Pangborn, M. A. Giardello, R. H. Grubbs, R. K. Rosen, F. J. Timmers, Organometallics 1996, 15, 1518–1520.
- [45] W. E. Buschmann, J. S. Miller, K. Bowman-James, C. N. Miller, *Inorg. Synth.* 2002, 33, 83–85.
- [46] G. Giordano, R. H. Crabtree, Inorg. Synth. 1990, 28, 88-90.
- [47] A. T. Lubben, J. S. McIndoe, A. S. Weller, Organometallics 2008, 27, 3303–3306.
- [48] J. Cosier, A. M. Glazer, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1986, 19, 105-107.
- [49] Z. Otwinowski and W. Minor in Processing of X-Ray Diffraction Data Collected in Oscillation Mode, Vol. 276 1997, pp. 307– 326.
- [50] M. C. Burla, R. Caliandro, M. Camalli, B. Carrozzini, G. L. Cascarano, L. De Caro, C. Giacovazzo, G. Polidori, R. Spagna, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2005, 38, 381–388.
- [51] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 2008, 64, 112-122.
- [52] CrystalClear, Rigaku Americas and Rigaku Corporation, 2009.
- [53] CrysAlisPro, Oxford Diffraction/Agilent Technologies, 2010.
- [54] L. Palatinus, G. Chapuis, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2007, 40, 786– 790.
- [55] P. W. Betteridge, J. R. Carruthers, R. I. Cooper, K. Prout, D. J. Watkin, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003, 36, 1487.
- [56] R. I. Cooper, A. L. Thompson, D. J. Watkin, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2010, 43, 1100–1107.
- [57] A. L. Spek, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003, 36, 7-13.

Received: September 8, 2011

Published Online: November 14, 2011