ChemComm

This article is part of the

New advances in catalytic C–C bond formation via late transition metals web themed issue

Guest editor: Professor Michael Krische

All articles in this issue will be gathered together online at <u>www.rsc.org/catalytic_CC</u>.



Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 10257-10259

COMMUNICATION

Regiocontrolled aerobic oxidative coupling of indoles and benzene using Pd catalysts with 4,5-diazafluorene ligands[†][‡]

Alison N. Campbell, Eric B. Meyer and Shannon S. Stahl*

Received 18th June 2011, Accepted 25th July 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c1cc13632a

Palladium-catalyzed aerobic oxidative cross-couplings of indoles and benzene have been achieved by using 4,5-diazafluorene derivatives as ancillary ligands. Proper choice of the neutral and anionic ligands enables control over the reaction regioselectivity.

Biaryls are an important structural motif in diverse classes of organic molecules, including pharmaceuticals, organic dyes, agrochemicals and conducting polymers.¹ In recent years, considerable attention has focused on the development of methods for arylation of arene C-H bonds.² The direct oxidative coupling of two arenes (eqn (1)) is among the most attractive synthetic routes to biaryls,^{2h,3} but such methods face numerous intrinsic challenges, including controlling the regioselectivity in the functionalization of substituted (hetero)arenes, achieving selective cross- vs. homocoupling of the substrates, and identification of an atom-economical oxidant for the reaction. Here, we show that use of the diazafluorene derivatives, 4,5-diazafluoren-9-one (1) and 9,9-dimethyl-4,5-diazafluorene (2), as ancillary ligands for a Pd^{II} catalyst enables aerobic oxidative cross-coupling of indoles with benzene, with selectivity for C2 and C3 indole-arylation products.



The majority of Pd-catalyzed methods for oxidative crosscoupling of (hetero)arenes employ stoichiometric organic or transition-metal oxidants, such as benzoquinone (BQ), Ag^{I} , or Cu^{II} .³ Replacement of these oxidants with O₂ represents a significant fundamental challenge that has important implications for practical applications of these methods;^{4,5} however, only limited success has been achieved thus far.⁶ Several observations suggest that the role of the oxidant in these reactions is not limited to reoxidation of Pd^{0,7} For example, oxidants have been shown to promote C–C reductive elimination from Pd^{II 8} and to control the regioselectivity of oxidative cross-coupling reactions.^{3a–d,9} In 2007, Fagnou and co-workers reported Pd-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling of indoles with benzene in which they demonstrated selective functionalization of the indole C3 position when Cu(OAc)₂ was used as the oxidant,^{3a} but C2 selectivity with AgOAc as the oxidant (Scheme 1A).^{3b} These observations provide the foundation for the present investigation.

We demonstrated recently that the diazafluorenone ligand **1** promotes acetoxylation of π -allyl-Pd^{II} species, enabling benzoquinone to be replaced by O₂ as the oxidant in Pd-catalyzed allylic C–H acetoxylation reactions.¹⁰ This result prompted us to consider whether analogous ligand-based strategies could be used to achieve oxidative cross-coupling of (hetero)arenes with O₂ as the oxidant, preferably with control over the reaction regioselectivity (Scheme 1B).

In the present study, we focused our attention on the oxidative coupling of indoles and benzene.§ A number of different nitrogen ligands, including pyridine, phenanthroline and related derivatives were evaluated in reaction of *N*-pivalyl indole with benzene. The reactions were carried out with 5 mol% of a Pd^{II} source at 120 °C under 1 atm of O₂. Benzene served as the substrate and as a cosolvent with pivalic acid (Table 1). Reactions with pyridine and 2-fluoropyridine¹¹ exhibited modest yields (~20–30%), and a





B) Target: Catalyst-Controlled Regioselectivity with O₂ as the Oxidant (This work)



Scheme 1 Regioselective oxidative coupling.

characterized compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/c1cc13632a

Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin—Madison, 1101 University Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, U.S.A. E-mail: stahl@chem.wisc.edu; Fax: 1 608 262 6143; Tel: 1 608 265 6288

 [†] This article is part of the *ChemComm* 'Advances in catalytic C–C bond formation via late transition metals' web themed issue.
 ‡ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Reaction optimization details, characterization data and NMR spectra of

Fiv +	$ \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} 5\% \ \text{Pd}(\text{TFA})_2 \\ 5\% \ \text{Ligand} \\ \hline C_6 H_6/\text{PivOH} \ (10 \\ \hline 1 \ \text{atm} \ O_2 \\ 120 \ ^\circ \text{C}, \ 18 \ \text{h} \end{array} } } $	$\stackrel{2}{\longrightarrow} \underbrace{\bigvee}_{\text{Piv}} \underbrace{\bigvee} \underbrace{\bigvee}_{\text{Piv}} \underbrace{\bigvee} \underbrace{\bigvee}_{\text{Piv}} \underbrace{\bigvee}_{Pi$) + (
Ligand	$\begin{array}{c} \text{C2:C3}\\ \text{Yield}^b \text{ Selectivity}^c \end{array}$	Ligand	Yield ^b	C2:C3 Selectivity ^c
None	15% 1:1		10%	1:1
	22% 1:1 18% ^d 1.6:1 ^d		0%	
€ N F	$24\% 1:1.4 29\%^d 1.9:1^d$		14% 14%	1:2.5 1:1.3
NO ₂	31% 2.4:1 47% ^d 2.9:1 ^d	Me Me	9%	1:2
$\langle N_{\rm N} - \langle N_{\rm N} \rangle$	0% — 9% ^e 1:1 ^e		0%	_
Me Me	0% —		45% 34% ^e	1 : 1.7 1 : 1.3 ^e
F F	$\frac{15\%}{32\%^{e}} \frac{1:2}{1:1.7^{e}}$		66% 41% ^e	1:3.8 1:3.1 ^e

 Table 1
 Identification of a ligand for palladium-catalyzed aerobic oxidative cross-coupling^a

^{*a*} Conditions: 5% Pd(TFA)₂ (7.5 μmol), 5% ligand (7.5 μmol), indole (0.15 mmol), PivOH (0.90 mmol), benzene (9.9 mmol), 1 atm O₂, 120 °C, 18 h. ^{*b*} By ¹H NMR (internal standard = tetrachloroethane). ^{*c*} Determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*d*} 10% ligand. ^{*e*} 2.5% ligand.

better yield was obtained with 3-nitropyridine (47%). Reactions with bipyridine, phenanthroline and related bidentate ligands showed little product formation. Use of diazafluorene derivative **2** gave the best result, with a 66% product yield."

The reaction was further optimized by examining the effect of indole *N*-substituent and the acid additive on the yield and regioselectivity (Table 2). The highest product yields and regioselectivities were obtained with the *N*-benzenesulfonyl (SO₂Ph) group and propionic acid (Table 2, entry 4). More extensive screening data is provided in the ESI. \ddagger

Several different Pd^{II} sources were examined in the course of these studies, and the identity of the anionic ligand was found to have a strong effect on the reaction regioselectivity. These observations resemble results noted recently by Sanford *et al.* in BQ-promoted oxidative coupling reactions.^{8d} Tri-fluoroacetate favors the formation of the C3-functionalized product, while pivalate in combination with **2** led to almost no regioselectivity (Table 2, entry 6). When $Pd(OPiv)_2$ was used with the diazafluorenone ligand **1**, a switch in regioselectivity was observed, favoring the C2-functionalized product (Table 2, entry 9). The addition of CsOPiv (20 mol%) to the standard reaction mixture led to a similar increase in the formation of C2-arylated products.¹² The yield of biphenyl was not rigorously quantified in each case, but it was generally $\leq 20\%$.

Table 2 Identification of optimized conditions for palladium-
catalyzed oxidative coupling of indoles with benzene a

	N Z	+	5% Pd 5% Ligand C ₆ H ₆ /Acid (10:1) 1 atm O ₂ 120 °C, 18 h) + 💭	NZ ZN
Entry	Z	Acid	Catalyst	Ligand	Yield ^b	C2:C3	Selectivity ^c
1	Piv	PivOH	Pd(TFA) ₂	2	66%	1:3.8	
2	Ac	PivOH	$Pd(TFA)_2$	2	67%	1:1.2	
3	SO_2Ph	PivOH	Pd(TFA) ₂	2	77%	1:5	
4	SO ₂ Ph	EtCO ₂ H	Pd(TFA) ₂	2	89%	1:5.8	
5	SO_2Ph	$EtCO_2H$	Pd(OAc) ₂	2	63%	1:2.2	
6	SO_2Ph	EtCO ₂ H	Pd(OPiv) ₂	2	68%	1:1.5	
7	SO_2Ph	$EtCO_2H$	Pd(TFA) ₂	1	64%	1:4.8	
8	SO_2Ph	$EtCO_2H$	Pd(OAc) ₂	1	85%	1.4:1	
9	SO ₂ Ph	EtCO ₂ H	Pd(Opiv) ₂	1	80%	2:1	
^a Con	ditions:	5% Pd	(7.5 µmol)	, 5% 1	igand	(7.5 μm	nol), indole
(0.15	mmol),	acid (0.9	9 mmol),	benzene	(9.9 r	nmol),	1 atm O ₂ ,
120 °C, 18 h. ^{<i>b</i>} By ¹ H NMR (internal standard = tetrachloroethane).							
^c Determined by ¹ H NMR analysis.							

These observations demonstrate that regioselective aerobic oxidative arylation of indoles can be achieved by proper selection of the anionic and neutral ligands for the Pd catalyst. Catalyst system A, with Pd(TFA)₂ and ligand 2, favors the C3-functionalized product; and catalyst system B, with Pd(OPiv)₂ and 1, favors the C2-functionalized product. These insights were then applied to a small series of other indole substrates (Table 3). The N-pivalyl indole gave superior selectivity under the conditions optimized for the C2 product (entry 1) while the N-benzenesulfonyl indole showed higher regioselectivity under the C3 optimized conditions (entry 2). The 5- and 6-substituted chloro and methoxy derivatives were used to probe the influence of electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups on the reaction outcome. Neither substituent had a significant impact on the yields, but the regioselectivity varied (Table 3, entries 3-6). With the $Pd(TFA)_2/2$ catalyst system (A) the presence of an electrondonating or -withdrawing group in either position led to a deterioration of the regioselectivity. However, with the Pd(OPiv)2/1 catalyst system (B), the regioselectivity was improved by the presence of an electron-withdrawing group in the 5- or 6-positions.

Overall, these observations establish that diazafluorenederived ancillary ligands enable the replacement of stoichiometric transition metals with O_2 as the oxidant in Pd-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling of (hetero)arenes. Moreover, optimization of the neutral and anionic ligands permits control over the regioselectivity of the reactions.

The mechanistic basis for these results is not yet understood, but at least two general mechanisms can be considered.¹³ One involves sequential activation of the indole and benzene at a single Pd^{II} center, followed by reductive elimination of the product and reoxidation of Pd^0 by O₂. An alternative pathway involves activation of indole and benzene at independent Pd^{II} centers, followed by transmetalation to afford the Pd^{II} (phenyl) (indolyl) intermediate that undergoes reductive elimination of the product. Distinguishing between these possibilities and exploring the basis for the reaction regioselectivity will be an important focus of future work.

Table 3 Oxidative cross-coupling of indoles with simple arenes^a

ĺ	$\sum_{Z} + \sum_{Z} \frac{c_{6}h}{1}$	5% Pd 5% Ligand H ₆ /Acid (10:1) 1 atm O ₂ 20 °C, 24 h	T_N_C) + () +
Entry	Indole	Catalyst	Yield ^b	C2:C3 Selectivity
1	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	A B	52% 66%	1:4.4 4.8:1
2	N SO ₂ Ph	A B	66% 76%	1:5.8 2:1
	CI N SO ₂ Ph	A B	71% 68%	1:1.3 5:1
4	CI N SO ₂ Ph	A B	71% 66%	1.4:1 3.7:1
5	MeO	A B	54% 71%	1:3.9 2.3:1
6	MeO NSO ₂ Ph	A B	70% 65%	1:1.3 2.6:1

^{*a*} Condition A: 5% Pd(TFA)₂ (30 μ mol), 5% **2** (30 μ mol), indole (0.60 mmol), EtCO₂H (3.6 mmol), benzene (39.6 mmol), 1 atm O₂, 120 °C, 24 h. Condition B: 5% Pd(OPiv)₂ (30 μ mol), 5% **1** (30 μ mol), indole (0.60 mmol, EtCO₂H (3.6 mmol), benzene (39.6 mmol), 1 atm O₂, 120 °C, 24 h. ^{*b*} Isolated as a mixture of C2 and C3 regioisomers. ^{*c*} Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the reaction mixture.

A few preliminary mechanistic observations can be noted. When reactions with catalysts A and B were carried out with a 1 : 1 mixture of C_6H_6 and C_6D_6 , deuterium kinetic isotope effects varied from 2.8-3.8, depending upon the specific reaction.¹² This primary KIE is consistent with a concerted metalationdeprotonation pathway for C-H activation that has been characterized previously in related reactions.¹⁴ When the reaction was carried out with CD₃CO₂D as the acid additive, extensive deuterium incorporation was observed into the benzene and the indole substrates. Deuteration of the indole ring occurred primarily at the C3-position, irrespective of the catalyst system, even though catalyst B leads to predominant C2-functionalization. Negligible deuteration occurs in the absence of Pd^{II} under these conditions.¹² These results, which indicate that C-H activation is reversible for both substrates under the reaction conditions, potentially provide circumstantial support for a transmetalation mechanism, but more work is required before a conclusion can be reached. The relative simplicity of the catalyst systems should facilitate such mechanistic investigations.

We thank Paul White (UW-Madison) for experimental assistance, and we are grateful to the NIH (R01-GM67163/ SSS; F32-GM087890/ANC) and the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Postdoctoral Program in Environmental Chemistry for financial support of this work. High-pressure instrumentation was supported by the NSF (CHE-0946901).

Notes and references

General procedure for catalytic reactions: A pressure tube fitted with a plunger valve was charged with Pd (0.030 mmol), ligand

(0.030 mmol), indole (0.60 mmol), EtCO₂H (270 μ L, 3.6 mmol) and arene (39.6 mmol). The tube was evacuated and backfilled with O₂ (3x), sealed and heated to 120 °C for 24 h with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature, diluted with EtOAc and washed with sat'd. NaHCO₃. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer washed with EtOAc (2x). The combined organics were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated by rotatory evaporation. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (Et₂O in hexanes) to yield the cross-coupled product as a mixture of two isomers.

- 1 I. Cepanec, Synthesis of Biaryls, Elsevier, New York, 2004.
- 2 For relevant reviews, see: (a) F. Kakiuchi and T. Kochi, Synthesis, 2008, 2008, 3013; (b) B.-J. Li, S.-D. Yang and Z.-J. Shi, Synlett., 2008, 949; (c) X. Chen, K. M. Engle, D. H. Wang and J. Q. Yu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 5094; (d) T. W. Lyons and M. S. Sanford, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 1147; (e) A. Lei, W. Liu, C. Liu and M. Chen, Dalton Trans., 2010, 39, 10352; (f) S. L. You and J. B. Xia, Top. Curr. Chem., 2010, 292, 165; (g) C. Liu, H. Zhang, W. Shi and A. Lei, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1780; (h) C. S. Yeung and V. M. Dong, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1215.
- 3 For examples of Pd-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling, see ref. 2h and references therein, and: (a) D. R. Stuart and K. Fagnou, Science, 2007, **316**, 1172; (b) D. R. Stuart, E. Villemure and K. Fagnou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, **129**, 12072; (c) S. Potavathri, A. S. Dumas, T. A. Dwight, G. R. Naumiec, J. M. Hammann and B. DeBoef, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, **49**, 4050; (d) S. Potavathri, K. C. Pereira, S. I. Gorelsky, A. Pike, A. P. LeBris and B. DeBoef, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, **132**, 14676; (e) X. Gong, G. Song, H. Zhang and X. Li, Org. Lett., 2011, **13**, 1766; (f) Z. Wang, K. Li, D. Zhao, J. Lan and J. You, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, **50**, 5365.
- 4 For reviews of Pd-catalyzed oxidation reactions that employ O₂ as the sole oxidant, see: (a) S. S. Stahl, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, 43, 3400; (b) K. M. Gligorich and M. S. Sigman, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 3854 and references therein.
- 5 Prospects for safe and scalable Pd-catalyzed aerobic oxidation methods were considered in the recent development of a continuousflow process: X. Ye, M. D. Johnson, T. Diao, M. H. Yates and S. S. Stahl, *Green Chem.*, 2010, **12**, 1180.
- 6 Pd-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling that use O₂ as the terminal oxidant: (a) T. A. Dwight, N. R. Rue, D. Charyk, R. Josselyn and B. DeBoef, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 3137; (b) B. H. Li, S. L. Tian, Z. Fang and Z. H. Shi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 1115; (c) G. Brasche, J. Garcia-Fortanet and S. L. Buchwald, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 2207.
- 7 For studies of Pd(0) oxidation by O₂, see: (a) J. Muzart, *Chem.–Asian J.*, 2006, **1**, 508; (b) S. S. Stahl, J. L. Thorman, R. C. Nelson and M. A. Kozee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 7188; (c) M. M. Konnick, I. A. Guzei and S. S. Stahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 10212; (d) M. M. Konnick and S. S. Stahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 5753; (e) B. V. Popp and S. S. Stahl, *Chem.–Eur.J.*, 2009, **15**, 2915.
- 8 Oxidatively-induced reductive elimination from Pd^{II} has extensive precedent. For recent examples relevant to catalytic oxidative coupling reactions, see the following and references cited therein: (a) X. Chen, C. E. Goodhue and J. Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 12634; (b) M. P. Lanci, M. S. Remy, W. Kaminsky, J. M. Mayer and M. S. Sanford, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 15618; (c) J. R. Khusnutdinova, N. P. Rath and L. M. Mirica, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 7303–7305; (d) T. W. Lyons, K. L. Hull and M. S. Sanford, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 4455.
- 9 N. P. Grimster, C. Gauntlett, C. R. A. Godfrey and M. J. Gaunt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 3125.
- 10 A. N. Campbell, P. B. White, I. A. Guzei and S. S. Stahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 15116.
- 11 Y. Izawa and S. S. Stahl, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2010, 352, 3223.
- 12 See the ESI for additional details‡.
- 13 The mechanism of these reactions has received relatively little attention. For a recent computational study, see: R. Meir, S. Kozuch, A. Uhe and S. Shaik, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 7623.
- 14 (a) S. I. Gorelsky, D. Lapointe and K. Fagnou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 10848–10849; (b) L. Ackermann, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1315.