



Boron-Catalyzed O–H Bond Insertion of α -Aryl α -Diazoesters in Water

Htet Htet San,[†] Shi-Jun Wang,[†] Min Jiang,[‡] and Xiang-Ying Tang^{*,†}

[†]School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering and Hubei Key Laboratory of Bioinorganic Chemistry and Materia Medica, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 1037 Luoyu Road, Wuhan 430074, People's Republic of China

[‡]Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry (SIOC), Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, People's Republic of China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A catalytic, metal-free O–H bond insertion of α -diazoesters in water in the presence of B(C₆F₅)₃·*n*H₂O (2 mol %) was developed, affording a series of α hydroxyesters in good to excellent yields. The reaction features easy operation and wide substrate scope, and importantly, no metal is needed as compared with the conventional methods. Significantly, this approach further expands the applications of B(C₆F₅)₃ under water-tolerant conditions.



he strong Lewis acid, tris(pentafluorophenyl)-borane, $B(C_6F_5)_3$, and other related electron-deficient boron catalysts have recently drawn much attention for their broad applications in many useful transformations,¹ including reduction of unsaturated substances,² dehydrogenations, hydrosilylations,⁴ hydroaminations,⁵ hydroborations,⁶ dehydrogenative cross couplings,⁷ polymerizations,⁸ and other reactions.⁹ $B(C_6F_5)_3$ has also emerged as one of the most important components in Frustrated Lewis Pair (FLP) chemistry¹⁰ after the seminal work reported by Stephan and Erker.¹¹ To date, the aim of developing more practical and widely applicable synthetic methods using the $B(C_6F_5)_3$ catalyst becomes increasingly important. One of the major concerns is that $B(C_6F_5)_3$ always faces the dilemma of water hydrolysis via B-C bond protonolysis due to its strong Lewis acidity. Such shortcomings have seriously hampered the development of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ or FLP-involving chemistry.¹² Thus, many efforts have been devoted to applying $B(C_6F_5)_3$ catalyzed reactions under water-tolerant conditions.^{13,14} For example, Shibuya reported a boron-catalyzed intramolecular double hydrofunctionalization reaction of alkynes using water as a proton source.^{14a} Moran disclosed that $B(C_6F_5)_3 \cdot H_2O$ could be used as a Brønsted acid in the azidation of tertiary aliphatic alcohols^{14e} and the Friedel–Crafts reactions of tertiary aliphatic fluorides.^{14b} The groups of Stephan,¹⁴¹ Ashley,^{14k} and Soós^{14f} also independently discovered that $B(C_6F_5)_3$ /ethers were efficient FLP catalysts in the challenging hydrogenation of ketones/aldehydes to alcohols. In addition, the groups of Fu^{14h} and Ingleson^{14c} also developed reductive aminations of carboxylic acids and aldehydes catalyzed by $B(C_6F_5)_{3}$, respectively. Despite those advances, the performance of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in reactions using water as the solvent is still unknown to the best of our knowledge.

Transition metal-catalyzed insertion of α -diazoesters into C-H¹⁵ or X-H (X = heteroatoms)¹⁶ bonds is a powerful method for easy construction of C-C and C-X bonds. In

particular, the O–H bond insertion of α -diazoesters with water is of great importance not only because water is one of the most abundant and green resources for C–O bond construction but also due to its high simplicity for the direct synthesis of free α -hydroxyesters in an atom-economical way.¹⁷ Indeed, numerous efforts have been devoted to developing efficient metal catalysts for this important reaction, including those based on Cu(I),^{17a,d} Au(I),^{17b} Fe(II),^{17c} and Rh(II)^{17e} (Scheme 1, a). In light of the importance of developing green





(b) $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed ortho-selective substitution of phenols with α -diazoesters



Received: June 25, 2018

processes and also continuing our research interests in insertion reactions,¹⁸ it is highly desirable to perform this important reaction in water (Scheme 1, b). However, this could be very challenging owing to the low solubility of α -hydroxyesters in water.

To solve the problem described above, we envisaged that a bifunctional catalyst that could activate α -hydroxyesters and also pull a water molecule closer via hydrogen bonding would facilitate the desired water insertion (Scheme 1, c). Recently, an elegant *ortho*-selective substitution reaction of phenols with α -aryl α -diazoesters was reported by Zhang and co-workers¹⁹ in which B(C₆F₅)₃ acted as a bifunctional hydrogen-bonding catalyst. Inspired by this work, we tested our hypothesis by conducting the desired reaction in water in the presence of B(C₆F₅)₃·nH₂O.

Upon treatment of **1a** in 2 mL of water in the presence of 2 mol % of $B(C_6F_5)_3 \cdot nH_2O$ at 40 °C for 2 h, the reaction gave the corresponding water insertion product **2a** in 68% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Considering that alcohol **2a** could also take



^aReactions were carried out on a 0.2 mmol scale under air in deionized water. ^bIsolated yields. ^cReaction temperature of 25 °C. ^dWith 0.4 mL of MeNO₂. ^eWith 0.3 mL of MeNO₂. ^fWith 0.2 mL of MeNO₂. ^gWith 0.1 mL of MeNO₂. ^hWith 0.1 mL of tBuOH. ⁱWith 0.5 mL of tBuOH.

part in the reaction as a nucleophile, we performed the reaction in diluted solution; however, the yield of 2a slightly decreased to 65% after 4 h (Table 1, entry 2). When performing the reaction in 0.5 mL of H₂O, the reaction proceeded much faster and delivered 2a in 80% yield (Table 1, entry 3). Increasing or decreasing the loadings of $B(C_6F_5)_3 \cdot nH_2O_7$, the reactions furnished product 2a in 71 and 75% yields, respectively (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). Higher concentration did not increase the efficiency of this reaction (Table 1, entry 6). MeNO₂ was demonstrated by Moran and co-workers to have a cocatalytic effect in the $B(C_6F_5)_3$ ·H₂O-catalyzed azidation of tertiary aliphatic alcohols.^{14e} Therefore, different amounts of MeNO₂ were added to the reaction system, but the yields of 2a were not increased (Table 1, entries 7-10). Meanwhile, the reaction was also performed in mixed solvent ($tBuOH/H_2O$), and 2a was obtained in 75 and 56% yields, respectively (Table 1, entries 11 and 12).

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 3), we next turned our interest to evaluating the substrate scope of the reaction by varying the structure of diazoesters. As can be seen in Scheme 2, the reactions of *para*-substituted

Scheme 2. Substrate Scope^a



"Reactions were carried out on a 0.2 mmol scale under air at 40 $^{\circ}{\rm C}$ in deionized water. "Isolated yields. "On a 1.0 mmol scale.

substrates 1 all went smoothly to give the corresponding products 2b-2i in good yields, and the electronic properties of the substituents did not have a significant effect on the reaction outcomes. For substrates with meta-substituents, the reaction also furnished products 2j-2l in moderate yields. When orthosubstituted diazoesters were treated under the standard reaction conditions, products 2m-2p were obtained in 65-80% yields, respectively. As for disubstituted diazoesters, products 2q and 2r were obtained in 79 and 57% yields, respectively. It is worth noting that naphthyl and thienyl groups were also compatible with this reaction, and products 2s and 2t were produced in 65 and 71% yields. In addition, changing the ethyl group of the diazoesters to methyl, isopropyl, benzyl, and isopentyl groups, corresponding products 20-2u and 2v-2x were delivered in moderate yields. Notably, upon conducting the reaction of 1a on a 1.0 mmol scale, 2a could still be produced in 74% yield.

To gain some insight into the reaction mechanism, we conducted several control experiments (Scheme 3). Treating diazoester 1a in D_2O , the reaction gave isolated 2a-d in 75% yield with 95% D content. In the presence of 2a, the water insertion of 1a was not inhibited, and no alcohol insertion product was observed, indicating that the binding between 2a and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ ·nH₂O cannot be too strong. Substrate 3 with a benzyl group could not undergo such water insertion, presumably due to the fact that the putative cation intermediate could not be stabilized by the benzyl group. It was reported by Wasa and co-workers²⁰ that the conjugated acids in FLPs could act as Brønsted acids; therefore, PPh₃ and

Scheme 3. Control Experiments



TMP were added to the mixture of **1a** and $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in toluene, respectively. In the presence of PPh₃, the reaction was very slow, and **2a** was formed in 45% yield, whereas the reaction with TMP only gave trace amount of product after 24 h. In addition, if conducting the reaction in mixed solvent (THF/toluene), the reaction was also inhibited. These results indicated that $B(C_6F_5)_3 \cdot nH_2O$ is more active than corresponding conjugated acids A–C, probably because these conjugated acids cannot serve as bifunctional hydrogen bonding catalysts.

To probe the real catalyst of this water insertion further, we performed several NMR experiments (Figure 1). No obvious chemical shifts changed when the first two equiv of 1a were added (Figure 1, 1-3); however, all three peaks shifted downfield when another 3.0 equiv of 1a was added (Figure 1,

(6)	ortho		para	meta	
		+ 7.0 equiv 1a	Λ	λ	
(5)		+ 5.0 equiv 1a			
(4)		+ 3.0 equiv 1a			
(3)		+ 1.0 equiv 1a			
(2)		+ 1.0 equiv 1a			
(1)		B(C ₆ F ₅) ₃ .nH ₂ O			
24 -128	-132 -136	-140 -144 -148 -15 f1 (ppm)	2 -156	-160 -164 -168	-1

Figure 1. ¹⁹F-NMR titration experiments of 1a with $B(C_6F_5)_3\cdot nH_2O$. (1) $B(C_6F_5)_3\cdot nH_2O$ (10.0 mg, 1.0 equiv) in 0.6 mL of toluene- d_8 . (2) 1a (4.0 mg, 1.0 equiv) was added; (3) another 4.0 mg of 1a (1.0 equiv) was added; (4) 12.0 mg of 1a (3.0 equiv) was added; (5) 20.0 mg of 1a (5.0 equiv) was added; and (6) 28.0 mg of 1a (7.0 equiv) was added.

4). As water was consumed, all three peaks, which were not identical with anhydrous $B(C_6F_5)_3^{21}$ showed no significant changes (Figure 1, 4–6), suggesting that 1a may coordinate with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in the absence of water.

A considerable amount of **2a** was formed in the above titration experiments because the addition of **1a** into the NMR tube would introduce moisture.²² For further excluding the influence of alcohol product, 20.0 equiv of **1f** was added in one portion to the solution of $B(C_6F_5)_3 \cdot nH_2O$ in an NMR tube to avoid moisture interruption. As can be seen in Figure 2, the set



-105 -110 -115 -120 -125 -130 -135 -140 -145 -150 -155 -160 -165 -170 -175 f1 (ppm)

Figure 2. $^{19}\text{F-NMR}$ experiments of 1a with $B(C_6F_5)_3\text{\cdot}nH_2O.$ (1) $B(C_6F_5)_3\text{\cdot}nH_2O$ (5.0 mg, 1.0 equiv) in 0.6 mL of toluene- d_{8i} (2) 1f (41.6 mg, 0.2 mmol, 20.0 equiv) was added; and (3) tested by ^{19}F NMR 1 h later.

of three peaks also shifted downfield (Figure 2, 2) and were almost identical with the final ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of 1a with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ obtained in the above titration experiments (Figure 1, 4–6). We also tested the NMR again after 1 h. No obvious chemical shift was observed, and a large amount of 1f remained unreacted (Figure 2, 3). These results further confirmed that 1f could coordinate with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in the absence of water.

On the basis of the discovery presented above, we believed that the reaction of phenol with 1a could also occur under our reaction conditions. Indeed, upon treatment of phenols with α aryl α -diazoester 1a in dry DCE in the presence of a catalytic amount of $B(C_6F_5)_3 \cdot nH_2O_7$, the reaction also proceeded smoothly to give ortho-substituted product 3a in 65% yield together with 2a as a minor product. We assumed that water insertion would take place first. After water was consumed, the Friedel-Crafts reaction then occurred. This result also provides good support for bifunctional hydrogen-bonding catalyst of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ proposed by Zhang.¹⁹ Furthermore, classic Brønsted acids were used as catalysts in the reaction of 1a with water. It was found that both HCl and HOTf were less effective than $B(C_6F_5)_3 \cdot nH_2O_7$, indicating hydrogen bonding may have a significant influence on the reaction outcome (Scheme 4).

On the basis of the results presented above and reported literature,^{14a,19} two mechanisms are proposed in Scheme 5. First, diazoester 1a can be protonated by $B(C_6F_5)_3 \cdot nH_2O$ to form intermediate D followed by nucleophilic attack by H_2O to furnish the desired product 2a (path a). On the other hand, we assume that $B(C_6F_5)_3 \cdot nH_2O$ acts as a bifunctional catalyst in the reaction. $B(C_6F_5)_3 \cdot nH_2O$ can protonate 1a to generate activated cationic intermediate D'. Meanwhile, water is pulled closer to 1a via hydrogen bonding and then attacks the carbon





Scheme 5. Plausible Mechanism



cation center of 1a. Finally, the product is formed after the elimination of N₂ and regeneration of catalyst (path b). According to Norton's report and others,²³ no evidence has been reported for a HO–H…F interaction of water and $B(C_6F_5)_3$; thus, path a should be more reliable. However, taking Zhang's report into consideration, path b cannot be excluded at this stage.

In conclusion, we have discovered that $B(C_6F_5)_3 \cdot nH_2O$ catalyzes α -diazoester insertion into the O–H bond in water, affording various α -hydroxyesters under mild and metal-free conditions. Our preliminary mechanistic studies revealed that α -diazoesters can coordinate with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ under anhydrous conditions. However, the catalyst $B(C_6F_5)_3 \cdot nH_2O$ in fact acted as a Brønsted acid in the presence of water. This discovery demonstrates the feasibility of developing a unique approach for $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed reactions under water-tolerated conditions. Further efforts are in progress to understand the mechanism more deeply and its applications in organic synthesis.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.8b01988.

Control experiments, NMR monitoring for mechanistic investigation, experimental details, characterization of new compounds, and NMR spectra (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: xytang@hust.edu.cn.

ORCID 💿

Xiang-Ying Tang: 0000-0003-3959-3715 Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

We are grateful for financial support from Huazhong University of Science and Technology (HUST), Opening fund of Hubei Key Laboratory of Bioinorganic Chemistry & Materia Medica (No. BCMM201805), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2017KFYXJJ166). We also thank Analytical & Testing Center of HUST for HRMS analysis.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Piers, W. E.; Chivers, T. Chem. Soc. Rev. **1997**, 26, 345. (b) Erker, G. A Special Dalton Trans. **2005**, 1883.

(2) For selected recent examples, see: (a) Wang, Q.; Chen, J.; Feng, X.; Du, H. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 1448. (b) Chatterjee, I.; Porwal, D.; Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 3389. (c) Zhang, Z.; Sun, Q.; Xia, C.; Sun, W. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 6316. (d) Ren, X.; Du, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 810. (e) Wang, W.; Feng, X.; Du, H. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 6683. (f) Morozova, V.; Mayer, P.; Berionni, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 14508. (g) Parks, D. J.; Piers, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9440. (h) Mohr, J.; Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13278. (i) Paradies, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3552. (j) Keess, S.; Oestreich, M. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 1898. (k) Chatterjee, I.; Oestreich, M. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2463. (1) Süsse, L.; Hermeke, J.; Oestreich, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 6940. (m) Chatterjee, I.; Qu, Z.-W.; Grimme, S.; Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12158. (n) Gandhamsetty, N.; Park, S.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 15176. (o) Greb, L.; Tussing, S.; Schirmer, B.; Oña-Burgos, P.; Kaupmees, K.; Lõkov, M.; Leito, L.; Grimme, S.; Paradies, J. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 2788.

(3) (a) Zhang, S.; Han, Y.; He, J.; Zhang, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 1377. (b) Han, Y.; Zhang, S.; He, J.; Zhang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 7399. (c) Maier, A. F. G.; Tussing, S.; Schneider, T.; Flörke, U.; Qu, Z.-W.; Grimme, S.; Paradies, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 12219. (d) Kojima, M.; Kanai, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 12224.

(4) (a) Blackwell, J. M.; Foster, K. L.; Beck, V. H.; Piers, W. E. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4887. (b) Blackwell, J. M.; Morrison, D. J.; Piers, V. E. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 8247. (c) Rubin, M.; Schwier, T.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1936. (d) Parks, D. J.; Blackwell, J. M.; Piers, W. E. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3090. (e) Shchepin, R.; Xu, C.; Dussault, P. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4772. (f) Yuan, W.; Orecchia, P.; Oestreich, M. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 10390. For review, see (g) Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 494.

(5) (a) Tussing, S.; Ohland, M.; Wicker, G.; Flörke, U.; Paradies, J. Dalton Trans. 2017, 46, 1539. (b) Mahdi, T.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 11134. (c) Mahdi, T.; Stephan, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12418.

(6) (a) Yin, Q.; Kemper, S.; Klare, H. F. T.; Oestreich, M. Chem. -Eur. J. 2016, 22, 13840. (b) Fleige, M.; Möbus, J.; vom Stein, T.; Glorius, F.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 10830.

(7) (a) Wu, L.; Chitnis, S. S.; Jiao, H.; Annibale, V. T.; Manners, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 16780. (b) Ma, Y.; Zhang, L.; Luo, Y.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 12434. (c) Yin, Q.; Klare, H. F. T.; Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 3712. (d) Bähr, S.; Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 52. (e) Chen, Q.-A.; Klare, H. F. T.; Oestreich, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 7868. (f) Ma, Y.; Wang, B.; Zhang, L.; Hou, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 7868. (f) Ma, Y.; Wang, B.; Zhang, L.; Hou, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 3663. (g) Curless, L. D.; Ingleson, M. J. Organometallics 2014, 33, 7241. (h) Curless, L. D.; Clark, E. R.; Dunsford, J. J.; Ingleson, M. J. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 5270. (i) Greb, L.; Tamke, S.; Paradies, J. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 2318. (8) (a) Matsumoto, K.; Oba, Y.; Nakajima, Y.; Shimada, S.; Sato, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 4637. (b) Kim, D. W.; Joung, S.; Kim, J. G.; Chang, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 14805.

(9) Selected other examples: (a) Li, W.; Werner, T. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 2568. (b) Tamke, S.; Qu, Z.-W.; Sitte, N. A.; Flörke, U.; Grimme,

S.; Paradies, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4336. (c) Reddy, B. V.
S.; Ghanty, S.; Kishore, C.; Sridhar, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 4298. (d) Yang, W.; Gao, L.; Lu, J.; Song, Z. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 4834. (e) Yuan, K.; Wang, S. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 1462. (f) Simonneau, A.; Oestreich, M. Nat. Chem. 2015, 7, 816. (g) Fasano, V.; Radcliffe, J. E.; Ingleson, M. J. Organometallics 2017, 36, 1623. (h) Hansmann, M. M.; Melen, R. L.; Rudolph, M.; Rominger, F.; Wadepohl, H.; Stephan, D. W.; Hashmi, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 15469. (i) Hansmann, M. M.; Melen, R. L.; Rominger, F.; Hashmi, A. S. K.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 777.

(10) For selected reviews, see: (a) Oestreich, M.; Hermeke, J.; Mohr, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 2202. (b) Meng, W.; Feng, X.; Du, H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51, 191. (c) Stephan, D. W.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6400.

(11) (a) Stephan, D. W. Science 2016, 354, 1248. (b) Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 10018. (c) Piers, W. E.; Marwitz, A. J.; Mercier, L. G. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 12252. (d) Stephan, D. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 306.

(12) (a) Bradley, D. C.; Harding, I. S.; Keefe, A. D.; Motevalli, M.; Zheng, D. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1996**, 3931. (b) Ashley, A. E.; Thompson, A. L.; O'Hare, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 9839.

(13) For review, see: Fasano, V.; Ingleson, M. J. Synthesis 2018, 50, 1783.

(14) (a) Shibuya, M.; Okamoto, M.; Fujita, S.; Abe, M.; Yamamoto, Y. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 4189. (b) Dryzhakov, M.; Moran, J. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 3670. (c) Fasano, V.; Radcliffe, J. E.; Ingleson, M. J. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 1793. (d) Tiddens, M. R.; Klein Gebbink, R. J. M.; Otte, M. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 3714. (e) Dryzhakov, M.; Hellal, M.; Wolf, E.; Falk, F. C.; Moran, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 9555. (f) Gyömöre, Á.; Bakos, M.; Földes, T.; Pápai, I.; Domján, A.; Soós, T. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 5366. (g) Scott, D. J.; Simmons, T. R.; Lawrence, E. J.; Wildgoose, G. G.; Fuchter, M. J.; Ashley, A. E. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 5540. (h) Fu, M.-C.; Shang, R.; Cheng, W.-M.; Fu, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9042. (i) Mahdi, T.; Stephan, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 8511. (j) Yang, Z.; Yu, B.; Zhang, H.; Zhao, Y.; Ji, G.; Ma, Z.; Gao, X.; Liu, Z. Green Chem. 2015, 17, 4189. (k) Scott, D. J.; Fuchter, M. J.; Ashley, A. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15813. (1) Mahdi, T.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15809.

(15) For selected reviews and examples, see: (a) Davies, H. M. L.; Morton, D. ACS Cent. Sci. 2017, 3, 936. (b) Ford, A.; Miel, H.; Ring, A.; Slattery, C. N.; Maguire, A. R.; McKervey, M. A. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 9981. (c) Davies, H. M. L.; Lian, Y. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 923. (d) Davies, H. M. L.; Morton, D. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1857. (e) Jia, M.; Ma, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 9134. (f) Tortoreto, C.; Rackl, D.; Davies, H. M. L. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 770. (g) Bedell, A.; Hone, G. A. B.; Valette, D.; Yu, J.-Q.; Davies, H. M. L.; Sorensen, E. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 8270. (h) Liao, K.; Negretti, S.; Musaev, D. G.; Bacsa, J.; Davies, H. M. L. Nature 2016, 533, 230. (i) He, J.; Hamann, L. G.; Davies, H. M. L.; Beckwith, E. J. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 5943. (j) Gao, X.; Wu, B.; Huang, W.-X.; Chen, M.-W.; Zhou, Y.-G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11956. (k) Yu, Z.; Ma, B.; Chen, M.; Wu, H.-H.; Liu, L.; Zhang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 6904. (1) Xi, Y.; Su, Y.; Yu, Z.; Dong, B.; McClain, E. J.; Lan, Y.; Shi, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9817.

(16) For selected reviews and examples, see: (a) Gillingham, D.; Fei, N. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 4918. (b) Zhu, S.-F.; Zhou, Q.-L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 1365. (c) Zhang, Z.; Wang, J. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 6577. (d) Doyle, M. P.; McKervey, M. A.; Ye, T. Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds; Wiley: New York, 1998. (e) Tindall, D. J.; Werlé, C.; Goddard, R.; Philipps, P.; Farès, C.; Fürstner, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 1884. (f) Zeineddine, A.; Rekhroukh, F.; Rekhroukh, F.; Sosa Carrizo, E. D.; Mallet-Ladeira, S.; Miqueu, K.; Amgoune, A.; Bourissou, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 1306. (g) Xiao, G.; Ma, C.; Wu, X.; Xing, D.; Hu, W. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 4786. (h) Kang, Z.; Zhang, D.; Hu, W. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 3783. (i) Arredondo, V.; Hiew, S. C.; Gutman, E. S.; Premachandra, I. D. U. A.; Van Vranken, D. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 4156. (j) Tseberlidis, G.; Caselli, A.; Vicente, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 2017, 835, 1. (k) Keipour, H.; Jalba, A.; Delage-Laurin, L.; Ollevier, T. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 3000. (l) Gray, E. E.; Nielsen, M. K.; Choquette, K. A.; Kalow, J. A.; Graham, T. J. A.; Doyle, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 10802. (m) Chen, D.; Zhu, D.-X.; Xu, M.-H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 1498. (n) Luo, X.; Chen, G.; He, L.; Huang, X. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 2943. (o) Nicolle, S. M.; Lewis, W.; Hayes, C. J.; Moody, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 3749. (p) Xu, X.; Li, C.; Tao, Z.; Pan, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 3341. (q) Chan, K.-H.; Guan, X.; Lo, V. K.-Y.; Che, C.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2982. (r) Xie, X.-L.; Zhu, S.-F.; Guo, J.-X.; Cai, Y.; Zhou, Q.-L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2978. (s) Fisher, T. J.; Mattson, A. E. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5316.

(17) (a) Pereira, A.; Champouret, Y.; Martín, C.; Álvarez, E.; Etienne, M.; Belderraín, T. R.; Pérez, P. J. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 9769. (b) Zhou, L.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2011, 7, 631. (c) Zhu, S.-F.; Cai, Y.; Mao, H.-X.; Xie, J.-H.; Zhou, Q.-L. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 546. (d) Liang, Y.; Zhou, H.; Yu, Z.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17783. (e) Bulugahapitiya, P.; Landais, Y.; Parra-Rapado, L.; Planchenault, D.; Weber, V. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1630.

(18) Yang, J.-M.; Zhu, C.-Z.; Tang, X.-Y.; Shi, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2014, 53, 5142.

(19) Yu, Z.; Li, Y.; Shi, J.; Ma, B.; Liu, L.; Zhang, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 14807.

(20) (a) Chan, J. Z.; Yao, W.; Hastings, B. T.; Lok, C. K.; Wasa, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 13877. (b) Shang, M.; Wang, X.; Koo, S. M.; Youn, J.; Chan, J. Z.; Yao, W.; Hastings, B. T.; Wasa, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 95. (c) Shang, M.; Cao, M.; Wang, Q.; Wasa, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 13338.

(21) In Shibuya's report, as H_2O was consumed in the titration experiments, anhydrous $B(C_6F_5)_3$ was observed, see ref 14a. However, as H_2O was consumed, the signals of anhydrous $B(C_6F_5)_3$ did not appear in our case.

(22) For details, see $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR in Figure S2 in the Supporting Information.

(23) (a) Bergquist, C.; Bridgewater, B. M.; Harlan, C. J.; Norton, J. R.; Friesner, R. A.; Parkin, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10581.
(b) Beringhelli, T.; Maggioni, D.; D'Alfonso, G. Organometallics 2001, 20, 4927. (c) Danopoulos, A. A.; Galsworthy, J. R.; Green, M. L. H.; Doerrer, L. H.; Cafferkey, S.; Hursthouse, M. B. Chem. Commun. 1998, 2529. (d) Janiak, C.; Braun, L.; Scharmann, T. G.; Girgsdies, F. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1998, c54, 1722.