Stereocontrol in Palladium-Catalyzed Propargylic Substitutions: Kinetic Resolution to give Enantioenriched 1,5-Enynes and Propargyl Acetates

Michael J. Ardolino,^a Meredith S. Eno,^a and James P. Morken^{a,*}

^a Department of Chemistry, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, USA E-mail: morken@bc.edu

Received: August 9, 2013; Revised: September 27, 2013; Published online: November 13, 2013

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201300720.

Abstract: Kinetic resolution during the catalytic allyl-propargyl cross-coupling with chiral starting materials can be accomplished with a chiral palladium catalyst. These reactions offer ready access to enantiomerically enriched enyne products from simple, readily available starting materials.

Keywords: allylation; asymmetric catalysis; asymmetric synthesis; boron; palladium

Palladium-catalyzed substitutions of propargylic electrophiles represent a powerful synthetic method for the construction of allene and alkyne containing compounds [Scheme 1, Eq. (1)].^[1,2] These reactions typically proceed *via* oxidative addition of Pd(0) with propargyl electrophiles of type **A** to give interconverting allenyl (**B**) and propargyl palladium (**C**) intermediates, and yield allene (**D**) or alkyne (**E**) containing products upon subsequent reaction. While a number of methods have capitalized on these features resulting in catalytic carbon-carbon and carbon-





Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 3413-3419

© 2013 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Wiley Online Library

3413

heteroatom bond forming reactions,^[2-4] there are few asymmetric variants.^[3d,5] This deficit is likely due to two issues: (i) unlike structurally similar (allyl)Pd intermediates that are configurationally dynamic,^[6] both **B** and **C** are chiral and configurationally static, reflecting the configuration of the starting material after stereospecific $S_N 2'$ addition of Pd;^[7] (ii) in the absence of significant steric bias, bond formation generally occurs from the (allenyl)Pd complex **B** to furnish chiral allene products of type $\mathbf{D}_{i}^{[8,9]}$ which are known to be susceptible to racemization by Pd even under mild conditions.^[10] To address these issues, asymmetric Pd-catalyzed propargyl substitutions have relied on stereospecific couplings with optically enriched propargyl electrophiles, and are limited in scope to allene products that are biased against racemization based on electronics or sterics.^[3d,5]

We recently reported a Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling of optically enriched propargyl acetates and allylboron reagents to deliver 1,5-envnes [Scheme 1, Eq. (2)].^[11] Mechanistically, it appeared that under the influence of a bidentate phosphine ligand (rac-BINAP), this transformation proceeds by 3,3'-reductive elimination from the allyl-allenyl-Pd complex $\mathbf{F}^{[12,13]}$ This allyl migration is highly regioselective for the configurationally stable propargyl-substituted products of type E and allows for high stereospecificity for a range of sterically and electronically diverse electrophiles. Although this strategy provided a practical access to synthetically useful intermediates, the need for enantiomerically pure starting material was a drawback. A potential solution revealed itself when, during the course of this study, we observed a small difference in reactivity of an optically enriched propargyl acetate during reactions catalyzed by complexes bearing either (R)- or (S)-BINAP. Reasoning that with a chiral catalyst that can more ably differentiate matched and mismatched substrates, a kinetic resolution might result and provide enantiomerically enriched 1,5-envnes from racemic starting material.^[14]

Table 1. Optimization of kinetic resolution.



^[a] Conv. = $[ee_1/(ee_1+ee_2)]$, enantiomeric excess determined by GC analysis on a chiral stationary phase.

- ^[b] $s = k_{\text{fast}}/k_{\text{slow}} = \ln[(1 \text{Conv.}/100)(1 ee_1/100)]/\ln[(1 \text{Conv.}/100)(1 + ee_1/100)].$
- ^[c] 0.75 mol% (*R*)-3 used in place of $Pd_2(dba)_3/ligand$.

Although related Pd-catalyzed resolutions of allylic electrophiles have been reported,^[15] such a strategy is unknown for propargyl systems.^[16,17]

Initial experiments with (S)-BINAP (L1), a racemic mixture of substrate 1, and 0.5 equivalents of allylB(pin) in THF at 60 °C (Table 1, entry 1) offered moderate selectivity (s=3.8).^[18] The selectivity was relatively unchanged when the reaction was run at lower temperatures (entries 2 and 3) or in more polar solvents (entry 4), and decreased when run in the less polar solvent CH₂Cl₂ (entry 5). Use of a carbonate leaving group resulted in lower selectivity (entry 6, s=1.3), while the pivaloyl ester showed a slight increase in selectivity (entry 7, s=4.9).

The nature of the chiral ligand was found to have a significant effect on selectivity: with larger 3,5-xylyl groups on L2 selectivity was diminished compared to L1, while a small improvement was seen with the structurally similar MeO-BIPHEP (L3, s=6.6). An evaluation of other members of the BIPHEP family revealed a marked jump in selectivity when MeO-(furyl)BIPHEP (L4) was employed (entry 11, s=18.6). Use of the pre-formed L4-Pd(II)Cl₂ complex [(R)-3] allowed for cleaner and more efficient reaction even with significantly lower catalyst loadings (entry 12).^[19]

Having developed an active and selective catalyst system, the resolution of additional propargyl acetates was explored (Table 2). In addition to the *n*-alkyl example, the reaction tolerated aliphatic substrates with

Table 2. Substrate scope of kinetic resolution.



Entry R	<i>t</i> [h]	Run	er G ^[a]	G:H ^[b]	er I ^[a]	Conv. [%] ^[c]	s _{AVG} ^[d]
1 Me	8	a b	88:12 89:11	>20:1 >20:1	77:23 82:18	41 45	13.5
2 BnO	8	a b	87:13 88:12	>20:1 >20:1	72:28 74:26	37 39	11.1
3 TBDPSO	12	a b	n.d. n.d.	>20:1 >20:1	74:26 75:25	36 36	26.3
4	8	a b	n.d. n.d.	>20:1 >20:1	79:21 84:16	45 49	10.4
5	8	a b	85:15 83:17	>20:1 >20:1	61:39 63:37	24 29	6.5
6 ^[e] Me Me	_{کې} 1.5	a b	83:17 82:18	90:10 90:10	70:30 77:23	42 46	7.4
7	14	a b	90:10 90:10	>20:1 >20:1	62:38 64:36	23 26	11.6
8 ^[f] Me	8	a b	14:86 12:88	>20:1 >20:1	13:87 15:85	50 48	14.5
9 ^[e] Ph	2	a b	86:14 85:15	92:8 92:8	78:22 88:12	44 52	11.5
10 ^[e] <i>p-</i> tolyl	2	a b	85:15 88:12	>20:1 >20:1	78:22 72:28	45 37	10.3
11 ^[e] <i>p</i> -MeO	1	a b	80:20 82:18	91:9 90:10	81:19 81:19	48 47	7.8
12 ^[e] <i>p</i> -Cl	2	a b	82:18 82:18	92:8 92:8	73:27 72:28	41 41	7.1
13 ^[e]	2	a b	84:16 84:16	91:9 91:9	75:25 82:18	42 48	9.4
14 ^[e] N Boc	1.5	a b	76:24 68:32	90:10 91:9	79:21 88:12	53 67	5.0

^[a] Enantiomer ratios were determined by GC or SFC analysis on chiral stationary phase.

- ^[b] Determined by ¹H NMR analysis.
- [c] Conv. = $[ee_{I}/ee_{G}+ee_{I})].$
- ^[d] $s = k_{\text{fast}}/k_{\text{slow}} = \ln[(1 \text{Conv.}/100)(1 ee_{I}/100)]/\ln[(1 \text{Conv.}/100)(1 + ee_{I}/100)].$
- [e] Run with 0.5 mol% (R)-3.
- ^[f] Run with (S)-3.

protected alcohols, giving similar selectivity for the benzyl protected substrate (entry 2) and an increased selectivity with the bulky TBDPS protected alcohol (entry 3). Pendant aromatic groups were tolerated; however, the selectivity appeared to be slightly sensitive to the proximity of the aromatic ring (entry 5). Diminished selectivity was also observed with an unsaturated R group (entry 6). Use of the (S)-enantiomer of 3 (entry 8) yields the expected reversal of selectivity for both product and recovered substrate. Of note, aromatic propargyl acetates exhibited significantly enhanced reactivity (entries 9-14), and required only 1-2 h for the resolution to proceed to completion. Although *s* values for the simple phenyl and *p*-tolyl substrate (entries 9 and 10) were similar to those of the aliphatic substrates, the reaction did appear sensitive to electronic perturbations to the aromatic ring (entries 11–14).

The kinetic resolution by allyl-propargyl coupling also proved reliable on a large scale, as the resolution of one gram of **1** shows a nearly identical *s* value and regioselectivity [Scheme 2, Eq. (3)] as the example in Table 2. The ability to recover both enriched product as well as enriched starting material in good to high yield demonstrates the potential for efficient use of this resolution in total synthesis applications. In line with our previous study, the reaction was also tolerant of β -substituted allylboron reagents: the selectivity and reactivity are nearly unchanged when methallylB-(pin) is used to give the disubstituted 1,5-enyne **4** [Scheme 2, Eq. (4)].

To determine whether the selectivity of the resolution was derived during the oxidative addition or reductive elimination, kinetic isotope effects were studied (Scheme 3). A competition study between substrates **1** and *d*-**1** showed a $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ of 0.71 based on recovered starting material.^[20] The magnitude of this secondary inverse isotope effect is similar to what is expected for an *sp* to *sp*² hybridization change,^[21,22] and suggests that oxidative addition is the rate- and



Scheme 2. Further synthetic utility.

Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 3413-3419

© 2013 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

asc.wiley-vch.de

3415



Scheme 3. Kinetic isotope effect.

stereochemistry-determining step of the reaction. In contrast, a normal secondary isotope effect might be expected if the later proposed 3,3'-reductive elimination were rate-determining.

To gain additional insight into the nature of possible stereodifferentiation during oxidative addition, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out. The reaction coordinate was examined for the addition of (R)-3 to both the matched (R)and mismatched (S)-enantiomers of methyl-substituted propargyl acetate II (Figure 1). In both cases, results show a strong stabilization upon formation of the Pd-alkyne complex (GS) from the free catalyst and substrate. This complex exhibits a slightly distorted square-planar geometry in which the alkyne is placed almost parallel to the P-Pd-P plane and the acetate group is directed away from the metal. This is in agreement with previous DFT calculations,^[23] spectroscopic,^[24] and crystallographic^[25] data for Pdalkyne complexes with phosphine ligands. Such a binding mode maximizes the orbital overlap required for back donation from the filled Pd d orbitals into the C-1=C-2 π^* orbital. This back-bonding is strongly stabilizing and brings C-1 and C-2 in close proximity to the metal to cause a 30° distortion of the C-1-H and C-2-C-3 bonds and form a structure that resembles a pallada(II)cyclopropene.^[26]

From this structure, the calculated transition state shows bond formation between Pd and C-3, with a slightly distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry about the carbon characteristic of a S_N2 -type oxidative addition.^[27] Natural bond order (NBO) analysis of the transition state confirms this feature, showing bonding character between the Pd and an sp^2 hybridized C-3 with concomitant loss of bonding character between C-3 and the oxygen of the acetate. Such an addition pathway allows for direct formation of the η^3 -allenyl complex **Int-a**, which could isomerize to the more stable allenyl-Pd complex **Int-b**.

Calculated energy differences between both the ground and transition states for the diasteromeric matched and mismatched substrate/catalyst complexes are in good agreement with selectivities observed experimentally. Key to these observed energy differences are the interactions of the substituents at the propargylic carbon of the substrate and the ligand structure. In \mathbf{GS}_{fast} , the methyl group is positioned



Figure 1. DFT optimized structures, reaction coordinate, and simplified stereochemical model for oxidative addition (*R*)-3 into (*R*)- and (*S*)-substrate **II**. Energy values reported in kcalmol⁻¹ relative to $\mathbf{GS}_{\text{fast}}$. Calculations performed at the B3LYP-PCM(THF)/LANL2DZ-6-31G^{**}, some hydrogen atoms removed for clarity.

into an open quadrant of the C-2 symmetric ligand, away from the steric bulk of the pseudoaxial furyl ring (**J**), whereas in \mathbf{GS}_{slow} the group is positioned into this blocked quadrant (**K**). This interaction is enhanced during oxidative addition (\mathbf{TS}_{fast} and \mathbf{TS}_{slow}) as C-3 is brought closer to the catalyst, resulting in a 1.3 kcalmol⁻¹ energy difference between the two transition states.

If stereodifferentiation is indeed derived during oxidative addition, the resolution should also be operative with nucleophiles other than allyl boronates. In this respect, it was considered that a kinetic resolution in a Pd-catalyzed reduction of propargyl electrophiles could allow access to optically enriched propargyl acetates utilizing a hydride as an inexpensive nucleophile.^[28]

Table 3. Reduction	ı of	propargyl	acetates
--------------------	------	-----------	----------

R R	DAc	Me₄NBH((<i>R</i>)- 	(OAc) ₃ - 3 (0.75 F, 60 °	(X eo 5%) C, <i>t</i>	quiv.) - 	QAC R	; ∭ +	R L	1
Entr	ry R	<i>t</i> [ł	ו] Rur	ו Equ א	uiv. e (r I ^[a]	Conv. [%] ^[b]	Yield I [%] ^[c]	s _{AVG} ^[d]
1	\bigcirc	کې 0	a 0.75 b c	0 [e] 0	.65 9 .55 8 .7 9	95:5 33:16 98:2	59 49 62	93	12.2
2	0	^ک ر 1	a b	0.	.5 8 .5 7	30:20 76:24	49 45	89	7.8
3	Me	<u>ر</u> کړ 4	.5 a b	0.	.6 8 .6 7	35:15 78:22	54 44	71	9.4
4 ^[f]	\bigcirc	[~] ~ 6	i.5 a b	0.	.75 9 .75 7	92:8 79:21	55 42	88	14.9
5 Me	Me	Me	^{0.75} a b	0. 0.	.5 8 .5 7	30:20 77:23	49 46	90	7.1

^[a] Enantiomer ratios were determined by GC or SFC analysis on chiral stationary phase.

^[b] Determined by ¹H NMR analysis.

^[c] Yield based on theoretical 100% recovery at listed conversion, average of two or three runs.

^[d] $s = k_{\text{fast}}/k_{\text{slow}} = \ln[(1 - \text{Conv.}/100)(1 - ee_I/100)]/\ln[(1 - \text{Conv.}/100)(1 + ee_I/100)].$

^[e] Run for 1 h.

^[f] 1.0% catalyst loading.

A survey of various hydride sources revealed that triacetoxyborohydride tetramethylammonium $[Me_4NBH(OAc)_3]$ was an ideal reagent for the desired transformation. This mild hydride source allowed use of catalyst 3 with little modification to reaction conditions (Table 3). The reaction required shorter reaction times, and showed s values similar to those with allylB(pin) as the nucleophile. Importantly, these reactions were clean and allowed facile isolation of the recovered enriched starting material in good to excellent yields. The reaction was especially efficient for aromatic (entries 1 and 2), and unsaturated (entry 5) substrates, and running these reactions to slightly higher conversion allowed for easy access to highly enriched substrate (entry 1c). The hydrogenolysis required longer reaction times for aliphatic substrates (entries 3 and 4), potentially suffering from slower oxidative additions or the formation of the non-conjugated allene by-product. Synthetically useful selectivity could still be achieved for the more substituted cyclohexyl substrate (entry 4a).

In summary, a subtle difference in reactivity observed during the development of a stereospecific Pdcatalyzed cross-coupling of optically enriched propargyl acetates and allylmetal reagents has inspired the development of a kinetic resolution of racemic propargyl acetates to access enantioenriched 1,5-enyne products. This methodology obviates the need for optically enriched starting materials, and demonstrates previously unknown catalyst controlled selectivity in Pd-catalyzed reactions of propargyl electrophiles. Mechanistic studies of the resolution through laboratory and computational experimentation have evinced that oxidative addition could play the key stereodefining step. This understanding has allowed for the development of a hydrogenolysis to give entry to highly optically enriched propargyl acetates. In light of the number of developments in catalyst-controlled asymmetric manipulations of structurally similar allylic systems, we hope that the strategies described herein may find use in other contexts.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for Kinetic Resolution with Allylboronic Acid Pinacol Ester

An oven-dried vessel equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged successively with catalyst **3**, (0.75 mol%), THF [0.5 M], the propargyl acetate **1** (1.0 equiv.), allylboronic acid pinacol ester (0.5 equiv.), and cesium fluoride (3.0 equiv.) in a dry-box under an argon atmosphere. The vessel was sealed, removed from the dry-box, and heated to 60 °C while allowing to stir for 8 h. After this time, the reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether, filtered through a plug of silica gel and concentrated under vacuum.

General Procedure for Kinetic Resolution with Me₄NBH(OAc)₃

An oven-dried reaction vessel equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with catalyst **3**, (0.75 mol%), tetramethylammonium triacetoxyborohydride (0.5 equiv.), and THF [0.5 M] in a dry-box under an argon atmosphere. The vessel was sealed and the contents allowed to stir for five minutes, over which time the solution turned a deep red color. The propargyl acetate, **1**, (1.0 equiv.) was added, and the vessel was sealed, removed from the dry-box, and heated to 60 °C while allowing to stir for 4.5 h. After this time, the reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether, filtered through a plug of silica gel and concentrated under vacuum.

Computational Details

All calculations were performed using *Gaussian 09* with all geometry optimizations, energies and frequencies were calculated at the DFT level utilizing the B3LYP hybrid functional.^[29,30] The 6-31G** basis set was used for the elements C, H, P, and O in conjunction with the LANL2DZ relativistic pseudopotential for Pd. The two oxygens and carbonyl carbon of the acetate group were augmented with diffuse functions. All free energies were calculated at 333.15 K. The PCM model was used to estimate the effect of solvation (THF).^[31] The frequency calculations for transition states demonstrated one imaginary frequency each, and were

found to connected with the correct ground states through IRC calculations. NBO analysis was carried out with *Gaussian NBO* version 3.1.^[32] The three-dimensional structures presented in Figure 1 were visualized utilizing CYLview.^[33]

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Fredrik Haeffner for helpful discussions. MJA is grateful for American Chemical Society (DOC) and Astra-Zeneca Fellowships. This work was supported by a grant from the US National Institutes of Health (NIGMS 64451).

References

- For a general review on catalytic propargylic substitutions, see: R. J. Detz, H. Heimstra, J. H. van Maarseveen, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2009, 6263.
- [2] For reviews on Pd-catalyzed reactions of propargylic systems see: a) J. Tsuji, T. Mandai, Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 2830; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2589; b) S. Ma, A. Zhang, Pure Appl. Chem. 2001, 73, 337; c) S. Ma, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 1175; d) L.-N. Guo, X.-H. Duan, Y.-M. Liang, Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 111.
- [3] For a review on Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings of these systems, see: a) K. Tsutsumi, S. Ogoshi, K. Kakiuchi, S. Nishiguchi, H. Kurosawa, *Inorg. Chim. Act.* 1999, 296, 37; for some recent examples, see: b) S. Condon-Gugenot, G. Linstrumelle, *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56, 1851; c) T. Konno, M. Tanikawa, T. Ishihara, H. Yamanaka, *Chem. Lett.* 2000, 1360; d) G. A. Molander, E. M. Sommers, S. R. Baker, *J. Org. Chem.* 2006, 71, 1563; e) M. Yoshida, M. Higuchi, K. Shishido, *Tetrahedron* 2010, 66, 2765; f) J. Ye, S. Li, S. Ma, *Org. Lett.* 2012, 14, 2312.
- [4] For some recent examples of Pd-catalyzed carbon-heteroatom bond formations in propargyl substitutions, see: a) Y. Tsuji, M. Taniguchi, T. Yasuda, T. Kawamura, Y. Obora, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2635; b) N. Nishioka, T. Koizumi, Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 3662; c) M. Kalek, J. Stawinski, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353; d) Z.-S. Chen, X.-H. Duan, L.-Y. Wu, S. Ali, K.-G. Ji, P.-X. Zhou, X.-Y. Liu, Y.-M. Liang, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6918.
- [5] a) C. J. Elsevier, P. M. Stehouwer, H. Westmijze, P. J. Vermeer, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1103; b) J. A. Marshall, M. A. Wolf, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3238; c) M. Yoshida, T. Gotou, M. Ihara, Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 5573; d) M. Yoshida, H. Ueda, M. Ihara, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 6705.
- [6] For reviews on transition-metal catalyzed substitutions of allylic systems, see: a) B. M. Trost, D. L. Van Vranken, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 395; b) Z. Lu, S. Ma, *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 264; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 258.
- [7] a) C. J. Elsevier, H. Kleijn, J. Boersma, P. Vermeer, Organometallics 1986, 5, 716; b) S. Ogoshi, K. Tsutsumi, H. J. Kurosawa, J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 493, C19; c) M. W. Baize, P. W. Blosser, V. Plantevin, D. G. Schimpff, J. C. Gallucci, A. Wokcicki, Organometallics

1996, *15*, 164; d) K. Tsutsumi, T. Kawase, T. Kakiuchi, S. Ogoshi, Y. Okada, H. J. Kurosawa, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1999**, *72*, 2687.

- [8] a) E. Keinan, M. Peretz, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 5302;
 b) C. J. Elsevier, H. H. Mooiweer, H. Kleijnm, P. Vermeer, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984, 25, 5571; c) C. J. Elsevier, P. J. Vermeer, J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3042; d) T. Moriya, N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, Synlett 1994, 149;
 e) P. H. Dixneuf, T. Guyot, M. D. Ness, S. M. Roberts, Chem. Commun. 1997, 2083; f) Y. Wang, W. Zhang, S. Ma, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 11517.
- [9] In mechanistically aligned chemistry, stereospecific addition of Pd into propargyl electrophiles, followed by subsequent transmetallation to In, Bi, Sn, Zn, and Yb forms new chiral metal-allenyl species. These nucleophiles can undergo stereospecific S_N2' additions to carbonyl compounds to give enantiomerically enriched propargyl alcohols, see: a) J. A. Marshall, C. M. Grant, *J. Org. Chem.* 1999, 64, 8214; b) J. M. Aurreocoechea, M. Arrare, B. López, *Synlett* 2001, 872; c) M. Arrate, A. Durana, P. Lorenzo, Á. R. de Lera, R. Álvarez, J. M. Aurrecoechea, *Chem. Eur. J.* DOI:10.1002/chem.201301170.
- [10] a) A. Horváth, J. Bäckvall, *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 964;
 b) H. E. Burks, S. Liu, J. P. Morken, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 8766.
- [11] M. J. Ardolino, J. P. Morken, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8770.
- [12] For experimental and computational studies of the 3,3'-reductive elimination, see: a) M. Méndez, J. M. Cuerva, E. Gómez-Bengoa, D. J. Cárdenas, A. M. Echavarren, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2002, 8, 3620; b) D. J. Cárdenas, A. M. Echavarren, *New J. Chem.* 2004, 28, 338; c) M. Perez-Rodriguez, A. A. C. Braga, A. R. de Lera, F. Maseras, R. Alvarez, P. Espinet, *Organometallics* 2010, 29, 4983.
- [13] For related allyl-allyl couplings thought to operate through a 3,3'-reductive elimination, see: a) P. Zhang, L. A. Brozek, J. P. Morken, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10686; b) P. Zhang, H. Le, R. E. Kyne, J. P. Morken, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9716; c) L. A. Brozek, M. J. Ardolino, J. P. Morken, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 16778; d) H. Le, R. E. Kyne, L. A. Brozek, J. P. Morken, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1432.
- [14] For some general reviews on kinetic resolutions, see:
 a) H. B. Kagan, J. C. Fiaud, *Top. Stereochem.* 1988, *18*, 249;
 b) E. Vedejas, M. Jure, *Angew. Chem.* 2005, *117*, 4040; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, *44*, 3974.
- [15] For selected examples of Pd-catalyzed resolutions of non-symmetric allylic systems, see: a) Y. K. Choi, J. H. Suh, D. Lee, I. T. Lim, J. Y. Jung, M. J. Kim, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8423; b) H. J. Gais, O. Bondarev, R. Hetzer, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 6279; c) B. Mao, Y. Ji, M. Fañanás-Mastral, G. Caroli, A. Meetsma, B. Feringa, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 3222; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3168.
- [16] For non-enzymatic kinetic resolutions of propargyl alcohols, see: a) B. Tao, J. C. Ruble, D. A. Hoic, G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 5091–5092; b) K. Tanaka, T. Shoji, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 3561; c) V. B. Birman, L. Guo, *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 4859; d) X. Li, H. Jiang, E. W. Uffman, L. Guo, Y. Zhang, X. Yang, V. B. Birman, *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 1722.

- [17] Fu and co-workers have reported a stereoconvergent Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling of racemic propargyl halides and carbonates with aryl zinc reagents, see:
 a) S. W. Smith, G. C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12645;
 b) A. J. Oelke, J. Sun, G. C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2966.
- [18] s = (rate of fast reacting enantiomer)/(rate of slow re-acting enantiomer); see ref.^[13a]
- [19] The discrepancies in selectivity for entries 11 and 12 were attributed to a background reaction that occurred with the $Pd_2(dba)_3$ system that might have led to an artificially high calculated *s* value for entry 11. This reaction was not seen with **3**, and could have been attributed to the presence of dba or non-ligated palladium species.
- [20] The ¹H NMR ratio of 1:d-1 recovered from the reaction was compared to a sample of the 1:d-1 mixture prior to reaction. See the Supporting Information for details on these experiments.
- [21] We were unable to find experimental proof that the sp to sp² hybridization experiences the same type of inverse secondary isotope effect as the sp² to sp³ hybridization, however this might be expected based on trends in bond strength and stretching frequencies. This assumption has been made previously for kinetic isotope effects in deuterium labeled alkynes: see: a) E. V. Anslyn, D. A. Dougherty *Modern Physical Organic Chemistry*, University Science Books, Sausalito, CA, **2006**, p 429; b) J. Impaktschi, J. Mohsseni-Ala, S. Ihlig, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, 4313; c) M. Arndt, K. S. M. Salih, A. Fromm, L. J. Gooßen, F. Menges, G. Niedner-Schatterburg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 7428.
- [22] Our kinetic isotope labeling study does not preclude the possibility that oxidative addition could be a reversible step preceding a later rate-determining step. This phenomenon is known for primary isotope effects, however secondary equilibrium isotope effects are typically very small, see a) E. M. Simmons, J. F. Hartwig, *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 3120; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 3066; b) ref.^[20a], pp 432–444.
- [23] a) Q. Cui, D. G. Musaev, K. Morokuma, *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 1383; b) M. Ahlquist, G. Fabrizi, S. Cacchi, P. Norrby, *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4196.
- [24] F. Schager, W. Bonrath, K. Pörschke, M. Kessler, C. Krüger, K. Seevogel, Organometallics 1997, 16, 4276.
- [25] a) H. S. Huh, Y. K. Lee, S. W. Lee, J. Mol. Struct. 2006, 789, 209; b) J. Caeiro, D. Peña, A. Cobas, D. Pérez, E. Guitián, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 2466.
- [26] A conformational analysis was performed in which the C-2-C-3 bond of the optimized ground state was rotated 360° by varying the Pd-C-2-C-3-O dihedral angle.

This analysis confirmed that the **GS** shown was the lowest energy conformation about C-2–C3. See the Supporting Information for more details.

- [27] A similar alkyne coordination/S_N2 oxidative addition pathway has been proposed by Kurosawa for the addition of Pt into propargyl chlorides, see: a) S. Ogoshi, Y. Fukunishi, K. Tsutsumi, H. Kurosawa, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1995, 2485; b) H. Kurosawa, Pure Appl. Chem. 1998, 70, 1105; c) H. Kurosawa, S. Ogoshi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1998, 71, 973.
- [28] review: a) J. Tsuji, T. Mandai, Synthesis 1996, 1; selected examples: b) J. Tsuji, T. Sugigura, M. Yuhara, I. Minami, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1986, 922; c) J. Tsuji, T. Sugiuara, I. Minami, Synthesis 1987, 603; d) T. Mandai, T. Matsumoto, M. Kawada, J. Tsuji, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 2161; e) T. Mandai, T. Matsumoto, M. Kawada, J. Tsuji, J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 473, 343; f) C. Darcel, S. Bartsch, C. Bruneau, P. H. Dixneuf, Synlett 1994, 475; g) R. Radinov, S. D. Hutchings, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 8955; h) H. Ohmiya, M. Yang, Y. Yamauchi, Y. Ohtsuka, M. Sawamura, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1796.
- [29] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J.L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Revision A.02, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- [30] a) A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A* 1988, *38*, 3098; b) C. Lee,
 W. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B* 1988, *37*, 785.
- [31] a) S. Miertus, E. Scrocco, J. Tomassi, *Chem. Phys.* 1981, 55, 117; b) V. Barone, M. Cossi, J. Tomassi, *J. Chem. Phys.* 1997, 107, 3210.
- [32] D. Glendening, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, F. Weinhold, NBO, Version 3.1, E.
- [33] C. Y. Legault, CYLview, 1.0b; Université de Sherbrooke, 2009 (http://www.cylview.org).