Biomimetic synthesis of marine sponge metabolite spiculoic acid A and establishment of the absolute configuration of the natural product†

James E. D. Kirkham,[a] Victor Lee*[a] and Jack E. Baldwin*[b]

The synthesis of spiculoic acid A (1) using a biomimetic Diels–Alder reaction is described; comparison of the specific rotation of the natural and synthetic material revealed that the enantiomer of the natural product has been synthesized.

Spiculoic acid A (1) (Fig. 1) is a polyketide recently isolated from the extracts of the Caribbean marine sponge *Plakortis angulospiculatus* by Andersen et al.[1] Spiculoic acid A (1) was found to be optically active and its structure and relative stereochemistries were established based on a combination of NMR and mass spectrometry studies. Further activity assay showed that 1 is cytotoxic towards the human breast cancer MCF-7 cell line (IC$_{50}$ 8 µg/mL).

At the time of its isolation and structure elucidation 1 was considered to be unprecedented due to its spiculane carbon skeleton. Six stereocentres reside within this relatively small bicyclic core, two of which are quaternary and adjacent to each other. A group of similar compounds were subsequently isolated from the marine sponge *Plakortis zyggompha*.[2]

Andersen et al. have proposed that 1 is biosynthesized from 2 through an enzyme catalysed Diels–Alder reaction (Fig. 1).[1,3] Our continued interest in biomimetic chemistry[4] prompted us to examine the application of this proposed intramolecular Diels–Alder reaction in the synthesis of 1.[5] Herein we report the successful execution of our synthetic plan and the establishment of the absolute configuration of 1. During the course of our investigation Mehta and Kundu disclosed a synthetic approach to 1 and prepared a highly truncated analogue of the natural product.[6]

Realizing the potential sensitivity of 2 we elected to use 3 as its surrogate. Since the absolute configuration of natural 1 was unknown, we decided to use the chiral structure of 1 depicted in Andersen's publication as our synthetic target. The synthesis of 3 commenced with the conversion of Roche ester 4 into aldehyde 5 in an overall yield of 98% by protection of the primary alcohol using imidazole and *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride,[7] followed by reduction of the ester[8] to primary alcohol and oxidation to


Fig. 1 Retrosynthetic analysis of spiculoic acid A (1).

[a]Chemistry Research Laboratory, University of Oxford, 12 Mansfield Road, Oxford, UK OX1 3TA. E-mail: victor.lee@chem.ox.ac.uk; Fax: +44 (0)1865 285 002; Tel: +44 (0)1865 275 650
[b]Chemistry Research Laboratory, University of Oxford, 12 Mansfield Road, Oxford, UK OX1 3TA. E-mail: jack.baldwin@chem.ox.ac.uk; Fax: +44 (0)1865 275 632; Tel: +44 (0)1865 275 671

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: ^{1}H, ^{13}C, DEPT, COSY, HSQC, NOESY and TOCSY NMR spectra for (−)-1. See DOI: 10.1039/b607035c


Scheme 1 *Reagents and conditions*: (i) (a) TBSCl, imidazole, THF; (b) LiBH$_4$, THF, reflux; (c) DMSO, (COCl)$_2$, ^{i}Pr$_2$NEt, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, −78 °C, 98% over three steps; (ii) 6, ^{n}Bu$_2$BOTf, ^{i}Pr$_2$NEt, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, −78 °C, 89%; (iii) LiBH$_4$, MeOH, H$_2$O, 73%; (iv) *para*-methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal, pyridinium tosylate, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 96%; (v) TBAF, THF, 100%; (vi) IBX, THF, DMSO, 100%.

aldehyde **5** using Swern's conditions[9] (Scheme 1). Evans' aldol condensation[10] of **5** and oxazolidinone **6**[11] delivered secondary alcohol **7** in 89% yield. Reductive removal of the chiral auxiliary was effected with lithium borohydride in wet methanol[12] to afford diol **8** in 73% yield. Diol **8** was converted into *para*-methoxybenzylidene acetal **9** in 96% yield using *para*-methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal and a catalytic amount of pyridinium tosylate.[13] This was followed by removal of the TBS group with TBAF to afford primary alcohol **10** in quantitative yield, which was oxidized to aldehyde **11**, again in quantitative yield, using iodoxybenzoic acid (IBX).[14]

Wittig olefination of aldehyde **11** with stabilized ylide **12**[15] gave ester **13** in 77% yield (Scheme 2). The *E* stereochemistry of the double bond in **13** was confirmed by NOE studies. Ester **13** was reduced to alcohol **14** with lithium aluminium hydride[16] in 99% yield, which was oxidized to unsaturated aldehyde **15** by iodoxybenzoic acid (IBX) in quantitative yield. Treating aldehyde **15** with ylide **12** delivered the doubly conjugated ester **16** in 65% yield. Again, the geometry of the newly formed double bond was confirmed to be *E* by NOE experiment. Ester **16** was reduced to alcohol **17** in 96% yield, which was followed by oxidation to aldehyde **18** in 100% yield.

Aldehyde **18** was subjected to a Julia/Kocienski olefination[17] with benzylsulfone **19**[18] using lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide as base to give *E,E,E* triene **20** in 82% yield (Scheme 3). Reductive cleavage of the *para*-methoxybenzylidene acetal was effected with diisobutylaluminium hydride[13] to give primary alcohol **21** in 82%


Scheme 2 *Reagents and conditions*: (i) **12**, toluene, 100 °C; (ii) LiAlH₄, THF, 0 °C; (iii) IBX, DMSO, THF.

Scheme 3 *Reagents and conditions*: (i) **19**, LiN(TMS)₂, THF, 82%; (ii) DIBAL, CH₂Cl₂, 82%; (iii) IBX, DMSO, THF, 80%; (iv) **23**, toluene, 100 °C, 25%.

yield, which was oxidized to aldehyde **22** in 80% yield with IBX. The final Wittig olefination in our synthesis was conducted by heating a mixture of aldehyde **22** with ylide **23**. Gratifyingly, this delivered bicyclic structure **24** directly in 25% yield. Presumably the desired Wittig reaction took place to afford **3**, which underwent a Diels–Alder reaction *in situ* to give **24**. We also isolated a 5–20% yield of an α,β-unsaturated aldehyde, which was formed by the E1cB elimination of *para*-methoxybenzyl alcohol from **22**.

The final stage of the synthesis of **1** began with removal of the *para*-methoxybenzyl protecting group from **24** with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone (DDQ) under buffered conditions[19] to afford secondary alcohol **25**, which was found to co-elute with the *para*-methoxybenzaldehyde formed in the DDQ deprotection during flash chromatography (Scheme 4). Therefore, this partially purified mixture was subjected to a catalytic amount of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) in the presence of excess morpholine[20] to remove the allyl ester. This delivered free acid **26** in a combined yield of 94% over two steps after chromatographic

Scheme 4 *Reagents and conditions*: (i) DDQ, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, pH 7 buffer; (ii) (PPh$_3$)$_4$Pd, morpholine, 94% over two steps; (iii) IBX, EtOAc, 70 °C, 45%.


Fig. 2 Selected NOESY correlations of (−)-**1**.

purification. Finally, oxidation of **26** with IBX in hot ethyl acetate[21] gave spiculoic acid A (**1**) in 45% yield.

Extensive spectroscopic studies were conducted on compounds **24**, **25**, **26** and **1** to confirm their structures and relative stereochemistries. Analysis of the spectroscopic data for synthetic **1** gave an H-5/H-9 coupling constant of 11.8 Hz, indicating that both protons are axial and that the [4.3.0] bicycle is *trans*. NOESY studies indicated that the correct relative stereochemistry has been achieved *via* the Diels–Alder reaction (Fig. 2). The absolute stereochemistry of **1** was established through knowledge of the absolute configuration of the C-6 and C-8 stereocentres. The ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectra of synthetic **1** were indistinguishable from those of the natural product.

The specific rotation of synthetic **1** ($[\alpha]_D^{22} = -97$, c = 0.16, CH$_2$Cl$_2$) is comparable in magnitude to that of natural **1** ($[\alpha]_D = +110$, c = 0.1, CH$_2$Cl$_2$),[1] but of opposite sign. Therefore, we conclude that the absolute configuration of natural spiculoic acid A (**1**) is as depicted in Fig. 3.

In summary, we have demonstrated the application of a biomimetic strategy to the synthesis of spiculoic acid A (**1**) and through our synthesis have established the absolute configuration of the natural product. Analogues iso- (**27**), nor- (**28**) and *dinor*- (**29**) spiculoic acids A (Fig. 4), recently isolated from the marine sponge *Plakortis zyggompha*,[2] all possess specific rotations that are positive and large in value. Therefore, it is tempting to imply that the absolute configurations of these compounds are identical to that of (+)-**1**.


Fig. 3 Absolute configuration of natural spiculoic acid A (**1**).

Isospiculoic acid A (**27**)   R$_1$ = Et, R$_2$ = Et
Nor-spiculoic acid A (**28**)   R$_1$ = Et, R$_2$ = Me
Dinor-spiculoic acid A (**29**)   R$_1$ = Me, R$_2$ = Me

Fig. 4 Speculated absolute configurations of iso- (**27**), *nor*- (**28**) and *dinor*-spiculoic acids A (**29**).

Notes and references

1 X.-H. Huang, R. van Soest, M. Roberge and R. J. Andersen, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 75–78.
2 F. Berrué, O. P. Thomas, R. Fernández and P. Amade, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2005, **68**, 547–549.
3 (*a*) E. M. Stocking and R. M. Williams, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 3078–3115; (*b*) H. Oikawa and T. Tokiwano, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2004, **21**, 321–352; (*c*) H. Oikawa, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2005, **78**, 537–554.
4 J. E. Moses and R. M. Adlington, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 5945–5952.
5 K. Takao, R. Munakata and K. Tadano, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 4779–4807.
6 G. Mehta and U. K. Kundu, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 5569–5572.
7 N. Tsukada, T. Shimada, Y. S. Gyoung, N. Asao and Y. Yamamoto, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1995, **60**, 143–148.
8 K. Mori and K. Koseki, *Tetrahedron*, 1988, **44**, 6013–6020.
9 J. M. Minguez, S.-Y. Kim, K. A. Giuliano, R. Balachandran, C. Madiraju, B. W. Day and D. P. Curran, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2003, **11**, 3335–3357.
10 D. A. Evans, J. V. Nelson, E. Vogel and T. R. Taber, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 3099–3111.
11 M. A. Brimble, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 1990, **43**, 1035–1046.
12 T. D. Penning, S. W. Djuric, R. A. Haack, V. J. Kalish, J. M. Miyashiro, B. W. Rowell and S. S. Yu, *Synth. Commun.*, 1990, **20**, 307–312.
13 Z. H. Peng and K. A. Woerpel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 6018–6019.
14 (*a*) M. Frigerio and M. Santagostino, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, **35**, 8019–8022; (*b*) M. Frigerio, M. Santagostino, S. Sputore and G. Palmisano, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1995, **60**, 7272–7276; (*c*) M. Frigerio, M. Santagostino and S. Sputore, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 4537–4538.
15 S. E. Sen and G. J. Ewing, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, **62**, 3529–3536.
16 R. Stürmer and R. W. Hoffmann, *Chem. Ber.*, 1994, **127**, 2519–2526.
17 (*a*) P. R. Blakemore, W. J. Cole, P. J. Kocienski and A. Morley, *Synlett*, 1998, 26–29; (*b*) P. R. Blakemore, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 2002, 2563–2585.
18 H. Hilpert and B. Wirz, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 681–694.
19 P. Wipf and T. H. Graham, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 15346–15347.
20 S. Friedrich-Bochnitschek, H. Waldmann and H. Kunz, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1989, **54**, 751–756.
21 J. D. More and N. S. Finney, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 3001–3003.