ORGANIC LETTERS

2003
Vol. 5, No. 14
2535−2538

Ruthenium Porphyrin Catalyzed Intramolecular Carbenoid C–H Insertion. Stereoselective Synthesis of Cis-Disubstituted Oxygen and Nitrogen Heterocycles

Wai-Hung Cheung,[†] Shi-Long Zheng,[†] Wing-Yiu Yu,*[,†] Guo-Chuan Zhou,[†] and Chi-Ming Che*[,†,‡]

Department of Chemistry and Open Laboratory of Chemical Biology of the Institute of Molecular Technology for Drug Discovery and Synthesis, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, and Shanghai-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory on Chemical Synthesis, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Feng Lin Road, Shanghai 200032, China

cmche@hku.hk

Received May 12, 2003

ABSTRACT



A ruthenium porphyrin-catalyzed stereoselective intramolecular carbenoid C–H insertion is described. Using [Ru^{II}(TTP)(CO)] as catalyst, aryl tosylhydrazones are converted to 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans, 2,3-dihydroindoles, and *β*-lactams in good yields and remarkable *cis* selectivity (up to 99%). Enantioselective synthesis of 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans is also achieved with [Ru^{II}(D$_4$-Por*)(CO)] as catalyst, and up to 96% ee is attained.

Transition-metal-catalyzed carbenoid insertion into a saturated C−H bond is an appealing methodology for construction of carbon−carbon bonds and natural product synthesis.[1] The carbenoid C−H insertions have proven to be a unique and effective strategy for stereo- and enantioselective synthesis of five- and four-membered heterocycles.[1] Significant advances in this area have been made with the Rh-/Cu-catalyzed decomposition of α-diazo esters, and highly reactive metal−carbenes are postulated.[2,3]

Metalloporphyrins for catalytic carbenoid transformations are receiving growing attention;[4,5] in particular, ruthenium porphyrins[4a−d,f−h,5a,c] represent a new class of highly robust catalysts with superior stability (Figure 1). Importantly,

[†] The University of Hong Kong.
[‡] Chinese Academy of Sciences.

(1) For reviews, see: (a) Taber, D. F. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1991; Vol. 3, p 1045. (b) Doyle, M. P.; McKervey, M. A.; Ye, T. *Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1998. (c) Doyle, M. P.; Forbes, D. C. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *98*, 911. (d) Pfaltz, A. In *Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis*; Jacobsen, E. N., Pfaltz, A., Yamamoto, H., Eds.; Springler-Verlag: New York, 1999; Vol. 2, p 513. (e) Lydon, K. M., McKervey, M. A. In *Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis*; Jacobsen, E. N., Pfaltz, A., Yamamoto, H., Eds.; Springler-Verlag: New York, 1999; Vol. 2, p 539.

(2) For isolation of a stable rhodium carbenoid complex, see: Snyder, J. P.; Padwa, A.; Stengel, T.; Arduengo, A. J., III; Jockisch, A.; Kim, H.-J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 11318.

(3) For a theoretical study on rhodium-carbenoid, see: Nakamura, E.; Yoshikai, N.; Yamanaka, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 7181.

10.1021/ol034806q CCC: $25.00
Published on Web 06/11/2003



[Ru^{II}(TTP)(CO)] (R^1=R^2=R^4=R^5=H; R^3=Me)

[Ru^{II}(*p*-F-TPP)(CO)] (R^1=R^2=R^4=R^5=H; R^3=F)

[Ru^{II}(TMP)(CO)] (R^2=R^4=H; R^1=R^3=R^5=Me)

[Ru^{II}(TDCPP)(CO)] (R^2=R^3=R^4=H; R^1=R^5=Cl)

[Ru^{II}(3,4,5-MeO-TPP)(CO)] (R^1=R^5=H; R^2=R^3=R^4=OMe)

Figure 1. Ruthenium porphyrins.

ruthenium porphyrins react with diazo compounds to afford ruthenium−carbene complexes,[6] some of which have been structurally characterized.[5b−d] Here, we report an extensive study on ruthenium porphyrin catalyzed cyclization of aryl tosylhydrazones to form *cis*-2,3-disubstituted 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans via carbenoid C−H insertion. In the course of this study, Zheng *et al*.[7] communicated the use of ruthenium porphyrin for the synthesis of (±)-*epi*-conocarpan. Dihydrobenzofurans (e.g., lignans and neolignans) are widespread in nature, and they exhibit a broad range of biological activities including anticancer effects.[8] In this report, we also describe stereoselective synthesis of *cis*-disubstituted *β*-lactams[9] by employing the ruthenium-catalyzed protocol.

In this work, we employed aryl tosylhydrazones as precursors for *in situ* generation of diazo compounds, where handling or accumulation of unstable intermediates can be avoided.[10] Treating the sodium salt of **1a** (1 mmol) with [Ru^{II}(TTP)(CO)] (1 mol %) and *n*-Bu_4NBr (10 mol %) as phase-transfer catalyst in toluene at 60−70 °C for 48 h afforded dihydrobenzofuran **2a** in 76% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 1). ^{1}H NMR analysis revealed that *cis*-disubstituted product was predominantly formed (*cis*/*trans* = 98:2) by comparing the integral ratios of the methyl protons of the *cis* (0.78 ppm) and *trans* isomers (1.38 ppm).[11] Using benzyl α,α-d_2-alcohol (98% D), we prepared a deuterium-labeled **1a′**. Under the Ru-catalyzed conditions, facile cyclization of **1a′** to *cis*-dihydrobenzofuran **2a′** (ca. 83% yield) was achieved exclusively (Table 1, entry 2). On the basis of ^{1}H NMR and mass spectroscopic analyses, the deuterium content was conserved after the cyclization reaction. The NMR spectrum of **2a′** unequivocally reveals that the deuterium atom at the C3 position originates from the benzylic C−D bond. This result suggests that the Ru-catalyzed cyclization of aryl tosylhydrazones involves cleavage of the benzylic C−H bond as the principal step.

Other phase-transfer catalysts such as $BnEt_3NCl$ are equally effective for the Ru-catalyzed cyclization of **1a** (entry 3). Toluene was found to be the solvent of choice; using other solvents such as CH_2Cl_2 and THF resulted in sluggish reaction and low product yield (<6%) with >90% of the starting hydrazone being recovered. It is well-known that [$Rh_2(CH_3CO_2)_4$] is a highly effective catalyst for the analogous C−H insertions. However, in this work, when the

Table 1. Ru-Catalyzed Intramolecular Carbenoid C−H Insertion



(**1a**·**Na**) (**2a**)

entry	Ru catalyst	PTC	*T* (°C)	time (h)	yield (%)	*cis*/*trans*[b]
1	[Ru^{II}(TTP)(CO)]	*n*-Bu_4NBr	60−70	48	76	98:2
2	[Ru^{II}(TTP)(CO)]	*n*-Bu_4NBr	110	12	83	>99%[c]
3	[Ru^{II}(TTP)(CO)]	$BnEt_3NCl$	60−70	48	77	98:2
4	[Ru^{II}(TTP)(CO)]	*n*-Bu_4NBr	110	2	86	98:2
5	[Ru^{II}(OEP)(CO)]	*n*-Bu_4NBr	60−70	48	79	98:2
6	[Ru^{II}(p-F-TPP)(CO)]	*n*-Bu_4NBr	60−70	48	79	98:2
7	[Ru^{II}(3,4,5-MeO-TPP)(CO)]	*n*-Bu_4NBr	60−70	48	79	98:2
8	[Ru^{II}(TDCPP)(CO)]	*n*-Bu_4NBr	60−70	48	12	94:6
9	[Ru^{II}(TMP)(CO)]	*n*-Bu_4NBr	60−70	48	10	45:55

[a] Isolated yield. [b] Determined by ^{1}H-NMR. [c] α,α-d_2-**1a**-Na as substrate, *cis*-d_2-**2a** was determined by NMR analysis.

(4) Works by others; see, for example: (a) Smith, D. A.; Reynolds, D. N.; Woo, L. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 2511. (b) Galardon, E.; Maux, P. L.; Simonneaux, G. *Chem. Commun*. **1997**, 927. (c) Frauenkron, M.; Berkessel, A. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1997**, *38*, 7175. (d) Galardon, E.; Maux, P. L.; Simonneaux, G. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1997**, 2455. (e) Galardon, E.; Roué, S.; Maux, P. L.; Simonneaux, G. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1998**, *39*, 2333. (f) Gross, Z.; Galili, N.; Simkhovich, L. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **1999**, *40*, 1571. (g) Galardon, E.; Maux, P. L.; Simonneaux, G.; *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 615. (h) Hamaker, C. H.; Djukic, J.-P.; Smith, D. A.; Woo, L. K. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 5189. (i) Mirafzal, G. A.; Cheng, G.; Woo, L. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2002**, *124*, 176.

(5) Work done by us: (a) Lo, W.-C.; Che, C.-M.; Cheng, K.-F.; Mak, T. C.-W. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun*. **1997,** 1205. (b) Che, C.-M.; Huang, J.-S.; Lee, F.-W.; Li, Y.; Lai, T.-S.; Kwong, H.-L.; Teng, P.-F.; Lee, W.-S.; Lo, W.-C.; Peng, S.-M.; Zhou, Z.-Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2001**, *123*, 4119. (c) Li, Y.; Huang, J.-S.; Zhou, Z.-Y.; Che, C.-M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2001**, *123*, 4843. (d) Zheng, S.-L.; Yu, W.-Y.; Che, C.-M. *Org. Lett*. **2002**, *4*, 889. (e) Zhang, J.-L.; Che C.-M. *Org. Lett*. **2002**, *4*, 1911. (f) Zhou, C.-Y.; Yu, W.-Y.; Che, C.-M. *Org. Lett*. **2002**, *4*, 3235.

(6) (a) Collman, J. P.; Brothers, P. J.; McElwee-White, L.; Rose, E.; Wright, L. J.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **1985**, *107*, 4570. (b) Collman, J. P.; Rose, E.; Venburg, G. D. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun*. **1993**, 934. (c) Park, S.-B.; Sakata, N.; Nishiyama, H. *Chem. Eur. J*. **1996**, *2*, 203. (d) Galardon, E.; Maux, P. L.; Toupet, L.; Simonneaux, G. *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 565. (e) Klose, A.; Solari, E.; Floriani, C.; Geremia, S.; Randaccio, L. *Angew. Chem*. **1998**, *110*, 155; Klose, A.; Solari, E.; Floriani, C.; Geremia, S.; Randaccio, L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*. **1998**, *37*, 148.

(7) Zheng, S.-L.; Yu, W.-Y.; Xu, M.-X.; Che, C.-M. *Tetrahedron Lett*. **2003**, *44*, 1445.

(8) (a) MacRae, W. D.; Towers, G. H. N. *Phytochemistry* **1984**, *23*, 1207. (b) Ward, R. S. *Nat. Prod. Rep*. **1995**, 183. (c) Ayers, A. C.; Loike, J. K. *Lignans: Chemical, Biological and Clinical Properties*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1990.

(9) For an alternative approach for highly *cis*-selective *β*-lactam synthesis using [2 + 2] cycloaddition, see: Taggi, A. E.; Hafez, A. M.; Wack, H.; Young, B.; Ferraris, D.; Lectka, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. **2002**, *124*, 6626 and references therein.

(10) Aggarwal, V. K.; de Vicente, J.; Bonnert, R. V. *Org. Lett*. **2001**, *3*, 2785.

(11) Snider, B. B.; Han, L.; Xie, C. *J. Org. Chem*. **1997**, *62*, 6978.

cyclization of **1a** was conducted under the reaction conditions: $[Rh_2(CH_3CO_2)_4]$ (1 mol %), **1a** (1 mmol) and *n*-Bu_4NBr (10 mol %) in toluene at 60−70 °C, a mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-**2a** (*cis*/*trans* = 47:53) was obtained in only 21% yield. Previously, Davies[12a] and Hashimoto[12b] independently reported the chiral Rh-catalyzed enantioselective synthesis of *cis*-2-aryl-3-methoxycarbonyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofurans by intramolecular carbenoid C−H insertion strategy. According to their reports, low temperature (−78 or −50 °C) was employed to give a high degree of *cis* stereoselectivity.

In refluxing toluene (110 °C), the Ru-catalyzed cyclization of **1a** would attain complete substrate consumption within 2 h affording **2a** in 86% yield (entry 4) with excellent *cis* selectivity (*cis*/*trans* = 98:2). In the absence of ruthenium porphyrin catalyst, heating **1a** in refluxing toluene led to decomposition of the hydrazone without any formation of the dihydrobenzofuran product.

Several ruthenium(II) porphyrins, $[Ru^{II}(Por)(CO)]$, [H_2-Por: H_2OEP = octaethylporphyrin; H_2-*p*-F-TPP = *meso*-tetrakis(*p*-fluorophenyl)porphyrin; H_2-3,4,5-MeO-TPP = *meso*-tetrakis-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)porphyrin] were found to be equally effective catalysts for the intramolecular carbenoid C−H insertion, and **2a** was isolated in 79−88% yields (entries 5−7) and excellent *cis* selectivities (*cis*/*trans* = 98:2). And yet, when the sterically bulky $[Ru^{II}(TDCPP)(CO)]$ [H_2TDCPP = *meso*-tetrakis(2,6-dichlorophenyl)porphyrin] was employed as catalyst, **2a** was formed in only 12% yield (entry 8) with a *cis*/*trans* ratio of 96:4. Likewise, with $[Ru^{II}(TMP)(CO)]$ [H_2TMP = *meso*-tetrakismesitylporphyrin] as catalyst, **2a** was formed in 10% yield and low *cis* selectivity (*cis*/*trans* = 45:55) (entry 9).

Reacting $[Ru^{II}(TTP)(CO)]$ with sodium salt of benzophenone tosylhydrazone (2 equiv) at ca. 65 °C in toluene for 4 h under an argon atmosphere was found to give [(TTP)Ru=CPh_2],[5b] which was characterized by $^1H/^{13}C$ NMR and FAB-MS (see Supporting Information). An attempt to isolate the carbenoid species derived from **1a** with $[Os^{II}(TTP)(CO)]$ was not successful; only the C−H insertion product was obtained in 81% yield (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Formation of [(TTP)Ru=CPh_2] from the Decomposition of Benzophenone Tosylhydrazone



The tosylhydrazone salt can be generated *in situ*[10] by reacting tosylhydrazone with a base, and a one-pot process for the Ru-catalyzed intramolecular carbenoid C−H insertion is developed. Several tosylhydrazone derivatives have been employed to demonstrate the generality of the reaction.[10] As shown in Table 2, the reactions of aryl tosylhydrazones **1a**−**c** gave preferentially *cis*-disubstituted dihydrobenzofurans **2a**−**c** in good yields (entries 1−3). However, for substrate **1d**

Table 2. One-Pot Protocol for the Ru-Catalyzed Intramolecular Carbenoid C−H Insertion[a]

entry	substrate	product	yield (%)[b]	*cis*:*trans*[c]
1	 (**1a**)	 (**2a**)	89	95:5
2	 (**1b**)	 (**2b**)	82	89:11
3	 (**1c**)	 (**2c**)	89	>99 *cis*
4	 (**1d**)	 (**2d**)	78	34:66
5	 (**1e**)	 (**2e**)	62	-
6	 (**1f**)	 (**2f**)	73	87:13
7	 (**1g**)	 (**2g**)	66	>99 *cis*[d]
8	 (**1h**)	 (**2h**)	56	-
9	 (**1i**)	 (**2i**)	78	>99 *cis*

[a] Reaction conditions: (1) LiHMDS (1.2 equiv) in THF, −78 °C, 30 min; (2) Ru catalyst, *n*-Bu_4NBr, toluene MS4Å, 110 °C. [b] Isolated yield. [c] *Cis*/*trans* ratio was determined by ^1H NMR. [d] Modified reaction conditions: 70 °C, 60 h.

containing two phenyl substituents, the reaction produced *trans*-diphenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (**2d**) as the major product (yield = 78%; *cis*/*trans* = 34:66; see entry 4). Tosylhydrazones **1e**,**f** containing aliphatic C−H bonds can be readily converted to their corresponding dihydrobenzofurans (entries 5−6). This features a rare example of Ru-carbenoid insertion into aliphatic C−H bond.

*It is reported that substrates such as **1g** containing electron-withdrawing ester substituents are not reactive for the Rh-catalyzed carbenoid C−H insertion reaction.*[1a] *In this work, with the $[Ru^{II}(TTP)(CO)]$ catalyst, **1g** was found to undergo facile carbenoid C−H insertion, and **2g** was obtained in 66% yield with >99% cis selectivity (entry 7).* However, under the Ru-catalyzed conditions, **1h** containing a C=C bond undergoes preferentially intramolecular cyclopropanation to give cyclopropane **2h** in 56% yield (entry 8). No C−H insertion product was detected by ^1H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

The Ru-catalyzed intramolecular carbenoid C−H insertion reaction is also effective for the formation of *cis*-disubstituted

dihydroindoles. Treatment of *N*,*N*-dibenzyl-2-aminoacetophenone tosylhydrazone **1i** according to the one-pot protocol furnished the product dihydroindole **2i** in 78% isolated yield. Again, >99% *cis*-selectivity was observed based on ^{1}H NMR analysis of the product (vicinal coupling constant = 8.9 Hz).[13] The high *cis* stereoselectivity is comparable to the dihydrobenzofuran formation by the ruthenium-carbenoid C−H insertion.

A wide variety of methods have been developed for the preparation of *β*-lactam rings.[14] Among them, the [$Rh_2(CH_3CO_2)_4$]-catalyzed carbenoid C−H insertion via decomposition of α-diazo acetamides is a highly effective strategy for making this important class of compounds.[15] In this work, we have also explored the Ru-catalyzed intramolecular carbenoid C−H insertion protocol for construction of *β*-lactam rings. Reaction of the *N*-benzyl-*N*-*tert*-butylacetamide tosylhydrazone **3a** under the Ru-catalyzed conditions gave *β*-lactam **4a** in 80% isolated yield after chromatographic purification. The C−H insertion reaction proceeded with remarkable *cis*-selectivity (>98%) since only *cis*-*β*-lactam (vicinal coupling constants = 5−6 Hz) was obtained based on ^{1}H NMR analysis. It is noteworthy that the analogous rhodium(II) acetate catalyzed reactions are known to proceed with *trans* selectivity,[15a] and no *cis*-lactams were produced. Identical results were obtained with a series of ring-substituted acetamide tosylhydrazones, and the *cis*-*β*-lactams were furnished in 70−89% yields (see Table 3). The bulky *tert*-butyl group was found to be essential for the success of this transformation. When *N*,*N*-dibenzylacetamide tosylhydrazone **3f** was utilized as substrate, only *N*,*N*-dibenzylacrylamide (Table 3, entry 6) was isolated in 70% yield and no *β*-lactam was evident by ^{1}H NMR analysis of the reaction mixture.

Our preliminary study revealed that enantioselective carbenoid C−H insertion can be achieved using chiral ruthenium porphyrin as catalyst. Subjecting **1c** to the Ru-catalyzed conditions: [$Ru^{II}(D_4$-Por*)(CO)] (D_4-H_2Por* = 5,10,15,20-tetrakis[(*1S*,*4R*,*5R*,*8S*)-1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8-octahydro-1,4:5,8-dimethanoanthracene-9-yl]porphyrin)[5a−b,16] (5 mol %), *n*-Bu_4NBr (10 mol %) in toluene at 60−70 °C for 48 h, enantioenriched **2c** was obtained in 78% yield (>99% *cis*) and 96% ee (see Supporting Information). *The ee value attained in this work is among the best enantioselectivity (94% ee) reported by Hashimoto and co-workers using the chiral rhodium catalyst.*[12] Further exploration of this methodology for enantioselective carbon−carbon bond formation is underway.

Table 3. One-Pot Protocol for the Ru-Catalyzed *Cis*-*β*-Lactam Formation[a]

entry	substrate	product	yield (%)[b, c]
1	 (**3a**)	 (**4a**)	80
2	 (**3b**)	 (**4b**)	75
3	 (**3c**)	 (**4c**)	89
4	 (**3d**)	 (**4d**)	85
5	 (**3e**)	 (**4e**)	70
6	 (**3f**)	 (**4f**)	70

[a] Reaction conditions: (1) LiHMDS (1.2 equiv) in THF, −78 °C, 30 min; (2) Ru catalyst (1 mol %), *n*-Bu_4NBr, MS4Å, toluene, 110 °C, 4 h. [b] Isolated yield. [c] >99% *cis* isomer was obtained and determined by ^{1}H NMR.

Acknowledgment. We acknowledge the support of the Area of Excellence scheme (AoE/P-10/01) established under the University Grants Committee of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China, The University of Hong Kong (University Development Fund), and the Hong Kong Research Grants Council (HKU7077/01P).

Supporting Information Available: Detailed experimental procedures and characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL034806Q

(12) (a) Davies, H. M. L.; Grazini, M. V. A.; Aouad, E. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 1475. (b) Saito, H.; Oishi, H.; Kitagaki, S.; Nakamura, S.; Anada, M.; Hashimoto, S. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 3887.

(13) For C−H insertion, see: (a) Lim, H.-J.; Sulikowski, G. A.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2326. For other examples for preparation of *cis*-indole, see: (b) Troin, Y.; Sinibaldi, M.-E.; Gramain, J.-C.; Rubiralta, M.; Diez, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 6129. (c) Wee, A. G. H.; Liu, B.; Zhang, L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 4404.

(14) (a) Morin, R. B.; Gorman, M. *Chemistry and Biology of β-Lactam Antibiotics*; Academic Press: New York, 1982. (b) Brown, A. G.; Roberts, S. M. *Recent Advances in the Chemistry of β-Lactam Antibiotics*; Royal Society of Chemistry: London, 1985. (c) Durckheimer, W.; Blumbach, J.; Lattrell, R.; Scheunemann, K. H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985**, *24*, 180.

(15) (a) Doyle, M. P.; Shanklin, M. S.; Oon, S.-M.; Pho, H. Q.; van der Heide, F. R.; Veal, W. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 3384. (b) Anada, M.; Watanabe, N.; Hashimoto, S.-I. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 1517.

(16) Enantioselective catalysis by [$Ru(D_4$-Por*)(X)] complexes. see for example: (a) Yu, W.-Y.; Che, C.-M. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1999**, *72*, 281. (b) Zhang, R.; Yu, W.-Y.; Lai, T.-S.; Che, C.-M. *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1791. (c) Zhang, R.; Yu, W.-Y.; Wong, K.-Y.; Che, C.-M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 8145. (d) Zhang, R.; Yu, W.-Y.; Sun, H.-Z.; Liu, W.-S.; Che, C.-M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 2495. (e) Liang, J.-L.; Yuan, S.-X.; Huang, J.-S.; Yu, W.-Y.; Che, C.-M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 3465.