DOI: 10.1002/ejoc.201100879

## **Enantioselective Palladium-Catalyzed Allylic Substitution of Sodium Benzotriazolide**

Wei Liu,<sup>[a]</sup> Dazhi Zhang,<sup>[b]</sup> Shengcai Zheng,<sup>[a]</sup> Yinshuang Yue,<sup>[a]</sup> Dongge Liu,<sup>[a]</sup> and Xiaoming Zhao\*<sup>[a]</sup>

Keywords: Nitrogen heterocycles / Asymmetric catalysis / Allylation / Palladium / N,P ligands / Regioselectivity

Chiral allylic benzotriazole-containing regioisomers 4 and 5 were synthesized by enantioselective palladium-catalyzed allylation of sodium benzotriazolide with a range of allylic carbonates in 50-89% yields, regioselectivities of 2.2:1 to 1:3.4, and ee values of up to 95%.

The palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation (AAA) has been developed as a useful tool for the preparation of chiral allylic compounds<sup>[1]</sup> and, during the past several decades, a wide range of nitrogen nucleophiles<sup>[2]</sup> have been studied in Tsuji-Trost allylation reactions. In this context, the use of benzotriazole (BtH) as a pronucleophile in asymmetric reactions of this type has not yet been reported. The asymmetric reaction of BtH has been extensively investigated for organocatalytic applications,<sup>[3]</sup> and the aluminum-catalyzed enantioselective Michael reaction has also been described.<sup>[4]</sup> In addition, Bt-containing compounds may have a broad potential in drug discovery and organic synthesis,<sup>[5]</sup> and, as a result, new synthetic methods for the preparation of chiral Bt-substituted compounds is the subject of considerable interest.<sup>[6]</sup> Benzotriazole, which is an important fragment of many pharmaceuticals,<sup>[7]</sup> contains a relatively acidic N-H bond ( $pK_a = 8.6$ ) and exists in two tautomeric forms (3a and 3a') in solution (Scheme 1).<sup>[8]</sup>



Scheme 1. Tautomers of benzotriazole in solution.

Tautomer 3a has a greater aromatic character<sup>[9]</sup> and predominates in the equilibrium both in solution<sup>[9]</sup> and in the solid phase.<sup>[10]</sup> The repulsion of electronic pairs between the N-2 and N-1 atoms has a destabilizing effect.<sup>[11]</sup> The larger dipolar moment of 3a favors the interactions between benzotriazole molecules in the solid state and between 3a

[a] Department of Chemistry, Tongji University, 1239 Siping Lu, Shanghai 200092, P. R. China

- [b] Department of Organic Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China Fax: +86-21-65981376 E-mail: xmzhao08@mail.tongji.edu.cn

and a polar solvent in solution. However, 3a' is by 4 kcal/ mol more stable than **3a** in the gas phase.<sup>[12]</sup> An initial study on the palladium-catalyzed allylation of BtH with cinnamyl ethyl carbonate as an allylating agent provided good yields of allylic Bt-containing regioisomers, albeit with poor regioselectivities.<sup>[13]</sup> Herein, we report the formation of chiral Btcontaining allylic compounds through Pd-catalyzed asymmetric allylation of sodium benzotriazolide (BtNa) with structurally diverse allyl carbonates.

#### **Results and Discussion**

In an exploratory study, we began with a reaction between BtH, which has two different nucleophilic positions, and rac-1.3-diphenylallyl ethyl carbonate (2a) in the presence of [Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>·CHCl<sub>3</sub>] and (S)-PHOX (1a) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at room temperature under argon; unfortunately, under these conditions, a complex mixture of products was obtained. Interestingly, when BtNa was used rather than BtH under the same reaction conditions, a mixture of allylic N-1 regioisomer 4a and N-2 regioisomer 5a was achieved in overall 62% yield with 77% ee of 4a and 94% of 5a, although unsurprisingly with a low regioselectivity (4a/5a = 1:1.2; Table 1, Entry 1), which is in agreement with results obtained with the palladium-catalyzed allylation of BtH.<sup>[13]</sup> Solvent screening revealed that THF was an optimal solvent (Table 1, Entry 1); poor results were obtained when the reaction was conducted in dioxane, diethyl ether, or toluene (Table 1, Entries 2-3, 5). The reaction in dichloromethane gave rise to moderate yields and ee values of 4a and 5a (Table 1, Entry 4). Additionally, varyiation of the ratio of 2a/3b was found to have a considerable influence on the outcome of the allylation reaction; it was found that a twofold excess of 3b produced the highest yield and ee of 4a and 5a (Table 1, Entries 1, 6, 7). Change of the reaction temperature had a drastic effect on the efficiency, regioselectivity, and enantioselectivity (Table 1, Entries 1, 8,

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201100879.



Table 1. Optimizing reaction conditions for Pd-catalyzed asymmetric allylation of BtNa.<sup>[a]</sup>

	Ph	OCO <sub>2</sub> Et	+ N 3b Na	5 mol-% Pd₂(dba 10 mol-% ligand solvent, r.t., 7	a) <sub>3</sub> ·CHCl <sub>3</sub> 1a-f 16 h Ph + F 4a	N, N N Ph 5a		
Entry	Ligand	2a/3b	Solvent	<i>T</i> [°C]	Overall yield [%] <sup>[b]</sup>	4a/5a	ee [	[c]
							48	58
1	1a	1.2:1	THF	r.t.	62	1:1.2	77	94
2	1a	1.2:1	dioxane	r.t.	42	1:1.2	_	
3	1a	1.2:1	$Et_2O$	r.t.	28	1.5:1	_	
4	1a	1.2:1	$CH_2Cl_2$	r.t.	67	2:1	41	59
5	1a	1.2:1	toluene	r.t.	30	2:1	_	
6	1a	2:1	THF	r.t.	60	1:1.2	77	94
7	1a	1:2	THF	r.t.	72	1:1.3	77	91
8	1a	1:2	THF	0	46	1:1.3	20	65
9	<b>1</b> a	1:2	THF	35	64	1:1.2	75	85
10	1b	1:2	THF	r.t.	65	1:1.1	4	11
11	1c	1:2	THF	r.t.	67	1:1.1	67	80
12	1d	1:2	THF	r.t.	68	1.2:1	34	75
13	1e	1:2	THF	r.t.	53	1.5:1	9	35
14 <sup>[d]</sup>	1f	1:2	THF	r.t.	38	1:1.1	0	14

[a] Reagents and conditions: **3b** (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), catalyst (5 mol-%), solvent (2 mL), argon. [b] Isolated yield. [c] Determined by HPLC analysis using Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H. [d] Reaction time 60 h.



Scheme 2. Chiral ligands 1a-f.

9). Further investigations were performed with a range of chiral ligands, including 1a,<sup>[14-16]</sup> 1b,<sup>[14-16]</sup> 1c,<sup>[14-16]</sup> 1d,<sup>[17]</sup> 1e,<sup>[18]</sup> and Trost ligand 1f<sup>[19]</sup> (Scheme 2) to evaluate how the structural variation of the ligands may affect the enantio-

selectivity. Ligand **1a** gave the best results (overall 72% yield with 77% *ee* of **4a** and 91% *ee* of **5a**) in favor of the N-2 regioisomer **5a** (**4a/5a** = 1:1.3; Table 1, Entry 7). The palladium complexes generated from **1b** and **1d–f** gave

Table 2. Pd-catalyzed asymmetric allylation of BtNa with various allyl carbonates.<sup>[a]</sup>

	OCO <sub>2</sub> EI R 2a-2h	+ NNN 3b <sup>Na</sup>		N.N.N R 5a–5h		
Entry	R	Product	Overall yield [%] <sup>[b]</sup>	4/5	ee [%][c]	
-					4	5
1	Ph	4a, 5a	72	1:1.3	77	91
2	$2-MeC_6H_4$	4b, 5b	69	1.8:1	-46	-72
3	$3-MeC_6H_4$	4c, 5c	89	1.3:1	95	95
4	$4-MeC_6H_4$	4d, 5d	87	2.2:1	65	80
5	$4-BrC_6H_4$	4e, 5e	60	2:1	40	68
6 <sup>[d]</sup>	2-naphthyl	4f, 5f	50	1:1	44	73
7 <sup>[e]</sup>	Ēt	4g, 5g	80	1:3.4	-72	78
8[e]	Me	4h, 5h	73	1:1.9	-61	71

[a] Reagents and conditions: carbonate **2a**-**h** (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), **3b** (0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), catalyst (5 mol-%), THF (2 mL), argon. [b] Isolated yield. [c] Determined by HPLC analysis using a chiral stationary phase. [d] OCO<sub>2</sub>Et was replaced by OAc due to decomposition. [e] 10 mol-% of catalyst was used; the ratio of **2/3b** was 2:1.

# FULL PAPER

either poor yields or *ee* values (Table 1, Entries 10, 12–14). Use of ligand 1c led to the formation of 4a (32% yield and 67% *ee*) and 5a (35% yield and 80% *ee*) with a 1:1 ratio of 4a/5a (Table 1, Entry 9). Therefore, the conditions presented in Table 1, Entry 7 were chosen as the standard.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, the scope of the enantioselective Pd-catalyzed allylation of BtNa was further examined with a range of allyl carbonates (Table 2). It can be seen that the variation of substituents in the symmetrical allyl carbonates **2a–h** strongly influences both the regio- and enantioselectivity. The reaction of diarylallyl carbonates with electron-donating or electron-attracting groups (o-Me, m-Me, p-Me; or p-Br) on the phenyl ring gave Bt-substituted regioisomers (4b-e and 5b-e) in 60-89% overall yields and 40-95% ee, with regioselectivities in the range 2.3:1–1.8:1, except for bis(2-naphthyl)allyl carbonate, which gave a 1:1 ratio of 4f/5f (Table 2, Entries 2-6). The reaction of bis(phenyl- and alkyl)allyl carbonates led to the formation of the corresponding Bt-containing regioisomers (4a, 4g, 4h and 5a, 5g, 5h) in 72-80% overall yields and 61-91% ee, with regioselectivities in the range of 1:1.9–1:3.4. It should be noted that diarylallyl carbonate 2c, with a *meta*-methyl group on the phenyl rings, gave rise to both 4a and 5a with the highest *ee* value (95%) ee; Table 2, Entry 3). Further study on this reaction indicated that no isomerization occurred between 5a and 4a with [Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>•CHCl<sub>3</sub>] and 1a in THF at room temperature within 12 h.

#### Conclusions

We have developed an efficient  $[Pd_2(dba)_3 \cdot CHCl_3]/(S)$ -PHOX (1a) catalyst system for the asymmetric allylic amination of benzotriazole with a range of carbonates. The allylic Bt-containing regioisomers were obtained in good overall yields with regioselectivities in the range of 2.2:1– 1:3.4 and *ee* of up to 95%. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of a transition-metal-catalyzed asymmetric allylation of BtH. Further studies aimed at improving the regioselectivity and enantioselectivity of this AAA is in progress.

## **Experimental Section**

**General:** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded as solutions in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with a Bruker NMR (400 MHz) spectrometer. The chemical shifts are reported in  $\delta$  units downfield from the Me<sub>4</sub>Si internal reference. Optical rotations were determined with a JASCO P-2200 instrument. HPLC analyses were carried out on chiral columns (Chiralcel AD-H, OJ-H) with a Shimadzu 150 instrument fitted with a multi-wavelength detector. Low-resolution electron-impact (EI) mass spectra were obtained with an Agilent MSD Chemstation, and high-resolution mass spectra were obtained with a Finnigan VG Platform or a Finnigan MAT 95S. Carbonates **2a–h** were prepared according to known procedures.<sup>[2a]</sup>

Sodium Benzotriazolide (3b): BtH (6.0 g, 0.1 mol) was dissolved in THF and cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. NaH (1.2 g, 0.1 mol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h, then THF was removed

by rotary evaporation. The white solid was washed with  $CH_2Cl_2$ , and the solvent was removed under vacuum.  $[Pd_2(dba)_3 \cdot CHCl_3]$ (0.01 mmol, 11.5 mg) and ligand (0.02 mmol) were dissolved in THF (2.0 mL) and stirred in a dry Schlenk tube filled with argon for 30 min. Allylic carbonate **2** (0.20 mmol) and sodium benzotriazolide (0.40 mmol, 56.4 mg) were added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature. The crude reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:20) to give the desired products.

(*E*)-1,3-Di-*m*-tolylallyl Ethyl Carbonate (2c): Yield: 1.0 g (70%). Colorless liquid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.26–7.21 (m, 3 H), 7.20–7.16 (m, 3 H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.07–7.02 (m, 1 H), 6.65 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.34 (dd, *J* = 15.3, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.21 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.19 (qd, *J* = 6.3, 4.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H), 2.31 (s, 3 H), 1.29 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 154.5, 138.8, 138.4, 138.2, 136.1, 133.0, 129.2, 129.0, 128.6, 128.5, 127.7, 127.5, 126.9, 124.1, 124.0, 80.2, 64.1, 21.5, 21.4, 14.3 ppm. MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 220 (100), 310 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 310.1569; found 310.1570. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max}$  = 3037, 2917, 2847, 1650, 1606, 1508, 1457, 1378, 1270, 1235, 1156, 1140, 1083, 973, 916, 811, 792, 740, 498 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

(*E*)-1,3-Bis(4-bromophenyl)allyl Ethyl Carbonate (2e): Yield: 1.2 g (90%). Yellow liquid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.42 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.59 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.30 (dd, *J* = 15.8, 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.18 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.20 (qd, *J* = 7.1, 3.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.30 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 154.3, 137.6, 134.8, 132.1, 131.9, 131.8, 128.8, 128.3, 127.2, 122.6, 122.2, 79.0, 64.4, 14.3 ppm. MS (EI): *m/z* (%) = 269 (100), 438 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 437.9466; found 437.9469. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max}$  = 2986, 2917, 1742, 1486, 1406, 1368, 1302, 1242, 1074, 1004, 960, 807, 849, 846, 830, 564, 510 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

**General Procedure for the Pd-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation of Benzotriazole:** [Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>·CHCl<sub>3</sub>] (0.01 mmol, 11.5 mg) and ligand (0.02 mmol) were dissolved in THF (2.0 mL) and stirred in a dry Schlenk tube filled with argon for 30 min. Allylic carbonate **2** (0.20 mmol) and sodium benzotriazolide (0.40 mmol, 56.4 mg) were added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature. The crude reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1: 20) to give the desired products.

(*E*)-1-(1,3-Diphenylallyl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*][1,2,3]triazole (4a): Yield: 19.9 mg (32%). White solid; m.p. 169–170 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.15–8.08 (m, 1 H), 7.46–7.40 (m, 3 H), 7.39–7.36 (m, 5 H), 7.36–7.27 (m, 5 H), 7.02 (dd, *J* = 15.9, 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.63 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 146.5, 137.9, 135.8, 134.6, 132.5, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 127.4, 127.3, 126.9, 125.6, 124.0, 120.2, 110.4, 65.6 ppm. MS (EI): *m/z* (%) =193 (100), 311 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 311.1422; found 311.1423. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max}$  = 3062, 3015, 2923, 1655, 1448, 1381, 1273, 1223, 1153, 1064, 966, 742, 691, 532 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (77%) was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C): *t*<sub>R</sub> = 14.24 (minor), 18.67 min (major) [Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H (0.46 cm × 25 cm); hexane/2-propanol, 80:20; 1.0 mL/min]. [*a*]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub> = -21.0 (*c* = 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).



(E)-2-(1,3-Diphenylallyl)-2*H*-benzo[*d*][1,2,3]triazole (5a): Yield: 24.9 mg (40%). White solid; m.p. 128–129 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.97–7.90 (dd, J = 6.6, 3.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.52–7.46 (m, 3 H), 7.46–7.39 (m, 5 H), 7.39–7.27 (m, 4 H), 7.09 (dd, J = 15.9, 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.79 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.71 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 144.4, 138.4, 135.9, 134.6, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 127.4, 127.0, 126.5, 126.2, 118.3, 72.6 ppm. MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) =193 (100), 311 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 311.1422; found 311.1420. IR (KBr): ṽ<sub>max</sub> = 3062, 3021, 2923, 1653, 1492, 1448, 1381, 1308, 1201, 967, 887, 745, 694, 548, 482 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (91%) was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  = 10.52 (minor), 11.35 min (major) [Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H  $(0.46 \text{ cm} \times 25 \text{ cm})$ ; hexane/2-propanol, 80:20; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_{D}^{20} =$  $-55.0 (c = 1.0, \text{CHCl}_3).$ 

(E)-1-(1,3-Di-o-tolylallyl)-1H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (4b): Yield: 29.8 mg (44%). White solid; m.p. 146–147 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta = 8.13$  (dd, J = 5.7, 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.60–7.54 (m, 1 H), 7.38 (t, J = 3.8 Hz, 3 H), 7.34–7.25 (m, 4 H), 7.24–7.13 (m, 3 H), 7.02 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.84 (dd, J = 15.7, 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.38 (s, 3 H), 2.23 (s, 3 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 146.4, 136.5, 135.8, 135.8, 135.1, 132.8,$ 131.8, 131.2, 130.4, 128.8, 128.3, 127.6, 127.3, 126.9, 126.7, 126.3, 126.1, 124.0, 120.2, 110.5, 62.9, 19.7, 19.3 ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 221 (100), 339  $[M]^+$ . HRMS (EI): calcd. for  $C_{23}H_{21}N_3$   $[M]^+$ 339.1735; found 339.1737. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$  = 3031, 2910, 2857, 1736, 1612, 1486, 1448, 1321, 1267, 960, 795, 687, 441 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (-46%) was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  = 6.20 (major), 6.63 min (minor) [Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H (0.46 cm × 25 cm); hexane/2propanol, 90:10; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_D^{20} = 34.4$  (c = 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(E)-2-(1,3-Di-o-tolylallyl)-2H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (5b): Yield: 17.0 mg (25%). White solid; m.p. 71-72 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.89 (dd, J = 6.5, 3.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.54 (dd, J = 5.2, 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.40–7.33 (m, 3 H), 7.22 (dd, J = 7.2, 5.1 Hz, 3 H), 7.18–7.10 (m, 3 H), 6.98 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (dd, J = 15.6, 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.77 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H), 2.26 (s, 3 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 144.3, 136.6, 135.9, 135.9, 135.1, 131.9, 130.9, 130.4, 128.6, 128.2, 127.3, 127.2, 126.7, 126.4, 126.2, 126.2, 118.3, 69.4, 19.7, 19.4 ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) =221 (100), 339 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for  $C_{23}H_{21}N_3$  [M]<sup>+</sup> 339.1735; found 339.1738. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max}$  = 3322, 2926, 2853, 1732, 1457, 1254, 1004, 884, 745, 435 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (-72%) was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  = 5.42 (major), 12.51 min (minor) [Diacel CHI-RALPAK AD-H  $(0.46 \text{ cm} \times 25 \text{ cm})$ ; hexane/2-propanol, 90:10; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_{D}^{20} = 20.8 \ (c = 1.0, \text{ CHCl}_3).$ 

(E)-1-(1,3-Di-m-tolylallyl)-1H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (4c): Yield: 33.9 mg (50%). White solid; m.p. 100-101 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.13 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.42–7.35 (m, 3 H), 7.30– 7.22 (m, 4 H), 7.19–7.10 (m, 4 H), 7.01 (dd, J = 15.8, 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.75 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.36 (s, 3 H),2.34 (s, 3 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 146.5, 138.9, 138.3, 137.9, 135.8, 134.5, 132.5, 129.3, 129.2, 128.9, 128.6, 128.0, 127.5, 127.3, 125.5, 124.4, 124.1, 124.0, 120.2, 110.5, 65.6, 21.5, 21.4 ppm. MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) =221 (100), 339 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for  $C_{23}H_{21}N_3$  [M]<sup>+</sup> 339.1735; found 339.1737. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$ = 3019, 2933, 2847, 1609, 1486, 1451, 1267, 1372, 1156, 1061, 967, 786, 741, 688, 434 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (95%) was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  = 11.16 (minor), 14.91 min (major) [Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H  $(0.46 \text{ cm} \times 25 \text{ cm})$ ; hexane/2-propanol, 80:20; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_{D}^{20} =$ -22.2 (c = 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(E)-2-(1,3-Di-m-tolylallyl)-2H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (5c): Yield: 26.4 mg (39%). White solid; m.p. 65–66 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.89 (dd, J = 6.6, 3.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.37 (dd, J = 6.6, 3.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.29–7.26 (m, 1 H), 7.25–7.16 (m, 5 H), 7.13–7.07 (m, 2 H), 7.03 (dd, J = 15.8, 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.70 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.64 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 2.31 (s, 3 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 144.4, 138.7, 138.4, 138.2, 135.9, 134.5, 129.4, 129.2, 128.8, 128.6, 128.0, 127.6, 126.4, 126.0, 124.5, 124.2, 118.4, 72.7, 21.5, 21.4 ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) =221 (100), 339 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 339.1735; found 339.1737. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max}$  = 3060, 2917, 2850, 1711, 1612, 1492, 1451, 1369, 1277, 1229, 1153, 1068, 960, 754, 447 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (95%) was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C): t<sub>R</sub> = 9.46 (minor), 11.81 min (major) [Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H (0.46 cm × 25 cm); hexane/2-propanol, 90:10; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_{D}^{20} = -10.9$  (c = 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(*E*)-1-(1,3-Di-*p*-tolylallyl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*][1,2,3]triazole (4d): Yield: 40.7 mg (60%). White solid; m.p. 136–137 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.07 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.37–7.26 (m, 5 H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.17–7.08 (m, 4 H), 6.91 (dd, *J* = 15.8, 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.54 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.32 (s, 6 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 146.5, 138.4, 135.0, 134.3, 133.1, 132.5, 129.7, 129.4, 127.3, 127.2, 126.8, 124.7, 123.9, 120.2, 110.6, 65.5, 21.3, 21.2 ppm. MS (EI): *m/z* (%) =221 (100), 339 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 339.1735; found 339.1736. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max}$  = 3028, 2923, 2860, 1742, 1615, 1511, 1451, 1384, 1273, 1156, 969, 808, 748, 498 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (65%) was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C): *t*<sub>R</sub> = 23.29 (minor); 35.12 min (major) [Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H (0.46 cm × 25 cm); hexane/2-propanol, 80:20; 1.0 mL/min]. [*a*]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> = -29.6 (*c* = 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(E)-2-(1,3-Di-p-tolylallyl)-2H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (5d): Yield: 18.3 mg (27%). White solid; m.p. 101–102 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.88 (dd, J = 6.5, 2.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.36 (dd, J = 6.6, 2.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.34–7.28 (m, 4 H), 7.16 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.12 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 6.97 (dd, J = 15.8, 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.70 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.62 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.32 (s, 6 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 144.3, 138.4, 138.3, 135.6, 134.3, 133.2, 129.5, 129.3, 127.4, 126.9, 126.3, 125.3, 118.3, 72.5, 21.3, 21.2 ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) =221 (100), 339 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 339.1735; found 339.1736. IR (KBr): ṽ<sub>max</sub> = 3021, 2920, 1654, 1518, 1451, 1372, 1318, 1258, 1182, 970, 887,798, 745, 504 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (80%) was determined by HPLC analysis (214 nm, 25 °C):  $t_{\rm R} = 7.52$ (minor), 8.26 min (major) [Diacel CHIRALPAK OD-H  $(0.46 \text{ cm} \times 25 \text{ cm});$  hexane/2-propanol, 90:10; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_{D}^{20} =$  $-19.0 (c = 1.0, \text{CHCl}_3).$ 

(*E*)-1-[1,3-Bis(4-bromophenyl)allyl]-1*H*-benzo[*d*][1,2,3]triazole (4e): Yield: 37.4 mg (40%). White solid; m.p. 157–158 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 8.12$  (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.44–7.33 (m, 3 H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.20 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 6.95 (dd, J = 15.9, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.69 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.54 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 146.5$ , 136.7, 134.5, 133.7, 132.3, 132.3, 131.9, 129.0, 128.4, 127.6, 125.9, 124.2, 122.8, 122.6, 120.4, 110.0, 64.7 ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) =349 (100), 467 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>15</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 466.9633; found 466.9639. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max} = 3056$ , 2923, 2847, 1660, 1584, 1486, 1448, 1406, 1264, 1156, 1064, 1001, 963, 811, 748, 700, 545, 491 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (41%) was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C):  $t_R = 17.94$  (minor), 30.25 min (major) [Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H (0.46 cm × 25 cm);

# FULL PAPER

hexane/2-propanol, 70:30; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_{\rm D}^{20} = -55.6$  (c = 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(E)-2-[1,3-Bis(4-bromophenyl)allyl]-2H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (5e): Yield: 18.7 mg (20%). White solid; m.p. 139–140 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.91 (dd, J = 6.6, 3.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.47 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.42 (dd, J = 6.6, 3.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.00 (dd, J = 15.9, 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.71 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (d, J =15.8 Hz, 1 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 144.4, 137.1, 134.6, 133.7, 132.1, 131.9, 129.2, 128.5, 126.7, 126.4, 122.9, 122.5, 118.3, 71.7 ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 349 (100), 467 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>15</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 466.9633; found 466.9636. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max} = 3066, 2920, 2841, 1732, 1598, 1486, 1410, 1308, 1258,$ 1261, 1188, 1071, 1004, 969, 890, 821, 738, 540, 494 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (68%) was determined by HPLC analysis (276 nm, 25 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  = 6.85 (minor), 7.69 min (major) [Diacel CHIRALPAK OD-H (0.46 cm × 25 cm); hexane/2-propanol, 90:10; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_{D}^{20} = -26.2$  (c = 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(E)-1-[1,3-Bis(naphthalen-2-yl)allyl]-1*H*-benzo[*d*][1,2,3]triazole (4f): Yield: 20.6 mg (25%). White solid; m.p. 160–161 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.11 (dd, J = 5.3, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.84 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.82–7.72 (m, 6 H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (dd, J = 5.4, 2.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.46-7.31 (m, 6 H), 7.19 (dd, J = 15.8)6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.97 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.78 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 146.6, 135.3, 134.8, 133.5, 133.4, 133.2, 132.6, 129.2, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 127.8, 127.8, 127.5, 127.3, 126.7, 126.5, 126.4, 125.8, 125.0, 124.1, 123.6, 120.3, 110.5, 65.8 ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 293 (100), 411 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 411.1735; found 411.1737. IR (KBr): ṽ<sub>max</sub> = 3053, 2932, 2853, 1723, 1593, 1505, 1480, 1394, 1267, 1251, 1077, 960, 862, 814, 786, 741, 707, 662, 475 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (44%) was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  = 16.83 (minor), 30.83 min (major) [Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H (0.46 cm × 25 cm); hexane/2-propanol, 50:50; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_{D}^{20} = -8.4$  (c = 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(E)-2-[1,3-Bis(naphthalen-2-vl)allvl]-2H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazole (5f): Yield: 20.6 mg (25%). White solid; m.p. 122–123 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.95–7.89 (m, 3 H), 7.86–7.74 (m, 7 H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.49–7.41 (m, 4 H), 7.38 (dd, J = 6.6, 3.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.25 (dd, J = 15.4, 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.97 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 144.5, 135.8, 134.8, 133.5, 133.4, 133.3, 133.3, 128.9, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 127.7, 127.4, 126.8, 126.6, 126.5, 126.4, 126.3, 125.0, 123.8, 118.4, 72.8 ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 293 (100), 411 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for  $C_{29}H_{21}N_3$  [M]<sup>+</sup> 411.1735; found 411.1736. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max} = 3053$ , 3027, 2930, 1739, 1650, 1619, 1569, 1508, 1441, 1368, 1334, 1226, 1033, 960, 852, 808, 741, 691, 475  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . The enantiomeric excess of the product (78%) was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$ = 19.61 (minor), 23.00 min (major) [Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H  $(0.46 \text{ cm} \times 25 \text{ cm})$ ; hexane/2-propanol, 50:50; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_{D}^{20} =$  $-28.5 (c = 1.0, \text{CHCl}_3).$ 

(*E*)-1-(Hept-4-en-3-yl)-*I*H-benzo[*d*][1,2,3]triazole (4g): Yield: 7.7 mg (18%). Colorless liquid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 8.07$  (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.87–5.71 (m, 2 H), 5.20 (dd, J = 14.7, 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.39–2.22 (m, 1 H), 2.13–2.00 (m, 2 H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.88 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 146.3, 136.3, 132.4, 126.8, 126.6, 123.7, 120.1, 110.2, 63.9, 27.6, 25.2, 13.2, 10.8 ppm. MS (EI): <math>m/z$  (%) = 143 (100), 215 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 215.1422; found 215.1424. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max} = 2990, 2920, 2835, 1705, 1468, 1390$ ,

1278, 1200, 1089, 978, 750, 740 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (-72%) was determined by HPLC analysis (214 nm, 25 °C):  $t_{\rm R} = 6.79$  (major), 7.84 min (minor) [Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H (0.46 cm × 25 cm); hexane/2-propanol, 90:10; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_{\rm D}^{20} = 20.5$  (c = 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(*E*)-2-(Hept-4-en-3-yl)-2*H*-benzo[*d*][1,2,3]triazole (5g): Yield: 26.7 mg (62%). Colorless liquid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.88 (dd, J = 6.5, 3.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.37 (dd, J = 6.5, 3.1 Hz, 2 H), 5.91–5.78 (m, 2 H), 5.23 (dd, J = 14.7, 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.38–2.24 (m, 1 H), 2.24–2.10 (m, 1 H), 2.07 (dt, J = 12.3, 6.2 Hz, 2 H), 0.99 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta = 144.0, 136.9, 126.9, 126.0, 118.1, 71.3, 28.9, 25.2, 13.1, 126.0, 118.1, 71.3, 28.9, 25.2, 13.1, 126.0, 118.1, 71.3, 28.9, 25.2, 13.1, 126.0, 118.1, 71.3, 28.9, 25.2, 13.1, 126.0, 118.1, 71.3, 28.9, 25.2, 13.1, 126.0, 118.1, 71.3, 28.9, 25.2, 13.1, 126.0, 118.1, 71.3, 28.9, 25.2, 13.1, 126.0, 118.1, 71.3, 28.9, 25.2, 13.1, 126.0, 126$ 10.6 ppm. MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 143 (100), 215 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 215.1422; found 215.1423. IR (KBr): ṽ<sub>max</sub> = 3003, 2989, 2910, 2860, 2801, 1720, 1550, 1440, 1368, 1250, 1090, 1020, 783, 660 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (78%) was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  = 7.92 (minor), 9.47 min (major) [Diacel CHIRALPAK IC  $(0.46 \text{ cm} \times 25 \text{ cm});$  hexane/2-propanol, 99:1; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_{D}^{20} =$ 40.7 (c = 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(*E*)-1-(Pent-3-en-2-yl)-1*H*-benzo[*d*][1,2,3]triazole (4h): Yield: 9.4 mg (25%). Colorless liquid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.06 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.35 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.84 (dd, *J* = 15.4, 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.74 (dq, *J* = 18.2, 5.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.49 (quint, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.84 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.73 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 3 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 146.5, 132.2, 130.0, 128.6, 126.9, 123.7, 120.1, 110.3, 57.4, 20.1, 17.6 ppm. MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 144 (100), 187 [M]<sup>+</sup>. HRMS (EI): calcd. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>3</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> 187.1109; found 187.1108. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max}$  = 2980, 2923, 2850, 1606, 1451, 1384, 1267, 1159, 1074, 960, 776, 745 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (-61%) was determined by HPLC analysis (214 nm, 25 °C): *t*<sub>R</sub> = 6.86 (major), 7.56 min (minor) [Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H (0.46 cm × 25 cm); hexane/2-propanol, 90:10; 1.0 mL/min]. [*a*]<sup>2D</sup><sub>2</sub> = 8.3 (*c* = 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

(*E*)-2-(Pent-3-en-2-yl)-2*H*-benzo[*d*][1,2,3]triazole (5h): Yield: 18.0 mg (48%). Colorless liquid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.88 (dd, J = 6.5, 3.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.37 (dd, J = 6.5, 3.1 Hz, 2 H), 5.90 (dd, J = 15.8, 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.85–5.75 (m, 1 H), 5.51 (quint, J =6.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.82 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 1.73 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3 H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 144.1, 130.2, 129.2, 126.1, 118.1, 64.7, 21.1, 17.7 ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 187 (100), 187  $[M]^+$ . HRMS (EI): calcd. for  $C_{11}H_{13}N_3$   $[M]^+$  187.1109; found 187.1110. IR (KBr):  $\tilde{v}_{max}$  = 2964, 2929, 2863, 1726, 1441, 1378, 1251, 1080, 1023, 792, 666 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The enantiomeric excess of the product (71%) was determined by HPLC analysis (254 nm, 25 °C):  $t_{\rm R}$  = 9.50 (major), 10.26 min (minor) [Diacel CHIRALPAK AD-H (0.46 cm  $\times$  25 cm); hexane/2-propanol, 98:2; 1.0 mL/min].  $[a]_{D}^{20} =$ 48.8 (c = 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

**Supporting Information** (see footnote on the first page of this article): <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of new substances and chiral HPLC charts.

### Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the Pu Jiang Program of Shanghai (2010–2013), the Innovative Program of Shanghai Education Committee (09ZZ36), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (20942003), the Jiao Gai Program of Department of Chemistry in Tongji University (2009–2011), the 985 Program of Tongji University, Key Laboratory of Fluorine Chemistry, the

Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, the Chinese Academy of Sciences (2011–2012), the State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, and the Dalian University of Technology (KF1006) for generous financial support.

- a) B. M. Trost, D. L. VanVranken, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 395–422; b) G. Helmchen, A. Pfaltz, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2000**, *33*, 336–345; c) B. M. Trost, M. L. Crawley, *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 2921–2943; d) Z. Lu, S. M. Ma, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 258–297.
- [2] a) T. Hayashi, A. Yamamoto, Y. Ito, E. Nishioka, H. Miura, K. Yanagi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6301–6311; b) B. M. Trost, S. R. Pulley, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 8737–8740; c) A. Sudo, K. Saigo, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5508–5513; d) D. A. Evans, K. R. Campos, J. S. Tedrow, F. E. Michael, M. R. Gagne, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2994–2995; e) O. Kitagawa, K. Yotsumoto, M. Kohriyama, Y. Dobashi, T. Taguchi, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3605–3607; f) J. W. Faller, J. C. Wilt, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 633–636; g) X. L. Hou, B. H. Zheng, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1789–1791.
- [3] a) J. Wang, H. Li, L. S. Zu, W. Wang, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1391–1394; b) J. Wang, L. S. Zu, H. Li, H. X. Xie, W. Wang, Synthesis 2007, 2576–2580; c) D. Enders, C. Wang, J. X. Liebich, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 11058–11076; d) P. Diner, M. Nielsen, M. Marigo, K. A. Jorgensen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1983–1987; e) L. Fang, A. J. Lin, X. F. Jia, J. Meng, Y. N. Wang, C. J. Zhu, Lett. Org. Chem. 2009, 6, 397–399; f) G. S. Luo, S. L. Zhang, W. H. Duan, W. Wang, Synthesis 2009, 1564–1572.
- [4] M. Gandelman, E. N. Jacobsen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2393–2397.
- [5] For reviews, see: a) A. R. Katritzky, X. F. Lan, J. Z. Yang, O. V. Denisko, *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *98*, 409–548; b) R. R. Kale, V. Prasad, P. P. Mohapatra, V. K. Tiwari, *Monatsh. Chem.* **2010**, *141*, 1159–1182; c) A. R. Katritzky, S. Rachwal, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 1564–1610.
- [6] A. R. Katritzky, E. Todadze, Arkivoc 2009, iv, 248-268.



- [7] a) M. Tomic, M. Kundakovic, B. Butorovi, B. Janac, D. Andric, G. Roglic, D. Ignjatovic, S. Kostic-Rajacic, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2004, *14*, 4263–4266; b) K. M. Dawood, H. Abdel-Gawad, E. A. Rageb, M. Ellithey, H. A. Mohamed, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2006, *14*, 3672–3680; c) P. P. Dixit, V. J. Patil, P. S. Nair, S. Jain, N. Sinha, S. K. Arora, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2006, *41*, 423–428; d) P. Diner, M. Nielsen, M. Marigo, K. A. Jorgensen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 1983–1987; e) T. R. Zhan, H. X. Lou, *Carbohydr. Res.* 2007, *342*, 865–869; f) P. K. Sahoo, P. Behera, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2010, *45*, 3162–3168.
- [8] S. Rachwala, A. R. Katritzky in *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry III* (Eds.: A. R. Katritzky, C. A. Ramsden, E. F. V. Scriven, R. J. K. Taylor), Elsevier Science, Oxford, **2008**, vol. 5, pp. 1–158.
- [9] F. Tomas, J. L. M. Abboud, J. Laynez, R. Notario, L. Santos, S. O. Nilsson, J. Catalan, R. M. Claramunt, J. Elguero, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7348–7353.
- [10] A. Escande, J. L. Galigne, J. Lapasset, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 1974, 30, 1490–1495.
- [11] R. W. Taft, F. Anvia, M. Taagepera, J. Catalan, J. Elguero, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3237–3239.
- [12] F. Tomas, J. Catalan, P. Perez, J. Elguero, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2799–2802.
- [13] a) N. Arnau, Y. Arredondo, M. Morenomanas, R. Pleixats, M. Villarroya, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1995, 32, 1325–1334; b) S. R. Mellegaard-Waetzig, D. K. Rayabarapu, J. A. Tunge, Synlett 2005, 2759–2762; c) R. N. de Oliveira, F. J. B. Mendonça Jr., D. Sinou, S. J. de Melo, R. M. Srivastava, Synlett 2006, 3049–3052.
- [14] G. J. Dawson, C. G. Frost, J. M. J. Williams, S. J. Coote, *Tetra*hedron Lett. **1993**, 34, 3149–3150.
- [15] J. Sprinz, G. Helmchen, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 1769-1772.
- [16] P. von Matt, A. Pfaltz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 566–568.
- [17] C. J. Richards, T. Damalidis, D. E. Hibbs, M. B. Hursthouse, Synlett 1995, 74–76.
- [18] R. J. McKinney, Organometallics 1985, 4, 1142-1143.
- [19] B. M. Trost, D. L. Van Vranken, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 228–230.

Received: June 16, 2011

Published Online: August 30, 2011