

Organic Semiconductors |Hot Paper|

Spirobifluorene Regioisomerism: A Structure–Property **Relationship Study**

Lambert Sicard,^[a] Cassandre Quinton,^[a] Jean-David Peltier,^[a] Denis Tondelier,^[b] Bernard Geffroy,^[b, c] Urelle Biapo,^[b] Rémi Métivier,^[d] Olivier Jeannin,^[a] Joëlle Rault-Berthelot,^[a] and Cyril Poriel*^[a]

Abstract: The present works report the first structure-property relationship study of a key class of organic semiconductors, that is, the four spirobifluorene positional isomers possessing a para-, meta- or ortho-linkage. The remarkable and surprising impact of the ring bridging and of the linkages on the electronic properties of the regioisomers has been particularly highlighted and rationalised. The impact of the ring bridging on the photophysical properties has been stressed

Introduction

Regioisomerism, also called positional isomerism, is an important concept in organic chemistry that can have remarkable consequences on the properties of molecules.^[1] Indeed, a simple structural modification can drastically influence the electronic and physical properties of an organic semi-conductor (OSC), which in turn strongly modifies the performance and stability of the corresponding electronic device. Although very promising, this concept remains barely used in optoelectronics. Recently, our groups have reported the use of regioisomerism to finely tune the singlet and triplet energies of dihydroindenofluorenes, leading to highly efficient optoelectronic devices.^[1,2] Similarly, Haley and co-workers have shown the impact of the regioisomerism on the electronic properties of a promising family of antiaromatic indenofluorene derivatives.^[3,4] Spiroconfigured compounds constitute one of the most important

[a] L. Sicard, Dr. C. Quinton, J.-D. Peltier, Dr. O. Jeannin, Dr. J. Rault-Berthelot, Dr. C. Poriel UMR CNRS 6226- Université Rennes 1 35042 Rennes (France) E-mail: cyril.poriel@univ-rennes1.fr [b] Dr. D. Tondelier, B. Geffroy, U. Biapo LPICM, CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique, Université Paris Saclay 91128. Palaiseau (France) [c] B. Geffrov LICSEN, NIMBE, CEA, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay CEA Saclay 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex (France) [d] Dr. R. Métivier UMR CNRS 8531-PPSM, ENS Cachan 94235 Cachan (France) Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201700570. Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 1-10 Wiley Online Library

with notably the different influence of the linkages and the bridge on the singlet and triplet excited states. The first member of a new family of spirobifluorenes substituted in the 1-position, which presents better performance in blue phosphorescent OLEDs than those of its regioisomers, is reported. These features highlight not only the great potential of 1-substituted spirobifluorenes, but also the remarkable impact of regioisomerism on electronic properties.

class of OSCs. Indeed, since the discovery of the "spiro concept", the 9,9'-spirobifluorene (SBF) has become a central molecular scaffold in organic electronics.^[5-9] The 2-substituted SBFs are, in this context, the most developed class of SBFbased polymers and oligomers. The para-linkage between the pendant substituent in the 2-position and the constituted phenyl ring of the fluorene ensures a good delocalisation of π electrons, essential to develop efficient fluorophores. However, in recent years, the growing necessity to design efficient host materials for blue phosphorescent organic light-emitting diodes (PhOLEDs)^[10,11] has led to a huge demand of new generations of SBF-based materials with wide energy gap (ca. 4 eV) and hence a restricted π -conjugation. Indeed, in order to obtain a high triplet energy $(E_{\rm T})$, a key feature in the design of host materials for blue PhOLEDs, which are still the weakest link of this technology, it is mandatory to restrict the π -electrons delocalisation within the OSC. This π -conjugation disruption has been successfully investigated with ortho-linked SBFs (substitution in the 4-position)^[12-16] and meta-linked SBFs (substitution in the 3-position),^[2, 17-20] leading to high performance blue PhOLEDs. However, despite the recent very high efficiency devices obtained by Jiang et al.^[17] and by our groups,^[15] only few examples of 3- and 4-substituted SBFs have been described to date and more importantly no rational structureproperty relationship studies have been reported on SBF regioisomerism. Such studies are nevertheless the foundation of materials design for electronics. Thus, the combination of steric hindrance (ortho-position) and electronic decoupling (meta-position) found in 1-substituted SBF, has never been studied and could nevertheless be the best way to obtain high E_{T} OSCs based on the SBF scaffold. Hence, we report herein not only the first example of a 1-substituted SBF, namely 1phenyl-SBF 1 (Scheme 1), but also a detailed study describing

These are not the final page numbers! 77

1



Scheme 1. SBF and the four positional isomers of phenyl substituted SBFs.

the impact of SBF regioisomerism on the electronic properties and device efficiency.

This work reports the first structure–property relationship study of the four SBF positional isomers (substituted with a phenyl ring) namely 2-phenyl-SBF **2** possessing a *para*-link-age,^[21] 1-phenyl-SBF **1** and 3-phenyl-SBF **3** both possessing a *meta*-linkage and 4-phenyl-SBF **4** possessing an *ortho*-link-

age,^[22] Scheme 1. Thanks to a comparison with the structurally fluorene analogues, this work provides a foundation on the impact of SBF regioisomerism on the electronic properties. This study notably shows the surprising consequences of the ring bridging on the π -conjugation and the different influence of the linkages and the bridge on the singlet and triplet excited states. This approach has allowed the design of the first member (1) of a new family of OSCs, which possesses a very high E_{T} (2.86 eV), one of the highest reported for SBF-based materials. As a first electronic application, 1 has been used as a host in blue PhOLEDs with higher performance than those using its regioisomers, highlighting the great potential of this family of OSCs.

Results and Discussions

The synthesis of **2** and **4** has been previously described in literature,^[21,22] that of **1** and **3** is described in Scheme 2. The synthesis of **1** (Scheme 2, top) starts with the synthesis of the key fluorenone **c1**, substituted in the 1-position with an iodine



Scheme 2. Synthetic routes to 1 and 3.

Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 1 – 10 www.chemeurj.org

2

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

N These are not the final page numbers!



atom. First, the Suzuki-Myaura cross-coupling between 3-bromoaniline and [2-(ethoxycarbonyl)phenyl]boronic acid in the presence of $[Pd(dppf)Cl_2]$ (dppf=1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene) as catalyst and potassium carbonate as base provides the corresponding biphenyl a1 bearing a carboxylate and an amine function (yield 41%). The electrophilic intramolecular cyclisation of the ester a1 was then performed in methanesulfonic acid at high temperature (130°C), providing the fluorenone **b1** substituted in the 1-position (43% yield). We note that the reaction also provides the fluorenone substituted in the 3-position. The regioselectivity has not been studied in detail herein, but preliminary works seem to show that the temperature and the nature of the solvent have an important effect, as previously reported in literature for similar aromatic electrophilic substitutions.^[23,24] The substitution of the amine by an iodine atom is then performed through a Sandmeyer reaction on fluorenone b1 providing the 1-iodofluorenone c1 with 75% yield. We note that compound c1 reported herein widens the scope of substituted fluorenone isomers as key building blocks for the synthesis of spiro derivatives for organic electronics.^[14,25-27] Pd-catalysed cross-coupling ([Pd(dba)₂] (dba = dibenzylideneacetone), PCy₃, KF, DMF, 130 $^{\circ}$ C) between c1 and phenylboronic acid then gives the 1-phenylfluorenone d1 with a high yield of 85%. Thus, despite a sterically hindered environment, incorporation of pendant substituents in the 1position through Pd-catalysed cross-coupling is an interesting strategy to obtain 1-substituted fluorenone derivatives. Finally, the synthesis of 1 was then carried out through a classical two-step procedure. The lithium-iodine exchange of 2-iodobiphenyl with *n*-butyllithium at low temperature, followed by addition of fluorenone **d1** afforded the corresponding fluorenol (not isolated) and further involved in an intramolecular cyclisation reaction (AcOH/HCI) to provide the expected 1-phenyl spirobifluorene 1 with an overall yield of 38% over the two steps. This synthetic strategy is straightforward and provides to the best of our knowledge the first example of a 1-substituted SBF for organic electronics.

The synthesis of **3** (Scheme 2, bottom) follows a similar strategy involving the synthesis of the 3-bromofluorenone **c3** as key fragment. Thus, (2-aminophenyl)(4-bromophenyl)methanone, possessing an amine function in α -position of the ketone, was first diazotised by conventional means and then reacted in situ to form a carbon–carbon bond leading to the corresponding fluorenone backbone.^[28] 3-Bromofluorenone **c3** is thus obtained with 55 % yield. Suzuki–Myaura cross-coupling between **c3** and phenylboronoic acid ([Pd(dppf)Cl₂], K₂CO₃, DMF, 150 °C) leads to the formation of 3-phenylfluorenone **d3** with a high yield of 89%. Finally, following the same sequence described above, the 3-phenyl-substituted SBF **3** is obtained with a very high yield of 90% (2 steps).

The structural arrangement of compunds **1–4** obtained by X-ray diffraction are depicted in Figure 1. The most important structural feature is the relative position of the pendant phenyl ring with respect to the fluorene. Compound **2** presents a dihedral angle between the mean plane of the pendant phenyl ring and that of its attached phenyl ring of the fluorene of 37.4° (Figure 1, top right). This angle, characteristic of a non-





Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of 1-4 (ellipsoid probability at 50% level).

encumbered phenyl/fluorene para-linkage,^[29] maximises the conjugation between the two fragments. In 3, the meta-linkage leads to an even smaller dihedral angle of 34.2° between the fluorene and its attached phenyl ring (Figure 1, bottom right). In 4, the presence of the pendant phenyl ring in orthoposition of the biphenyl linkage leads to an impressive enhancement of the dihedral angle, recorded at 51.2° (Figure 1, bottom left).^[21] This structural feature, assigned to the steric interaction between the hydrogen atoms in the ortho-position of the pendant phenyl ring and that of the fluorenyl core is at the origin of the partial π -conjugation breaking of 4-substituted SBFs.^[22] In 1, this dihedral angle is larger, reaching 75.4° (Figure 1, top left) for one molecule and 66.7° for the other (2 molecules are indeed present in the asymmetric unit, see Supporting Information). This structural particularity is the consequence of the substitution in ortho-position of the spiro carbon, which leads to a sterically hindered environment due to the presence of the cofacial fluorene. Indeed, very short C-C distances are measured between carbon atoms of the nonsubstituted fluorene and those of the pendant phenyl ring (3.25 and 3.31 Å for one molecule and 3.20, 3.29 and 3.33 Å for the other molecule, see Supporting Information). These C-C distances are shorter than the sum of their van der Waals radii (3.4 Å) and translate strong interactions between the two cofacial fragments, as confirmed by the electrostatic potential surface obtained by molecular modelling (see Supporting Information). Thus, in the four SBF isomers, the position of the phenyl ring leads to different steric hindrances with the substituted or the non-substituted fluorene. This structural feature will be one of the key parameters involved in the electronic properties (see below). The electronic properties of compounds 1-4 are given in Table 1.

The UV/Vis absorption spectra of the SBF regioisomers are presented Figure 2, top left and time-dependant density functional theory (TD-DFT, Figure 3) calculations have been performed using the B3LYP functional and the extended 6-311 + G(d,p) basis set on the optimised geometry of S₀ (B3LYP/6-31G(d). The unsubstituted **SBF** exhibits two characteristic

```
Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 1–10 www.chemeurj.org
```

These are not the final page numbers! **77**



Table 1. Electron	nic data of 1–4 and SBF.					
		1	2	3	4	SBF
λ_{abs} [nm] (ε [×10 ⁴ Lmol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹]) ^[a]		298 (0.98)	296 (2.44) 308 (2.31)	297 (0.92) 310 (1.49)	297 (1.07)	297 (0.72)
		309 (1.66)	319 (1.60)	316 (0.64)	309 (1.49)	308 (1.45)
$\lambda_{\rm em}^{[a]}$ [nm]		313, 323	334, 350	332, 343	359	310, 323
$\begin{array}{l} { m QY}^{[a]} & \ { m ${ au}_{f}$ [ns]^{[a]}$} & \ { m ${ extstyle k}_{r}$ (x + 10^8) [s^{-1}]$} \end{array}$		0.61	0.87	0.74	0.42	0.40
		5.16	1.56	5.74	4.20	4.60
		1.22	5.60	1.29	1.00	0.87
$k_{\rm nr} \ (\times 10^8) \ [{\rm s}^{-1}]$		0.72	0.83	0.45	1.40	1.30
HOMO [eV]	electrochemical ^[b]	-5.94	-5.86	-5.94	-5.95	-5.95
	calcd ^[d]	-6.00	-5.90	-5.97	-6.02	-6.03
LUMO [eV]	electrochemical ^[b]	-1.73	-1.99	-1.77	-1.87	-1.74
	calcd ^[d]	-1.32	-1.55	-1.34	-1.38	-1.30
Δ <i>Ε</i> [eV]	optical ^[c]	3.95	3.70	3.78	3.82	3.97
	electrochemical ^[b]	4.21	3.87	4.17	4.08	4.21
<i>E</i> _T [eV]	optical ^[e]	2.86	2.56	2.83	2.78	2.88
	calcd ^[f]	2.65	2.38	2.64	2.57	2.67
$\tau_{\rm p} \ [{\rm s}]^{\rm [e]}$		5.8	3.3	5.4	4.7	5.3

[a] In cyclohexane. [b] From CVs. [c] From UV/Vis spectra. [d] From DFT calculations. [e] In 2-Me-THF. [f] From TD-DFT calculations.



Figure 2. Top: Absorption in cyclohexane of 1–4 and SBF (left) and 1F–4F and F (right). Bottom: Emission at room temperature (cyclohexane, left) and at 77 K (2-Me-THF, right) of 1–4 and SBF.

4

bands at 297 and 308 nm corresponding to π - π * transitions (See TD-DFT calculations in SI).^[22] The four phenyl-substituted SBF isomers all display these two thin bands (Figure 2) at a similar wavelength. In addition to these bands, **2** displays an extra

and large band centred at 319 nm. This band clearly signs an extension of the π -conjugation from fluorene in **SBF** to phenyl-fluorene in **2** and is assigned to an HOMO \rightarrow LUMO transition (with both orbitals centred on the phenyl-fluorene

www.chemeurj.org

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH&Co. KGaA, Weinheim

FF These are not the final page numbers!





Figure 3. Representation of the energy levels and the main molecular orbitals involved in the electronic transitions of 1 (top left), 2 (top right), 3 (bottom left) and 4 (bottom right) obtained by TD-DFT B3LYP/6–311 + G(d,p), shown with an isovalue of 0.04 [e bohr⁻³]^{1/2}.

fragment), possessing a high oscillator strength (f=0.59, Figure 3, top right). This extension of conjugation is due to the combination of two parameters: the para-linkage and the small dihedral angle (Figure 1) formed between the phenyl and the fluorene. Instead of this large band at 319 nm, the spectrum of 4 only presents a weak tail between 309 and 325 nm assigned to an HOMO→LUMO transition with a weak oscillator strength (f=0.11, Figure 3, bottom right). The presence of this tail reflects a certain degree of π -conjugation between the fluorene moiety and the phenyl ring, induced by the *ortho*-linkage. There is however a strong π -conjugation disruption in 4 due to the large angle formed between the fluorene and the phenyl in C4. Indeed, the calculated electronic density accompanying this first electronic excitation shows that this pendant phenyl ring is involved with a weak contribution (see Supporting Information). The meta-linkage found in both 1 and 3 leads to very different results. Indeed, in 3, we note the presence of a large band at 316 nm, very similar to that observed for 2, and assigned in the light of TD-DFT to a transition possessing two major contributions, HOMO \rightarrow LUMO and HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+2 (f=0.15, Figure 3, bottom-left). This band at 316 nm translates a clear extension of the π -conjugation with nevertheless a molar absorption coefficient 2.5 times lower than that observed for the para-isomer 2 (2: $\varepsilon_{319nm} = 1.6 \times 10^4 \,\mathrm{L\,mol^{-1}\,cm^{-1}};$ 3: $\varepsilon_{\rm 316nm} = 0.64 \times$

10⁴ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). However, the presence of this band appears to be very surprising in the light of literature. Indeed, the absorption spectrum of the meta-terphenyl (analogue of 1 and 3 but without the bridge) possesses a λ_{max} at 246 nm, strongly blue shifted compared to that of its regioisomer, the para-terphenyl (analogue of **2**), $\lambda_{max} = 277 \text{ nm.}^{[2]}$ This π -conjugation disruption observed for meta-terphenyl finds its origin in the shape and distribution of the molecular orbitals involved (small contributions are indeed found on meta-carbon atoms).^[30] Indeed, it is admitted that there is a better delocalisation of π -electrons following the *para/ortho/meta*-sequence and numbers of studies have tried to elucidate the origin of the restricted π -conjugation between *para-*, ortho- and *meta*substituted oligophenylenes.^[30-36] In our case, it is clear that 3 displays a different behaviour compared to its building block meta-terphenyl as it presents a relatively intense degree of conjugation between the phenyl and the fluorene. Thus, the "linkage" effect cannot explain by itself this feature and other parameters should be invoked. We believe that the π -conjugation extension of 3 finds its origin in the electron donating effect of the bridge, herein the spiro carbon. Indeed, the spiro carbon may increase the electron density in its para-position that is in C3 allowing the π -conjugation extension.^[17]

To unravel this "ring bridging" effect, we have chemically modified the bridge through the synthesis of the related fluo-

Chem. Eur. J. 2017 , 23, 1–10	Chem.	Eur. J.	2017,	23,	1 –	10
--------------------------------------	-------	---------	-------	-----	-----	----

www.chemeurj.org

5



rene analogues (see Supporting Information), namely 1-phenylfluorene (1F), 2-phenylfluorene (2F), 3-phenylfluorene (3F), 4phenylfluorene (4F), possessing a methylene bridge instead of the spirofluorene bridge. As observed for 3, the absorption spectrum of the meta-isomer **3F** surprisingly displays a large band, $\lambda_{max} =$ 308 nm, at an almost identical wavelength to that observed for the *para*-isomer **2F**, $\lambda_{max} = 310 \text{ nm}$ (**3F**: $\varepsilon_{308nm} =$ $0.60 \times 10^4 \,\mathrm{L\,mol^{-1}\,cm^{-1}};$ **2F**: $\varepsilon_{310nm} = 2.68 \times 10^4 \,\mathrm{Lmol^{-1} \, cm^{-1}},$ Figure 2, top right). This large band can be assigned to the π conjugation extension and the clear difference observed between the absorption spectra of the two meta-isomers, 3F and 1F, sheds light on the key role played by the bridge. Thus, meta-isomers 3F and 3 display a similar behaviour showing a surprising conjugation extension, less intense than the paraanalogues 2 and 2F, but more intense than their ortho-isomers 4F and 4, hence confirming the impact of the bridge on the optical properties. Thus, the rigidification of the meta-terphenyl core by one bridge cancels, at least partially, the effect of the linkages on the conjugation length, which appears as an interesting way to tune the electronic properties of bridged oligophenylenes.

The other meta-linked SBF 1 displays a very different absorption spectrum almost identical to that of SBF with a main thin band at 309 nm and no trace of extended conjugation at higher wavelengths. TD-DFT of 1 reveals for this band two main transitions (HOMO \rightarrow LUMO and HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1, Figure 3, top left) all involving only the fluorene fragment with no electronic density found on the phenyl unit (see also the calculated electron density changes in Supporting Information). This feature highlights a strong similitude with the transitions observed for **SBF**. Thus, the complete π -conjugation breaking of 1 finds its origin not only in the meta-linkage, which cannot completely break the conjugation as exposed above for 3, but also in the very large dihedral angle measured between the phenyl unit and the fluorene. This large angle is caused by the presence of the spiroconjugated fluorene, which strongly restricts the rotation of the phenyl ring. Removing this bulky spirofluorene, such as in the fluorene analogue 1F mentioned above, confirms its importance as a long tail in the absorption of 1F is observed (Figure 2, top right), reflecting a clear conjugation between the phenyl and the fluorene moiety (see the calculated electron density changes in Supporting Information). It is noteworthy that meta-isomer 1F and ortho-isomer 4F possess an almost identical absorption spectrum (1F: $\varepsilon_{302nm} = 0.55 \times 10^4 \text{ Lmol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$; 4F: $\varepsilon_{300nm} = 0.58 \times$ 10⁴ Lmol⁻¹ cm⁻¹, Figure 2, top right), confirming that the bridge rigidification cancels the effect of the linkages on the conjugation length. Hence, there is a better delocalisation following the 2-/3-/4-/1-substitution sequence, which translates into an opening of the optical gap $\Delta E_{\rm opt}$ from **2** (3.70 eV) to 1 (3.95 eV). Thus, the most efficient conjugation is found for 2 and 3, which do not present any steric congestion highlighting its importance whatever the linkages involved.

The properties of **1–4** in their excited state confirm the key steric and electronic roles of the spiro bridge; their fluorescence spectra (Figure 2, bottom left) show the same trend as that observed above (except **4**, which is a very particular case CHEMISTRY A European Journal Full Paper

not discussed here). Indeed, 2 and 3 possess an almost identical emission spectrum, which are the most red-shifted in the series due to their extended conjugation ($\lambda_{max} = 334$ and 332 nm, respectively). This result, although in full accordance with that described above for the absorption, appears again very surprising as the meta-linkage of 3 should strongly restrict the π -conjugation compared to the *para*-linkage of **2**.^[22,25] This is again the consequence of the spiro bridge in para-position of the phenyl ring. Both fluorophores 2 and 3 also display a high quantum yield (87 and 74%, respectively), indicating a weak non-radiative pathways from S₁ to S₀. Thus, from a spectral shape point of view, para- and meta-linkages are noticeably almost indistinguishable. Important differences can be nevertheless found in the activation/deactivation processes. Indeed, the fluorescence decay curve of 2 provides a single lifetime of 1.56 ns, which is noticeably shorter than that of 3 (5.74 ns). The radiative rate constant (k_r) of **2** is calculated to be $5.6 \times 10^8 \, \text{s}^{-1}$, which is about four times that of **3** (1.29× 10⁸ s⁻¹). This feature is in good agreement with the oscillator strength difference observed for the first electronic transitions (f=0.59 for 2 and 0.15 for 3). However, the non-radiative rate constant (k_{nr}) of **2**, $(k_{nr} = 0.83 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1})$ is twice that of **3** $(k_{nr} =$ $0.45 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$); hence this feature shows that vibrational deactivation pathways are more favourable for the former than for the latter despite their identical environment. Thus, despite 2 and **3** possessing a similar quantum yield and spectrum shape, they nevertheless present very different radiative and non-radiative constants, highlighting the importance of the linkages on the photophysical processes. Remarkably, 1 displays a blueshifted emission spectrum ($\lambda_{max} = 313$ nm) compared to that of 3 despite the identical meta-linkages of both molecules. The spectrum of 1 is even almost identical to that of its building block SBF, completely erasing the effect of the pendant phenyl ring on the π -conjugation pathway at the excited state. The quantum yield of 1 is nevertheless higher than that of SBF (0.61 and 0.40, respectively), indicating that 1-substituted SBFs are very efficient near-UV emitters. The higher quantum yield of 1 compared to that of SBF is due to a combination of a higher k_r (1.22×10⁸ s⁻¹ for **1** and 0.87×10⁸ s⁻¹ for **SBF**) and a smaller k_{nr} (0.72×10⁸ s⁻¹ for **1** and 1.30×10⁸ s⁻¹ for **SBF**). If one compares the two meta-substituted isomers 1 and 3, it is worth noting that the decrease of quantum yield in case of 1 mainly results in more efficient internal conversion processes $(k_{nr} \text{ of } 3 \text{ smaller than } k_{nr} \text{ of } 1)$ and not in a much lower electronic transition moment (identical k_r for **1** and **3**). Thus, the absorption and emission spectra at room temperature follow the same surprising trend. This is not the case at 77 K.

At 77 K, the emission spectra of **1–4** present a well-resolved phosphorescence contribution, with a first band centred at 431 nm for **1**, 483 nm for **2**, 438 nm for **3** and 445 nm for **4** (Figure 2, bottom right). The corresponding $E_{\rm T}$ of **1–4** were thus, estimated to be about 2.86, 2.56, 2.83 and 2.78 eV, respectively. Due to the π -conjugation disruption, the *meta*-substituted terphenyl core of **1** and **3** leads to an increase of the $E_{\rm T}$ compared to the *para*-substituted terphenyl core of **2** and to a lesser extent to that of the *ortho*-substituted terphenyl analogues of

Chem. Eur. J. **2017**, 23, 1 – 10

www.chemeurj.org

6

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



1–4, without any bridge, follow the same trend with E_{T} of 2.55, 2.67 and 2.82 eV respectively.^[2,37] Two important features need to be stressed:

1) The E_{T} of **1** (2.86 eV) is almost identical to that of **SBF** (E_{T} = 2.88 eV) confirming that the pendant phenyl has no influence on the T₁ state (Figure 4 bottom), which is a key point for further use as host in blue PhOLED (see below),



Figure 4. Frontier molecular orbitals (top: LUMO, middle: HOMO) and SDD triplet (bottom) with isovalues of 0.04 and 0.004 respectively.

2) The emission from T_1 state follows a classical *para/ortho/ meta-*sequence (E_T increases as follows **2/4/3/1**), being hence different to that from S_1 state.

Thus, in contrast to our observations in absorption and fluorescence, the nature of the linkage fully drives the E_{T} values. Indeed, the triplet exciton of 3 is localised along the substituted fluorene with no contribution of the pendant phenyl (Figure 4, bottom), maintaining a high E_{T} of 2.83 eV. In addition, the delocalisation of the triplet exciton of 4 presents a significant contribution of the pendant phenyl, which in turn decreases the $E_{\rm T}$ to 2.78 eV. This feature is very different to that observed for HOMO and LUMO distribution (see below) and indicates the peculiar behaviour of these isomers. Thus, the singlet and triplet energies follow different trends with a remarkable different contribution of the pendant phenyl group. The bridge seems to have a strong impact in the singlet-state energy, whereas the triplet-state energy is fully driven by the nature of the linkages. Finally, radiative deactivation of the triplet state of SBF and 1-4 has been measured and appears to be very slow under these experimental conditions: the phosphorescence decay was measured and the lifetime of the $T_{\rm 1}$ state of 1 and 3 was found to be 5.8 s and 5.4 s, respectively. Thus, the meta-linkages of 1 and 3 lead to the longer lifetimes very similar to that measured for SBF. The para-linkages of 2 have an important influence on the photophysical properties of the T₁ state, since its lifetime (3.3 s) was found to be shorter than its meta- and ortho-isomers (4.7 s for 4), highlighting the impact of the nature of the linkages on the phosphorescence lifetimes.

The cyclic voltammetry (CV) of **1–4** allows to determine their HOMO energies^[38] at -5.94, -5.86, -5.94 and -5.95 eV, respectively (Figure 5, right). Thus, despite their phenyl substitution, **1**, **3** and **4** possess the same HOMO energy as that of **SBF**



Figure 5. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) of **1–4** and **SBF** recorded in DMF/Bu₄NPF₆ 0.1 $\[mu]$ (reduction, left) and in dichloromethane/Bu₄NPF₆ 0.2 $\[mu]$ (oxidation, right). Sweep-rate: 100 mV s⁻¹. Platinum working electrode. All CVs are normalised at the peak potential of the reduction (left) or oxidation (right) process.

(-5.95 eV). This is in accordance with the electronic distribution of their HOMO (Figure 4 middle), which does not (or weakly for 3) present any density on the pendant phenyl ring due to the phenyl/fluorene steric hindrance for 1 and 4 and to the meta-conjugation for 3. The HOMO of 2 (-5.86 eV), which presents an electron density delocalised through the phenyl/ fluorene fragment, is obviously the highest in the series. In the fluorene series, a different sequence is detected. Indeed, the HOMO levels of 1F-4F are found at -5.94, -5.72, -5.89 and -5.93 eV, respectively (see CVs and molecular modelling in Supporting Information). In contrast to the SBF series, the HOMO of 3F is significantly higher than that of 1F and 4F. This is in accordance with the electronic density detected on the pendant phenyl ring of 3F and highlights that the steric hindrance found in 1F and 4F is more efficient than the nature of the linkage to restrain the electronic density within the fluorene core. This important difference observed between the F and SBF series indicates that the bridge (methylene vs. spirofluorene) has a considerable impact on the HOMO distribution and energy levels. The cathodic explorations (Figure 5, left) have again revealed a different behaviour in each series. In the SBF series, the LUMO energy of 1 (-1.73 eV) is almost identical to that of SBF (-1.74 eV), indicating, as for the HOMO, that the phenyl ring in the 1-position does not influence the LUMO energy (Figure 4, top). However, 3 and 4 display a different behaviour with a deeper LUMO calculated with a deeper -1.77 eV and -1.87 eV, respectively, translating the non-negligible influence of the phenyl unit on the LUMO energy. This is particularly pronounced for 4, which presents a significant contribution of the phenyl ring in the LUMO distribution, which is not the case for its HOMO level. Thus, the phenyl ring has a different influence on the benzenoidal HOMO/quinoidal LUMO distribution depending of the regioisomer involved. This significantly different influence of the phenyl ring over HOMO/ LUMO levels is also observed in the fluorene series and is as-

Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 1–10 www.chemeurj.org These are not the final page numbers! **77**



signed to the ring bridging. The LUMO levels of **1F-4F** were recorded at -1.77, -1.92, -1.71 and -1.82 eV, respectively. We particularly note that there is a strong contribution of the phenyl ring in the LUMO of **1F** (see Supporting Information), which strongly decreases its energy compared to that of **F**. This is a significant difference with the LUMO of **1**, which only implies the fluorene, highlighting the key steric role of the spiro bridge.

In order to finally explore the potential of the 1-substituted SBF family in electronics and more generally the impact of regioisomerism on device performance, 1, 3 and 4 have been used as host in blue PhOLEDs containing very low amount of Flrpic (5%, see structure in Supporting Information). Indeed, 2 cannot be used ($E_T = 2.56 \text{ eV}$), since in order to ensure efficient energy transfers within the emissive layer,^[39] the host should possess a higher E_{T} than that of the blue phosphor Flipic (E_{T} = 2.62 eV).^[40] The device using 3 has a current efficiency (CE) of 12.8 cd A^{-1} , a power efficiency (PE) of 4.36 lm W^{-1} and an external quantum efficient (EQE) of 4.7% (at 10 mA cm⁻²). The ortho-substituted 4 displays slightly higher performance, with an EQE of 5.5%, a CE of 14.3 cd A^{-1} and a PE of 5.8 lm W^{-1} . The performance of the meta-substituted isomer 1 appears to be the highest in the series with an EQE of 5.9% and corresponding CE and PE of 15.9 cd A^{-1} and 5.9 lm W^{-1} , respectively. As the device architecture is identical, the different performance, despite being small, can only be imputed to the efficiency of the host and more precisely to the position of the phenyl ring within the molecular structure (see PhOLED data of 1, 3 and 4 in Supporting Information). In the light of these performances, the 1-substituted SBF scaffold appears promising to host blue phosphors. All the electroluminescent spectra (see Supporting Information) exclusively show the emission of FIrpic, indicating an efficient energy-transfer cascade. Thus, this first electronic application of a 1-substituted SBF shows a good confinement of the excitons within the emitting layer, which is a crucial point for optoelectronics. It should be stressed that these blue PhOLEDs performances remain modest compared to the best recently reported for pure hydrocarbons.^[17] However, with a more accurate design (incorporation of donor and/or acceptor units),^[15,41] device performance could be easily increased and this new family of 1-substituted SBF can play an interesting role in the future.

Conclusion

To summarise, this work reports the first rational study on SBF regioisomerism, highlighting the chief influence of the bridge and of the linkages on the electronic properties. Of particular interest, the impact of the ring bridging on the photophysical properties has been evidenced, notably with different influences of the linkages and the bridge on the singlet and triplet excited states. The first member of a new family of SBFs substituted in the 1-position is reported; it possesses a very high triplet energy and presents better performance in blue PhOLEDs than those of its regioisomers. These features highlight not only the potential of 1-substituted SBFs, but also the remarkable impact of regioisomerism on electronic properties.

Experimental Section

Details on material and methods, electrochemical properties, structural properties, photophysical properties, molecular modelling, device fabrication and characterisation, and copies of NMR spectra can be found in the Supporting Information. CCDC 1495896 (1) and 1495849 (3) contain the supplementary crystallographic data. These data can be obtained free of charge by The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the CDIFX (Rennes) for X-ray data collection, the CRMPO and P. Jéhan (Rennes) for mass spectrometry, GENCI (France) for allocation of computing time at CINES (Montpellier) under project c2016085032. C.P. and C.Q. wish to highly thank Prof J. Cornil (Mons) for his precious help in theoretical calculations. We wish to thank the ANR "Men In Blue" (n°14-CE05-0024) for financial support, for a post-doctoral grant (C.Q.) and for a studentship (L.S.). The Region Bretagne and the ADEME (Dr Bruno Lafitte) are also warmly acknowledged for a studentship (J.D.P.).

Keywords: organic light-emitting diodes \cdot phosphorescence \cdot regioisomerism \cdot ring bridging \cdot spirobifluorene \cdot π -conjugation

- [1] M. Romain, D. Tondelier, J.-C. Vanel, B. Geffroy, O. Jeannin, J. Rault-Berthelot, R. Métivier, C. Poriel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 14147– 14151; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 14397–14401.
- [2] M. Romain, S. Thiery, A. Shirinskaya, C. Declairieux, D. Tondelier, B. Geffroy, O. Jeannin, J. Rault-Berthelot, R. Métivier, C. Poriel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1176–1180; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 1192–1196.
- [3] A. G. Fix, D. T. Chase, M. M. Haley in *Topics in Current Chemistry* (Eds.: J. S. Siegel, Y.-T. Wu), Springer, Heidelberg, **2014**, pp. 159–195.
- [4] A. G. Fix, P. E. Deal, C. L. Vonnegut, B. D. Rose, L. N. Zakharov, M. M. Haley, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1362–1365.
- [5] T. P. I. Saragi, T. Spehr, A. Siebert, T. Fuhrmann-Lieker, J. Salbeck, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 1011–1065.
- [6] L.-H. Xie, J. Liang, J. Song, C.-R. Yin, W. Huang, Curr. Org. Chem. 2010, 14, 2169–2195.
- [7] X.-F. Wu, W.-F. Fu, Z. Xu, M. Shi, F. Liu, H.-Z. Chen, J.-H. Wan, T. P. Russell, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2015, 25, 5954–5966.
- [8] J. Yi, Y. Wang, Q. Luo, Y. Lin, H. Tan, H. Wang, C.-Q. Ma, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 1649–1652.
- [9] N. J. Jeon, H. G. Lee, Y. C. Kim, J. Seo, J. H. Noh, J. Lee, S. I. Seok, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 7837–7840.
- [10] K. S. Yook, J. Y. Lee, Adv. Mater. 2012, 24, 3169-3190.
- [11] K. S. Yook, J. Y. Lee, Adv. Mater. 2014, 26, 4218-4233.
- [12] C. Fan, Y. Chen, P. Gan, C. Yang, C. Zhong, J. Qin, D. Ma, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5648-5651.
- [13] Z. Jiang, H. Yao, Z. Zhang, C. Yang, Z. Liu, Y. Tao, J. Qin, D. Ma, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2607–2610.
- [14] S. Thiery, D. Tondelier, C. Declairieux, B. Geffroy, O. Jeannin, R. Métivier, J. Rault-Berthelot, C. Poriel, J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 5790-5805.
- [15] S. Thiery, D. Tondelier, B. Geffroy, E. Jacques, M. Robin, R. Métivier, O. Jeannin, J. Rault-Berthelot, C. Poriel, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4682–4685.
- [16] C. Quinton, S. Thiery, O. Jeannin, D. Tondelier, B. Geffroy, E. Jacques, J. Rault-Berthelot, C. Poriel, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 2017, 9, 6194– 6206.
- [17] L.-S. Cui, Y.-M. Xie, Y.-K. Wang, C. Zhong, Y.-L. Deng, X.-Y. Liu, Z.-Q. Jiang, L.-S. Liao, Adv. Mater. 2015, 27, 4213–4217.
- [18] M.-M. Xue, Y.-M. Xie, L.-S. Cui, X.-Y. Liu, X.-D. Yuan, Y.-X. Li, Z.-Q. Jiang, L.-S. Liao, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 916–924.

www.chemeurj.org

8

© 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

R These are not the final page numbers!





- [19] Y. Liu, L.-S. Cui, X.-B. Shi, Q. Li, Z.-Q. Jiang, L.-S. Liao, J. Mater. Chem. C 2014, 2, 8736–8744.
- [20] C. Poriel, R. Métivier, J. Rault-aBerthelot, D. Thirion, F. Barrière, O. Jeannin, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11703–11705.
- [21] S. Thiery, C. Declairieux, D. Tondelier, G. Seo, B. Geffroy, O. Jeannin, R. Métivier, J. Rault-Berthelot, C. Poriel, *Tetrahedron* 2014, 70, 6337–6351.
- [22] S. Thiery, D. Tondelier, C. Declairieux, G. Seo, B. Geffroy, O. Jeannin, J. Rault-Berthelot, R. Métivier, C. Poriel, J. Mater. Chem. C 2014, 2, 4156– 4166.
- [23] C. Poriel, J. Rault-Berthelot, D. Thirion, F. Barrière, L. Vignau, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 14031–14046.
- [24] C. Poriel, F. Barrière, D. Thirion, J. Rault-Berthelot, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 13304–13307.
- [25] M. Romain, M. Chevrier, S. Bebiche, T. Mohammed-Brahim, J. Rault-Berthelot, E. Jacques, C. Poriel, J. Mater. Chem. C 2015, 3, 5742–5753.
- [26] D. Thirion, C. Poriel, J. Rault-Berthelot, F. Barrière, O. Jeannin, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 13646–13658.
- [27] M. Romain, C. Quinton, D. Tondelier, B. Geffroy, O. Jeannin, J. Rault-Berthelot, C. Poriel, J. Mater. Chem. C 2016, 4, 1692–1703.
- [28] L.-S. Cui, S.-C. Dong, Y. Liu, M.-F. Xu, Q. Li, Z.-Q. Jiang, L.-S. Liao, Org. Electron. 2013, 14, 1924–1930.
- [29] D. Thirion, C. Poriel, F. Barrière, R. Métivier, O. Jeannin, J. Rault-Berthelot, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4794–4797.
- [30] P. Guiglion, M.A. Zwijnenburg, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2015, 17, 17854–17863.

- [31] S. Karabunarliev, M. Baumgarten, N. Tyutyulkov, K. Müllen, J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 11892 – 11901.
- [32] S. Y. Hong, D. Y. Kim, C. Y. Kim, R. Hoffmann, *Macromolecules* 2001, 34, 6474-6481.
- [33] N. Fomina, S. E. Bradforth, T. E. Hogen-Esch, *Macromolecules* 2009, 42, 6440-6447.
- [34] C. S. Hartley, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 646-654.
- [35] J. He, J. L. Crase, S. H. Wadumethrige, K. Thakur, L. Dai, S. Zou, R. Rathore, C. S. Hartley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13848–13857.
- [36] H.-H. Huang, C. Prabhakar, K.-C. Tang, P.-T. Chou, G.-J. Huang, J.-S. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8028–8039.
- [37] H. Yersin, Highly Efficient OLEDs with Phosphorescent Materials, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007.
- [38] A. P. Kulkarni, C. J. Tonzola, A. Babel, S. A. Jenekhe, Chem. Mater. 2004, 16, 4556-4573.
- [39] M. A. Baldo, D. F. O'Brien, Y. You, A. Shoustikov, S. Sibley, M. E. Thompson, S. R. Forrest, *Nature* **1998**, *395*, 151–154.
- [40] E. Baranoff, B. F. E. Curchod, Dalton Trans. 2015, 44, 8318-8329.
- [41] C. Poriel, J. Rault-Berthelot, S. Thiery, C. Quinton, O. Jeannin, U. Biapo, B. Geffroy, D. Tondelier, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 17930–17935.

Manuscript received: February 7, 2017 Final Article published:



FULL PAPER



Organic Semiconductors

L. Sicard, C. Quinton, J.-D. Peltier, D. Tondelier, B. Geffroy, U. Biapo, R. Métivier, O. Jeannin, J. Rault-Berthelot, C. Poriel*

Spirobifluorene Regioisomerism: A Structure-Property Relationship Study



The first structure-property relation-

ship study of a key class of organic semiconductors, the four spirobifluorene positional isomers, is reported. The impact of the ring bridging on the photophysical properties is stressed and the first member of a new family of spirobifluorenes substituted in position 1 is described, presenting better performance in blue phosphorescent OLEDs than those of its regioisomers.