## Phosphazene base-catalyzed condensation of trimethylsilylacetate with carbonyl compounds<sup>†</sup>


Koji Kobayashi, Masahiro Ueno and Yoshinori Kondo\*

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 28th April 2006, Accepted 25th May 2006 First published as an Advance Article on the web 14th June 2006 DOI: 10.1039/b606056k

The *t*-Bu-P4 base was found to be an excellent catalyst for the condensation of trimethylsilylacetate or trimethylacetonitrile with carbonyl compounds to form functionalized alkenes and  $\beta$ -enaminoesters were also synthesized by the condensation with formanilides.

Condensation of  $\alpha$ -silylalkyl compounds with carbonyl compounds to form alkenes has been known as the Peterson olefination reaction.<sup>1</sup> Usually an  $\alpha$ -silanyl carbanion generated *in situ* using a stoichiometric metallic base such as LDA or an alkyllithium has been utilized for the reaction. The reaction has been widely employed as an important method for alkene synthesis, because of simpler workup and purification procedure than the Wittig reaction. This condensation reaction will be more valuable if the transformation can be accomplished with a catalytic base.

Phosphazene bases<sup>2</sup> developed by Schwesinger and proazaphosphatranes<sup>3</sup> developed by Verkade are known as strong nonmetallic organic superbases (Fig. 1). Among them, *t*-Bu-P4 base shows extremely high basicity and has been used for various selective deprotonative transformations.<sup>4</sup> The strong affinity of *t*-Bu-P4 base to protons is regarded as synthetically useful, however the ability of *t*-Bu-P4 base to activate  $\alpha$ -silylalkyl compounds has not been reported. In connection with our recent interest on the catalytic activation of organosilicon compounds using *t*-Bu-P4 base,<sup>5</sup> we investigated catalytic condensation reactions of  $\alpha$ -silylalkyl compounds with carbonyl compounds in the presence of the catalytic *t*-Bu-P4 base.




Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tohoku University, Aramaki Aza Aoba 6-3, Aoba-ku, Sendai, 980-8578, Japan. E-mail: ykondo@mail.pharm.tohoku.ac.jp; Fax: 81-22-795-6804; Tel: 81-22-795-6804

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and spectral data for synthesized compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/b606056k

In our initial investigation for the condensation reaction, we chose the reaction of ethyl trimethylsilylacetate with benzophenone (1). When the reaction of 1 and ethyl trimethylsilylacetate in THF in the presence of 10 mol% *t*-Bu-P4 base was carried out at -78 °C, the condensation reaction proceeded smoothly and the unsaturated ester (2) was isolated in 94% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Other phosphazene bases with weaker basicity such as *t*-Bu-P2 base and BEMP showed no effect on the condensation under the same reaction conditions (Table 1, entries 2 and 3). DBU also showed no catalytic effect on the condensation (Table 1, entry 4). When tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) was used for the reaction, the 1,2-adduct was isolated in 38%, but no formation of alkene was observed (Table 1, entry 5).

Га	hla	1
1 a	DIC	1



As shown in Table 1, it was found that t-Bu-P4 base is an excellent catalyst for the condensation, and in order to examine further scope and limitations, reactions using other substrates were investigated. As another trimethylsilylalkyl substrate, N,N-diethyltrimethylsilylacetamide was reacted with 1 to give the unsaturated amide 4a in 87% yield (Table 2, entry 1) and the reaction of trimethylsilylacetonitrile with 1 was also successful to give 4b in 78% yield (Table 2, entry 2). Benzaldehyde (3a) was then used as a carbonyl compound and ethyl cinnamate (4c) was obtained in 89% yield using ethyl trimethylsilylacetate (Table 2, entry 3). Condensation of other arylaldehydes (3b,c,d) with ethyl trimethylsilvlacetate proceeded smoothly and the corresponding arylacrylates (4d,e,f) were obtained in good yields (Table 2, entries 4,5,6). The double bonds of the products from arylaldehydes were Egeometry. When acetophenone (3e) was used as a carbonyl compound, the reaction with trimethylsilylacetonitrile proceeded smoothly to give the alkene (4h) in 63% yield as an E,Z mixture (E: Z = 89: 11) (Table 2, entry 8), however the reaction with ethyl trimethylacetate gave the  $\beta$ -trimethylsilyloxy ester (4g) in 29% yield

		0 R ⊂ R' 1, 3a-f	TMSCH <sub>2</sub> EWG Bu-P4 base (10 mol%) THF, -78 °C, time	EWG R' 4a-f, 4i-j			
Entry	Substrate	R	R'	$EWG^d$	Time/h	4	Yield (%)
1	1	Ph	Ph	CONEt <sub>2</sub>	12	4a	87 <sup>a</sup>
2	1	Ph	Ph	CN	6	4b	78
3	3a	Ph	Н	COOEt	6	4c	89
4	3b	$4 - MeC_6H_4$	Н	COOEt	6	4d	91
5	3c	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Н	COOEt	6	<b>4</b> e	69
6	3d	2-furyl	Н	COOEt	6	<b>4</b> f	85
7	3e	Ph	Me	COOEt	6	$4g^b$	$(29)^{b}$
8	3e	Ph	Me	CN	13	4h	63 <sup>c</sup>
9	3f	Ph	CH=CHPh(E)	COOEt	6	<b>4</b> i	81
10	3f	Ph	CH=CHPh(E)	CN	13	4i	$80^c$
11	3g	n-Pentyl	Н	COOEt	6	4k	35

<sup>*a*</sup> The reaction was carried out at room temperature followed by 50 °C. <sup>*b*</sup> The 1,2-adduct, β-trimethylsilyloxy ester (**4g**) was isolated in 29% yield. <sup>*c*</sup> The reaction was carried out at -78 °C followed by 50 °C. <sup>*d*</sup> EWG = electron withdrawing group.

(Table 2, entry 7). The reaction of chalcone (**3f**) with trimethylsilylacetate gave the diene (**4i**) in 81% yield (E : Z = 100 : 0) (Table 2, entry 9). Similarly, the condensation of **3f** with trimethylsilylacetonitrile also gave the corresponding diene (**4j**) in 80% yield (E : Z = 50 : 50) (Table 2, entry 10). As an example of aliphatic aldehyde, *n*-hexanal **3g** was used as a substrate and the desired unsaturated ester **4k** was obtained in 35% yield (Table 2, entry 11). Enolizable substrates seem unfavorable for this condensation.

Our next interest was focused on the condensation of formanilides with  $\alpha$ -trimethylsilylalkyl compounds because the examples of enamine synthesis using the Peterson reaction are few.<sup>6</sup> No precedent example for the condensation of formamides with  $\alpha$ -trimethylsilylalkyl compounds have appeared to the best of our knowledge.<sup>7</sup>


Table 3

x	Р. N. сно		TMSCH <sub>2</sub> EWG P4 base (10 mol% THF, rt, time	<sup>5)</sup> X	R-N N	: 	EWG	
	5a-g				6a-	-m		
Entry	Substrate	х	R	EWG	Time/h	6	Yield (%)	
1	5a	Н	Me	COOEt	24	6a	90	
2	5a	Н	Me	COOEt	24	6a	92 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	
3	5a	Н	Me	CN	24	6b	$78^a$	
4	5b	Me	Me	COOEt	48	6c	74	
5	5c	OMe	Me	COOEt	48	6d	47	
6	5d	COOEt	Me	COOEt	24	6e	85	
7	5d	COOEt	Me	CN	48	<b>6</b> f	87	
8	5e	CN	Me	COOEt	20	6g	80	
9	5e	CN	Me	CN	48	6h	80	
10	5f	Н	CH <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	COOEt	24	6i	83 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	
11	5f	Н	$CH_2CH=CH_2$	CN	24	6j	42 <sup>a</sup>	
12	5g	Н	CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	COOEt	24	6k	99 <sup>a</sup>	
13	5g	Н	CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	CN	24	6l	88 <sup>a</sup>	
<sup>a</sup> Solvent free reaction.								

Compared to ketones or aldehydes, the reactivities of formamides to nucleophiles were considered to be lower and the investigation was started with the reaction at room temperature. When N-methylformanilide (5a) was reacted with ethyl trimethylsilylacetate in THF at room temperature, the condensation proceeded smoothly and the enaminoester (**6a**) was obtained in 90% yield (Table 3, entry 1). The same condensation was also carried out under solvent free conditions and **6a** was obtained in 92% yield (Table 3, entry 2). Similarly trimethylsilylacetonitrile was condensed with **5a** to give the enaminonitrile (**6b**) in 78% (Table 3, entry 3). Various substituents on the aromatic ring of the formanilides were compatible with this condensation (Table 3, entries 4–9) and the tolerance of alkoxycarbonyl group and cyano group during the condensation is considered to be synthetically important. *N*-Allyl and *N*-benzyl formanilides were also reacted with trimethylsilylalkyl compounds to give the corresponding enamines (**6i–1**) (Table 3, entries 10–13).

On the mechanism of the condensation, two different types of the initial activation of trimethylsilylalkyl compounds can be speculated. One is the deprotonative activation of the carbonhydrogen bond and the other is direct carbon-silicon bond activation. Mechanism A is based on the activation of the carbonsilicon bond by t-Bu-P4 base and ethyl trimethylsilylacetate (a) is reacted with t-Bu-P4 base to form the reactive anion b. 1,2-Addition of **b** gives **c** and the oxy anion of the adduct **c** is silvlated to form the silvl ether d. The deprotonation of d with t-Bu-P4 base gives the carbanion e, which eliminates trimethylsilvloxy anion to form the desired alkene f. Here the formation of phosphazenium silanoxide  $\mathbf{g}$  is important and  $\mathbf{g}$  is considered to catalyze the elimination reaction of d to give f as well as the P4 base. This mechanism is different from the pathway of conventional Peterson olefination and from our other examples on the activation of the carbon-silicon bond using t-Bu-P4 base,<sup>5</sup> mechanism A seems to be acceptable as a candidate. In this mechanism, t-Bu-P4 base catalyzes the initial 1,2-addition and in the elimination both t-Bu-P4 base and phosphazenium silanoxide g act as catalysts.

Mechanism B is based on the initial deprotonation of ethyl trimethylsilylacetate (a) and the carbanion b' is first formed. The 1,2 addition of b' gives the alkoxide c' which TMS rearranges to oxygen to give the carbanion e. The elimination reaction of e gives the alkene f. The phosphazenium silanoxide g is also used in the deprotonation of a in the following catalytic cycles. In




both mechanisms, the role of the phosphazenium silanoxide **g** is important and **g** has the highly delocalized, large cation and the counter anion is considered to show highly ionic character which is favorable for deprotonation. The formation of the reactive phophazenium intermediates is considered to be the big difference from the situation using conventional ionic bases. At this point we do not have experimental evidence to determine which mechanism is operating in the reaction, and the NMR analysis of the reaction of trimethylsilylalkyl compounds with *t*-Bu-P4 base has not given us any suggestive evidence.

In summary, *t*-Bu-P4 base was found to be an effective catalyst for the condensation of functionalized trimethylsilylalkanes with carbonyl compounds, and the selective alkene formation was accomplished. Further investigations on the scope and limitation of the *t*-Bu-P4 base promoted condensation are in progress and the mechanistic studies on this olefination are also underway.

This work was partly supported by the Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research (No. 16659002, No. 16033208, No. 16390002) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan and a grant from the Sumitomo Foundation.

## Mechanism B



## Notes and references

- (a) L. F. van Staden, D. Gravestock and D. J. Ager, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2002, **31**, 195–200; (b) D. J. Ager, *Org. React.*, 1990, **38**, 1–223; (c) D. J. Peterson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1968, **33**, 780–784.
- 2 (a) R. Schwesinger and H. Schlemper, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1987, 26, 1167–1169; (b) R. Schwesinger, H. Schlemper, C. Hasenfratz, J. Willaredt, T. Dambacher, T. Breuer, C. Ottaway, M. Fletschinger, J. Boele, H. Fritz, D. Putzas, H. W. Rotter, F. G. Bordwell, A. V. Satish, G.-Z. Ji, E.-M. Peters, K. Peters, H. G. von Schnering and L. Walz, Liebigs Ann., 1996, 1055–1081.
- 3 J. G. Verkade and P. B. Kisanga, Tetrahedron, 2003, 59, 7819-7858.
- G. A. Kraus, N. Zhang, J. G. Verkade, M. Nagarajan and P. B. Kisanga, Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 2409–2410; (b) T. Pietzonka and D. Seebach, Chem. Ber., 1991, 124, 1837–1843; (c) T. Pietzonka and D. Seebach, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1993, 32, 716–717; (d) I. Leito, T. Rodima, I. A. Koppel, R. Schwesinger and V. M. Vlasov, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 8479–8483; (e) H. Schlaad, H. Kukula, J. Rudloff and I. Below, Macromolecules, 2001, 34, 4302–4304; (f) T. Imahori and Y. Kondo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 8082–8083; (g) T. Imahori, C. Hori and Y. Kondo, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2004, 346, 1090–1092.
- 5 M. Ueno, C. Hori, K. Suzawa, M. Ebisawa and Y. Kondo, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, 1965–1968.
- 6 (a) W. Adam and C. M. Ortega-Schulte, Synlett, 2003, 414–416; (b)
  R. Dalpozzo, A. De Nino, E. Iantorno, G. Bartoli, M. Bosco and
  L. Sambri, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 2585–2590; (c) R. Vohra and D. B.
  MacLean, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 7673–7676; (d) J. C. Cuevas,
  P. Patil and V. Snieckus, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 5841–5844.
- 7 For recent novel olefination of formamides, see: G. S. Nandra, P. S. Pang, M. J. Porter and J. M. Elliott, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, 7, 3453–3455.